PERSPECTIVES ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SPORT AND SOCIETY

- I. Functionalism (Order Model)
 - A. Perspective -- organic analogy
 - B. Focus -- equilibrium, harmony
 - C. Social Functions of Sport sport performs physical and social f's but emphasis here will be on <u>social</u> f's for participants, non-participants, and society.
 - 1. Socioemotional function -

- 2. Socialization occurs via: 1) "IC"; 2) modeling
 - a. belief that sport reinforces core cultural values

3. Integration

4. Political

5. Social mobility

6. Symbolic Immortality

- D. Critique
 - 1. negative apsects are underplayed
 - a. aversive sport socialization
 - b. ethnocentrism, e.g., Olympics
 - c. individual needs and society's needs may be different.
- E. Nature of Social Institutions
- F. Proponents
 - 1. Durkheim, Spencer, Sumner, Parsons, and Merton
- II. Conflict Theory
 - A. Perspective dialetic (order & disorder)
 - B. Focus -- disequilibrium, disharmony
 - C. Key Themes
 - 1. Corporate Sport generates and intensifies alienation
 - a. product, e.g., time, distance, takes priority over process

- b. athletes become economic commodities--
- c. body is an instrument--drugs, computer technology
- 2. Corporate sport is used as a tool of coercion and social control
 - a. sport is an <u>opiate</u>—rephrasing Marxist notion that "religion is an opiate"—producing psychological escapism; therefore, the masses, via <u>false consciousness</u>, are controlled and not likely to rebel and/or protest
- 3. Corporate sport promotes $\underline{\text{commercialism}}$ and $\underline{\text{materialism}}$

4. Corporate sport encourages <u>nationalism</u>, <u>militarism</u>, & sexism

- D. Nature of Social Institutions
 - 1. oppressive
 - 2. sport is alienating, i.e., product not process oriented
- E. Proponents
 - 1. Simmel, Marx, Coser, Dahrendorf, and Hoch

F. Critique

- 1. overemphasis on capitalism
- 2. sport can be liberating and creative individual experience
- 3. sport can be integrative, not opiate

Table 1. Functionalism and conflict theory: a summary of their assumptions about the social order and their explanations of the relationship between sport and society

Functionalist approach	Conflict theory
Assumptions abo	out the social order
Social order based on consensus, common values, and interrelated subsystems	Social order based on coercion, exploitation, and subtle manipulation of individuals
Major concerns in	the study of society
What are the essential parts in structure of social system? How do social systems continue to operate smoothly?	How is power distributed and used in society? How do societies change and what can be done to promote change?
Major concerns in	the study of sport
How does sport contribute to basic social system needs such as pattern maintenance and tension management, integration, goal attainment, and adaptation?	How does sport create personal alienation? How is sport used to control thoughts and behavior of people, and maintain economic and political systems serving interests of those in power?
Major conclusions about th	e sport-society relationship
Sport is valuable secondary social institution benefiting society as well as individual members of society Sport is basically a <i>source of inspiration</i> on personal and social level	Sport is distorted form of physical exercise shaped by needs of autocratic or production-conscious societies Sport lacks creative and expressive elements of play; it is an opiate
Goals of spo	rt sociology
To discover ways in which sport's contribution to stability and maintenance of social order can be maximized at all levels	To promote development of humane and creative social order so that sport can be source of expression, creative experiences, and physical well-being
Major we	aknesses
Assumes that existence and popularity of sport prove that it is serving positive functions gnores possibility of internal differences and basic conflicts of interest within social systems and therefore assumes that sport serves needs of all system parts and individuals equally	Assumes that structures and consequences of sport are totally determined by needs of political and economic order Ignores factors other than capitalism in analyzing emergence and development of contemporary sport
	Focuses too much attention on top level spectator sport and overemphasizes extent to which all sport involve- ment is controlled and structured by power elite