Association of Hippocampal **Asymmetry and Domain-Specific Cognitive Performance: a** longitudinal study

Elham Ghanbarian, MD, PhD; Babak Khorsand, PhD; Idris Demirsoy, PhD; Ali Ezzati, MD

Dept. of Neurology, University of California, Irvine

OBJECTIVE

To investigate whether the hemispheric hippocampal asymmetry at baseline visit and over follow-up years is associated with decline across different domains of cognitive function in dementia-free individuals.

CONCLUSION

- Hippocampal asymmetry can be used as a predictor for decline across different domains of cognitive function.
- Higher level of baseline CSF p-tau increases the effect of hippocampal asymmetry in predicting memory performance.
- Higher level of baseline CSF p-tau is associated with increased hippocampal asymmetry over time.



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the National Institute of Health AG063993; NIA-(NIA K23 1R01AG080635-01A1); the Alzheimer's Association (SG-24-988292), and Cure Alzheimer's Fund.

ghanbare@hs.uci.edu



Table 3. Association of AI with longitudinal cognitive performance in AT groups

| | A-T- | | | | | A-T+ | | | | A+T- | | | | A+T+ | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-------------|------------|---------|-----------------|------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|---------|-------------|------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|---------|-----------------|------------|---------|----------|------------|---------|----------|------------|---------|
| | AI baseline | | | AI longitudinal | | AI baseline | | AI longitudinal | | AI baseline | | AI longitudinal | | AI baseline | | AI longitudinal | | .1 | | | | | | |
| | Estimate | Std. Error | P value | Estimate | Std. Error | P value | Estimate | Std. Error | P value | Estimate | Std. Error | P value | Estimate | Std. Error | P value | Estimate | Std. Error | P value | Estimate | Std. Error | P value | Estimate | Std. Error | P value |
| MEM | -1.70 | 1.03 | .098 | 80 | .35 | .023 | -4.43 | 1.54 | .004 | .53 | .60 | .375 | -1.19 | 1.44 | .406 | -1.35 | .44 | .002 | -4.42 | 1.34 | .001 | 16 | .35 | .644 |
| LAN | -1.15 | .85 | .176 | 89 | .39 | .022 | -1.15 | 1.22 | .347 | .15 | .65 | .817 | -1.64 | 1.16 | .162 | 74 | .50 | .139 | -3.17 | 1.10 | .004 | 02 | .39 | .956 |
| EXF | 98 | .86 | .275 | 87 | .40 | .029 | 54 | 1.28 | .671 | .02 | .75 | .975 | 45 | 1.23 | .712 | 59 | .52 | .260 | -2.02 | 1.17 | .085 | 54 | .42 | .200 |
| VSP | .13 | .81 | .868 | .03 | .49 | .937 | -2.44 | 1.25 | .050 | .93 | .83 | .263 | 16 | 1.13 | .887 | .09 | .64 | .887 | .59 | 1.04 | .568 | 91 | .56 | .108 |

Abbreviations: AI, asymmetry index; MEM, memory; LAN, language; EXF, executive functioning; VSP, visuo-spatial functioning

Table 4. Interaction of AD biomarkers with AI in predicting MEM decline

| Table 4. Interaction of AD biomarkers with At in predicting with decline. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|------------|---------|----------|------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Аß-42 | | P_tau | | | | | | |
| | Estimate | Std. Error | P value | Estimate | Std. Error | P value | | | | |
| AI baseline x Biomarker | .29 | .00 | .775 | 12 | .05 | .014 | | | | |
| AI longitudinal x Biomarker | .59 | .00 | .071 | .04 | .01 | .019 | | | | |

Abbreviations: AI, asymmetry index; Aβ42, Amyloid beta 42; p-tau, phosphorylated tau. Baseline levels of the biomarkers are used in the model.

| INTRODUCTIO | N | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| l with MRI, has huge potential as a * Y i | We also studied how baseline CSF Ali impacts the effect of hippocampal asyn | | ▲ \ | | | | | |
| | We also investigated the impact of baseline AT biomarkers on the longitud in hippocampal asymmetry over 15 years of follow up. | | | | | | | |
| ocampal asymmetry over time is | | | | | | | | |
| METHODS | | | | | | | | |
| Disease Neuroimaging Initiative | Asymmetry Index (AI) was defined as $\frac{ Left Hippocampus - Right Hippocampus}{Left Hippocampus + Right Hippocampus}$ | | | | | | | |
| omarkers Aβ and p-tau at baseline $\frac{1}{2}$ | randomness across participants. Models were adjusted for baseline age, sex | | | | | | | |
| ctioning (EXF), and Visuo-Spatial * | | | | | | | | |
| omarkers of Ap and p-tau. | of education. | | | | | | | |
| +3) from the Alzheimer's Disease tortium (ADSP-PHC) were used. | The regression coefficients for the bather outcomes of interest. | seline and longitudin | al AI have been | | | | | |
| RESULTS | | | | | | | | |
| longitudinal MEM, LAN & EXF, | Table 1. Participantscharacteristics at baseline | Measure | Mean ± SD | | | | | |
| | characteristics at baseline | n | 1335 | | | | | |
| This all groups with I ANI in A T | | Age, y | 72.58 ± 6.95 | | | | | |
| EM in all groups, with LAN in A-T- | Abbreviations: Aβ42, Amyloid | Female (n, %) | 643 (48.2%) | | | | | |
| 3). | beta 42; p-tau, phosphorylated | Education, y | 16.31 ± 2.63 | | | | | |
| | tau; MEM, memory; LAN, | Follow-up time, y (Median) | | | | | | |
| CI, baseline AI is associated with | language; EXF, executive | Aß42-baseline, pg/mL | 1147.11 ± 636.84 | | | | | |
| 2, estimate for MEM -2.66, 95% CI | | p-tau-baseline, pg/mL | 24.84 ± 13.04 | | | | | |
| EXF -1.50 95% CI -2.96 to03). | functioning | Asymmetry Index - baseline | $.03 \pm .02$ | | | | | |
| 1.30 35/0 CI - 2.30 to05). | | MEM-baseline | $.57 \pm .64$ | | | | | |
| y cognitive domains but there was | | LAN-baseline | $.59 \pm .53$ 53 + 56 | | | | | |
| | | EXF- baseline VSP- baseline | $.53 \pm .56$ $.46 \pm .51$ | | | | | |
| e and longitudinal AI association | | v 51 - Uasellile | .40 ± .31 | | | | | |
| | Table 2. Association of hippocar | npal asymmetry with de | cline across 4 c | | | | | |

| | | Baseline AI | | Longitudinal AI | | | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|------------|---------|--|--|
| | Estimate | Std. Error | P value | Estimate | Std. Error | P value | | |
| MEM | -3.07 | .71 | <.001 | 53 | .21 | .011 | | |
| LAN | -1.94 | .55 | <.001 | 46 | .23 | .045 | | |
| EXF | -1.20 | .58 | .041 | 60 | .24 | .016 | | |
| VSP | 16 | .51 | .749 | 27 | .30 | .375 | | |

Abbreviations: AI, asymmetry index; MEM, memory; LAN, language; EXF, executive functioning; VSP, visuo-spatial functioning

| | | Aß-42 | | P_tau | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|------------|---------|----------|------------|---------|--|
| | Estimate | Std. Error | P value | Estimate | Std. Error | P value | |
| Baseline level | 17 | .10 | .086 | .87 | .50 | .083 | |
| Baseline x Follow-up years | 00 | .02 | .780 | .35 | .12 | .005 | |

Abbreviations: Aβ42, Amyloid beta 42; p-tau, phosphorylated tau. AI is the dependent variable in the linear mixed model.

lassification) er time.

linal changes

AI and AI e change in

ount for the x, and years

reported as

Table 2. Association of hippocampal asymmetry with decline across 4 cognitive domains

Table 5. Association of AD biomarkers with hippocampal asymmetry over time.