Exploring the functional dynamics of large-scale brain networks within and across individuals Yale

Jenna M. Reinen¹, B.T. Thomas Yeo.², R. Matthew Hutchison³, Justin T. Baker⁴, Joshua L. Roffman⁵, Jordan W. Smoller⁶, Randy L. Buckner^{3,5}, Avram J. Holmes^{1,5}

¹ Department of Psychology, Yale University; ² National University of Singapore, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Clinical Imaging Research Centre; ³ Harvard University, Department of Psychology; ⁴ McLean Hospital, Department of Psychiatry;

⁵ Massachusetts General Hospital, Psychiatric Neuroimaging Research Division; ⁶ Harvard Medical School, Department of Psychiatry

Background and Rationale

- Human cognition and behavior emerge from the synchrony of brain networks, and disruptions to this synchrony have been observed in human psychiatric illness¹.
- Resting state MRI has been studied using static analyses, but the brain is inherently dynamic. Understanding temporal shifts in network behavior may provide an additional dimension of specificity with which to identify biomarkers of disease.
- Recent evidence^{2,3,4} suggests network coherence fluctuates across distinct states over time.
- Here we explored the dynamic organization of cortical networks in healthy young adults and patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders or psychotic bipolar disorder.

Network architecture is distinct and specific to individuals



Pearson correlations between bold runs (left; n=1,361) and visits (right; n=79) indicate a significant level of consistency within individual participant connectivity profiles.



Methodological Approach

Participants:

- n = 1,919 healthy participants between the ages of 18 and 35 (mean age 21.3; 56% F)⁵
- n = 79 participants who had at least two scans on separate days within 6 months of each other
- n = 157 participants with psychotic illness (mean age = 31.5, 38% F) and n = 358 matched controls (mean age = 37.1, 33% F)

Scanning Parameters:

- Healthy participants were scanned at Harvard/Massachusetts General Hospital on a 3T Tim Trio. The clinical sample was scanned at McLean Hospital.
- MPRAGE: 144 1.2mm slices, TR=2.2s, TE=1.5/3.4/5.2/7.0 ms, flip angle 7°, 1.2x1.2x1.2-mm voxels. FOV 230.
- EPI sequences: 47 3mm slices, TR=3s, TE=30 ms, flip angle 85°, 3x3x3-mm voxels, FOV 216.

Analyses

- Cortical networks (**right**) were parcellated according to prior work demonstrating reliable functional coupling across 57 ROIs in each hemisphere into 17 networks⁶.
- Dynamic connectivity was computed through a sliding window approach using k-means clustering^{2,3} and a 33 second window.





Polar plot shows the mean within-network connectivity for each state within the four state solution (left;) and percent deviation from State A⁴ (right).



State expression is altered in psychosis

- each ROI.

State solutions reveal evidence for an attractor state



When transitioning between states participants display an increased probability of entering state A. The probability of transitioning to each state is shown for all non-redundant possible combinations across state solutions 3-8 (1,2 are obligated).



$Z(r)_{Comparison} - Z(r)_{D}$

- Bar graphs display the dwell time for controls and patients within the four state solution.
- 2D grids display the complete coupling architecture within and between each group.
- Polar plots show percent difference in mean network connectivity between control and psychotic illness groups for States A⁴ and C⁴.

Network-specific disruptions are state-specific in psychosis









Two Three Four Five Six Seven Eight Number of States

State A displays increased stationary probability for state solutions 2-7. Stationary probability for state solutions 2-8 show probability of remaining in a state from time point t to time point t +1.

Z(r)_{Comparison} - Z(r)_{Patients} $\angle(\Gamma)_{\text{Comparison}} = \angle(\Gamma)_{\text{Patients}}$ Z(r) In psychosis, reduced frontoparietal and default network connectivity is evident in states A⁴ and C⁴. Bar graphs reflect mean network connectivity for each group within Control A-C and Default A-D. Results suggest that putative network disruption in psychosis may be state specific.

Psychotic

Illness

Conclusions	References
 The human brain oscillates across multiple architecturally distinct brain states. Across state solutions, dynamic brain states appear to organize into a reconfigurable hierarchy relative to an attractor state. Observed connectivity profiles are reliable within participants across scans and visits. Psychotic illness is associated with state-specific abnormalities in functional connectivity. These findings suggest that understanding temporal shifts in network coherence may elucidate the mechanisms that underlie psychosis. 	 Justin Baker JT, <i>et al.</i> (2014) Disruption of cortical association networks in schizophrenia and psychotic bipolar disorder. <i>JAMA Psychiatry</i> 71(2):109-118. Hutchison RM, <i>et al.</i> (2013) Dynamic functional connectivity: promise, issues, and interpretations. <i>NeuroImage</i> 80:360-378. Allen EA, <i>et al.</i> (2014) Tracking whole-brain connectivity dynamics in the resting state. <i>Cerebral cortex</i> 24(3):663-676. Shine JM, Koyejo O, & Poldrack RA (2016) Temporal metastates are associated with differential patterns of time-resolved connectivity, network topology, and attention. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.</i> Holmes AJ, <i>et al.</i> (2015) Brain Genomics Superstruct Project initial data release with structural, functional, and behavioral measures. <i>Sci Data</i> 2:150031
This work was supported be Shared Instrumentation Grants 1S10RR023043 and 1S10RR023401, the Simons Foundation (RLB), the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (RLB), NIMH grants R01-MH079799 (JWS), K24MH094614 (JWS), K01MH099232 (AJH); Contact: jenna.reinen@yale.edu	6.Yeo BT, et al. (2011) The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. Journal of neurophysiology 106(3):1125-1165.