

THETA LIFTING OF UNITARY LOWEST WEIGHT MODULES AND THEIR ASSOCIATED CYCLES

KYO NISHIYAMA and CHEN-BO ZHU

Abstract

We consider a reductive dual pair (G, G') in the stable range with G' the smaller member and of Hermitian symmetric type. We study the theta lifting of (holomorphic) nilpotent $K_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ -orbits in relation to the theta lifting of unitary lowest weight representations of G' . We determine the associated cycles of all such representations. In particular, we prove that the multiplicity in the associated cycle is preserved under the theta lifting. We also develop a theory for the lifting of covariants arising from double fibrations by affine quotient maps.

Contents

0. Introduction	415
1. Lifting of covariants via affine quotient maps	423
2. Geometry of theta lifting	427
3. Theta lifting associated to the dual pair (G, G')	436
4. Poincaré series and associated cycles	446
A. Appendix. Computation of generic fibers	455
References	462

0. Introduction

Let (G, G') be a reductive dual pair in a symplectic group $\mathbb{G} = Sp(2N, \mathbb{R})$, where N denotes the rank of \mathbb{G} . Let $\tilde{\mathbb{G}} = Mp(2N, \mathbb{R})$ be the metaplectic twofold cover of \mathbb{G} , and let Ω be a fixed oscillator representation of $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}$ (see [10]). Often, when no confusion should arise, we do not distinguish Ω from its Harish-Chandra module.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\pm 1\}$ be the kernel of the projection from $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}$ to \mathbb{G} . For a subgroup L of \mathbb{G} , we denote the pullback of L in $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}$ by \tilde{L} . Then \tilde{L} projects onto L with kernel \mathbb{Z}_2 . We call an irreducible admissible representation of \tilde{L} *genuine* if its restriction to \mathbb{Z}_2 is a multiple of the unique nontrivial character ϵ of \mathbb{Z}_2 .

DUKE MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL

Vol. 125, No. 3, © 2004

Received 20 January 2003. Revision received 7 January 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 22E46; Secondary 11F27.

Zhu's work supported in part by National University of Singapore and Ministry of Education (NUS-MOE) grant number R-146-000-046-112.

Using the oscillator representation Ω , Howe associates a given irreducible admissible genuine representation π' of \widetilde{G}' to an irreducible admissible genuine representation π of \widetilde{G} , called the *theta lift* of π' (see [10], [13]). We write $\pi = \theta(\pi')$, as usual. Roughly speaking, π is the theta lift of π' if and only if there is a nontrivial morphism

$$\Omega \longrightarrow \pi \otimes \pi' \quad \text{as a } ((\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{K}) \times (\mathfrak{g}', \widetilde{K}'))\text{-module,}$$

where \mathfrak{g} (resp., \mathfrak{g}') is the complexification of the Lie algebra of G (resp., G') and K (resp., K') is a maximal compact subgroup of G (resp., G').

Throughout this paper, we assume that (G, G') is of type I and that it is in the stable range with G' the smaller member (see [10], [23]). According to [23], theta correspondence gives rise to an injection

$$\theta : \widehat{G}'_\epsilon \hookrightarrow \widehat{G}_\epsilon,$$

where \widehat{G}_ϵ (resp., \widehat{G}'_ϵ) denotes the set of equivalent classes of irreducible unitary genuine representations of \widetilde{G} (resp., \widetilde{G}').

We also assume that G'/K' is an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space. By the classification of irreducible dual pairs, our restriction amounts to saying that (G, G') is from Table 1 (see [13]). Note that G is the group of isometries of a nondegenerate Hermitian form of signature (p, q) over $D = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$, respectively, and G' is the group of isometries of a nondegenerate skew-Hermitian form over D . We thus denote $G = G(p, q)$ and label the three cases as Case \mathbb{R} , Case \mathbb{C} , and Case \mathbb{H} , respectively. Note also that we have excluded the equality $2n = \min(p, q)$ in the first case to avoid some (small) technicalities.

Table 1. The dual pairs treated in this paper

	<i>the pair (G, G')</i>	<i>stable range condition</i>
<i>Case \mathbb{R}</i>	$(O(p, q), Sp(2n, \mathbb{R}))$	$2n < \min(p, q)$
<i>Case \mathbb{C}</i>	$(U(p, q), U(m, n))$	$m + n \leq \min(p, q)$
<i>Case \mathbb{H}</i>	$(Sp(p, q), O^*(2n))$	$n \leq \min(p, q)$

A particularly interesting subset of \widehat{G}'_ϵ is the set of (genuine) unitary lowest weight modules of \widetilde{G}' . Note that this subset includes unitary characters, singular unitary lowest weight modules, and holomorphic discrete series. There is a vast amount of literature on the subject, beginning from the fundamental work of Harish-Chandra [8], [9] and Wallach [41]. Its classification is also well known (see [5], [15]). Their theta lifts then constitute a collection of rather interesting singular unitary representations of \widetilde{G} .

The purpose of this paper is to investigate this collection of singular unitary representations. We are especially interested in the geometries underlying these representa-

tions (see §2). In particular, we show the strong interaction between their \tilde{K} -structures and the geometry of the associated varieties (see [39], [29], [32]). In some sense our results demonstrate that stable-range theta lifting commutes with Vogan’s philosophy of orbit method (see [40]). The main application here is the determination of the associated cycles of this collection of singular unitary representations.

We remark that the lifting of discrete series representations was investigated by many researchers in [38], [1], [24], and other papers. Among them we mention two works that are more relevant to our work. In [1], [2], Adams considers the theta lifting of discrete series under the stable range condition and shows that most of them are derived functor modules $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$. Thus, in principle, their \tilde{K} -structures can be obtained via a Blattner-type formula. We do not take this approach as it is well known that these \tilde{K} -type formulas are not very practical (at least for our purpose). In [25], Li describes a method for computing the \tilde{K} -types of $\theta(\pi')$ for $\pi' \in \tilde{G}'_{\epsilon}$ which is effective when π' is holomorphic. We discuss this method in parts of §3.

We introduce some notation. Fix a choice of the maximal compact subgroup K of G (resp., K' of G'). This determines a Cartan decomposition of the complexified Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} (resp., \mathfrak{g}') of G (resp., G'):

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{s}, \quad \mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{k}' \oplus \mathfrak{s}'$$

where \mathfrak{k} (resp., \mathfrak{k}') is the complexified Lie algebra of K (resp., K') and \mathfrak{s} (resp., \mathfrak{s}') is the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{k} (resp., \mathfrak{k}') with respect to the Killing form. Since we assume that G' is of Hermitian symmetric type, \mathfrak{s}' breaks up into irreducible pieces \mathfrak{s}'_{\pm} under the adjoint action of $K'_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let $\mathbb{O}' \subset \mathfrak{s}'$ be a nilpotent $K'_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit. Then we can define the *theta lift* of \mathbb{O}' , which is a certain nilpotent $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit \mathbb{O} in \mathfrak{s} (see [31]; see also §2.2). We denote $\mathbb{O} = \theta(\mathbb{O}')$.

In [39], Vogan introduced the notion of an associated variety/cycle for an admissible representation π of a real reductive Lie group G . In general, the associated variety $\mathcal{AV}(\pi)$ is a (finite) union of the closure of nilpotent $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbits, and the associated cycle $\mathcal{AC}(\pi)$ is a certain nonnegative integral linear combination of the closure of such orbits. Two natural questions arise: *How do associated cycles behave under the theta lifting $\pi' \mapsto \pi = \theta(\pi')$, and how are they related to the theta lifting of nilpotent orbits?* In this paper we answer these questions for unitary lowest weight representations π' .

To be more precise, let π' be a unitary lowest weight representation of \tilde{G}' . It is well known (see [29]) that $\mathcal{AV}(\pi') = \overline{\mathbb{O}'^{\text{hol}}}$, the closure of a single nilpotent $K'_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit $\mathbb{O}'^{\text{hol}} \subseteq \mathfrak{s}'_{-}$, which is called holomorphic. We may thus write $\mathcal{AC}(\pi') = m_{\pi'}[\overline{\mathbb{O}'^{\text{hol}}}]$ with multiplicity $m_{\pi'} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. The determination of the multiplicity is actually not so easy; it is computed explicitly in [30]. The interested reader may also find in [30] the basic properties of associated varieties/cycles.

Let us further assume that π' comes from the compact dual pair correspondence of $(G(k), G')$ for some k . Here $G(k) = G(k, 0)$ is the compact Lie group $O(k), U(k), Sp(k)$ for Cases $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$, respectively. If $G' = Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ or $U(m, n)$, all unitary lowest weight representations π' of \tilde{G}' arise in this way (see [6]). If $G' = O^*(2n)$, this assumption is very minor and it amounts to excluding a (tiny) subset of unitary lowest weight modules with their levels of reduction all being 1 (see the paper of Davidson, Enright, and Stanke [4, §7] for a precise statement). We remark in passing that this corrects an assertion made (also in passing) in the classification article [5]. For such a π' that comes from the compact dual pair correspondence of $(G(k), G')$, we have $\mathbb{O}'^{\text{hol}} = \mathbb{O}'_r^{\text{hol}}$, the $K'_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit of “rank” r in \mathfrak{s}'_- (see, e.g., [29], which is in the volume [30]). Here $r = \min(k, l)$ and l is the real rank of G' .

Our main result is the following.

THEOREM A (see Theorem 4.7)

Let π' be a unitary lowest weight representation of \tilde{G}' arising from the compact dual pair correspondence of $(G(k), G')$, for some k . Assume that π' is genuine with respect to the dual pair $(G(p, q), G')$, and let $\pi = \theta(\pi')$ be the theta lift of π' . Write the associated cycle of π' as

$$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}(\pi') = m_{\pi'}[\overline{\mathbb{O}'^{\text{hol}}}]$$

with multiplicity $m_{\pi'} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then the associated cycle of π is given as

$$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}(\pi) = m_{\pi'}[\overline{\mathbb{O}}], \quad \text{where } \mathbb{O} = \theta(\mathbb{O}'^{\text{hol}}).$$

Moreover, we have

$$m_{\pi'} = \begin{cases} \dim \sigma & \text{if } k \leq l := \mathbb{R}\text{-rank } G', \\ \dim \sigma^{G(k-l, \mathbb{C})} & \text{if } k > l \text{ and } G'/K' \text{ is of tube type.} \end{cases}$$

Here π' corresponds to the contragredient σ^ of σ under the compact dual pair correspondence of $(G(k), G')$.*

The multiplicity for the nontube case is given in Theorem 4.7 as well. We remark that for π' a holomorphic discrete series representation, the multiplicity $m_{\pi'}$ may also be given by the dimension of its lowest \tilde{K}' -type. For example, this is so if $k > 2n$ for Case \mathbb{R} (resp., $k \geq m + n$ for Case \mathbb{C} and $k \geq n$ for Case \mathbb{H}), and so one gets an equality of dimension of a certain space of fixed vectors of σ with the dimension of the lowest \tilde{K}' -type of π' . In fact, more is true (see Proposition 4.10).

Consequently, we have the following equality of associated cycles:

$$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}(\theta(\pi')) = \theta(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}(\pi')),$$

and, in particular, we have the equality of associated varieties: $\mathcal{AV}(\theta(\pi')) = \theta(\mathcal{AV}(\pi'))$.

In a previous paper [32], we investigated the case where π' is a unitary character (see also [35]) or a holomorphic discrete series with a *scalar* minimal \tilde{K}' -type. For these representations, the multiplicity in the associated cycle is 1, and the situation is much simpler than the present case of (almost all) unitary lowest weight representations. Apart from this considerable generalization, it is perhaps significant that we also offer several conceptual ideas, which we elaborate.

We comment on our twofold approach to the study of $\mathcal{AC}(\theta(\pi'))$. We first want to understand the \tilde{K}' -structure of $\theta(\pi')$ in terms of that of π' . As mentioned before, this refines the method of J.-S. Li [25], and we call it the “representation-theoretic side”. We also want to understand the algebro-geometric properties of the lifting of nilpotent orbits and associated varieties of representations. We call this the “geometric side”. In a sense, the “geometric side” treats the commutative (or classical) objects, such as the regular function rings of (the closure) of nilpotent orbits or coherent sheaves on them, while the objects in the “representation-theoretic side” are quantizations of these commutative objects/structures.

We outline the strategies of the “geometric side”. In the study of $\mathbb{O}_r = \theta(\mathbb{O}_r^{\text{hol}})$ ($r = \min(k, l)$), an important role is played by a certain affine variety $X_{p,q+k}$ which fits into an affine quotient map $\zeta : X_{p,q+k} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}_r}$ by the action of $G(k, \mathbb{C})$, the complexification of the compact group $G(k)$.

The idea of constructing the variety $X_{p,q+k}$ is motivated by the work of Loke [26], where he considers the theta lift of the trivial representation of G' with respect to the dual pair $(G(p, q+k), G')$ and restricts it to a smaller dual pair $G(p, q) \times G(0, k)$. Then the action of the compact group $G(0, k)$ cuts out the correspondence of representations for the smaller dual pair. Note that lifting the trivial representation roughly amounts to taking invariants of G' . We remark that the invariant-theoretic nature of theta correspondence was elucidated by Howe in his pioneering paper [10], in particular, the idea of doubling (or adding more) variables to get invariants. We also remark that the theta lift of the trivial representation (and, more generally, unitary characters) was studied extensively in the recent years, often in connection with invariant distributions and degenerate principal series (see [20], [44], [45], [21], [22], [14]).

Our variety $X_{p,q+k}$ is then the theta lift of the *trivial orbit* of \mathfrak{s}' with respect to the larger dual pair $(G(p, q+k), G')$. We take a quotient by the action of $G(k, \mathbb{C})$, which takes the role of the compact group $G(0, k)$ in Loke’s case, and we obtain $\overline{\mathbb{O}_r} = \overline{\theta(\mathbb{O}_r^{\text{hol}})}$ as a quotient $X_{p,q+k} // G(k, \mathbb{C})$. It turns out that this line of attack is not enough to understand the associated cycles of the family of lifted unitary representations. In addition, we need to work on certain coherent sheaves on $\overline{\mathbb{O}_r}$. We thus

take covariants under the action of $G(k, \mathbb{C})$:

$$M[\sigma] = (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_{p,q+k}])^{G(k, \mathbb{C})},$$

where σ is an irreducible finite-dimensional rational representation of $G(k, \mathbb{C})$.

To fix notation, let σ be an irreducible finite-dimensional rational representation of $G(k, \mathbb{C})$. We may also view σ as an irreducible unitary representation of the compact group $G(k)$. We assume that our unitary lowest weight representation π' corresponds to the contragradient σ^* of σ under the compact dual pair correspondence of $(G(k), G')$. This may be interpreted more explicitly as follows. Associated to the compact dual pair $(G(k), G')$ there is a certain complex vector space W_k , and for our three cases it may be identified, respectively, with the space of complex matrices $M_{k,n}$, $M_{k,m+n}$, and $M_{2k,n}$. The corresponding oscillator representation (more precisely, its Harish-Chandra module) may be realized on $\mathbb{C}[W_k]$ via the Fock model. The action of \widetilde{K}' differs from the standard action of $K' \subset K'_\mathbb{C}$ on $\mathbb{C}[W_k]$ by a certain character χ_k of \widetilde{K}' , the exact form of which is not important for us. We may rephrase the relationship between σ and π' as follows:

$$\pi'|_{\widetilde{K}'} \simeq \chi_k \otimes L[\sigma], \quad L[\sigma] = (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_k])^{G(k, \mathbb{C})} \tag{0.1}$$

(see [16], [12]).

The modules of covariants $M[\sigma]$ and $L[\sigma]$ may then be viewed as commutative analogues of the representations $\theta(\pi')$ and π' , respectively. Thus, on the “geometric side”, we need to study the relationship between the characteristic cycles of $M[\sigma]$ and $L[\sigma]$.

To do this, we define a general notion of the *lifting of covariants* using a double fibration of quotient maps (see §1). Assume that there is an affine variety Ξ on which $K_\mathbb{C} \times K'_\mathbb{C}$ acts. Then we have a double fibration

$$X := \Xi // K'_\mathbb{C} \longleftarrow \Xi \longrightarrow \Xi // K_\mathbb{C} =: Y.$$

For a $K'_\mathbb{C}$ -equivariant coherent sheaf \widetilde{L} on Y , we define its lift by

$$\widetilde{M} = (\Xi \times_Y \widetilde{L}) // K'_\mathbb{C},$$

which is a $K_\mathbb{C}$ -equivariant coherent sheaf on X .

Suppose that there is an another affine variety Z on which a reductive algebraic group H acts. Moreover, we assume that Y is isomorphic to the quotient $Z // H$. Then for an irreducible finite-dimensional rational representation σ of H , we can consider a module of the covariants $L[\sigma]$ defined as

$$L[\sigma] = (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[Z])^H.$$

This is a finitely generated $(\mathbb{C}[Y] \simeq \mathbb{C}[Z]^H)$ -module, and so it defines a coherent sheaf $\tilde{L}[\sigma]$ on Y in the standard way. On the other hand, we have a commutative diagram of quotient maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 V & \xleftarrow{\quad //K'_\mathbb{C} \quad} & \Xi \times_Y Z & \xrightarrow{\quad //K_\mathbb{C} \quad} & Z \\
 \downarrow //H & & \downarrow //H & & \downarrow //H \\
 X & \xleftarrow{\quad //K'_\mathbb{C} \quad} & \Xi & \xrightarrow{\quad //K_\mathbb{C} \quad} & Y
 \end{array}$$

where $V = (\Xi \times_Y Z) // K'_\mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is a lift of the quotient map $Z \rightarrow Y$. Thus we can define a coherent sheaf $\tilde{M}[\sigma]$ on X which corresponds to a $\mathbb{C}[X]$ -module of the covariants

$$M[\sigma] = (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[V])^H.$$

The following theorem summarizes Theorem 1.9 and Propositions 1.3 and 1.10.

THEOREM B

With the above notation, $\tilde{M}[\sigma]$ is the lift of the $K'_\mathbb{C}$ -equivariant coherent sheaf $\tilde{L}[\sigma]$. Assume that a generic $K'_\mathbb{C}$ -orbit is closed in Ξ and that the quotient map $\Xi \rightarrow Y$ is flat. Then the multiplicities of covariants are preserved:

$$\text{rank}_X \tilde{M}[\sigma] = \text{rank}_Y \tilde{L}[\sigma].$$

Furthermore, if the general fiber of the quotient map $Z \rightarrow Z // H = Y$ is a single (hence closed) H -orbit, then this common multiplicity is equal to $\dim \sigma^{H_z}$, where H_z is the fixed-point subgroup in H of a general point $z \in Z$.

We now specialize to the situation at hand. There exists an irreducible affine variety $\Xi = \Xi_k$ such that $Y = \Xi // K_\mathbb{C} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}$ and $X = \Xi // K'_\mathbb{C} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k$ (see §2.4 for the precise definition of Ξ_k). Moreover, $W_k // G(k, \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}$, and so we can take $Z = W_k$ with the action of $H = G(k, \mathbb{C})$. It turns out that $V = (\Xi \times_Y Z) // K'_\mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to $X_{p,q+k}$, and so everything fits into our general setup nicely. In this way we have the lifting of covariants from $L[\sigma]$ to $M[\sigma]$ as a commutative substitute of the theta lifting from π' to π . Theorem B thus guarantees the preservation of multiplicities in this commutative picture. Our approach also yields the $K_\mathbb{C}$ -module structure of $M[\sigma]$, in terms of $K'_\mathbb{C}$ -module structure of $L[\sigma]$ (see Theorem 2.4).

On the “representation-theoretic side”, we show that the \tilde{K} -types of $\theta(\pi')$ can be described by the \tilde{K}' -types of π' in more or less the same way (see Theorem 3.9). We highlight here a relevant key fact that may be of independent interest.

THEOREM C (see Corollary 3.6)

Howe's maximal quotient for a unitary lowest weight module is irreducible under our assumption of stable range.

This result was obtained by H.-Y. Loke [26] using a different method. We also prove the following proposition, which is used in the proof of Theorem 4.7 in an essential way.

PROPOSITION D (see Proposition 3.12)

Let $\pi = \theta(\pi')$ be the theta lift of an irreducible admissible representation π' . Assume that the associated variety $\mathcal{AV}(\pi')$ of π' is irreducible and hence is the closure of a single nilpotent $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit \mathbb{O}' . Then the associated variety of π is contained in the closure of the theta lift $\mathbb{O} = \theta(\mathbb{O}')$.

Note that we do not even assume π' to be unitary. In [36, Th. 7.1], Przebinda proved a similar result for the associated varieties of primitive ideals. It is known that the associated variety $\mathcal{AV}(\pi)$ generates the associated variety $\mathcal{AV}(I_{\pi})$ of its primitive ideal I_{π} under the adjoint action of a complexified group $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ (see [39]). Thus, in the special case where the dual pair is in the stable range and $\mathcal{AV}(\pi')$ is irreducible, our proposition is a refinement of Przebinda's result (see also [37, Th. 7.9]).

To prove the main theorem (Theorem A), we compare the Poincaré series for $\pi = \theta(\pi')$ and $M[\sigma]$. The conclusion is that (the graded module of) π and $M[\sigma]$ have the same multiplicity and asymptotic behavior when the grade goes toward the infinity. Since we know by Proposition D that the associated variety of π is contained in the support of $M[\sigma]$, we conclude that they must have the common support $\overline{\mathbb{O}}_r$ and the same multiplicity along $\overline{\mathbb{O}}_r$. We thus obtain the associated cycles of all such π 's.

Here are some words on the organization of this paper and the outline of its contents. In §1, we review some standard results on affine quotients and introduce a general notion of lifting of coherent sheaves and covariants in the context of double fibration (by affine quotients). In particular, we prove a result on preservation of multiplicity (Theorem 1.9) which is of independent interest. In §2, we review the notion of the theta lifting of nilpotent orbits (see [31]) and investigate geometries underlying theta lifting of holomorphic nilpotent orbits. We lift the affine quotient map $\zeta' : W_k \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}$ to an affine quotient map $\zeta : X_{p,q+k} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k$, and we determine the multiplicity and the $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module structure of the space of covariants $M[\sigma]$ over $\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k$. In §3, we develop the representation side. The main result is the description of the \tilde{K} -structure of the theta lift $\theta(\pi')$ (actually the maximal quotient) of all unitary lowest weight modules π' in terms of the \tilde{K}' -structure of π' . We also prove a general result on the correspondence of associated varieties (Proposition 3.12). In §4, we investigate

certain Poincaré series of $\theta(\pi')$, and we then apply the results of §§2 and 3 to obtain the formula for the associated cycle of $\theta(\pi')$. The computations of the generic fibers of $\zeta : X_{p,q+k} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}}_r$ are done in the appendix.

1. Lifting of covariants via affine quotient maps

1.1. Preliminaries on affine quotients

Let H be a reductive linear algebraic group over \mathbb{C} which acts on an affine variety X . As usual, let $X//H$ denote the affine quotient of X by the action of H , which is by definition $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[X]^H$. Here and throughout this article, $\mathbb{C}[X]$ denotes the regular function ring on X and $\mathbb{C}[X]^H$ denotes the ring of H -invariants. The natural inclusion $\mathbb{C}[X]^H \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$ then induces a projection map $\zeta : X \rightarrow X//H$, called an affine quotient map.

We have the following well-known proposition.

PROPOSITION 1.1

- (1) For any $y \in X//H$, the fiber $\zeta^{-1}(y)$ of y contains a unique closed H -orbit. Hence $X//H$ may be identified with the set of all closed H -orbits in X .
- (2) Let $Z \subset X$ be an H -stable closed subvariety. Then its image $\zeta(Z)$ is closed in $X//H$, and $\zeta|_Z : Z \rightarrow \zeta(Z)$ is an affine quotient map; that is, $\zeta(Z) \simeq Z//H$.

1.2. Module of covariants and multiplicities

Let X be an irreducible affine variety, and let $\zeta : X \rightarrow X//H$ be an affine quotient map. We write $I = \mathbb{C}[X]^H$. Denote by $\text{Irr}(H)$ the set of equivalent classes of irreducible finite-dimensional rational representations of H . For $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(H)$, consider

$$L[\sigma] = (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[X])^H, \tag{1.1}$$

which is a finitely generated I -module. We refer to $L[\sigma]$ as a module of covariants over I . If we denote by F the quotient field of I , then we have $F \otimes_I L[\sigma] = F^d$ for a nonnegative integer d . We call d the multiplicity of the I -module $L[\sigma]$, and it is denoted by $\text{rank}_I L[\sigma]$.

The following lemma is standard, and so we omit its proof.

LEMMA 1.2

Let $\zeta : X \rightarrow X//H$ be an affine quotient map. Then we have

$$\text{rank}_I L[\sigma] = \dim (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[\zeta^{-1}(y)])^H < \infty,$$

where y is a general point in $X//H$.

We need the next result, which follows from Lemma 1.2 and the Frobenius reciprocity theorem.

PROPOSITION 1.3

Let $\zeta : X \rightarrow X//H$ be an affine quotient map, and assume that a generic fiber $\zeta^{-1}(y)$ is a closed H -orbit. Then the multiplicity of the module of covariants $L[\sigma] = (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[X])^H$ over $I = \mathbb{C}[X]^H$ is given by

$$\text{rank}_I L[\sigma] = \dim \sigma^{H_x},$$

where $x \in \zeta^{-1}(y)$, and H_x denotes its stabilizer.

Remark 1.4

Since the orbit $H \cdot x \subset X$ is a closed affine variety, the stabilizer H_x is necessarily reductive.

1.3. Lifting of coherent sheaves

Let $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $K'_{\mathbb{C}}$ be reductive algebraic groups over \mathbb{C} , and suppose that $K_{\mathbb{C}} \times K'_{\mathbb{C}}$ acts on an irreducible affine variety Ξ . Let

$$X = \Xi//K'_{\mathbb{C}} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \Xi//K_{\mathbb{C}} \tag{1.2}$$

be the corresponding affine quotients. Thus we have a double fibration map by affine quotients:

$$X \xleftarrow{//K'_{\mathbb{C}}} \Xi \xrightarrow{//K_{\mathbb{C}}} Y. \tag{1.3}$$

Note that if we put $R = \mathbb{C}[\Xi]$, then we have $\mathbb{C}[X] = R^{K'_{\mathbb{C}}}$ and $\mathbb{C}[Y] = R^{K_{\mathbb{C}}}$.

Denote by \mathcal{O}_Y the sheaf of regular functions on Y . For a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ -module L , we let $\tilde{L} = \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} L$ be the corresponding coherent sheaf on Y . The functor $L \mapsto \tilde{L}$ gives an equivalence of categories between the category of finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ -modules and the category of coherent sheaves of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules on Y . Its inverse is the functor of taking global sections. We also write $\text{rank}_Y \tilde{L} = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} L$.

In the following, we are mainly concerned with coherent sheaves that are equivariant with respect to some group actions. For instance, since $K'_{\mathbb{C}}$ acts on Y , we may consider a $K'_{\mathbb{C}}$ -equivariant coherent sheaf \tilde{L} . This simply means that L is a finitely generated $(K'_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C}[Y])$ -compatible module.

Definition 1.5

For a finitely generated $(K'_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C}[Y])$ -compatible module L or a $K'_{\mathbb{C}}$ -equivariant coherent sheaf \tilde{L} on Y , we put

$$M = (\mathbb{C}[\Xi] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} L)^{K'_{\mathbb{C}}} \quad \text{or} \quad \tilde{M} = (\Xi \times_Y \tilde{L})//K'_{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{1.4}$$

Then M is a finitely generated $(K_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C}[X])$ -compatible module, and \tilde{M} is a $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -equivariant coherent sheaf on X . We call M a *lift* of L and call \tilde{M} a *lift* of \tilde{L} via the double fibration map (1.3).

We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \tilde{M} & \xleftarrow{\quad //K'_{\mathbb{C}} \quad} & \Xi \times_Y \tilde{L} & \xrightarrow{\quad //K_{\mathbb{C}} \quad} & \tilde{L} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X & \xleftarrow{\quad //K'_{\mathbb{C}} \quad} & \Xi & \xrightarrow{\quad //K_{\mathbb{C}} \quad} & Y
 \end{array}$$

LEMMA 1.6

If the quotient map $\Xi \rightarrow Y$ is flat, then we have

$$\text{rank}_{\Xi}(\Xi \times_Y \tilde{L}) = \text{rank}_Y \tilde{L}.$$

Remark 1.7

In general, we only have an inequality $\text{rank}_{\Xi}(\Xi \times_Y \tilde{L}) \leq \text{rank}_Y \tilde{L}$.

Proof

We need to prove that $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} L = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}[\Xi]} \mathbb{C}[\Xi] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} L$. Since $\mathbb{C}[\Xi]$ is flat over $\mathbb{C}[Y]$, the assertion is immediate. □

LEMMA 1.8

Assume that a generic $K'_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit is closed in Ξ . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ be a $K'_{\mathbb{C}}$ -equivariant coherent sheaf on Ξ , and let $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} // K'_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the corresponding (quotient) coherent sheaf on $X = \Xi // K'_{\mathbb{C}}$; then we have

$$\text{rank}_X(\tilde{\mathcal{L}} // K'_{\mathbb{C}}) = \text{rank}_{\Xi} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}.$$

Proof

Put $R = \mathbb{C}[\Xi]$; then we have $R^{K'_{\mathbb{C}}} = \mathbb{C}[X]$. We prove that $\text{rank}_R \mathcal{L} = \text{rank}_{R^{K'_{\mathbb{C}}}} \mathcal{L}^{K'_{\mathbb{C}}}$ for any finitely generated R -module \mathcal{L} . Put $d = \text{rank}_R \mathcal{L}$. Then, by definition of the multiplicity, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R^d \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0 : \text{exact},$$

where N is an R -torsion. Since taking $K'_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariants is an exact functor, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow (R^{K'_{\mathbb{C}}})^d \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{K'_{\mathbb{C}}} \longrightarrow N^{K'_{\mathbb{C}}} \longrightarrow 0 : \text{exact}.$$

So it is enough to prove that $N^{K'_{\mathbb{C}}}$ is an $R^{K'_{\mathbb{C}}}$ -torsion. Since N is an R -torsion, $Z = \text{Supp } \tilde{N}$ (the support of \tilde{N}) is a proper closed subvariety in Ξ . Clearly, we have

$\text{Supp } \tilde{N}^{K'_\mathbb{C}} \simeq Z // K'_\mathbb{C}$. By the assumption, there exists a closed $K'_\mathbb{C}$ -orbit in $\Xi \setminus Z$. This implies that $\text{Supp } \tilde{N}^{K'_\mathbb{C}}$ cannot be the whole $X = \Xi // K'_\mathbb{C}$. Hence $N^{K'_\mathbb{C}}$ is an $R^{K'_\mathbb{C}}$ -torsion. \square

Combining Lemmas 1.6 and 1.8, we obtain the following.

THEOREM 1.9

Let Ξ be an irreducible affine variety on which $K_\mathbb{C} \times K'_\mathbb{C}$ acts. Put $X = \Xi // K'_\mathbb{C}$ and $Y = \Xi // K_\mathbb{C}$. For a $K'_\mathbb{C}$ -equivariant coherent sheaf \tilde{L} on Y , let $\tilde{M} = (\Xi \times_Y \tilde{L}) // K'_\mathbb{C}$ be the $K_\mathbb{C}$ -equivariant coherent sheaf on X lifted from \tilde{L} . Suppose that a generic $K'_\mathbb{C}$ -orbit is closed in Ξ and that the quotient map $\Xi \rightarrow Y$ is flat; then the multiplicity is preserved by the lifting

$$\text{rank}_X \tilde{M} = \text{rank}_Y \tilde{L}. \tag{1.5}$$

1.4. Lifting of covariants

We keep the setting and notation of Section 1.3. Thus we have an irreducible affine variety Ξ on which $K_\mathbb{C} \times K'_\mathbb{C}$ acts and $X = \Xi // K'_\mathbb{C}$, $Y = \Xi // K_\mathbb{C}$.

Now suppose that H is a reductive algebraic group over \mathbb{C} , and suppose that there is an irreducible affine variety Z on which $H \times K'_\mathbb{C}$ acts. We further assume that $Z // H \simeq Y$ as a $K'_\mathbb{C}$ -variety. We define the lift of the quotient map $Z \rightarrow Y$ as follows.

Let $V = (\Xi \times_Y Z) // K'_\mathbb{C}$. Note that since we consider $\Xi \times_Y Z$ in the scheme-theoretic sense, it may not be irreducible or reduced. The same remark applies to V . We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V & \xleftarrow{//K'_\mathbb{C}} & \Xi \times_Y Z & \xrightarrow{//K_\mathbb{C}} & Z \\ \downarrow //H & & \downarrow //H & & \downarrow //H \\ X & \xleftarrow{//K'_\mathbb{C}} & \Xi & \xrightarrow{//K_\mathbb{C}} & Y \end{array}$$

This is clear if we show that the map $V \rightarrow X$ is an affine quotient map. To see this, we compute

$$\mathbb{C}[V]^H = (\mathbb{C}[\Xi] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} \mathbb{C}[Z])^{K'_\mathbb{C} \times H} = (\mathbb{C}[\Xi] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} \mathbb{C}[Z]^H)^{K'_\mathbb{C}}.$$

Since $\mathbb{C}[Y] \simeq \mathbb{C}[Z]^H$ by assumption, we get $\mathbb{C}[V]^H = \mathbb{C}[\Xi]^{K'_\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}[X]$, which is equivalent to saying that $V \rightarrow X$ is an affine quotient map. We thus say that the quotient map $V \rightarrow X$ is *lifted* from the quotient map $Z \rightarrow Y$.

For $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(H)$, we put

$$L[\sigma] = (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[Z])^H, \quad M[\sigma] = (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[V])^H.$$

They are finitely generated modules of $\mathbb{C}[Z]^H \simeq \mathbb{C}[Y]$ and $\mathbb{C}[V]^H = \mathbb{C}[X]$, respectively. Let $\tilde{L}[\sigma]$ be the associated $K'_\mathbb{C}$ -equivariant coherent sheaf on Y , and let $\tilde{M}[\sigma]$ be the associated $K_\mathbb{C}$ -equivariant coherent sheaf on X , as before. Then we have the following.

PROPOSITION 1.10

$\tilde{M}[\sigma]$ is lifted from $\tilde{L}[\sigma]$ in the sense of Definition 1.5.

Proof

We put $S = \mathbb{C}[Z]$. Note that $\mathbb{C}[Y] \simeq S^H$ by assumption. We have

$$\begin{aligned} M[\sigma] &= (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[V])^H = (\sigma \otimes (\mathbb{C}[\Xi] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} \mathbb{C}[Z])^{K'_\mathbb{C}})^H \\ &\simeq (\sigma \otimes (\mathbb{C}[\Xi] \otimes_{S^H} S))^{K'_\mathbb{C} \times H} \simeq (\mathbb{C}[\Xi] \otimes_{S^H} (\sigma \otimes S))^{K'_\mathbb{C} \times H} \\ &\simeq (\mathbb{C}[\Xi] \otimes_{S^H} (\sigma \otimes S)^H)^{K'_\mathbb{C}} \simeq (\mathbb{C}[\Xi] \otimes_{S^H} L[\sigma])^{K'_\mathbb{C}}. \end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows. □

2. Geometry of theta lifting

2.1. Review of structures for dual pairs

We review certain structural results related to our dual pairs (see [13]).

Let $W_\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2N}$ be a real symplectic space that realizes $\mathbb{G} = Sp(2N, \mathbb{R})$ as a symplectic group on $W_\mathbb{R}$. There is a canonical complex structure on $W_\mathbb{R}$, and we can view $W_\mathbb{R}$ as (the underlying real vector space of) a complex vector space $W \simeq \mathbb{C}^N$. The symplectic form on $W_\mathbb{R}$ is then given by the imaginary part of a canonical positive definite Hermitian form on W . By this identification, a maximal compact subgroup \mathbb{K} of \mathbb{G} is realized as the unitary group $U(W) \simeq U(N)$ on $W = \mathbb{C}^N$.

We may choose maximal compact subgroups K and K' of G and G' , respectively, in such a way that $K \cdot K'$ is contained in the standard maximal compact subgroup $\mathbb{K} \simeq U(N)$ of \mathbb{G} .

In view of Table 1 in the introduction, we sometimes write $G = G(p, q)$; namely, $G(p, q)$ denotes one of the groups $O(p, q)$, $U(p, q)$, or $Sp(p, q)$. Note that $G(k, 0) \simeq G(0, k)$ is compact. We write $G(k) = G(k, 0)$ in short if there is no possibility of misunderstanding. In the sequel we are mainly concerned with *noncompact* cases, though results on compact cases are used heavily. This is a basic technique in the theory of dual pairs.

From the pair (G, G') , one defines another three dual pairs that form so-called *diamond dual pairs* (see [13, §5]). Namely, take the commutant of K in \mathbb{G} , and denote it by M' . The pair (K, M') is a compact dual pair; M' is of Hermitian symmetric type,

and for the cases with which we are concerned, it is isomorphic to $G' \times G'$ containing G' as the diagonal. Also, take the full commutant of K in \mathbb{K} , and denote it by L' . Then L' is a maximal compact subgroup of M' , and it is isomorphic to $K' \times K'$ and contains K' as a diagonal subgroup. Similarly, define M as the full commutant of K' in \mathbb{G} , and define L as the full commutant in \mathbb{K} .

Let us summarize the somewhat complicated situation by using the diagram in Figure 1.




Figure 1. The diagram of a diamond pair.

An explicit description of the diamond pairs for our three cases is given in [13, (5.3)]. In the table there, L (resp., L') in our notation is written as $M^{(1,1)}$ (resp., $M'^{(1,1)}$). For the convenience of the reader, we reproduce the table here (see Table 2) with additional remarks.

Table 2. Three diamond dual pairs.

(G, G')	M	$K = K^+ \times K^-$	$L = L^+ \times L^-$	K'
$(O(p, q), Sp(2n, \mathbb{R}))$	$U(p, q)$	$O(p) \times O(q)$	$U(p) \times U(q)$	$U(n)$
$(U(p, q), U(r, s))$	$U(p, q) \times U(p, q)$	$U(p) \times U(q)$	$(U(p) \times U(p)) \times (U(q) \times U(q))$	$U(r) \times U(s)$
$(Sp(p, q), O^*(2n))$	$U(2p, 2q)$	$Sp(p) \times Sp(q)$	$U(2p) \times U(2q)$	$U(n)$

$$M' = G' \times G', \quad L' = K' \times K'$$

Recall $\mathbb{G} = Sp(W_{\mathbb{R}})$ and the complex vector space $W \simeq \mathbb{C}^N$ which is identical to $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ as a real vector space. Then there exist direct sum decompositions $W_{\mathbb{R}} = W_{\mathbb{R}}^+ \oplus W_{\mathbb{R}}^-$ and, correspondingly, $W = W^+ \oplus W^-$, which are compatible with direct product decompositions $L = L^+ \times L^-$ and $K = K^+ \times K^-$ in the following way. The subgroups L^{\pm} and K^{\pm} are contained in the unitary group $U(W^{\pm})$. Moreover,


the pairs (K^\pm, G') and (L^\pm, K') are dual pairs in $Sp(W_{\mathbb{R}}^\pm)$. Note that $L^\pm \supset K^\pm$ is a symmetric pair.

2.2. *Lifting of nilpotent orbits*

Let us consider the dual pair $(G, G') \subseteq \mathbb{G} = Sp(2N, \mathbb{R})$. Recall the lifting of nilpotent orbits defined in [31] (see also [28], [33], [34], [3]):

$$\begin{aligned} G' &\longrightarrow G = G(p, q), \\ \mathfrak{s}' \supset \mathbb{O}' &\longrightarrow \mathbb{O} \subset \mathfrak{s}, \end{aligned}$$

where we assume the stable range condition. To be more specific, we have a certain double fibration map



where $W \simeq \mathbb{C}^N$ is as in §2.1 and φ and ψ are the so-called moment maps. For an explicit description of W and the moment maps for the cases with which we are concerned, see the appendix. In [31], for a nilpotent $K'_\mathbb{C}$ -orbit \mathbb{O}' in \mathfrak{s}' , it is shown that the pushdown of the inverse image $\varphi(\psi^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{O}'}))$ is equal to the closure of a nilpotent $K_\mathbb{C}$ -orbit $\overline{\mathbb{O}}$ in \mathfrak{s} . We often write this correspondence as $\mathbb{O} = \theta(\mathbb{O}')$ and call \mathbb{O} the *theta lift* of \mathbb{O}' . Here $\Xi = \psi^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{O}'}) = W \times_{\mathfrak{s}'} \overline{\mathbb{O}'}$ is the scheme-theoretic fiber, and $\varphi : \Xi \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}}$ is an affine quotient map by the action of $K'_\mathbb{C}$:

$$\overline{\mathbb{O}} \simeq \Xi // K'_\mathbb{C} \tag{2.1}$$

(see [31]).

Since we fix G' and vary $G = G(p, q)$, we often use the subscript p, q to indicate the dependence of the situation on (p, q) . Thus we write $W = W_{p,q} = W_p \oplus W_q$, $\psi = \psi_{p,q}$, $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_{p,q}$, as well as $W_k = W_{k,0}$. We also write $\psi_{p,q} = (\psi_p^+, \psi_q^-)$ according to the vector space decomposition $W_{p,q} = W_p \oplus W_q$. Note that we may sometimes write $W_{p,q} = W_p \times W_q$ as algebraic varieties. Similar notation is used freely.

Since G'/K' is a Hermitian symmetric space, \mathfrak{s}' breaks up into irreducible pieces \mathfrak{s}'_\pm under the action of $K'_\mathbb{C}$. Consider the orbit decomposition of \mathfrak{s}'_- by the adjoint action of $K'_\mathbb{C}$. Any $K'_\mathbb{C}$ -orbit in \mathfrak{s}'_- is clearly nilpotent. We call these nilpotent orbits *holomorphic*. It is well known that \mathfrak{s}'_- is a prehomogeneous vector space and that there exists a numbering of $K'_\mathbb{C}$ -orbits $\mathbb{O}'_0, \mathbb{O}'_1, \dots, \mathbb{O}'_l$ in such a way that $\mathbb{O}'_{i-1} \subset \overline{\mathbb{O}'_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. Here l is the real rank of G' , and in the cases with which we are concerned, $l = n, \min\{m, n\}, [n/2]$, respectively. As a consequence, $\mathbb{O}'_0 = \{0\}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{O}'_l} = \mathfrak{s}'_-$; that

is, \mathbb{O}'_l is the open dense orbit in \mathfrak{s}'_- . The orbit \mathbb{O}'_l is called *regular*, while the orbits $\{\mathbb{O}'_k\}_{0 \leq k < l}$ are called *singular*.

In the sequel, we are mainly concerned with theta lifting of a holomorphic nilpotent orbit $\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\text{hol}}$. Thus, for $\mathbb{O}_k = \theta(\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\text{hol}})$, we have

$$\overline{\mathbb{O}_k} \simeq \psi_{p,q}^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\text{hol}}}) // K'_\mathbb{C} \simeq \{\mathfrak{N}_p^+ \times (\psi_q^-)^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\text{hol}}})\} // K'_\mathbb{C}, \tag{2.2}$$

where \mathfrak{N}_p^+ is the null cone defined by $\mathfrak{N}_p^+ = (\psi_p^+)^{-1}(0)$.

2.3. Lifting of affine quotient map

Let us take a holomorphic nilpotent orbit $\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\text{hol}}$ (of “rank” k) in \mathfrak{s}'_- , where $0 \leq k \leq l$, and consider its lift $\mathbb{O}_k = \theta(\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\text{hol}})$.

Denote $G(k, \mathbb{C}) = G(k)_\mathbb{C}$ the complexification of the compact group $G(k)$. Note that $K_\mathbb{C} = K_\mathbb{C}^+ \times K_\mathbb{C}^- \simeq G(p, \mathbb{C}) \times G(q, \mathbb{C})$ in this notation. It is known that $\overline{\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\text{hol}}}$ is an affine quotient of W_k by $G(k, \mathbb{C})$:

$$W_k // G(k, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \begin{cases} \overline{\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\text{hol}}} & (0 \leq k \leq l), \\ \overline{\mathbb{O}'_l{}^{\text{hol}}} & (k > l). \end{cases}$$

This is a consequence of classical invariant theory (see [42]), and we refer the reader to [29] for details. Let $\zeta' : W_k \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\text{hol}}}$ be the quotient map. Our aim is to lift the quotient map ζ' to a quotient map $\zeta : X_{p,q+k} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}_k}$. We specify the variety $X_{p,q+k}$ later.

Let us consider the lifting of the trivial orbit

$$\begin{aligned} G' &\longrightarrow G(p, q+k), \\ \mathfrak{s}' \supset \mathbb{O}'^{\mathbf{1}} = \{0\} &\longrightarrow \theta(\mathbb{O}'^{\mathbf{1}}) = \mathbb{O}^{\mathbf{1}} \subset \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_{p,q+k}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we assume only that $k \geq 0$ (in addition to the usual stable range condition for the original dual pair $(G(p, q), G')$). We put

$$X_{p,q+k} = \overline{\mathbb{O}^{\mathbf{1}}} \subset \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_{p,q+k}, \tag{2.3}$$

the closure of the $(G(p, \mathbb{C}) \times G(q+k, \mathbb{C}))$ -orbit lifted from the trivial one. The consideration for the variety $X_{p,q+k}$ was motivated by some results of H.-Y. Loke [26], as explained in the introduction.

To describe the variety $X_{p,q+k}$ more explicitly, define the null cone by

$$\mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} = \psi_{p,q+k}^{-1}(0) = \mathfrak{N}_p^+ \times \mathfrak{N}_{q+k}^- \subset W_{p,q+k}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathfrak{N}_{q+k}^- = (\psi_{q+k}^-)^{-1}(0)$. By definition, $X_{p,q+k}$ is the image of the null cone $\mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k}$ by the map φ , which is an affine quotient map by the action of $K'_\mathbb{C}$:

$$X_{p,q+k} \simeq \mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} // K'_\mathbb{C}. \tag{2.5}$$

PROPOSITION 2.1

We have

$$X_{p,q+k} // G(k, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \begin{cases} \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k & (0 \leq k \leq l), \\ \overline{\mathbb{O}}_l & (k > l). \end{cases}$$

The quotient map

$$\zeta : X_{p,q+k}(\subset \mathfrak{s}_{p,q+k}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}}_r \subset \mathfrak{s}_{p,q} \quad (r = \min\{k, l\})$$

is given by $\text{proj}|_{X_{p,q+k}}$, where $\text{proj} : \mathfrak{s}_{p,q+k} = \mathfrak{s}_{p,q} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{p,k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}_{p,q}$ is the projection map.

To prove this, we observe the following.

LEMMA 2.2

We have

$$\mathfrak{N}_{q+k}^- \simeq W_q \times_{\mathfrak{s}'_-} W_k.$$

Proof

Clearly, $(B, C) \in \mathfrak{N}_{q+k}^- \subset W_q \times W_k$ if and only if $\psi_{q+k}^-(B, C) = \psi_q^-(B) + \psi_k^-(C) = 0$ or $\psi_q^-(B) = -\psi_k^-(C)$. Namely, the following diagram commutes (note the (-1) -twist for the vertical map $W_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}'_-$):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (B, C) \in \mathfrak{N}_{q+k}^- \subset W_q \times W_k & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & W_k \ni C \\ \text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow (-1) \cdot \psi_k^- \\ B \in W_q & \xrightarrow{\psi_q^-} & \mathfrak{s}'_- \ni \psi_q^-(B) = -\psi_k^-(C) \end{array}$$

This proves that $\mathfrak{N}_{q+k}^- \simeq W_q \times_{\mathfrak{s}'_-} W_k$ in the set-theoretic sense. It is also easy to see that they are in fact isomorphic in the category of algebraic varieties. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.1

By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{p,q+k} &= \mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} // K'_\mathbb{C} \\ &\simeq \{ \mathfrak{N}_p^+ \times (W_q \times_{\mathfrak{s}'_-} W_k) \} // K'_\mathbb{C} \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

by Lemma 2.2; therefore, if $0 \leq k \leq l$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_{p,q+k} // G(k, \mathbb{C}) &\simeq \{ \mathfrak{N}_p^+ \times (W_q \times_{\mathfrak{s}'_-} (W_k // G(k, \mathbb{C}))) \} // K'_\mathbb{C} \\ &\simeq \{ \mathfrak{N}_p^+ \times (W_q \times_{\mathfrak{s}'_-} \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}) \} // K'_\mathbb{C} \\ &\simeq \{ \mathfrak{N}_p^+ \times (\psi_q^-)^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}) \} // K'_\mathbb{C} = \psi_{p,q}^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}) // K'_\mathbb{C} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k. \end{aligned}$$

The case $k > l$ can be treated similarly.

Take $(A, B, C) \in \mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} \subset W_{p,q+k}$. Then the quotient map

$$\mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} // K'_\mathbb{C} \simeq X_{p,q+k}$$

is given by $\varphi_{p,q+k}$. On the other hand, the quotient map

$$\begin{aligned} W_{p,q+k} \supset \mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} // G(k, \mathbb{C}) \\ &\simeq \mathfrak{N}_p^+ \times (\psi_q^-)^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}) = \psi_{p,q}^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}) \subset W_{p,q} \end{aligned}$$

agrees with the restriction of the projection map $W_{p,q+k} \rightarrow W_{p,q}$. Thus the quotient map $\psi^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}) \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}) // K'_\mathbb{C} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k$ is given by $\varphi_{p,q}(A, B)$. We summarize this situation with the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \varphi_{p,q+k}(A, B, C) \in X_{p,q+k} & \xleftarrow{//K'_\mathbb{C}} & (A, B, C) \in \mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} & \xrightarrow{//K_\mathbb{C}} & W_k \ni C \\ \downarrow //G(k, \mathbb{C}) & & \downarrow //G(k, \mathbb{C}) & & \downarrow //G(k, \mathbb{C}) \\ \varphi_{p,q}(A, B) \in \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k & \xleftarrow{//K'_\mathbb{C}} & (A, B) \in \Xi_k & \xrightarrow{//K_\mathbb{C}} & \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}} \ni \psi_q^-(B) = -\psi_k^-(C) \end{array}$$

Here $\Xi_k = \psi_{p,q}^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}})$. Accordingly, the quotient map $\zeta : X_{p,q+k} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k$ is just the restriction of the projection proj . □

2.4. Covariants over a lifted nilpotent orbit

We consider the module of $H = G(k, \mathbb{C})$ covariants associated to the affine quotient map $\zeta : X_{p,q+k} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k$.

Put $\Xi = \Xi_k = \psi_{p,q}^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}})$ and $Z = W_k$. We are in the setting of §1.4. In the notation there, we have $X = \Xi // K'_\mathbb{C} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k$ and $Y = \Xi // K_\mathbb{C} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}$. Furthermore, $Z // H \simeq Y$ as a $K'_\mathbb{C}$ -variety. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} V = (\Xi \times_Y Z) // K'_\mathbb{C} &\simeq \{(\mathfrak{N}_p^+ \times (W_q \times_{s'_-} \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}})) \times_{\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}} W_k\} // K'_\mathbb{C} \\ &\simeq \{(\mathfrak{N}_p^+ \times (W_q \times_{s'_-} W_k))\} // K'_\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} // K'_\mathbb{C} \quad (\because (2.6)) \\ &\simeq X_{p,q+k}. \end{aligned}$$

For an irreducible finite-dimensional representation σ of $H = G(k, \mathbb{C})$, let $L[\sigma] = (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_k])^{G(k, \mathbb{C})}$ and $M[\sigma] = (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_{p,q+k}])^{G(k, \mathbb{C})}$ be the spaces of covariants. They are finitely generated modules over $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}]$ and $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k]$, respectively. Let $\tilde{L}[\sigma]$ (resp., $\tilde{M}[\sigma]$) be the associated coherent sheaf on $\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}$ (resp., $\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k$). We know that the coherent sheaf $\tilde{M}[\sigma]$ on $\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k$ is *lifted* from $\tilde{L}[\sigma]$ on $\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}$ in the sense of Definition 1.5 (see Proposition 1.10).

THEOREM 2.3

(a) If $k \leq l$ (the real rank of G') or if $k > l$ and G'/K' is of tube type (namely, Case \mathbb{R} , Case \mathbb{C} with $m = n$, and Case \mathbb{H} with n even), then the generic fiber of the quotient map

$$\zeta : X_{p,q+k} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}}_r \quad (r = \min\{k, l\})$$

is a single closed $G(k, \mathbb{C})$ -orbit with a stabilizer $\text{St} = \{1\}$ in the first case and $\text{St} \simeq G(k - l, \mathbb{C})$ in the second case. Consequently, for an irreducible finite-dimensional representation σ of $G(k, \mathbb{C})$, the multiplicity of the space of covariants $M[\sigma] = (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_{p,q+k}])^{G(k,\mathbb{C})}$ is given by

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k]} M[\sigma] = \begin{cases} \dim \sigma & (0 \leq k \leq l), \\ \dim \sigma^{G(k-l,\mathbb{C})} & (k > l \text{ and } G'/K' \text{ is of tube type}). \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

(b) For the dual pair $(U(p, q), U(m, n))$ ($m \neq n$), we have

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k]} M(\sigma) = \dim(\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[M_{k-n,m-n}])^{\text{GL}_{k-n}} \quad (k \geq m > n),$$

and for the dual pair $(Sp(p, q), O^*(2n))$ (n odd), we have

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k]} M(\sigma) = \dim(\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}^{2(k-l)}])^{Sp(2(k-l),\mathbb{C})} \quad (2k > n, n = 2l + 1).$$

Proof

(a) The proof (or the computation) for the part on the generic fiber of ζ is carried out in the appendix. The formula for the multiplicity $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k]} M[\sigma]$ follows from Proposition 1.3 and from Corollaries A.1, A.6, and A.11.

(b) We prove only the $(U(p, q), U(m, n))$ -case. The other case is entirely similar. If $k \geq m > n$, Lemma 1.2 tells us that

$$\text{rank}_A M(\sigma) = \dim(\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[\zeta^{-1}((S, T))])^{\text{GL}_k},$$

where $\zeta^{-1}((S, T))$ is the generic fiber as in Corollary A.6. On the other hand, by Corollary A.6 and the Frobenius reciprocity theorem, we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[\zeta^{-1}((S, T))])^{\text{GL}_k} &\simeq (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[\text{GL}_k *_{\text{GL}_{k-n}} M_{k-n,m-n}])^{\text{GL}_k} \\ &\simeq (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[M_{k-n,m-n}])^{\text{GL}_{k-n}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the desired multiplicity formula. □

2.5. $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -structures and gradings

We consider the $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module structures of the covariants $M[\sigma]$. First we need some notation.

Let $\mathcal{H}^\pm = \mathcal{H}(K_{\mathbb{C}}^\pm)$ denote the space of harmonic polynomials on W^\pm (under the action of $K_{\mathbb{C}}^\pm$). Put

$$\text{Irr}(K'_{\mathbb{C}}; \mathcal{H}^+) = \{ \tau \in \text{Irr}(K'_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid \text{Hom}_{K'_{\mathbb{C}}}(\tau, \mathcal{H}^+) \neq 0 \}$$

and

$$\text{Irr}(K_{\mathbb{C}}^+; \mathcal{H}^+) = \{ \sigma \in \text{Irr}(K_{\mathbb{C}}^+) \mid \text{Hom}_{K_{\mathbb{C}}^+}(\sigma, \mathcal{H}^+) \neq 0 \}.$$

The space $\mathcal{H}(K_{\mathbb{C}}^+)$ is multiplicity-free as a representation of $K_{\mathbb{C}}^+ \times K'_{\mathbb{C}}$. Furthermore, the decomposition

$$\mathcal{H}(K_{\mathbb{C}}^+) |_{K_{\mathbb{C}}^+ \times K'_{\mathbb{C}}} \simeq \sum_{\sigma, \tau}^{\oplus} \sigma \boxtimes \tau \tag{2.8}$$

determines a one-to-one correspondence between $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(K_{\mathbb{C}}^+; \mathcal{H}^+)$ and $\tau \in \text{Irr}(K'_{\mathbb{C}}; \mathcal{H}^+)$ (see [12]). Similar notation and statements apply for $\mathcal{H}^- = \mathcal{H}(K_{\mathbb{C}}^-)$.

We abbreviate $R^\pm(K'_{\mathbb{C}}) = \text{Irr}(K'_{\mathbb{C}}; \mathcal{H}^\pm)$ in the following. Under the stable range condition, one can explicitly check that $\tau \in R^+(K'_{\mathbb{C}})$ if and only if $\tau^* \in R^-(K'_{\mathbb{C}})$, where τ^* denotes the contragredient representation of $\tau \in \text{Irr}(K')$. So we put

$$R(K'_{\mathbb{C}}) = R^+(K'_{\mathbb{C}})^* = R^-(K'_{\mathbb{C}}). \tag{2.9}$$

To summarize, for each $\tau \in R^\pm(K'_{\mathbb{C}})$ there is a unique $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(K_{\mathbb{C}}^\pm)$ such that

$$\text{Hom}_{K_{\mathbb{C}}^\pm \times K'_{\mathbb{C}}}(\sigma \boxtimes \tau, \mathcal{H}(K_{\mathbb{C}}^\pm)) \neq 0.$$

We denote this σ by $\sigma^\pm(\tau)$, specifying the dependency of τ and the sign \pm . Then we can rewrite (2.8) as

$$\mathcal{H}(K_{\mathbb{C}}^+) \simeq \sum_{\tau \in R(K'_{\mathbb{C}})}^{\oplus} \sigma^+(\tau^*) \boxtimes \tau^* \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}(K_{\mathbb{C}}^-) \simeq \sum_{\tau \in R(K'_{\mathbb{C}})}^{\oplus} \sigma^-(\tau) \boxtimes \tau. \tag{2.10}$$

THEOREM 2.4

There is a compatible action of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $M[\sigma]$, and it decomposes as a $(K_{\mathbb{C}} = K_{\mathbb{C}}^+ \times K_{\mathbb{C}}^-)$ -module as follows:

$$M[\sigma] \simeq \sum_{\tau_\alpha, \tau_\beta \in R(K'_{\mathbb{C}})}^{\oplus} \text{Hom}_{K'_{\mathbb{C}}}(\tau_\alpha \otimes \tau_\beta^*, L[\sigma]) \otimes (\sigma^+(\tau_\alpha^*) \boxtimes \sigma^-(\tau_\beta)), \tag{2.11}$$

where $L[\sigma] = (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_k])^{G(k, \mathbb{C})}$, which is a $K'_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module.

Proof

Note that there is a $(K_{\mathbb{C}} \times G(k, \mathbb{C}))$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$X_{p, q+k} \simeq \{ \mathfrak{N}_p^+ \times (W_q \times_{s'_-} W_k) \} // K'_{\mathbb{C}}$$

(see (2.6)). Thus the module of covariants $M[\sigma]$ is isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned}
 M[\sigma] &= (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_{p,q+k}])^{G(k, \mathbb{C})} \\
 &\simeq (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{N}_p^+ \times (W_q \times_{s'_-} W_k)])^{G(k, \mathbb{C}) \times K'_\mathbb{C}} \\
 &\simeq \{ \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{N}_p^+] \otimes (\mathbb{C}[W_q] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[s'_-]} (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_k])^{G(k, \mathbb{C})}) \}^{K'_\mathbb{C}} \\
 &\simeq (\mathcal{H}_{p,q} \otimes L[\sigma])^{K'_\mathbb{C}}, \tag{2.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{p,q} \simeq \mathcal{H}(K_\mathbb{C}^+) \boxtimes \mathcal{H}(K_\mathbb{C}^-)$ denotes the space of $(K_\mathbb{C} = K_\mathbb{C}^+ \times K_\mathbb{C}^-)$ -harmonic polynomials on $W = W_{p,q}$. Note that we have used the isomorphisms $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{N}_p^+] \simeq \mathcal{H}(K_\mathbb{C}^+)$ and $\mathbb{C}[W_q] \simeq \mathcal{H}(K_\mathbb{C}^-) \otimes \mathbb{C}[s'_-]$ (using the stable range condition). Since

$$\mathcal{H}_{p,q} \simeq \mathcal{H}(K_\mathbb{C}^+) \boxtimes \mathcal{H}(K_\mathbb{C}^-) \simeq \sum_{\tau_\alpha, \tau_\beta \in R(K'_\mathbb{C})}^\oplus (\sigma^+(\tau_\alpha^*) \boxtimes \sigma^-(\tau_\beta)) \boxtimes (\tau_\alpha^* \otimes \tau_\beta), \tag{2.13}$$

we get the desired formula by inserting (2.13) into (2.12). □

Let us discuss a certain natural grading on $L[\sigma]$ and on its lifted module $M[\sigma]$. Put

$$L[\sigma]_j = (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_k]_{d(\sigma^*)+2j})^{G(k, \mathbb{C})} \quad (j \geq 0), \tag{2.14}$$

where $d(\sigma^*) = \deg \sigma^*$ is the degree of σ^* which is defined to be the lowest possible degree in $\mathbb{C}[W_k]$ in which σ^* appears. This makes $L[\sigma]$ into a $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}]$ -graded module. Note that $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}]$ naturally inherits a structure of a graded algebra because $\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k^{\text{hol}}$ is a closed cone in s' . The same remark applies to $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k]$, $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{N}_p^+]$, and $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{N}_q^-]$. Note also that the degree of $(\sigma^+(\tau_\alpha^*) \boxtimes \sigma^-(\tau_\beta)) \boxtimes (\tau_\alpha^* \otimes \tau_\beta)$ in $\mathcal{H}_{p,q}$ is $|\alpha| + |\beta|$, where $|\alpha|$ (resp., $|\beta|$) denotes the degree of τ_α^* (resp., τ_β), defined similarly.

COROLLARY 2.5

We may assign a (natural) grading on the lifted module $M[\sigma]$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 M[\sigma]_d &= \sum_{d=i+j}^\oplus ((\mathcal{H}_{p,q})_{d(\sigma^*)+2i} \otimes L[\sigma]_j)^{K'_\mathbb{C}} \\
 &= \sum_{\tau_\alpha, \tau_\beta \in R(K'_\mathbb{C})}^\oplus \text{Hom}_{K'_\mathbb{C}}(\tau_\alpha \otimes \tau_\beta^*, L[\sigma]_{d+(d(\sigma^*)-|\alpha|-|\beta|)/2}) \\
 &\quad \otimes (\sigma^+(\tau_\alpha^*) \boxtimes \sigma^-(\tau_\beta)) \quad (d \geq 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

With respect to this grading, $M[\sigma]$ is a $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathbb{O}}_k]$ -graded module.

Thus we obtain the Poincaré series of $M[\sigma]$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(M[\sigma]; t) &= \sum_{d \geq 0} \dim M[\sigma]_d \cdot t^d \\
 &= t^{-d(\sigma^*)/2} \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\tau_\alpha, \tau_\beta \in R(K'_\mathbb{C})} \dim \text{Hom}_{K'_\mathbb{C}}(\tau_\alpha \otimes \tau_\beta^*, L[\sigma]_j) \\
 &\quad \times \dim \sigma^+(\tau_\alpha^*) \dim \sigma^-(\tau_\beta) \cdot t^{j+(|\alpha|+|\beta|)/2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

3. Theta lifting associated to the dual pair (G, G')

3.1. Howe's maximal quotient

Let $(G, G') \subseteq \mathbb{G} = Sp(2N, \mathbb{R})$ be a reductive dual pair, and let Ω be a fixed oscillator representation of $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}$, the metaplectic cover of \mathbb{G} .

Let us denote by $\text{Irr}(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}')$ the infinitesimal equivalence classes of irreducible admissible $(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}')$ -modules, and let us denote by $R(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}'; \Omega)$ the subset of those classes in $\text{Irr}(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}')$ which can be realized as quotients by $(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}')$ -invariant subspaces of Ω . According to [13], for each $\pi' \in R(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}'; \Omega)$ there exists a quasi-simple admissible $(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}')$ -module $\Omega(\pi')$ of finite length satisfying

$$\Omega/N \simeq \Omega(\pi') \otimes \pi', \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$N = \bigcap_{\varphi \in \tilde{H}} \ker \varphi, \quad \tilde{H} = \text{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}')}(\Omega, \pi').$$

Furthermore, $\Omega(\pi')$ has a unique irreducible quotient denoted by $\theta(\pi')$. The representation $\Omega(\pi')$ is called *Howe's maximal quotient* of π' , and $\theta(\pi')$ (sometimes written as $\theta_{G' \rightarrow G}(\pi')$) is called the *theta lift* of π' .

Denote by

$$H = \tilde{H}_{\tilde{K}} = \text{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}')}(\Omega, \pi')_{\tilde{K}\text{-finite}}$$

the subspace of \tilde{K} -finite vectors of \tilde{H} . The following lemma is elementary.

LEMMA 3.1

Let $\rho = \Omega(\pi')$ be the maximal quotient. Then we have

$$H \simeq \rho^* \quad (\tilde{K}\text{-finite dual}).$$

Let $(G, G') \subseteq Sp(2N, \mathbb{R})$ be one of the three dual pairs specified in the introduction. For the moment we do not assume the stable range condition.

Recall that the maximal compact subgroup K' determines a Cartan decomposition of the complexified Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}' of G' : $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{k}' \oplus \mathfrak{s}'$. Under the action of K'

(via the restriction of the adjoint representation), \mathfrak{s}' breaks up into irreducible pieces \mathfrak{s}'_{\pm} . Through the covering map, \tilde{K}' acts naturally on \mathfrak{s}' and \mathfrak{s}'_{\pm} .

Recall also that an irreducible unitary representation π' of \tilde{G}' is called a lowest weight representation (or holomorphic) if there exist nonzero \tilde{K}' -finite vectors v in the space of π' such that $\pi'(\mathfrak{s}'_{-})(v) = 0$. Then the space of such vectors v is irreducible under \tilde{K}' . This is the (unique) minimal \tilde{K}' -type of π' , and it determines the representation π' completely.

Let $\Lambda(\tilde{K}')$ denote the set of dominant integral weights for \tilde{K}' . For $\lambda \in \Lambda(\tilde{K}')$, let $\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\lambda)$ denote the irreducible finite-dimensional representation of \tilde{K}' with highest weight λ , and let $L(\lambda)$ denote the unitary lowest weight representation of \tilde{G}' with the minimal \tilde{K}' -type $\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\lambda)$. We note that if $L(\lambda)$ is a holomorphic discrete series representation, then we have

$$L(\lambda)|_{\tilde{K}'} \simeq \tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\lambda) \otimes S(\mathfrak{s}'_{+}) \simeq \tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}'_{-}],$$

where $S(V)$ denotes the symmetric algebra generated by a vector space V . We caution the reader that this is certainly not true for a singular unitary lowest weight representation.

We recall notation from §2.1. For a natural number k , we consider the compact dual pair $(G(k), G')$. Let Ω_k be the associated oscillator Harish-Chandra module. We have the (discrete) dual pair decomposition

$$\Omega_k|_{\widetilde{G(k)} \times \tilde{G}'} \simeq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k(\tilde{K}')}^{\oplus} \sigma_k(\lambda) \boxtimes L(\lambda), \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\Lambda_k(\tilde{K}') = \{ \lambda \in \Lambda(\tilde{K}') \mid L(\lambda) \in R(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}'; \Omega_k) \}.$$

We note that in our notation, the highest weight of $\sigma_k(\lambda) \in \text{Irr}(\widetilde{G(k)})$ is not λ . The above decomposition (the description of the set $\Lambda_k(\tilde{K}')$ and the explicit correspondence $\sigma_k(\lambda) \leftrightarrow L(\lambda)$) is well known and is given in [16] for the pairs $(O(k), Sp(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ and $(U(k), U(m, n))$ (see [5] for the pair $(Sp(k), O^*(2n))$).

We have the compact dual pairs (K^{\pm}, G') in the symplectic group $Sp(W_{\mathbb{R}}^{\pm})$. Note that $K^{+} \simeq G(p, 0)$, $K^{-} \simeq G(0, q)$. Let Ω^{\pm} be the associated oscillator Harish-Chandra module of the metaplectic group $Mp(W_{\mathbb{R}}^{\pm})$. Writing

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{\tilde{K}^{+}}(\mu) &= \sigma_p(\mu), & \mu &\in \Lambda_p(\tilde{K}'), \\ \sigma^{\tilde{K}^{-}}(v) &= \sigma_q(v), & v &\in \Lambda_q(\tilde{K}'), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\Omega^{+}|_{\tilde{K}^{+} \times \tilde{G}'} \simeq \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_p(\tilde{K}')}^{\oplus} \sigma^{\tilde{K}^{+}}(\mu) \boxtimes L(\mu) \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\Omega^-|_{\tilde{K}^- \times \tilde{G}'} \simeq \sum_{v \in \Lambda_q(\tilde{K}')}^\oplus \sigma^{\tilde{K}^-}(v)^* \boxtimes L(v)^*. \tag{3.4}$$

Remark 3.2

Assume that $(G(p, q), G')$ is in the stable range with G' the smaller member. Then for $\mu \in \Lambda_p(\tilde{K}')$ (resp., $v \in \Lambda_q(\tilde{K}')$), $L(\mu)$ (resp., $L(v)$) is a genuine holomorphic discrete series representation. This is also the reason that we have excluded the case $(O(p, q), Sp(2n, \mathbb{R}))$, where $2n = \min(p, q)$ (see Table 1). The sets $\Lambda_p(\tilde{K}')$ and $\Lambda_q(\tilde{K}')$ are almost equal under the stable range condition (apart from some translation).

The following proposition is also elementary.

PROPOSITION 3.3

For $\pi' \in R(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}'; \Omega)$, we have the \tilde{K} -type decomposition

$$H|_{\tilde{K}} \simeq \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda_p(\tilde{K}') \\ v \in \Lambda_q(\tilde{K}')}}^\oplus \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}'}(L(\mu) \otimes L(v)^*, \pi')(\sigma^{\tilde{K}^+}(\mu)^* \boxtimes \sigma^{\tilde{K}^-}(v)).$$

Consequently, we have

$$\Omega(\pi')|_{\tilde{K}} \simeq \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda_p(\tilde{K}') \\ v \in \Lambda_q(\tilde{K}')}}^\oplus \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}'}(L(\mu) \otimes L(v)^*, \pi')(\sigma^{\tilde{K}^+}(\mu) \boxtimes \sigma^{\tilde{K}^-}(v)^*).$$

Proof

We consider the seesaw pair (see [19], [11]; cf. §2.1):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & & M' = G' \times G' \\ \cup & & \cup \\ K = K^+ \times K^-, & & G'. \end{array}$$

By the functoriality of the oscillator representation, we have

$$\Omega \simeq \Omega^+ \otimes \Omega^-$$

as $(\widetilde{K} \times \widetilde{M}')$ -modules. Thus as $(\widetilde{K} \times (\mathfrak{g}', \widetilde{K}'))$ -modules we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &\simeq \left(\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_p(\widetilde{K}')}^{\oplus} \sigma^{\widetilde{K}^+}(\mu) \boxtimes L(\mu) \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{\nu \in \Lambda_q(\widetilde{K}')}^{\oplus} \sigma^{\widetilde{K}^-}(\nu)^* \boxtimes L(\nu)^* \right) \\ &\simeq \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda_p(\widetilde{K}') \\ \nu \in \Lambda_q(\widetilde{K}')}}^{\oplus} (\sigma^{\widetilde{K}^+}(\mu) \boxtimes \sigma^{\widetilde{K}^-}(\nu)^*) \boxtimes (L(\mu) \otimes L(\nu)^*). \end{aligned}$$

Since $H = \text{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}', \widetilde{K}')}(\Omega, \pi')_{\widetilde{K}\text{-finite}}$, the first assertions follows. The second assertion then follows from the isomorphism $\Omega(\pi')^* \simeq H$. □

Remark 3.4

In [32], an incorrect version of Proposition 3.3 was given (see [32, Prop. 4.3]). But it does not affect the results there as long as one changes some relevant \widetilde{K} -types into their duals.

3.2. Abstract K-type formula

We now assume that the dual pair (G, G') is in the stable range with G' the smaller member. In [32], we consider the theta lift $\pi = \theta(\pi')$ for a holomorphic discrete series representation π' ; in particular, we give the \widetilde{K} -type formula of π . The result in [32] is stated only for the pair $(G, G') = (U(n, n), U(p, q))$; however, the other cases can be treated by similar explicit calculations.

Here we pursue a more sophisticated way to give a unified formula for \widetilde{K} -type decomposition of $\pi = \theta(\pi')$ for any unitary lowest weight representation π' . This unified description enables us to compare them with certain covariants over the associated variety of π . For this, we need to recall Li's construction of the theta lift in the stable range (see [23]).

We fix a genuine irreducible unitary representation ξ of \widetilde{G}' . Let \mathcal{S} (resp., V_ξ) be the space of smooth vectors of Ω (resp., ξ). Consider the $(\widetilde{G} \times \widetilde{G}')$ -module $\mathcal{S} \otimes V_\xi$, where \widetilde{G} acts on the first factor and \widetilde{G}' acts by $\Omega \otimes \xi$, which is in fact a representation of G' . The unitary structures on \mathcal{S} and V_ξ give rise to an inner product $(,)$ on $\mathcal{S} \otimes V_\xi$. Define a sesquilinear form $(,)_\xi$ on $\mathcal{S} \otimes V_\xi$ by

$$(\Phi, \Phi')_\xi = \int_{G'} (\Phi, (\Omega \otimes \xi)(h)\Phi') dh.$$

Under the stable range condition, the above integral converges absolutely, and, further, it defines a nonnegative \widetilde{G} -invariant Hermitian form (see [23] for details). Let R be the radical of this form. By introducing an intertwining map to a certain induced representation and making use of Mackey's theorem, Li shows that the resulting representation on the quotient space $(\mathcal{S} \otimes V_\xi)/R$ is in fact irreducible as a representation

of \tilde{Q} , where Q is a subgroup of a certain maximal parabolic subgroup of G . The representation of \tilde{G} on the space $(\mathcal{S} \otimes V_\xi)/R$ realizes $\theta(\xi^*)$, the theta lift of the contragredient of ξ .

The following proposition is essentially proved by Li [25]. We reproduce his argument below.

PROPOSITION 3.5

Let (G, G') be a reductive dual pair in the stable range with G' the smaller member. Suppose that

- (I) π' is a genuine unitary lowest weight representation of \tilde{G}' or
- (II) $\pi' = \xi^*$, the contragredient of a genuine unitary lowest weight representation ξ .

Then we have the \tilde{K} -type decomposition

$$\theta(\pi')|_{\tilde{K}} \simeq \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda_p(\tilde{K}') \\ \nu \in \Lambda_q(\tilde{K}')}}^{\oplus} \dim \text{Hom}_{\tilde{G}'}(L(\mu), L(\nu) \otimes \pi')(\sigma^{\tilde{K}^+}(\mu) \boxtimes \sigma^{\tilde{K}^-}(\nu)^*) \\ \text{(Case (I))}, \\ \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda_p(\tilde{K}') \\ \nu \in \Lambda_q(\tilde{K}')}}^{\oplus} \dim \text{Hom}_{\tilde{G}'}(L(\mu) \otimes \xi, L(\nu))(\sigma^{\tilde{K}^+}(\mu) \boxtimes \sigma^{\tilde{K}^-}(\nu)^*), \\ \text{(Case (II))}. \end{cases}$$

Here in Case (I), $\text{Hom}_{\tilde{G}'}(L(\mu), L(\nu) \otimes \pi')$ denotes the space of unitary \tilde{G}' -intertwining maps from $L(\mu)$ to $L(\nu) \otimes \pi'$, and the notation is similar in Case (II).

Proof

We give the proof only for Case (I). Case (II) is similar.

Denote $\xi = (\pi')^*$. Let τ be an irreducible (unitary) representation of \tilde{K} . If τ is a \tilde{K} -type of $\theta(\pi')$, then there are $\Phi, \Phi' \in \mathcal{S} \otimes V_\xi$ transforming according to τ such that $(\Phi, \Phi')_\xi \neq 0$. Write $\tau = \tau_+ \boxtimes \tau_-$, where τ_+ and τ_- are, respectively, representations of \tilde{K}^+ and \tilde{K}^- . We have a decomposition $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_+ \otimes \mathcal{S}_-$, where \mathcal{S}_+ and \mathcal{S}_- are, respectively, the spaces of smooth vectors of the oscillator representations Ω^+ and Ω^- . Without loss of generality, we may assume $\Phi = \phi_+ \otimes \phi_- \otimes v$, where $\phi_+ \in \mathcal{S}_+$, $\phi_- \in \mathcal{S}_-$, $v \in V_\xi$. Similarly, write $\Phi' = \phi'_+ \otimes \phi'_- \otimes v'$. Let τ'_+ (resp., $(\tau'_-)^*$) be the theta lift of τ_+ (resp., τ_-) to \tilde{G}' . Both τ'_+ and τ'_- are holomorphic discrete series of \tilde{G}' . Under \tilde{G}' , the vectors ϕ_+, ϕ'_+ transform according to τ'_+ , and ϕ_-, ϕ'_- transform according to $(\tau'_-)^*$. With this notation we have

$$(\Phi, \Phi')_\xi = \int_{G'} (\Omega^+(h)\phi_+, \phi'_+) (\Omega^-(h)\phi_-, \phi'_-) (\xi(h)v, v') dh.$$

Note that the first factor in the integrand is a matrix coefficient of τ'_+ , while the product of the last two is a matrix coefficient of the representation $(\tau'_-)^* \otimes \xi = (\tau'_- \otimes \pi')^*$. Since π' is a holomorphic representation, the tensor product $\tau'_- \otimes \pi'$ is a direct sum of irreducible holomorphic discrete series. It therefore follows from Mackey's version of Schur's lemma that the integral is not identically zero if and only if τ'_+ is an irreducible summand of $\tau'_- \otimes \pi'$. To summarize, this condition is necessary and sufficient for τ to be a \tilde{K} -type of $\theta(\pi')$. Up to this point, everything is in [25].

Now assume that τ appears in $\theta(\pi')$. Thus $m = \dim \text{Hom}_{\tilde{G}'}(\tau'_+, \tau'_- \otimes \pi')$ is a positive integer, and $m\tau'_+$ is a direct summand of $\tau'_- \otimes \pi'$. Observe that the space $\mathcal{S} \otimes V_\xi = \mathcal{S}_+ \otimes \mathcal{S}_- \otimes V_\xi$ contains the direct summand

$$(\tau'_+ \otimes \tau_+) \otimes ((\tau'_-)^* \otimes \tau_-) \otimes \xi = \tau \otimes (\tau'_+ \otimes (\tau'_-)^* \otimes \xi)$$

as a $(\tilde{K} \times \tilde{G}')$ -module. We now apply the reasoning in the previous paragraph and take matrix coefficients of the various copies of $(\tau'_+)^*$ in $(m\tau'_+)^* \subset (\tau'_-)^* \otimes \xi = (\tau'_- \otimes \pi')^*$, and we then conclude that τ appears in $\theta(\pi')$ at least $m = \dim \text{Hom}_{\tilde{G}'}(\tau'_+, \tau'_- \otimes \pi')$ times.

On the other hand, we know from Proposition 3.3 that the multiplicity of τ in $\Omega(\pi')$ is equal to $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}'}(\tau'_+ \otimes (\tau'_-)^*, \pi')$. Since $\tau'_- \otimes \pi'$ is a direct sum of irreducible holomorphic discrete series representations, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}'}(\tau'_+ \otimes (\tau'_-)^*, \pi') = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}'}(\tau'_+, \tau'_- \otimes \pi') = \text{Hom}_{\tilde{G}'}(\tau'_+, \tau'_- \otimes \pi')$$

(see [32, Cor. 4.5], for the first equality).

We thus conclude that $\tau = \tau_+ \boxtimes \tau_-$ will appear in $\theta(\pi')$ exactly $\dim \text{Hom}_{\tilde{G}'}(\tau'_+, \tau'_- \otimes \pi')$ times. We note that in our previous notation, $\tau_+ = \sigma^{\tilde{K}^+}(\mu)$, $\tau_- = \sigma^{\tilde{K}^-}(\nu)^*$, and $\tau'_+ = L(\mu)$, $\tau'_- = L(\nu)$. Everything follows. \square

We learned the following result first from H-Y. Loke [26, Cor. 3.3.3].

COROLLARY 3.6

Let (G, G') be a reductive dual pair in the stable range with G' the smaller member. Suppose that π' is a genuine unitary lowest weight representation of \tilde{G}' (or the contragredient of such a representation). Then its maximal quotient $\Omega(\pi')$ is irreducible; that is, $\Omega(\pi') = \theta(\pi')$.

Remark 3.7

Loke considers a π' which comes from the compact dual pair correspondence of $(G(k), G')$ for some k . He obtained the above result by examining the relationship of $\Omega(\pi')$ with the maximal quotient of a character of \tilde{G}' with respect to the larger dual pair $(G(p, q + k), G')$ (cf. §2.3), and by using the result that the latter is irreducible (see [45]) and unitary (see [23]).

Following [32], we give a result on the tensor product of a holomorphic discrete series representation with a unitary lowest weight representation.

We introduce one notation that is not standard. For any finite-dimensional unitary representation γ of \tilde{K}' with the decomposition

$$\gamma \simeq \sum_{\lambda} n(\lambda)\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\lambda),$$

define $L(\gamma) = \sum_{\lambda} n(\lambda)L(\lambda)$.

PROPOSITION 3.8

Let $L(\mu)$ (resp., ξ) be a holomorphic discrete series representation (resp., a unitary lowest weight representation) of \tilde{G}' . Suppose that ξ has the \tilde{K}' -type decomposition

$$\xi|_{\tilde{K}'} \simeq \sum_{\tau \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{K}')} m(\tau)\tau,$$

where $m(\tau)$ is the multiplicity of τ . Then

$$L(\mu) \otimes \xi \simeq \sum_{\tau \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{K}')} m(\tau)L(\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\mu) \otimes \tau). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof

Since $L(\mu)$ is a holomorphic discrete series with the minimal \tilde{K}' -type $\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\mu)$ and ξ is a unitary lowest weight representation, we see that for any \tilde{K}' -type τ of ξ , $L(\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\mu) \otimes \tau)$ is a direct sum of holomorphic discrete series representation. Thus we have

$$L(\mu)|_{\tilde{K}'} \simeq \tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\mu) \otimes S(\mathfrak{s}'_+)$$

and

$$L(\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\mu) \otimes \tau)|_{\tilde{K}'} \simeq (\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\mu) \otimes \tau) \otimes S(\mathfrak{s}'_+).$$

Therefore the left- and right-hand sides of (3.5) are isomorphic as \tilde{K}' -modules.

On the other hand, $L(\mu) \otimes \xi$ is the direct sum of holomorphic discrete representations of \tilde{G}' , and each \tilde{K}' -type occurs with finite multiplicity. General theory for holomorphic representations tells us that their \tilde{G}' -module decompositions (in the Grothendieck group) are determined by the weight space decompositions with respect to the compact Cartan subgroup $\tilde{T}' \subseteq \tilde{K}'$. We thus conclude that the isomorphism of the left- and right-hand sides of (3.5) as \tilde{K}' -modules in fact induces an isomorphism as \tilde{G}' -modules. This proves the proposition. □

THEOREM 3.9

Let (G, G') be a reductive dual pair in the stable range with G' the smaller member. Suppose that

- (I) π' is a genuine unitary lowest weight representation of \tilde{G}' or
- (II) $\pi' = \zeta^*$, the contragredient of a genuine unitary lowest weight representation ζ .

Then the \tilde{K} -type formula of $\pi = \theta(\pi')$ is given by

$$\theta(\pi')|_{\tilde{K}} \simeq \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda_p(\tilde{K}') \\ \nu \in \Lambda_q(\tilde{K}')}}^{\oplus} \dim \text{Hom}_{\tilde{K}'}(\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\mu) \otimes \tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\nu)^*, \pi'|_{\tilde{K}'}) (\sigma^{\tilde{K}^+}(\mu) \boxtimes \sigma^{\tilde{K}^-}(\nu)^*)$$

in Case (I) and by

$$\theta(\pi')|_{\tilde{K}} \simeq \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda_p(\tilde{K}') \\ \nu \in \Lambda_q(\tilde{K}')}}^{\oplus} \dim \text{Hom}_{\tilde{K}'}(\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\mu) \otimes \zeta|_{\tilde{K}'}, \tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\nu)) (\sigma^{\tilde{K}^+}(\mu) \boxtimes \sigma^{\tilde{K}^-}(\nu)^*)$$

in Case (II).

Proof

This follows from Propositions 3.5 and 3.8. □

Remark 3.10

In view of the well-known fact that a unitary lowest weight (or highest weight) representation π' of \tilde{G}' is completely determined by its \tilde{K}' -structure, the above result is not surprising (see [29] for an explicit decomposition formula of $\pi'|_{\tilde{K}'}$).

3.3. Good filtration and associated variety

In this subsection, we discuss the natural filtration on lifted modules.

Let $\pi' \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}')$ be in the domain of the theta lifting; namely, π' can be realized as quotient by a $(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}')$ -invariant subspace of Ω . Write $\pi = \theta(\pi')$, the theta lift of π' which is an irreducible representation of $\tilde{G} = G(p, q)^\sim$. By definition of the theta correspondence, there is a (unique) surjective $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}', K \times K')$ -morphism

$$\Omega \longrightarrow \pi \otimes \pi'.$$

Denote the kernel by N , so that we have $\Omega/N \simeq \pi \otimes \pi'$. Through the Fock model, we identify the representation space of Ω with the space of polynomials $\mathbb{C}[W]$. Then there is a natural filtration by degree on $\mathbb{C}[W]$. We denote it by

$$F_j(\Omega) = \sum_{i=0}^j \mathbb{C}[W]_i, \quad F_j(N) = N \cap F_j(\Omega). \tag{3.6}$$

Then $V = \pi \otimes \pi'$ inherits an increasing filtration

$$F_j(V) = F_j(\Omega)/F_j(N) \hookrightarrow \Omega/N = V.$$

For this filtration, the Lie algebra action increases the degree by 2 (as opposed to 1). We thus do some adjustment.

We adopt the following notation. For $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{K})$, let $\text{deg } \sigma$ be the smallest possible degree of nonzero polynomials occurring in the σ -isotypic component of $\mathbb{C}[W]$, and let $\mathcal{R}(\tilde{K}, \Omega/N)$ be the set of equivalent classes of irreducible representations of \tilde{K} which occur in Ω/N . Similar notation applies to \tilde{K}' . According to [13], there is an integer j_0 (which depends on N) with the properties

$$j_0 = \min \{ \text{deg } \sigma : \sigma \in \mathcal{R}(\tilde{K}, \Omega/N) \} = \min \{ \text{deg } \sigma' : \sigma' \in \mathcal{R}(\tilde{K}', \Omega/N) \}, \tag{3.7}$$

and there is a one-to-one correspondence between those $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(\tilde{K}, \Omega/N)$ and $\sigma' \in \mathcal{R}(\tilde{K}', \Omega/N)$ with this minimal degree. More precisely, take any $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(\tilde{K}, \Omega/N)$ with degree j_0 ; then σ occurs in $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{K}, \tilde{K}'} = \mathcal{H}(\tilde{K}) \cap \mathcal{H}(\tilde{K}')$, the space of joint harmonics. Let σ' be the \tilde{K}' -type that corresponds to σ in $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{K}, \tilde{K}'}$; then $\sigma' \in \mathcal{R}(\tilde{K}', \Omega/N)$ and is of the minimal degree j_0 . We fix such a pair (σ, σ') of \tilde{K} - and \tilde{K}' -types of minimal degree j_0 . Choose a new filtration of $V = \pi \otimes \pi'$ by

$$G_j(V) = F_{2j+j_0}(V) \quad (j \geq 0). \tag{3.8}$$

Since σ' is contained in π' with multiplicity one, we have $\pi \simeq ((\sigma')^* \otimes V)^{\tilde{K}'}$ as a $(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{K})$ -module.

LEMMA 3.11

The filtration of $V = \pi \otimes \pi'$ induces a good filtration of π by taking

$$G_j(\pi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ((\sigma')^* \otimes G_j(V))^{\tilde{K}'} \quad (j \geq 0). \tag{3.9}$$

Proof

Let us denote the graded module associated with the grading $G_j(\pi)$ by

$$\text{gr } \pi = \sum_{j \geq 0}^{\oplus} \text{gr}_j \pi, \quad \text{gr}_j \pi = G_j(\pi)/G_{j-1}(\pi).$$

Since the filtration is compatible with the Lie algebra action, it is enough to verify that $S(\mathfrak{g}) \text{gr}_0 \pi = \text{gr } \pi$. (In fact, it is sufficient to prove that $\text{gr } \pi$ is finitely generated over the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$.)

Note that $G_j(\pi) = ((\sigma')^* \otimes G_j(V))^{\tilde{K}'} = ((\sigma')^* \otimes G_j(V_{\sigma'}))^{\tilde{K}'}$, where $V_{\sigma'}$ is the σ' -isotypic component of V . Now by the standard result of Howe [13],

$$\mathbb{C}[W]_{\sigma'} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)}) \mathcal{H}_{\sigma, \sigma'},$$

where $\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)}$ consists of those operators in the complexified Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}$ (of M) which raise degrees (by 2) and $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)})$ is its universal enveloping algebra. Recall

that M is the full commutant of K' in \mathbb{G} (the ambient symplectic group). Thus we have $V_{\sigma'} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)})\mathcal{H}_{\sigma,\sigma'} \pmod{N}$.

From [13, Fact 3, p. 540], we have

$$\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{(0,2)} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{(0,2)} = \mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)} \oplus \mathfrak{s}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\text{gr } V_{\sigma'} = \text{gr} \left(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m}^{(2,0)})\mathcal{H}_{\sigma,\sigma'} \right) = S(\mathfrak{g})\mathcal{H}_{\sigma,\sigma'},$$

where we identify $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma,\sigma'}$ with its image in Ω/N . Now it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr } \pi &= ((\sigma')^* \otimes \text{gr } V_{\sigma'})^{\tilde{K}'} = ((\sigma')^* \otimes S(\mathfrak{g})\mathcal{H}_{\sigma,\sigma'})^{\tilde{K}'} \\ &= S(\mathfrak{g})((\sigma')^* \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\sigma,\sigma'})^{\tilde{K}'} = S(\mathfrak{g}) \text{gr}_0 \pi. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

PROPOSITION 3.12

Let $\pi = \theta(\pi')$ be the theta lift of π' . Assume that the associated variety $\mathcal{AV}(\pi')$ of π' is irreducible and hence the closure of a single nilpotent $K'_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit \mathbb{O}' . Then the associated variety of π is contained in the closure of the theta lift $\mathbb{O} = \theta(\mathbb{O}')$.

Remark 3.13

Note that in the above proposition we do not need the assumption that π' is a unitary lowest weight module or even for π' to be unitary. We remark that Przebinda has proved a similar result about the correspondence of complex associated varieties for π' unitary (see [36, Th. 7.1]).

Proof

Put $I' = \sqrt{\text{Ann gr } \pi'} = \mathbb{I}(\overline{\mathbb{O}'})$ and $I = \mathbb{I}(\overline{\mathbb{O}})$, where $\mathbb{I}(X)$ denotes the ideal of regular functions that vanish on X . We prove that $\mathbb{I}(\mathcal{AV}(\pi)) \supseteq I$. For this purpose it is enough to show that $f(z) \in I$ implies that $f(z) \in \sqrt{\text{Ann gr } \pi}$.

Let us recall the moment maps φ and ψ (cf. §2.2). Then

$$f(z) \in I \iff f(\varphi(w)) \in \mathcal{I} \quad (z \in \mathfrak{s}, w \in W),$$

where $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{I}(\psi^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{O}'})) = \mathbb{C}[W] \cdot \psi^*(I')$ is the ideal generated by $\psi^*(I')$ in $\mathbb{C}[W]$. Thus there exist $h_i \in \mathbb{C}[W]$ and $g_i \in I'$ such that

$$f(\varphi(w)) = \varphi^*(f)(w) = \sum_i h_i(w) \psi^*(g_i)(w).$$

For $V = \Omega/N \simeq \pi \otimes \pi'$, we define the filtration $G_j(V)$ as in this section and consider its associated graded module $\text{gr } V$. Since $g_i \in I'$, a suitable power of g_i

annihilates $\text{gr } \pi'$. By Lemma 3.11, we can assume that $\text{gr } \pi'$ comes from $\text{gr } V$ taking a σ -isotypic component. (Actually, Lemma 3.11 is proved for π , but the role of π and π' is symmetric there.) This means that there is a sufficiently large N_0 such that $\psi^*(g_i)^{N_0}$ annihilates $\text{gr } V_\sigma$ for all i . Since the multiplication of $\psi^*(g_i)$ (on $\text{gr } V$) is induced from the action of an element of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}')$, it commutes with the action of \mathfrak{g} . This implies that $\psi^*(g_i)^{N_0}$ annihilates the whole space $\text{gr } \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})V_\sigma = \text{gr } V$.

Thus, taking a suitable power, N_1 , $\varphi^*(f)^{N_1}$ annihilates $\text{gr } V$. By Lemma 3.11 again, taking a σ' -isotypic component, we see that $\varphi^*(f)^{N_1} \in \text{Ann } \text{gr } V_{\sigma'}$, which in turn means that $f^{N_1} \in \text{Ann } \text{gr } \pi$. (We define $\text{gr } \pi$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.11.) This proves that $f(z)$ is in $\sqrt{\text{Ann } \text{gr } \pi}$. □

4. Poincaré series and associated cycles

4.1. Canonical decreasing filtration

Let π' be an admissible representation of \widetilde{G}' , and consider it as a $(\mathfrak{g}', \widetilde{K}')$ -module. We also consider the Weil representation Ω as a Harish-Chandra module. In this subsection we discuss the relationship between \widetilde{K} -types and the grading of $\pi = \theta(\pi')$ coming from the good filtration introduced in §3.3. As it turns out, this boils down to a certain canonical decreasing filtration on $H = \text{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}', \widetilde{K}')}(\Omega, \pi')_{\widetilde{K}}$.

Recall that we have

$$\begin{aligned} H|_{\widetilde{K}} &= \text{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}', \widetilde{K}')}(\Omega, \pi')_{\widetilde{K}} \\ &\simeq \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda_p(\widetilde{K}') \\ \nu \in \Lambda_q(\widetilde{K}')}}^{\oplus} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', \widetilde{K}'}((\sigma^{\widetilde{K}^+}(\mu) \boxtimes L(\mu)) \otimes (\sigma^{\widetilde{K}^-}(\nu)^* \boxtimes L(\nu)^*), \pi') \\ &\simeq \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda_p(\widetilde{K}') \\ \nu \in \Lambda_q(\widetilde{K}')}}^{\oplus} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', \widetilde{K}'}(L(\mu) \otimes L(\nu)^*, \pi') \otimes (\sigma^{\widetilde{K}^+}(\mu)^* \boxtimes \sigma^{\widetilde{K}^-}(\nu)) \end{aligned}$$

(see §3.1 for the notation here).

The following result is already proved in §3.2, but we include the statement here since we need some explicit formulas later.

LEMMA 4.1

Assume that π' is the Harish-Chandra module of a unitary lowest weight representation. For $f \in \text{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}', \widetilde{K}')} (L(\mu), L(\nu) \otimes \pi')$, define $\varphi(f)$ by

$$\varphi(f) : L(\mu) \otimes L(\nu)^* \longrightarrow \pi', \quad \varphi(f)(u \otimes v^*) = (v^* \otimes 1)(f(u)), \quad (4.1)$$

and $\Phi(f) = (P_\nu \otimes 1) \circ (f|_{\tau^{\widetilde{K}'}(\mu)})$; thus

$$\Phi(f) : \tau^{\widetilde{K}'}(\mu) \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} L(\mu) \xrightarrow{f} L(\nu) \otimes \pi' \xrightarrow{P_\nu \otimes 1} \tau^{\widetilde{K}'}(\nu) \otimes \pi', \quad (4.2)$$

where $P_v : L(v) \rightarrow \tau^{\tilde{K}'}(v)$ is the \tilde{K}' -equivariant projection onto the lowest \tilde{K}' -type $\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(v)$ of $L(v)$. Then φ and Φ induce the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}')} (L(\mu) \otimes L(v)^*, \pi') &\simeq \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}')} (L(\mu), L(v) \otimes \pi') \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{K}'} (\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\mu), \tau^{\tilde{K}'}(v) \otimes \pi'). \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$V = \Omega/N \simeq \rho \otimes \pi',$$

where $\rho = \Omega(\pi')$ is the maximal quotient. Recall that we have defined a natural (\tilde{K} -stable, increasing) good filtration on ρ in §3.3:

$$\rho_d = (V_{j_0+2d} \otimes (\sigma')^*)^{\tilde{K}'} \quad (d \geq 0),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \otimes \sigma' &: \text{a joint harmonic of the lowest degree,} \\ j_0 &= \deg \sigma = \deg \sigma', \\ V_j &= F_j(\Omega)/F_j(N) \quad (\text{see (3.6)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $H = \rho^*$, this induces a canonical (\tilde{K} -stable) decreasing filtration $\{H^d\}$ on H given by

$$H^d = \{\tilde{\varphi} \in H \mid P_{\sigma'} \circ \tilde{\varphi}(F_{j_0+2d}(\Omega)) = 0\}, \quad (4.3)$$

where $P_{\sigma'} : \pi' \rightarrow \sigma'$ is a \tilde{K}' -equivariant projection.

Definition 4.2

For a space V with a decreasing filtration, we say that $\deg v \geq d$ if $v \in V$ belongs to the d th filtered subspace.

Through the isomorphisms $\varphi(f) \leftrightarrow f \leftrightarrow \Phi(f)$ given in Lemma 4.1, we have the corresponding decreasing filtrations in appropriate spaces. We determine the various filtrations.

Denote $\|\mu\| = \deg \sigma^{\tilde{K}^+}(\mu)$, $\|v\| = \deg \sigma^{\tilde{K}^-}(v)^*$. Note that, as usual, the degree of $\sigma^{\tilde{K}^+}(\mu)$ is defined to be the lowest possible degree in (a Fock model of) Ω^+ in which $\sigma^{\tilde{K}^+}(\mu)$ occurs, and likewise for the degree of $\sigma^{\tilde{K}^-}(v)^*$.

LEMMA 4.3

Let $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}')} (L(\mu), L(v) \otimes \pi')$. Then $\deg f \geq d$ if and only if

$$(1 \otimes P_{\sigma'}) \circ f(L(\mu)_i) \subset L(v)^{d+(j_0-\|\mu\|-\|v\|)-i/2} \otimes \sigma' \quad (\forall i \geq 0), \quad (4.4)$$

where $\{L(\mu)_i\}$ is the natural increasing good filtration of a holomorphic discrete series, and $\{L(v)^j\}$ is the decreasing filtration determined by the natural increasing good filtration $\{(L(v)^*)^j\}$ of an antiholomorphic discrete series.

Proof

Let

$$\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}')}((\sigma^{\tilde{K}^+}(\mu) \boxtimes L(\mu)) \otimes (\sigma^{\tilde{K}^-}(v)^* \boxtimes L(v)^*), \pi') \subset H|_{\tilde{K}}.$$

Then $\text{deg } \tilde{\varphi} \geq d$ if and only if

$$P_{\sigma'} \circ \tilde{\varphi}(F_i(\sigma^{\tilde{K}^+}(\mu) \boxtimes L(\mu)) \otimes F_j(\sigma^{\tilde{K}^-}(v)^* \boxtimes L(v)^*)) = 0 \quad (\forall i + j \leq j_0 + 2d).$$

If, in addition, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is of the form

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \otimes (u_\mu \otimes v_\nu), \quad \text{where } \begin{cases} u_\mu \in \sigma^{\tilde{K}^+}(\mu)^*, v_\nu \in \sigma^{\tilde{K}^-}(v), \\ \varphi \in \text{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}')} (L(\mu) \otimes L(v)^*, \pi'), \end{cases}$$

this is equivalent to saying that

$$P_{\sigma'} \circ \varphi(L(\mu)_i \otimes (L(v)^*)^j) = 0 \quad ((\|\mu\| + 2i) + (\|v\| + 2j) \leq j_0 + 2d). \quad (4.5)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{\tilde{K}^+}(\mu) \boxtimes L(\mu)_i &= F_{\|\mu\|+2i}(\sigma^{\tilde{K}^+}(\mu) \boxtimes L(\mu)), \\ \sigma^{\tilde{K}^-}(v)^* \boxtimes (L(v)^*)^j &= F_{\|v\|+2j}(\sigma^{\tilde{K}^-}(v)^* \boxtimes L(v)^*). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi = \varphi(f) \leftrightarrow f \in \text{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}')} (L(\mu), L(v) \otimes \pi')$ be the correspondence given in Lemma 4.1. From (4.5), we see that $\text{deg } f \geq d$ if and only if

$$(1 \otimes P_{\sigma'}) \circ f(L(\mu)_i) \subset L(v)^j \otimes \sigma' \quad (4.6)$$

for any i, j satisfying $j \leq d + (j_0 - \|\mu\| - \|v\|)/2 - i$. Since the filtration $\{L(v)^j\}$ is decreasing, the inclusion in (4.6) is equivalent to

$$(1 \otimes P_{\sigma'}) \circ f(L(\mu)_i) \subset L(v)^{d+(j_0-\|\mu\|-\|v\|)/2-i} \otimes \sigma' \quad (\forall i \geq 0). \quad \square$$

Finally, let $f \leftrightarrow \Phi = \Phi(f)$ be the second isomorphic correspondence in Lemma 4.1. We want to determine the degree of Φ (in the decreasing filtration). Recall that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{K}')} (L(\mu), L(v) \otimes \pi') & \simeq & \text{Hom}_{\tilde{K}'} (\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\mu), \tau^{\tilde{K}'}(v) \otimes \pi') \\ \cup & & \cup \\ f & \longleftrightarrow & \Phi \end{array}$$

LEMMA 4.4

We have $\text{deg } \Phi \geq d$ if and only if

$$\Phi(\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\mu)) \subset \tau^{\tilde{K}'}(v) \otimes (\pi')^{d+(j_0-\|\mu\|-\|v\|)/2},$$

where $\{(\pi')^j\}$ is the decreasing filtration determined by the natural (increasing) good filtration of the unitary highest weight module $(\pi')^*$.

Proof

By applying the Lie algebra action of \mathfrak{s}' , we see that the inclusion in (4.4) is in fact equivalent to

$$(1 \otimes P_{\sigma'}) \circ f(\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\mu)) \subset L(v)^{d+(j_0-\|\mu\|-\|v\|)/2} \otimes \sigma'. \tag{4.7}$$

We claim that the containment

$$(1 \otimes P_{\sigma'}) \circ f(\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\mu)) \subset L(v)^j \otimes \sigma'$$

holds if and only if

$$(P_v \otimes 1) \circ f(\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\mu)) \subset \tau^{\tilde{K}'}(v) \otimes (\pi')^j.$$

To see this, we note that the first containment implies a linear inequality of the exponents of the central character of \tilde{K}' on the two sides. The same inequality ensures the second containment since the central character encodes the degree of the natural filtration in π' .

In view of (4.7), the lemma follows. □

4.2. Associated cycles of theta lifts

From the decreasing filtration on $H = \rho^*$, we get an increasing filtration for $\rho = \Omega(\pi')$, and hence we obtain the graded module as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr}(\rho) &= \sum_{d \geq 0}^{\oplus} \text{gr}_d(\rho), \\ \text{gr}_d(\rho) &= \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda_p(\tilde{K}') \\ v \in \Lambda_q(\tilde{K}')}}^{\oplus} \text{Hom}_{\tilde{K}'}(\tau^{\tilde{K}'}(\mu), \tau^{\tilde{K}'}(v) \otimes \text{gr}_{d+(j_0-\|\mu\|-\|v\|)/2}(\pi')) \\ &\quad \otimes (\sigma^{\tilde{K}^+}(\mu) \boxtimes \sigma^{\tilde{K}^-}(v)^*). \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

This in fact defines the Poincaré series for $\pi = \theta(\pi')$, as the maximal quotient $\rho = \Omega(\pi')$ is already irreducible in the present case (see Corollary 3.6).

Remark 4.5

By comparing central characters (of \widetilde{K}'), it is easy to see that summation in $\text{gr}_d(\rho)$ is actually finite.

Let σ be an irreducible (finite-dimensional) representation of $G(k, \mathbb{C})$ which appears in $\mathbb{C}[W_k]$. We also take π' to be a unitary lowest weight representation of \widetilde{G}' which comes from the compact dual pair correspondence of $(G(k), G')$. To fix notation, we assume that π' and σ are related by the formula

$$\pi'|_{\widetilde{K}'} \simeq \chi_k \otimes L[\sigma], \quad L[\sigma] = (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_k])^{G(k, \mathbb{C})}, \tag{4.9}$$

where χ_k is a certain character of \widetilde{K}' (see (0.1) in the introduction).

Recall from §2.4 the lifted module $M[\sigma]$ of $L[\sigma]$:

$$M[\sigma] = (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_{p,q+k}])^{G(k, \mathbb{C})}.$$

Its Poincaré series $P(M[\sigma]; t)$ is given in (2.15).

LEMMA 4.6

Let π' be a unitary lowest weight module, and let σ and k be related to π' through the formula (4.9). Assume that π' is genuine with respect to the dual pair $(G(p, q), G')$, and let $\pi = \theta(\pi')$ be the theta lift. Let j_0 be the lowest degree of the joint harmonics for $\pi \otimes \pi'$ (cf. (3.7)), and let $j_1 = \text{deg } \sigma^*$ (cf. (2.14)). Then the following inequalities hold for the Poincaré series.

Case \mathbb{R} : Denote $\kappa_0 = (p - q - k)/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have

$$t^{(-n|\kappa_0|+j_0-j_1)/2} P(\pi; t) \geq P(M[\sigma]; t) \geq t^{(n|\kappa_0|+j_0-j_1)/2} P(\pi; t) \quad (t > 0).$$

Case \mathbb{C} : Denote $\kappa_0 = (p - q - k)/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^{(-(n+m)|\kappa_0|+j_0-j_1)/2} P(\pi; t) &\geq P(M[\sigma]; t) \\ &\geq t^{((m+n)|\kappa_0|+j_0-j_1)/2} P(\pi; t) \quad (t > 0). \end{aligned}$$

Case \mathbb{H} : Denote $\kappa_0 = p - q - k$. Then we have

$$t^{(-n|\kappa_0|+j_0-j_1)/2} P(\pi; t) \geq P(M[\sigma]; t) \geq t^{(n|\kappa_0|+j_0-j_1)/2} P(\pi; t) \quad (t > 0).$$

Proof

We give the proof only for Case \mathbb{R} . The other two cases are entirely similar. Also, we assume that $\kappa_0 \geq 0$ since the case $\kappa_0 < 0$ can be treated in a similar way.

Now $\mu \in \Lambda_p(\widetilde{K}')$ and $\nu \in \Lambda_q(\widetilde{K}')$ if and only if $\mu = \alpha + (p/2)\mathbb{I}_n$ and $\nu = \beta + (q/2)\mathbb{I}_n$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}_n$ (the set of partitions of n) and $\mathbb{I}_n = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathcal{P}_n$.

Also, we have the degrees $\|\mu\| = |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ and $\|\nu\| = |\beta| = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n$. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_n$, denote by $\tau_\alpha^{(n)}$ (resp., $\sigma_\alpha^{(p)}$) the irreducible finite-dimensional representation of GL_n (resp., $O(p, \mathbb{C})$) with highest weight α . Then we have $\sigma^{\tilde{k}^+}(\mu) = \sigma_\alpha^{(p)}$, $\sigma^{\tilde{k}^-}(\nu)^* = \sigma_\beta^{(q)}$.

Note that

$$\pi'|_{\widetilde{K}'} \simeq \det_n^{k/2} \otimes L[\sigma], \quad L[\sigma] = (\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[M_{k,n}])^{O(k, \mathbb{C})},$$

where $K' = U(n)$. Thus we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}'}(\tau_{\alpha+(p/2)\mathbb{1}_n}^{(n)}, \tau_{\beta+(q/2)\mathbb{1}_n}^{(n)} \otimes \mathrm{gr}_j(\pi')) \\ \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}'}(\det_n^{(p-q-k)/2} \otimes \tau_\alpha^{(n)}, \tau_\beta^{(n)} \otimes \mathrm{gr}_j L[\sigma]). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the Poincaré series of $\mathrm{gr} \pi = \mathrm{gr} \rho$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} P(\pi; t) &= \sum_{d \geq 0} \dim \mathrm{gr}_d(\pi) \cdot t^d \\ &= \sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}_n} \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}'}(\det_n^{(p-q-k)/2} \otimes \tau_\alpha^{(n)}, \\ &\quad \tau_\beta^{(n)} \otimes \mathrm{gr}_{d+(j_0-|\alpha|-|\beta|)/2} L[\sigma]) \\ &\quad \times \dim(\sigma_\alpha^{(p)} \boxtimes \sigma_\beta^{(q)}) \cdot t^d \\ &= t^{-j_0/2} \cdot \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}_n} \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}'}(\det_n^{(p-q-k)/2} \otimes \tau_\alpha^{(n)}, \tau_\beta^{(n)} \otimes \mathrm{gr}_j L[\sigma]) \\ &\quad \times \dim(\sigma_\alpha^{(p)} \boxtimes \sigma_\beta^{(q)}) \cdot t^{j+(|\alpha|+|\beta|)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We put $B(\alpha, \beta; j) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\tau_\alpha^{(n)} \otimes \tau_\beta^{(n)*}, \mathrm{gr}_j L[\sigma])$. Then we can express

$$\begin{aligned} t^{j_0/2} P(\pi; t) &= \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}_n} B(\alpha + \kappa_0 \mathbb{1}_n, \beta; j) \dim(\sigma_\alpha^{(p)} \boxtimes \sigma_\beta^{(q)}) \cdot t^{j+(|\alpha|+|\beta|)/2} \\ &\quad (\text{put } \alpha' = \alpha + \kappa_0 \mathbb{1}_n) \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{\alpha' \in \mathcal{P}_n + \kappa_0 \mathbb{1}_n \\ \beta \in \mathcal{P}_n}} B(\alpha', \beta; j) \dim(\sigma_{\alpha' - \kappa_0 \mathbb{1}_n}^{(p)} \boxtimes \sigma_\beta^{(q)}) \cdot t^{j+(|\alpha'|+|\beta|-n\kappa_0)/2} \\ &\leq \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\alpha', \beta \in \mathcal{P}_n} B(\alpha', \beta; j) \dim(\sigma_{\alpha'}^{(p)} \boxtimes \sigma_\beta^{(q)}) \cdot t^{j+(|\alpha'|+|\beta|-n\kappa_0)/2} \\ &= P(M[\sigma]; t) \cdot t^{(j_1 - n\kappa_0)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the inequality $\dim \sigma_{\alpha' - \kappa_0 \mathbb{1}_n}^{(p)} \leq \dim \sigma_{\alpha'}^{(p)}$. On the other hand, we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
 t^{j_0/2} P(\pi; t) &= \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}_n} B(\alpha, \beta - \kappa_0 \mathbb{I}_n; j) \dim(\sigma_\alpha^{(p)} \boxtimes \sigma_\beta^{(q)}) \cdot t^{j+(|\alpha|+|\beta|)/2} \\
 & \hspace{25em} (\text{put } \beta' = \beta - \kappa_0 \mathbb{I}_n) \\
 &= \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ \beta' \in \mathcal{P}_n - \kappa_0 \mathbb{I}_n}} B(\alpha, \beta'; j) \dim(\sigma_\alpha^{(p)} \boxtimes \sigma_{\beta'+\kappa_0 \mathbb{I}_n}^{(q)}) \cdot t^{j+(|\alpha|+|\beta'|+n\kappa_0)/2} \\
 &\geq \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\alpha, \beta' \in \mathcal{P}_n} B(\alpha, \beta'; j) \dim(\sigma_\alpha^{(p)} \boxtimes \sigma_{\beta'}^{(q)}) \cdot t^{j+(|\alpha|+|\beta'|+n\kappa_0)/2} \\
 &= P(M[\sigma]; t) \cdot t^{(j_1+n\kappa_0)/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

These two formulas prove the lemma. □

THEOREM 4.7

Let π' be a unitary lowest weight representation of \tilde{G}' arising from the compact dual pair correspondence of $(G(k), G')$ for some k . Assume that π is genuine with respect to the dual pair $(G(p, q), G')$, and let $\pi = \theta(\pi')$ be the theta lift of π' . If $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}(\pi') = m_{\pi'}[\overline{\mathbb{O}^{\text{hol}}}]$, then we have

$$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}(\pi) = m_{\pi'}[\overline{\mathbb{O}}], \quad \text{where } \mathbb{O} = \theta(\mathbb{O}^{\text{hol}}).$$

Moreover, if σ is related to π' through formula (4.9), this multiplicity is given by the following formulas:

Case \mathbb{R} :

$$m_{\pi'} = \begin{cases} \dim \sigma & (k \leq n), \\ \dim \sigma^{O(k-n, \mathbb{C})} & (k > n); \end{cases}$$

Case \mathbb{C} :

$$m_{\pi'} = \begin{cases} \dim \sigma & (0 \leq k \leq \min\{m, n\}), \\ \dim \sigma^{\text{GL}_{k-n}} & (k > m = n), \\ \dim(\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[M_{k-n, m-n}])^{\text{GL}_{k-n}} & (k \geq m > n); \end{cases}$$

Case \mathbb{H} :

$$m_{\pi'} = \begin{cases} \dim \sigma & (2k \leq n), \\ \dim \sigma^{Sp(2(k-l), \mathbb{C})} & (2k > n; n = 2l), \\ \dim(\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}^{2(k-l)}])^{Sp(2(k-l), \mathbb{C})} & (2k > n; n = 2l + 1). \end{cases}$$

Proof

Since $\pi'|_{\widetilde{K}'} \simeq \chi_k \otimes L[\sigma]$, we see that $m_{\pi'}$ is equal to the multiplicity $\text{rank}_{\overline{\mathbb{O}}^{\text{hol}}} L[\sigma]$. This is computed in [29] (see also [43]), and it is as given in Theorem 4.7.

By Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\lim_{t \uparrow 1} \frac{P(\pi; t)}{P(M[\sigma]; t)} = 1. \tag{4.10}$$

This means that the dimension of the support of a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$ -module $\text{gr } \pi$ is equal to the dimension of $\overline{\mathbb{O}}$ which is the support of $M[\sigma]$. We know that the associated variety $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{V}(\pi)$ of π is contained in $\overline{\mathbb{O}}$ by Proposition 3.12. Since they have the same dimension, we conclude that $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{V}(\pi) = \overline{\mathbb{O}}$. Now, the same formula (4.10) implies that $\text{gr } \pi$ and $M[\sigma]$ have the same multiplicity m_π along their common support $\overline{\mathbb{O}}$. This multiplicity is given in Theorem 2.3, and it is the same as $m_{\pi'}$. \square

Remark 4.8

Note that $M[\sigma]$ is lifted from $L[\sigma]$ (see Proposition 1.10). It is easily checked that the hypothesis in Theorem 1.9 is satisfied when G'/K' is of tube type. Thus in this case the equality $\text{rank}_{\overline{\mathbb{O}}} M[\sigma] = \text{rank}_{\overline{\mathbb{O}}^{\text{hol}}} L[\sigma]$ follows directly from Theorem 1.9. For the nontube case, one may also prove the preservation of multiplicity along this line with a bit more work.

COROLLARY 4.9

The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of π is $\text{GKdim } \pi = \dim \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k$, which is equal to the following numbers for Cases \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , and \mathbb{H} , respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} n(p + q - 2n + r - 1) - \frac{1}{2}r(r - 1), & \quad r = \min\{k, n\}, \\ (m + n)(p + q - m - n + r) - r^2, & \quad r = \min\{k, m, n\}, \\ n(2p + 2q - 2n + 2r + 1) - r(2r + 1), & \quad r = \min\left\{k, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

As remarked in the introduction, if π' is a holomorphic discrete series representation, the multiplicity $m_{\pi'}$ may also be given by the dimension of its lowest \widetilde{K}' -type. This of course implies an equality of the dimension of a certain space of fixed vectors of σ with the dimension of the lowest \widetilde{K}' -type of π' . We prove a proposition in that direction.

Recall the complex vector space W_k canonically associated to the compact dual pair $(G(k), G')$. As usual, let \mathcal{H}_k denote the space of $G(k, \mathbb{C})$ -harmonic polynomials on W_k which has a dual pair correspondence as a $(G(k, \mathbb{C}) \times K'_\mathbb{C})$ -module (see §2.5). Write

$$\mathcal{H}_k|_{G(k, \mathbb{C}) \times K'_\mathbb{C}} \simeq \sum_{\tau}^{\oplus} \sigma_k(\tau) \boxtimes \tau. \tag{4.11}$$

Recall also the seesaw pair (cf. §2.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(k) & & G' \\ \cup & & \cup \\ G(k), & & K'. \end{array}$$

Let

$$\mathbb{C}[W_k]|_{L(k, \mathbb{C}) \times K'_\mathbb{C}} \simeq \sum_{\tau}^{\oplus} \rho_k(\tau) \boxtimes \tau \tag{4.12}$$

be the corresponding decomposition. Note that $L(k, \mathbb{C}) \supset G(k, \mathbb{C})$ is a symmetric pair.

PROPOSITION 4.10

Assume that the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{cases} k \geq 2n & \text{if } G' = Sp(2n, \mathbb{R}), \\ k \geq m + n & \text{if } G' = U(m, n), \\ k \geq n & \text{if } G' = O^*(2n). \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

Denote by l the real rank of G' , as before. Then there is an isomorphism of $G(l, \mathbb{C})$ -modules

$$\sigma_k(\tau)^{G(k-l, \mathbb{C})}|_{G(l, \mathbb{C})} \simeq \rho_l(\tau)|_{G(l, \mathbb{C})}$$

for any τ occurring in \mathcal{H}_k (or, equivalently, $\mathbb{C}[W_k]$).

Proof

Under the given assumption on k , we have

$$\mathbb{C}[W_k] \simeq \mathcal{H}_k \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_k]^{G(k, \mathbb{C})} \simeq \mathcal{H}_k \otimes \mathbb{C}[s'] \quad (\text{as } (G(k, \mathbb{C}) \times K'_\mathbb{C})\text{-modules}).$$

Taking the $G(k-l, \mathbb{C})$ -invariants, we get

$$\mathbb{C}[W_k]^{G(k-l, \mathbb{C})} \simeq (\mathcal{H}_k)^{G(k-l, \mathbb{C})} \otimes \mathbb{C}[s'].$$

On the other hand, we have the decomposition $W_k = W_l \oplus W_{k-l}$, and so $\mathbb{C}[W_k] = \mathbb{C}[W_l] \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_{k-l}]$ as $(G(l, \mathbb{C}) \times G(k-l, \mathbb{C}) \times K'_\mathbb{C})$ -modules. Thus the space of $G(k-l, \mathbb{C})$ -invariants of $\mathbb{C}[W_k]$ becomes

$$\mathbb{C}[W_k]^{G(k-l)} \simeq \mathbb{C}[W_l] \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_{k-l}]^{G(k-l)} \simeq \mathbb{C}[W_l] \otimes \mathbb{C}[s'].$$

Here we have used the isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[W_{k-l}]^{G(k-l)} \simeq \mathbb{C}[s']$, again by our assumption on k .

Thus we obtain the following isomorphism of $(G(l, \mathbb{C}) \times K'_\mathbb{C})$ -modules:

$$(\mathcal{H}_k)^{G(k-l, \mathbb{C})} \simeq \mathbb{C}[W_l]. \tag{4.14}$$

The desired isomorphism follows immediately. □

Remark 4.11

When G'/K' is of tube type, the above isomorphism yields especially pleasant formulas, which we list below:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\sigma_\eta^{(k)})^{O(k-n, \mathbb{C})} |_{O(n, \mathbb{C})} &\simeq \tau_\eta^{(n)} |_{O(n, \mathbb{C})} \quad (k \geq 2n; \eta \in \mathcal{P}_n), \\
 (\tau_{\alpha \odot \beta}^{(k)})^{\mathrm{GL}_{k-n}} |_{\mathrm{GL}_n} &\simeq \tau_\alpha^{(n)} \otimes \tau_\beta^{(n)*} \quad (m = n, k \geq 2n; \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}_n), \\
 (\sigma_\eta^{(k)})^{Sp(2(k-l), \mathbb{C})} |_{Sp(2l, \mathbb{C})} &\simeq \tau_\eta^{(n)} |_{Sp(2l, \mathbb{C})} \quad (n = 2l, k \geq n; \eta \in \mathcal{P}_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that they were proved by Gross and Kunze [7] and by Knapp [17]. Here for $\eta \in \mathcal{P}_n$ (the set of partitions of n), $\tau_\eta^{(n)}$ denotes the irreducible finite-dimensional representation of GL_n of highest weight η . We use the same notation $\sigma_\eta^{(k)}$ to denote a copy of an irreducible finite-dimensional representation of either $O(k, \mathbb{C})$ or $Sp(2k, \mathbb{C})$ with η as the highest weight. Finally, $\alpha \odot \beta$ is defined to be $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 0, \dots, 0, -\beta_n, \dots, -\beta_1)$.

A. Appendix. Computation of generic fibers

A.1. $O(p, q) \times Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ ($2n < p, q$)

We have the double fibration map

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & W = W_{p,q} = M_{p,n} \oplus M_{q,n} & \\
 \varphi \swarrow & & \searrow \psi \\
 \mathfrak{s} = M_{p,q} & & \mathrm{Sym}_n \oplus \mathrm{Sym}_n = \mathfrak{s}'
 \end{array}$$

where the moment maps φ and ψ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A, B) &\in M_{p,n} \oplus M_{q,n}, \\
 \begin{cases} \varphi(A, B) = A^t B \in M_{p,q} = \mathfrak{s}, \\ \psi(A, B) = ({}^t A A, {}^t B B) \in \mathrm{Sym}_n \oplus \mathrm{Sym}_n = \mathfrak{s}'. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Take a holomorphic nilpotent orbit $\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\mathrm{hol}}$ of rank k in $\mathfrak{s}'_- = \mathrm{Sym}_n$, where $0 \leq k \leq n$, and consider its lift $\mathbb{O}_k = \theta(\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\mathrm{hol}})$. The closure $\overline{\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\mathrm{hol}}}$ is an affine quotient of $W_k = M_{k,n}$ by $O(k, \mathbb{C})$:

$$M_{k,n} // O(k, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \begin{cases} \overline{\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\mathrm{hol}}} & (0 \leq k \leq n), \\ \overline{\mathbb{O}'_n{}^{\mathrm{hol}}} & (k > n). \end{cases}$$

Here $O(k, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $M_{k,n}$ via the left multiplication of matrices. The quotient map $\zeta' : M_{k,n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\mathrm{hol}}} \subset \mathrm{Sym}_n$ is given by $\zeta'(C) = {}^t C C$ ($C \in M_{k,n}$).

The null cone is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} &= \psi_{p,q+k}^{-1}(0) = \mathfrak{N}_p^+ \times \mathfrak{N}_{q+k}^- \\ &= \{(A, B, C) \mid {}^tAA = 0, {}^tBB + {}^tCC = 0\} \subset M_{p+(q+k),n}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (A^tB, A^tC) \in X_{p,q+k} & \xleftarrow{\text{//GL}_n} & (A, B, C) \in \mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} & \xrightarrow{\text{//}O(p,\mathbb{C}) \times O(q,\mathbb{C})} & M_{k,n} \ni C \\ \text{//}O(k,\mathbb{C}) \downarrow & & \text{//}O(k,\mathbb{C}) \downarrow & & \text{//}O(k,\mathbb{C}) \downarrow \\ A^tB \in \overline{\mathbb{O}}_r & \xleftarrow{\text{//GL}_n} & (A, B) \in \Xi_r & \xrightarrow{\text{//}O(p,\mathbb{C}) \times O(q,\mathbb{C})} & \overline{\mathbb{O}}_r^{\text{hol}} \ni {}^tBB = -{}^tCC \end{array}$$

Here $\Xi_r = \psi^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{O}}_r^{\text{hol}})$ and $r = \min\{k, n\}$.

We consider the generic fiber of $\zeta : X_{p,q+k} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k \subset M_{p,q}$. The fiber of $Z \in \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k$ in $\mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k}$ is

$$\{(A, B, C) \in \mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} \mid Z = A^tB\}. \tag{A.1}$$

If rank $Z = n$, it implies rank $A = \text{rank } B = n$, and one can easily deduce that

$$\{(A, B) \in \mathfrak{N}_p^+ \times M_{q,n} \mid Z = A^tB, \text{rank } A = \text{rank } B = n\}$$

is a single GL_n -orbit. Now assume that $0 \leq k < n$. Note that $\text{rank } Z^tZ \leq \text{rank } {}^tBB = \text{rank}(-{}^tCC) \leq k$. We assume that Z satisfies the generic condition $\text{rank } Z^tZ = k$. Then we must have $\text{rank } {}^tBB = k$. Thus, for each fixed B , the set $\{C \in M_{k,n} \mid {}^tBB = -{}^tCC\}$ is a single $O(k, \mathbb{C})$ -orbit. We have thus proved that the set (A.1) is a single $(\text{GL}_n \times O(k, \mathbb{C}))$ -orbit, which is closed (since the map $\mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k$ is an affine quotient map by $\text{GL}_n \times O(k, \mathbb{C})$).

The fiber $\zeta^{-1}(Z)$ is an $O(k, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant quotient of the closed subvariety (A.1) by the action of GL_n . Therefore $\zeta^{-1}(Z)$ is a single $O(k, \mathbb{C})$ -orbit, which is closed.

The case $k > n$ can be treated similarly.

We have in fact proved the following.

COROLLARY A.1

Put $r = \min\{k, n\}$. If $Z \in \overline{\mathbb{O}}_k$ satisfies $\text{rank } Z = n, \text{rank } Z^tZ = r$, then the fiber $\zeta^{-1}(Z)$ is a single $O(k, \mathbb{C})$ -orbit. The stabilizer at $x \in \zeta^{-1}(Z)$ is conjugate to

$$\begin{cases} \{1_k\} & (0 \leq k \leq n), \\ O(k - n, \mathbb{C}) & (k > n). \end{cases}$$

Remark A.2

Clearly, $Z \in \overline{\mathbb{O}_k}$ satisfies $\text{rank } Z \leq n$ and $\text{rank } Z^t Z \leq r$. Thus the condition $\text{rank } Z = n, \text{rank } Z^t Z = r$ is generic. (It is easy to see that the set satisfying the condition is nonempty.)

A.2. $U(p, q) \times U(m, n)$ ($m + n \leq p, q$)

We have the double fibration map

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & W = M_{p+q, m+n} & \\
 \varphi \swarrow & & \searrow \psi \\
 \mathfrak{s} = M_{p,q} \oplus M_{q,p} & & M_{m,n} \oplus M_{n,m} = \mathfrak{s}'
 \end{array}$$

where the moment maps φ and ψ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z &= \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in M_{p+q, m+n}, \\
 \begin{cases} \varphi(Z) = (A^t C, D^t B) \in M_{p,q} \oplus M_{q,p} = \mathfrak{s}, \\ \psi(Z) = ({}^t AB, {}^t DC) \in M_{m,n} \oplus M_{n,m} = \mathfrak{s}'. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Take a holomorphic nilpotent orbit $\mathbb{O}_k^{\text{hol}}$ of rank k in $\mathfrak{s}'_- = M_{n,m}$, where $0 \leq k \leq \min\{m, n\}$, and consider its lift $\mathbb{O}_k = \theta(\mathbb{O}_k^{\text{hol}})$. The closure $\overline{\mathbb{O}_k^{\text{hol}}}$ is an affine quotient of $W_k = M_{k, m+n}$ by GL_k :

$$M_{k, m+n} // \text{GL}_k \simeq \begin{cases} \overline{\mathbb{O}_k^{\text{hol}}} & (0 \leq k \leq \min\{m, n\}), \\ \overline{\mathbb{O}_n^{\text{hol}}} & (n = \min\{m, n\} < k). \end{cases}$$

Here GL_k acts on $M_{k, m+n}$ via

$$g \cdot (E, F) = (gE, {}^t g^{-1} F) \quad (g \in \text{GL}_k, E \in M_{k,m}, F \in M_{k,n}).$$

The quotient map $\zeta' : M_{k, m+n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}_k^{\text{hol}}} \subset M_{n,m}$ is given by

$$\zeta'((E, F)) = {}^t FE \quad ((E, F) \in M_{k, m+n}).$$

The null cone is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathfrak{N}_{p, q+k} &= \psi_{p, q+k}^{-1}(0) = \mathfrak{N}_p^+ \times \mathfrak{N}_{q+k}^- \\
 &= \left\{ Z = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \\ E & F \end{pmatrix} \middle| {}^t AB = 0, {}^t DC + {}^t FE = 0 \right\} \subset M_{p+(q+k), m+n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X_{p,q+k} & \xrightarrow{\parallel \text{GL}_m \times \text{GL}_n} & \mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} & \xrightarrow{\parallel \text{GL}_p \times \text{GL}_q} & M_{k,m+n} \\
 \parallel \text{GL}_k \downarrow & & \parallel \text{GL}_k \downarrow & & \parallel \text{GL}_k \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{O}_r & \xleftarrow{\parallel \text{GL}_m \times \text{GL}_n} & \Xi_r & \xrightarrow{\parallel \text{GL}_p \times \text{GL}_q} & \mathbb{O}'_r{}^{\text{hol}}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \left(\begin{matrix} A^t C, A^t E \\ D^t B \\ F^t B \end{matrix} \right) & \xleftarrow{\varphi_{p,q+k}} & \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \\ E & F \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} & (E, F) \\
 \zeta \downarrow & & \text{proj} \downarrow & & \zeta' \downarrow \\
 (A^t C, D^t B) & \xleftarrow{\varphi} & \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & {}^t DC = -{}^t FE
 \end{array}$$

Here $\Xi_r = \psi^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{O}'_r{}^{\text{hol}}})$ and $r = \min\{k, m, n\}$.

Let us consider a ‘‘contraction map’’

$$f : M_{m+n,k} \ni \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} \mapsto A^t B \in M_{m,n}. \tag{A.2}$$

Then the image of f is a closed subvariety

$$V_r = \{Y \in M_{m,n} \mid \text{rank } Y \leq r\} \subset M_{m,n} \quad (r = \min\{k, m, n\}),$$

which is called the determinantal variety of rank r . If we define an action of $g \in \text{GL}_k$ on $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} \in M_{m+n,k}$ as $g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A^t g \\ B g^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, then V_r is isomorphic to the affine quotient of $M_{m+n,k}$ by GL_k and f is a quotient map

$$f : M_{m+n,k} \longrightarrow V_r \simeq M_{m+n,k} // \text{GL}_k.$$

The following results can be proved by elementary calculations. We are content just to state them. Denote by $G *_H V$ the G -principal bundle over G/H , where V is an H -module.

LEMMA A.3

We keep the notation above. Let us take $Y \in V_r$ with $\text{rank } Y = r$.

- (1) If $k \leq \min\{m, n\}$, then the fiber $f^{-1}(Y)$ is a closed GL_k -orbit isomorphic to GL_k .
- (2) If $k > m = n$, the fiber $f^{-1}(Y)$ is a closed GL_k -orbit isomorphic to $\text{GL}_k / \text{GL}_{k-n}$.

(3) If $k \geq m > n$, there is a GL_k -equivariant isomorphism

$$f^{-1}(Y) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_k *_{\mathrm{GL}_{k-n}} M_{k-n, m-n},$$

where GL_{k-n} acts on $M_{k-n, m-n}$ by the matrix multiplication on the left. Thus the fiber $f^{-1}(Y)$ contains exactly two GL_k -orbits if $m = n + 1$; otherwise, there exist infinitely many orbits in $f^{-1}(Y)$. Among the GL_k -orbits in $f^{-1}(Y)$, there exists a unique closed orbit isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_k / \mathrm{GL}_{k-n}$.

COROLLARY A.4

The generic fiber of the quotient map $f : M_{m+n, k} \rightarrow V_r$ is a single closed GL_k -orbit if and only if $k \leq \min\{m, n\}$ or $m = n$ holds.

Now we consider the generic fiber of $\zeta : X_{p, q+k} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}}_r \subset M_{p, q} \oplus M_{q, p}$. Take $(S, T) \in \overline{\mathbb{O}}_r$. Instead of investigating the fiber $\zeta^{-1}((S, T)) \subset X_{p, q+k}$, we consider the fiber in $\mathfrak{N}_{p, q+k}$:

$$\Upsilon(S, T) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \\ E & F \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{N}_{p, q+k} \mid S = A^t C, T = D^t B \right\}. \tag{A.3}$$

We use the notation of lemma A.3 in the following.

LEMMA A.5

If $\mathrm{rank} S = m$, $\mathrm{rank} T = n$, and $\mathrm{rank} ST = r$, then there exists a $Y \in M_{m, n}$ with $\mathrm{rank} Y = r$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(S, T) &\simeq \mathrm{GL}_m \times \mathrm{GL}_n \times f^{-1}(Y) \\ &((\mathrm{GL}_m \times \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_k)\text{-equivariant isomorphism}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\Upsilon(S, T) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathrm{GL}_m \times \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_k & (k \leq \min\{m, n\}), \\ \mathrm{GL}_m \times \mathrm{GL}_n \times (\mathrm{GL}_k / \mathrm{GL}_{k-n}) & (k > m = n), \\ \mathrm{GL}_m \times \mathrm{GL}_n \times (\mathrm{GL}_k *_{\mathrm{GL}_{k-n}} M_{k-n, m-n}) & (k \geq m > n). \end{cases}$$

COROLLARY A.6

Let us assume that $\mathrm{rank} S = m$, $\mathrm{rank} T = n$, and $\mathrm{rank} ST = r$. Then we have $\zeta^{-1}((S, T)) \simeq f^{-1}(Y)$ for $Y \in M_{m, n}$, as in Lemma A.5. More precisely,

$$\zeta^{-1}((S, T)) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathrm{GL}_k & (k \leq \min\{m, n\}), \\ \mathrm{GL}_k / \mathrm{GL}_{k-n} & (k > m = n), \\ \mathrm{GL}_k *_{\mathrm{GL}_{k-n}} M_{k-n, m-n} & (k \geq m > n). \end{cases}$$

Thus the generic fiber $\zeta^{-1}((S, T))$ is a closed GL_k -orbit if and only if $k \leq \min\{m, n\}$ or $m = n$.

A.3. $Sp(p, q) \times O^*(2n)$ ($n \leq p, q$)

We have the double fibration map

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & W = M_{2p+2q,n} & \\
 \varphi \swarrow & & \searrow \psi \\
 \mathfrak{s} = M_{2p,2q} & & \text{Alt}_n \oplus \text{Alt}_n = \mathfrak{s}'
 \end{array}$$

where the moment maps φ and ψ are given by

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2p+2q,n},$$

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(Z) = A^t B \in M_{2p,2q} = \mathfrak{s}, \\ \psi(Z) = ({}^t A J_p A, {}^t B J_q B) \in \text{Alt}_n \oplus \text{Alt}_n = \mathfrak{s}', \end{cases} \quad J_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_p \\ -1_p & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Take a holomorphic nilpotent orbit $\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\text{hol}}$ of rank $2k$ in $\mathfrak{s}'_- = \text{Alt}_n$, where $0 \leq 2k \leq n$, and consider its lift $\mathbb{O}_k = \theta(\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\text{hol}})$. Note that the rank is necessarily an even integer. The closure $\overline{\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\text{hol}}}$ is an affine quotient of $W_k = M_{2k,n}$ by $Sp(2k, \mathbb{C})$:

$$M_{2k,n} // Sp(2k, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \begin{cases} \overline{\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\text{hol}}} & (0 \leq 2k \leq n), \\ \overline{\mathbb{O}'_l{}^{\text{hol}}} & (n < 2k), \end{cases} \quad l = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Here $Sp(2k, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $M_{2k,n}$ via the left multiplication of matrices. The quotient map $\zeta' : M_{2k,n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}'_k{}^{\text{hol}}} \subset \text{Alt}_n$ is given by $\zeta'(A) = {}^t A J_k A$ ($A \in M_{2k,n}$).

The null cone is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} &= \psi_{p,q+k}^{-1}(0) = \mathfrak{N}_p^+ \times \mathfrak{N}_{q+k}^- \\
 &= \{(A, B, C) \mid {}^t A J_p A = 0, {}^t B J_q B + {}^t C J_k C = 0\} \subset M_{2p+(2q+2k),n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X_{p,q+k} & \xleftarrow{//GL_n} & \mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} & \xrightarrow{//Sp(2p,\mathbb{C}) \times Sp(2q,\mathbb{C})} & M_{2k,n} \\
 //Sp(2k,\mathbb{C}) \downarrow & & //Sp(2k,\mathbb{C}) \downarrow & & //Sp(2k,\mathbb{C}) \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{O}_r & \xleftarrow{//GL_n} & \Xi_r & \xrightarrow{//Sp(2p,\mathbb{C}) \times Sp(2q,\mathbb{C})} & \mathbb{O}'_r{}^{\text{hol}}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (A^t B, A^t C) & \xleftarrow{\varphi_{p,q+k}} & (A, B, C) & \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} & C \\
 \zeta \downarrow & & \text{proj} \downarrow & & \zeta' \downarrow \\
 A^t B & \xleftarrow{\varphi} & (A, B) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & {}^t B J_q B = -{}^t C J_k C
 \end{array}$$

Here $\Xi_r = \psi^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{O}_r^{\text{hol}}})$ and $r = \min\{k, l\}$.

Let us consider a ‘‘contraction map’’

$$f : M_{2k,n} \ni A \longmapsto {}^t A J_k A \in \text{Alt}_n. \tag{A.4}$$

Then the image of f is a closed subvariety

$$V_r = \{Y \in \text{Alt}_n \mid \text{rank } Y \leq 2r\} \subset \text{Alt}_n \quad (r = \min\{k, l\})$$

which is a closed subvariety of the determinantal variety of rank $2r$. If we define an action of $g \in Sp(2k, \mathbb{C})$ on $M_{2k,n}$ by the left multiplication of matrices, then V_r is isomorphic to the affine quotient of $M_{2k,n}$ by $Sp(2k, \mathbb{C})$ and f is a quotient map

$$f : M_{2k,n} \longrightarrow V_r \simeq M_{2k,n} // Sp(2k, \mathbb{C}).$$

Again, we state the following results without proof.

LEMMA A.7

We keep the notation above. Let us take $Y \in V_r$ with $\text{rank } Y = 2r$ and $r = \min\{k, l = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$.

- (1) If $2k \leq n$, then the fiber $f^{-1}(Y)$ is a closed $Sp(2k, \mathbb{C})$ -orbit isomorphic to $Sp(2k, \mathbb{C})$.
- (2) If $2k > n$ and n is even, the fiber $f^{-1}(Y)$ is a closed $Sp(2k, \mathbb{C})$ -orbit isomorphic to $Sp(2k, \mathbb{C})/Sp(2(k - r), \mathbb{C})$.
- (3) If $2k > n$ and n is odd, the fiber $f^{-1}(Y)$ consists of exactly two orbits. The (smaller) closed orbit is isomorphic to $Sp(2k, \mathbb{C})/Sp(2(k - r), \mathbb{C})$, and the larger orbit is isomorphic to $Sp(2k, \mathbb{C})/Q_v$, where $Q_v \subset Sp(2(k - r), \mathbb{C})$ is the stabilizer of a vector $v \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2(k-r)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^{-1}(Y) &\simeq Sp(2k, \mathbb{C})/Sp(2(k - r), \mathbb{C}) \sqcup Sp(2k, \mathbb{C})/Q_v \\
 &\simeq Sp(2k, \mathbb{C}) *_{Sp(2(k-r), \mathbb{C})} \mathbb{C}^{2(k-r)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark A.8

The maximal parabolic subgroup that leaves \mathbb{C}_v stable is isomorphic to $Q_v \times \mathbb{C}^\times$.

COROLLARY A.9

The generic fiber of the quotient map $f : M_{2k,n} \rightarrow V_r$ is a single closed $Sp(2k, \mathbb{C})$ -orbit if and only if $2k \leq n$ or n is even.

Now we consider the generic fiber of $\zeta : X_{p,q+k} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{O}}_r \subset M_{2p,2q}$. Take $S \in \overline{\mathbb{O}}_r$. Instead of investigating the fiber $\zeta^{-1}(S) \subset X_{p,q+k}$, we consider the fiber in $\mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k}$:

$$\Upsilon(S) = \{(A, B, C) \in \mathfrak{N}_{p,q+k} \mid S = A^t B\}. \tag{A.5}$$

We use the notation of Lemma A.7 in the following.

LEMMA A.10

Put $r = \min\{k, l\}$ as above. If $\text{rank } S = n$ and $\text{rank } S J_q^t S = 2r$, then there exists a $Y \in \text{Alt}_n$ with $\text{rank } Y = 2r$ such that

$$\Upsilon(S) \simeq \text{GL}_n \times f^{-1}(Y) \quad ((\text{GL}_n \times \text{Sp}(2k, \mathbb{C}))\text{-equivariant isomorphism}).$$

In particular, we have

$$\Upsilon(S) \simeq \begin{cases} \text{GL}_n \times \text{Sp}(2k, \mathbb{C}) & (2k \leq n), \\ \text{GL}_n \times (\text{Sp}(2k, \mathbb{C})/\text{Sp}(2(k-r), \mathbb{C})) & (2k > n; n \text{ is even}), \\ \text{GL}_n \times (\text{Sp}(2k, \mathbb{C}) *_{\text{Sp}(2(k-r), \mathbb{C})} \mathbb{C}^{2(k-r)}) & (2k > n; n \text{ is odd}). \end{cases}$$

COROLLARY A.11

Let us assume that $\text{rank } S = n$ and $\text{rank } S J_q^t S = 2r$. Then we have $\zeta^{-1}(S) \simeq f^{-1}(Y)$ for $Y \in \text{Alt}_n$ in Lemma A.10. More precisely,

$$\zeta^{-1}(S) \simeq \begin{cases} \text{Sp}(2k, \mathbb{C}) & (2k \leq n), \\ \text{Sp}(2k, \mathbb{C})/\text{Sp}(2(k-r), \mathbb{C}) & (2k > n; n \text{ is even}), \\ \text{Sp}(2k, \mathbb{C}) *_{\text{Sp}(2(k-r), \mathbb{C})} \mathbb{C}^{2(k-r)} & (2k > n; n \text{ is odd}). \end{cases}$$

Thus the generic fiber $\zeta^{-1}(S)$ is a closed $\text{Sp}(2k, \mathbb{C})$ -orbit if and only if $2k \leq n$ or n is even.

Acknowledgments. Part of this work was done while Nishiyama was visiting the Institute for Mathematical Sciences (IMS), National University of Singapore, in 2002. He thanks the IMS for its warm hospitality during the visit. Both authors thank the referee for valuable comments.

References

[1] J. D. ADAMS, *Discrete spectrum of the dual pair* $(\text{O}(p, q), \text{Sp}(2m))$, *Invent. Math.* **74** (1983), 449–475. [MR 0724015](#) 417

[2] ———, “*L*-functoriality for dual pairs” in *Orbites unipotentes et représentations, II*, *Astérisque* **171–172**, Soc. Math. France, Montrouge, 1989, 85–129. [MR 1021501](#) 417

- [3] A. DASZKIEWICZ, W. KRAŚKIEWICZ, and T. PRZEBINDA, *Dual pairs and Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence, II*, preprint, 2003, <http://crystal.ou.edu/~tprzebin/dkp2.pdf> [429](#)
- [4] M. G. DAVIDSON, T. J. ENRIGHT, and R. J. STANKE, *Differential operators and highest weight representations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **94** (1991), no. 455. [MR 1081660](#) [418](#)
- [5] T. ENRIGHT, R. HOWE, and N. WALLACH, “A classification of unitary highest weight modules” in *Representation Theory of Reductive Groups (Park City, Utah, 1982)*, Progr. Math. **40**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983, 97 – 143. [MR 0733809](#) [416](#), [418](#), [437](#)
- [6] T. J. ENRIGHT and R. PARTHASARATHY, “A proof of a conjecture of Kashiwara and Vergne” in *Noncommutative Harmonic Analysis and Lie Groups (Marseille, 1980)*, Lecture Notes in Math. **880**, Springer, Berlin, 1981, 74 – 90. [MR 0644829](#) [418](#)
- [7] K. I. GROSS and R. A. KUNZE, *Finite-dimensional induction and new results on invariants for classical groups, I*, Amer. J. Math. **106** (1984), 893 – 974. [MR 0749261](#) [455](#)
- [8] HARISH-CHANDRA, *Representations of semisimple Lie groups, IV*, Amer. J. Math. **77** (1955), 743 – 777. [MR 0072427](#) [416](#)
- [9] ———, *Representations of semisimple Lie groups, V*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 1 – 41. [MR 0082055](#) [416](#)
- [10] R. HOWE, “ θ -series and invariant theory” in *Automorphic Forms, Representations and L-Functions (Corvallis, Ore., 1977)*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1979, 275 – 286. [MR 0546602](#) [415](#), [416](#), [419](#)
- [11] ———, “Reciprocity laws in the theory of dual pairs” in *Representation Theory of Reductive Groups (Park City, Utah, 1982)*, Progr. Math. **40**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983, 159 – 175. [MR 0733812](#) [438](#)
- [12] ———, *Remarks on classical invariant theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **313** (1989), 539 – 570; *Erratum*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **318** (1990), 823. [MR 0986027](#); [MR 1019521](#) [420](#), [434](#)
- [13] ———, *Transcending classical invariant theory*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), 535 – 552. [MR 0985172](#) [416](#), [427](#), [428](#), [436](#), [444](#), [445](#)
- [14] R. HOWE and C.-B. ZHU, *Eigendistributions for orthogonal groups and representations of symplectic groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **545** (2002), 121 – 166. [MR 1896100](#) [419](#)
- [15] H. P. JAKOBSEN, *Hermitian symmetric spaces and their unitary highest weight modules*, J. Funct. Anal. **52** (1983), 385 – 412. [MR 0712588](#) [416](#)
- [16] M. KASHIWARA and M. VERGNE, *On the Segal-Shale-Weil representations and harmonic polynomials*, Invent. Math. **44** (1978), 1 – 47. [MR 0463359](#) [420](#), [437](#)
- [17] A. W. KNAPP, *Branching theorems for compact symmetric spaces*, Represent. Theory **5** (2001), 404 – 436. [MR 1870596](#) [455](#)
- [18] B. KOSTANT, *Lie group representations on polynomial rings*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 327 – 404. [MR 0158024](#)
- [19] S. S. KUDLA, “Seesaw dual reductive pairs” in *Automorphic Forms of Several Variables*, Progr. Math. **46**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983, 244 – 268. [MR 0763017](#)

438

- [20] S. S. KUDLA and S. RALLIS, *Degenerate principal series and invariant distributions*, Israel J. Math. **69** (1990), 25–45. MR 1046171 419
- [21] S. T. LEE and C.-B. ZHU, *Degenerate principal series and local theta correspondence, II*, Israel J. Math. **100** (1997), 29–59. MR 1469104 419
- [22] ———, *Degenerate principal series and local theta correspondence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 5017–5046. MR 1443883 419
- [23] J.-S. LI, *Singular unitary representations of classical groups*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), 237–255. MR 1001840 416, 439, 441
- [24] ———, *Theta lifting for unitary representations with nonzero cohomology*, Duke Math. J. **61** (1990), 913–937. MR 1084465 417
- [25] ———, “Theta series and construction of automorphic forms” in *Representation Theory of Groups and Algebras*, Contemp. Math. **145**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1993, 237–248. MR 1216192 417, 419, 440, 441
- [26] H. Y. LOKE, *Howe quotients of unitary characters and unitary lowest weight modules*, preprint, 2001, <http://math.nus.edu.sg/~matlhy/loke.pdf> 419, 422, 430, 441
- [27] K. NISHIYAMA, *Multiplicity-free actions and the geometry of nilpotent orbits*, Math. Ann. **318** (2000), 777–793. MR 1802510
- [28] ———, “Theta lifting of two-step nilpotent orbits for the pair $O(p, q) \times Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ ” in *Infinite Dimensional Harmonic Analysis (Kyoto, 1999)*, Gräbner, Altendorf, Germany, 2000, 278–289. MR 1851930 429
- [29] K. NISHIYAMA, H. OCHIAI, and K. TANIGUCHI, “Bernstein degree and associated cycles of Harish-Chandra modules—Hermitian symmetric case” in *Nilpotent Orbits, Associated Cycles and Whittaker Models for Highest Weight Representations*, Astérisque **273**, Soc. Math. France, Montrouge, 2001, 13–80. MR 1845714 417, 418, 430, 443, 453
- [30] K. NISHIYAMA, H. OCHIAI, K. TANIGUCHI, H. YAMASHITA, and S. KATO, *Nilpotent Orbits, Associated Cycles and Whittaker Models for Highest Weight Representations*, Astérisque **273**, Soc. Math. France, Montrouge, 2001. MR 1845713 417, 418
- [31] K. NISHIYAMA, H. OCHIAI, and C.-B. ZHU, *Theta lifting of nilpotent orbits for symmetric pairs*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., <http://math.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~kyo/mypapere.html> 417, 422, 429
- [32] K. NISHIYAMA and C.-B. ZHU, *Theta lifting of holomorphic discrete series: The case of $U(n, n) \times U(p, q)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 3327–3345. MR 1828608 417, 419, 439, 441, 442
- [33] T. OHTA, *Nilpotent orbits of Z_4 -graded Lie algebra and geometry of the moment maps associated to the dual pair $(U(p, q), U(r, s))$* , to appear in Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 429
- [34] ———, *Nilpotent orbits of Z_4 -graded Lie algebra and geometry of the moment maps associated to the dual pairs $(O(p, q), Sp(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ and $(O^*(2n), Sp(p, q))$* , preprint, 2001. 429
- [35] A. PAUL and P. E. TRAPA, *One-dimensional representations of $U(p, q)$ and the Howe correspondence*, J. Funct. Anal. **195** (2002), 129–166. MR 1934355 419

- [36] T. PRZEBINDA, *Characters, dual pairs, and unipotent representations*, J. Funct. Anal. **98** (1991), 59–96. [MR 1111194](#) [422](#), [445](#)
- [37] ———, *Characters, dual pairs, and unitary representations*, Duke Math. J. **69** (1993), 547–592. [422](#)
- [38] S. RALLIS and G. SCHIFFMANN, *Discrete spectrum of the Weil representation*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1977), 267–270. [MR 0429753](#) [417](#)
- [39] D. A. VOGAN JR., “Associated varieties and unipotent representations” in *Harmonic Analysis on Reductive Groups (Brunswick, Maine, 1989)*, Progr. Math. **101**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1991, 315–388. [MR 1168491](#) [417](#), [422](#)
- [40] ———, “The method of coadjoint orbits for real reductive groups” in *Representation Theory of Lie Groups (Park City, Utah)*, IAS/Park City Math. Ser. **8**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2000, 179–238. [MR 1737729](#) [417](#)
- [41] N. R. WALLACH, *The analytic continuation of the discrete series, I, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **251** (1979), 1–17; 19–37. [MR 0531967](#) [416](#)
- [42] H. WEYL, *The Classical Groups: Their Invariants and Representations*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1939. [MR 0000255](#) [430](#)
- [43] H. YAMASHITA, “Cayley transform and generalized Whittaker models for irreducible highest weight modules” in *Nilpotent Orbits, Associated Cycles and Whittaker Models for Highest Weight Representations*, Astérisque **273**, Soc. Math. France, Montrouge, 2001, 81–137. [MR 1845715](#) [453](#)
- [44] C.-B. ZHU, *Invariant distributions of classical groups*, Duke Math. J. **65** (1992), 85–119. [MR 1148986](#) [419](#)
- [45] C.-B. ZHU and J.-S. HUANG, *On certain small representations of indefinite orthogonal groups*, Represent. Theory **1** (1997), 190–206. [MR 1457244](#) [419](#), [441](#)

Nishiyama

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan; kyo@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Zhu

Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, 2 Science Drive 2, Singapore 117543; matzhucb@nus.edu.sg