RESEARCH ARTICLE | JULY 05 2023

Spin textures in synthetic antiferromagnets: Challenges, opportunities, and future directions

Special Collection: Emerging Materials in Antiferromagnetic Spintronics

Kang Wang 🐱 💿 ; Vineetha Bheemarasetty 💿 ; Gang Xiao 🕿 💿

(Check for updates

APL Mater 11, 070902 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0153349



06 July 2023 12:56:08





Spin textures in synthetic antiferromagnets: Challenges, opportunities, and future directions

Cite as: APL Mater. 11, 070902 (2023); doi: 10.1063/5.0153349 Submitted: 6 April 2023 • Accepted: 19 June 2023 • Published Online: 5 July 2023



Kang Wang, 🖲 🕩 Vineetha Bheemarasetty, 🕩 and Gang Xiao 🖲 🕩

AFFILIATIONS

Department of Physics, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA

Note: This paper is part of the Special Topic on Emerging Materials in Antiferromagnetic Spintronics. ^{a)}Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed: kang_wang@brown.edu and gang_xiao@brown.edu

ABSTRACT

Spin textures, such as magnetic domain walls and skyrmions, have the potential to revolutionize electronic devices by encoding information bits. Although recent advancements in ferromagnetic films have led to promising device prototypes, their widespread implementation has been hindered by material-related drawbacks. Antiferromagnetic spin textures, however, offer a solution to many of these limitations, paving the way for faster, smaller, more energy-efficient, and more robust electronics. The functionality of synthetic antiferromagnets, comprised of two or more magnetic layers separated by spacers, may be easily manipulated by making use of different materials as well as interface engineering. In this Perspective article, we examine the challenges and opportunities presented by spin textures in synthetic antiferromagnets and propose possible directions and prospects for future research in this burgeoning field.

© 2023 Author(s). All article content, except where otherwise noted, is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0153349

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent advances in spintronics have led to the development of promising device prototypes that exploit the interplay between spin torques and spin textures. Spin textures, such as magnetic domain walls and skyrmions, hold great potential for encoding information in innovative electronic devices. The majority of research on spin textures has been centered on ferromagnetic films in which ferromagnetic layers interface with heavy elements possessing strong spin-orbit coupling.¹⁻⁴ Exchange interactions between neighboring spins of a ferromagnetic layer are mediated by a non-magnetic atom, resulting in the interfacial Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (DMI).^{5,6} This interaction favors one sense of rotation of the magnetization over the other, thus manipulating the chirality of a spin texture. In addition, heavy elements can serve as spin Hall materials,⁷ allowing for the conversion of charge current into spin current through spin-orbit coupling, thereby facilitating the efficient manipulation of spin textures. Despite recent progress, the widespread implementation of such devices has been limited due to the inherent drawbacks of ferromagnetic materials. Their fringing magnetic fields restrict the density of closely packed domain walls and impede the creation of ultrasmall skyrmions. The operation speed is restricted by their low-frequency precession. Topological spin textures like

skyrmions experience a transverse deflection in motion^{17,18} that complicates their efficient manipulation. Conversely, antiferromagnetic spin textures have the potential to overcome many of these limitations, leading to faster, smaller, more energy-efficient, and more robust electronics.

Historically, considerable research efforts in spintronics have been dedicated to ferromagnetic materials, while antiferromagnets were initially deemed "extremely interesting from a theoretical viewpoint" but with little practical value (see the Nobel lecture of Louis Néel who discovered antiferromagnetism in the 1930s).¹⁹ This Perspective remained unchanged for about half a century until the late 1980s when ferromagnetic/antiferromagnetic exchange bias^{20,21} was utilized in spin valves, which were commercialized in hard disk recording heads. More recently, several properties of antiferromagnets have been discovered that take advantage of exchange enhancement, spin–orbit coupling, and the interplay between spin torques and spin textures. These properties render antiferromagnetic materials outstanding candidates for next-generation spintronic applications, leading to a new paradigm of antiferromagnetic spintronics.^{22–28}

Synthetic antiferromagnets (SAFs) consist of two or more magnetic layers separated by spacers,²⁹ as illustrated in Fig. 1. These magnetic layers can be metallic ferromagnets,^{30–44} diluted



magnetic semiconductors,⁴⁵ or ferrimagnets.^{46,47} The spacers, on the other hand, may consist of metallic,^{30–35,37,38,40,43,44,46,47} semiconducting,⁴⁵ and insulating^{36,39,41,42} layers. Interactions between spins in the magnetic layers and electrons in the spacer cause spin-dependent Friedel-like spatial oscillations in the spin density of the spacer. According to the Ruderman–Kittel–Kasuya–Yosida (RKKY) theory,^{48–50} this leads to an interlayer ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic coupling between magnetic layers. The RKKYtype interlayer exchange coupling (IEC) oscillates with the spacer thickness.^{30–32,38,43,51,52} Table I provides a summary of the IEC strength and oscillation period for various spacers.^{30,39–42,45} In addition, the thickness of magnetic layers can also modulate quantum interferences inside magnetic layers, affecting the spin asymmetry of reflection coefficients at the interfaces and thereby quantum interferences in the spacer.^{34–36,41,44,45,52–58}

SAFs, featuring an antiferromagnetic coupling between magnetic layers, resemble crystal antiferromagnets in that they do not produce stray fields, making them highly suitable for reducing device size and ensuring device stability against external fields. In addition, SAFs exhibit high-frequency spin dynamics, making them attractive for high-speed electronics. Since the interlayer RKKY interaction is much weaker than the direct exchange or superexchange interaction in crystal antiferromagnets, SAFs can be manipulated more easily by engineering structures with different materials and interfaces. This enables the realization of diverse static and dynamic properties in SAFs, thereby enhancing their performance and capabilities across various applications, as summarized in Table II.^{59–74}

Central to many of these applications is the intricate interplay between spin torques and magnetization. In addition to currentinduced spin-transfer torque (STT)^{59,60} and spin-orbit torque (SOT),⁶¹⁻⁶³ the application of an electric field can efficiently alter material properties by mediating carrier density at the Fermi surface.^{38,75-78} Furthermore, magnon-mediated torque, which does not rely on conducting electrons, presents a promising avenue for the manipulation of magnetization.⁷⁹ This approach offers a potential solution to the energy-dissipation issue and enables more efficient control.

The observation of spin textures, such as domain walls^{65,80,81} and skyrmions,^{82–86} in SAFs has pointed to opportunities for further exploration and utilization. Although conceptual devices have been proposed, as illustrated in Table II,^{65–69,71–74} several challenges remain unresolved, regarding the stabilization, efficient manipulation, and electrical detection of spin textures, as well as the development of three-dimensional spin textures. This Perspective article aims to explore the challenges and opportunities associated with spin textures in SAFs. We present potential directions and prospects for future research in this field, aiming to identify strategies to harness the unique properties of SAFs to improve the performance of electronic devices that employ spin textures.

TABLE I. A summary of the IEC strength and oscillation period for various specific spacers. A_1 corresponds to the spacer thickness at the position of the first peak in antiferromagnetic IEC as the spacer thickness is increased. J_1 represents the IEC strength at this first peak, and P denotes the oscillation period.

Spacer	Properties	A_1 (Å)	$J_1 (\mathrm{mJ/m^2})$	P (Å)	Reference
V	Metal	9	0.1	9	30
Cr	Metal	7	0.24	18	30
Cu	Metal	8	0.3	10	30
Nb	Metal	9.5	0.02		30
Мо	Metal	5.2	0.12	11	30
Ru	Metal	3	5	11	30
Rh	Metal	7.9	1.6	9	30
Та	Metal	7	0.01		30
W	Metal	5.5	0.03		30
Re	Metal	4.2	0.41	10	30
Ir	Metal	4	1.86	9	30
Fe ₃₇ Cr ₆₃	Metal		0.5		40
GaAs:Be @ 5 K	Semiconductor		0.000 05		45
MgO	Insulator	25	0.004		41
CaRu _{0.5} Ti _{0.5} O ₃	Insulator	12	0.025		42
VO ₂ @ 200 K	Insulator	7.5	0.05		39

06 July 2023 12:56:08

Applications	Static/dynamic properties	References	
Magnetic random access memory	Spin-transfer torque-driven	59 and 60	
	magnetization reversal	(1, (2)	
	Spin-orbit torque-driven	61–63	
	magnetization reversal		
	Voltage-controlled magnetization	64	
	reversal		
De estre els moments	Domain-wall motion	65-68	
Racetrack memory	Skyrmion motion	66 and 69	
Cuiu tours a sillatour	Spin precession	70	
Spin-torque oscillators	Gyrating motion of a skyrmion	71	
Synapses	Voltage-controlled skyrmion-size	72	
	variation		
Neurons	Skyrmion motion through a barrier	73	
True random number generator	Random motion of skyrmions through a Y-shaped branch	74	

TABLE II. Static and dynamic properties of SAFs in diverse applications.

II. SPIN TEXTURES IN SYNTHETIC ANTIFERROMAGNETS

The formation of a spin texture is a result of the complex interplay between several energy terms, including anisotropy $(U_{ani})_{87,88}^{87,88}$ exchange interaction (U_{ex}) , interfacial DMI $(U_{IF-DMI})_{56,89}^{56,89}$ Zeeman (U_{Zeeman}) , and demagnetization (U_{demag}) energies. Additionally, the RKKY interaction (U_{RKKY}) in SAFs and the interlayer DMI (U_{IL-DMI}) in specific systems,^{90–96} must also be considered. The free energy of a system can be expressed as

$$U = U_{ani} + U_{ex} + U_{IF-DMI} + U_{RKKY} + U_{IL-DMI} + U_{Zeeman} + U_{demag.}$$
(1)

In this equation, $U_{ani} = -\sum_{\langle \alpha,\beta \rangle, \langle i,j \rangle} K_{\alpha} S_{\alpha,i}^{z-2}$, where *K* is the anisotropy constant, the subscripts α and β represent the α th and β th magnetic layers, and *i* and *j* represent the *i*th and *j*th spins of a magnetic layer, respectively. $U_{ex} = -\sum_{\langle \alpha,\beta \rangle, \langle i,j \rangle} J_{\alpha,ij} \mathbf{S}_{\alpha,i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\alpha,j}$ where $J_{\alpha,ij}$ is the exchange stiffness. $U_{IF-DMI} = -\sum_{\langle \alpha,\beta \rangle, \langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{D}_{\alpha,ij} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{\alpha,i} \times \mathbf{S}_{\alpha,j})$, where $\mathbf{D}_{\alpha,ij}$ is the interfacial DMI vector. $U_{RKKY} = -\sum_{\langle \alpha,\beta \rangle, \langle i,j \rangle} J_{\alpha\beta,i} \mathbf{S}_{\alpha,i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\beta,i}$, where $J_{\alpha\beta,i}$ is the interlayer RKKY interaction between the α th and β th magnetic layers. $U_{IL-DMI} = -\sum_{\langle \alpha,\beta \rangle, \langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{D}_{\alpha\beta,i} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{\alpha,i} \times \mathbf{S}_{\beta,i})$, where $\mathbf{D}_{\alpha\beta,i}$ is the interlayer DMI between magnetic layers. $U_{Zeeman} = -\mu \sum_{\langle \alpha,\beta \rangle, \langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{B}_{ext} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\alpha,i}$ and $U_{demag} = -\mu \sum_{\langle \alpha,\beta \rangle, \langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{B}_{demag} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\alpha,i}$, where \mathbf{B}_{ext} and \mathbf{B}_{demag} are the external field and demagnetization field, respectively. Figure 1 provides a schematic representation of these interaction energies, which collectively determine the spin-texture configuration in SAFs.

Spin textures, such as magnetic domain walls and skyrmions, can emerge in a system through a spin-reorientation transition. To induce the emergence of spin textures in a ferromagnetic film, the magnetic anisotropy must be adjusted from in-plane to out-of-plane. However, in a SAF composed of two magnetic layers, it is not necessary to precisely adjust the magnetic anisotropy of each layer to achieve the transition from in-plane to perpendicular. Spin textures can emerge when the magnetic anisotropies of the two layers compensate each other, as illustrated in Figs. 2(a)-2(c). This provides greater controls for creating spin textures in SAFs.

We use a macrospin model to compute the magnetization M_z as a function of the perpendicular magnetic field B_z by minimizing the free energy. In this model, we consider only two spins, each located in a different magnetic layer. Although the calculations do not provide information about spin textures, they provide insight into how a system undergoes the spin-reorientation transition. In our calculations, we adopt saturation magnetizations $M_{S1} = M_{S2} = 1.06$ A m⁻¹, thicknesses of the two magnetic layers $d_1 = 0.8$ nm and $d_2 = 1.0$ nm, and the interlayer RKKY interaction strength $J_{12} = -1.07 \times 10^{-5}$ J m⁻², while neglecting the interlayer DMI. These magnetic parameters are based on our previous measurements of a CoFeB/Ta/CoFeB system.⁴⁴ Figure 2(b) shows the slope of M_z with respect to B_z at zero field, which indicates that the system undergoes a spin-reorientation transition when magnetic anisotropies of the two layers, K_1 and K_2 , compensate each other.

Domain walls and skyrmions can exist in a wide range of magnetic systems. These spin textures have been observed in multiple SAFs including Co/Ni/Co/Ru/Co/Ni/Co,^{65,80} Co/Ni/Co/Rh/Co/Ni/Co,⁸¹ Pt/Co/Ru/Co/W,⁶⁷ Pt/Co/Co_{0.19}Fe_{0.56}B_{0.25}/Ir/Co/CoFeB/W,⁸² [Co/Pd]/Ru/[Co/Pd],⁸³ [Co/Pd]/Ir/Pt/[Co/Pd],⁸⁴ [Pt/Co/Ni/Co/Ru]2,⁸⁵ and Pt/Co/Ru/Pt/Co/Ru,⁸⁶ as summarized in Table III. Figures 2(d)–2(f) showcase the magnetization behavior of the Pt/Co/Co_{0.19}Fe_{0.56}B_{0.25}/Ir/Co/CoFeB/W multilayer in responses to in-plane and out-of-plane magnetic fields.⁸² The results demonstrate a spin-reorientation transition within the system, and notably, the observation of magnetic skyrmions in the SAF.



FIG. 2. (a) Numerical calculations of hysteresis loops for M_z vs B_z using different K_2 parameters while K_1 was kept at zero. (b) The slope of M_z with respect to B_z at zero field, which indicates that the system undergoes a spin-reorientation transition when magnetic anisotropies of the two layers, K_1 and K_2 , compensate each other. (c) Schematic representations of domain walls and skyrmions in SAFs. (d) Schematic of the stack structure of Pt/Co/Co_{0.19}Fe_{0.56}B_{0.25}/Ir/Co/CoFeB/W highlighting the interlayer antiferromagnetic coupling and interfacial DMI. (e) The magnetization behavior of the multilayer in response to both in-plane (red) and out-of-plane (blue) magnetic fields. (f) A magneto-optic Kerr effect microscopy image of magnetic skyrmions at a magnetic field of 0.32 T. (d)–(e) are reproduced with permission from Dohi *et al.*, Nat. Commun. **10**, 5153 (2019). Copyright 2019 Springer Nature.

While magnetic anisotropy is a crucial parameter for the emergence of spin textures, the detailed configuration of a spin texture, such as the skyrmion size and domain-wall width, is strongly dependent on other material parameters as well. For example, Legrand *et al.* have shown a strong dependence of the skyrmion size on the interfacial DMI.⁸⁶ While some studies have been conducted on the origin and morphology of these spin textures, further research is necessary. A general theory on the skyrmion size and domain-wall width is needed, analogous to what has been developed for ferromagnetic systems.⁹⁷

Skyrmions were only observed in a nonzero, finite field range in these SAFs. Zero-field stabilization of skyrmions is crucial for skyrmionic applications. Field-free creation and manipulation of ferromagnetic skyrmions have been verified by engineering interfacial exchange bias,^{98,99} modifying the device geometry,^{100,101} as well as by using external stimuli, such as strain engineering,¹⁰²

TABLE III. Summary of different SA	F systems that host :	spin textures, along	with their correspond	ing velocities v_d at the
current density $J_{\rm C}$.				

Systems	Spin textures	Skyrmion size (nm)	v_d (m/s)	$J_{\rm C}$ (A/cm ²)	Reference
Co/Ni/Co/Ru/Co/Ni/Co	Domain walls		750	3×10^8	65
Co/Ni/Co/Ru/Co/Ni/Co	Domain walls		250	1.2×10^8	80
Co/Ni/Co/Rh/Co/Ni/Co	Domain walls		550	2.2×10^8	81
Co/CoFeB/Ir/Co/CoFeB	Skyrmions	1000	10	$1.0 imes 10^8$	82
[Co/Pd]/Ru/[Co/Pd]	Skyrmions	160			83
[Co/Pd]/Ir/Pt/[Co/Pd]	Skyrmions	1000	0.5	2.3×10^{7}	84
[Pt/Co/Ni/Co/Ru/Pt/Co/Ni/Co/Ru]2	Skyrmions	100-350			85
Pt/Co/Ru/Pt/Co/Ru	Skyrmions	50	•••		86

chemisorption, and desorption.¹⁰³ Zero-field creation and manipulation of skyrmions in SAFs remains elusive. Given that SAFs are immune to the external field, it is thereby difficult to create zero-field antiferromagnetic skyrmions through exchange-bias engineering. Instead, a biasing interaction provided by an additional magnetic layer may help to create zero-field skyrmions.⁸⁶ Moreover, external stimuli, such as strain, voltage, optics, and magnons, may also help to create zero-field skyrmions. The key is to switch magnetization in a defined region to form a magnetic bubble that may then be transformed into a skyrmion stabilized by the antisymmetric DMI.

Due to their inherent resistance to external fields and the absence of dipolar stray fields, spin textures in SAFs are widely recognized as superior to their ferromagnetic counterparts in terms of storage and stability of magnetic states. However, a significant challenge lies in the deterministic detection of spin textures in SAFs, which is critical for the successful implementation of electronic devices. At present, various techniques are employed for the direct imaging of spin textures in SAFs, including the magneto-optic Kerr effect (MOKE) microscopy,^{82,84} Lorentz transmission electron microscopy (L-TEM),83 magnetic force microscopy (MFM),86 and x-ray magnetic circular dichroism scanning transmission xray microscopy (XMCD-STXM).85 In addition, spin textures in SAFs can also be electrically detected through various methods such as the anomalous Hall effect¹⁰⁴ and tunneling magnetoresistance (TMR) effect.¹⁰⁵ Anomalous Hall detection requires using different thicknesses for the two magnetic layers to ensure that the magnetization cannot be fully compensated. Ma et al. demonstrated an anomalous Hall detection of skyrmion nucleation in arrays of magnetic nanodots built in a Hall cross, as depicted in Fig. 3.104 Furthermore, Guang et al. have shown the electrical detection of skyrmions in ferromagnetic [Pt/Co/Ta] multilayers using the TMR effect in a magnetic tunnel junction.¹⁰⁵ However, the deterministic detection of a single skyrmion in SAFs using these methods remains a challenge for ongoing research. The key lies in creating a single skyrmion in a controlled manner and reducing electronic noise. Single skyrmions can be generated by passing current through a constricted geometry,^{1,100,106,107} exploiting local defects,^{100,108} or applying localized spin torque, 3,110-112 as demonstrated in

ferromagnetic systems^{1,3,100,106-111} as well as in micromagnetic simulations¹¹² of SAFs. To reduce skyrmion noise, the use of local pinning centers can be implemented, which can also reliably position skyrmions and avoid skyrmion annihilation. In addition, the electronic signal can be enhanced by reducing the detection area relative to the skyrmion size.

III. DYNAMICS OF SPIN TEXTURES

Spin textures in SAFs are particularly intriguing given their high-speed motion which makes them effective carriers for spintronic devices. The dynamics of a spin is governed by the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation,

$$\dot{\mathbf{m}} = -\frac{\gamma_{\rm L}}{M_{\rm S}} \mathbf{m} \times \left(-\frac{\delta U}{\delta \mathbf{m}}\right) + \alpha_{\rm L} \mathbf{m} \times \dot{\mathbf{m}} + \tau, \qquad (2)$$

where γ_L and α_L represent the gyromagnetic ratio and Gilbert damping constant, respectively. τ refers to additional torques, such as the conventional STT $\tau_{\text{FL},\text{STT}} = \frac{p a^3 \gamma_L \hbar}{2 e M_c} (\mathbf{j} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{m}),$ $\tau_{\text{DL,STT}} = -\frac{pa^{3}\gamma_{\text{L}}\hbar}{2eM_{s}}\beta[\mathbf{m}\times(\mathbf{j}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{m})], \text{ and current-induced SOTs}$ $\tau_{\text{FL,SOT}} = \frac{\gamma_{\text{L}}\Theta_{\text{SH}}\hbar p}{2eM_{s}d}(\mathbf{m}_{p}\times\mathbf{m}), \quad \tau_{\text{DL,SOT}} = \frac{\gamma_{\text{L}}\Theta_{\text{SH}}\hbar p}{2eM_{s}d}\alpha_{\text{L}}[\mathbf{m}\times(\mathbf{m}_{p}\times\mathbf{m})].$ The STT can be understood through a simple model—when the current passes through a magnetic system, the electron spin is deflected by the magnetic moment, and at the same time there is a reactive force from the electrons to the magnetic moment, which is the STT as schematically illustrated in Fig. 4. In STT expressions, e is the elementary charge, \hbar is the reduced Planck constant, a is the lattice constant, p is the spin polarization, **j** is the charge current, and β is the adiabatic constant. The SOT arises from spin current generated by the spin-orbit coupling effect such as the Rashba effect¹¹³ and spin Hall effects.^{7,10,13,14,16,114-118} The spin-orbit coupling causes electrons with different spin polarizations to deflect in different directions, resulting in a spin current, as schematically shown in Fig. 4. Considering the polarization direction \mathbf{m}_p of the spin current and the charge current j, the spin current is presented as $\mathbf{j}_{S} = \frac{\hbar}{2e} \Theta_{SH}(\mathbf{m}_{p} \times \mathbf{j})$, where Θ_{SH} is the spin Hall angle



FIG. 3. (a) Schematic illustration of nanostructured SAF multilayers. (b) Descending branches of the anomalous Hall resistance R_{xy} as a function of the magnetic field for SAF multilayers with N = 4, Q = 1, and Q = 9. Here, N represents the number of repeats of the bottom layer in the multilayer structure, while Q corresponds to the number of nanodots present in a Hall cross configuration. (c) Zoomed-in view of the specific region highlighted by the blue frame in (b). In this region, the presence of "antiferromagnetic spin textures" is denoted by the abbreviation "AST." Reproduced with permission from Ma *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Rev. **9**, 021404 (2022). Copyright 2022 AIP Publishing.

06 July 2023 12:56:08



FIG. 4. Schematic representations of STT and SOTs in a multilayer.

characterizing the conversion efficiency. A spin texture refers to a collection of spins, which can be effectively manipulated as an individual, particle-like entity.

In a ferromagnetic system, the presence of a threshold driving force causes a spin texture, such as the domain wall, to precess. This results in an abrupt decrease in domain-wall velocity known as the Walker breakdown.^{119,120} However, this phenomenon is absent in antiferromagnetic systems due to the symmetry of the torques acting on domain walls in the two sublattices.¹²¹ This effect, together

with an additional effective torque arising from the RKKY interaction $-\frac{\gamma_L}{M_S} \mathbf{m} \times \left(-\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{m}} U_{\text{RKKY}}\right)$,^{65,122} leads to a higher effective mobility of domain walls in SAFs, as presented in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b). For similar reasons, one would also expect other spin textures, such as skyrmions, to exhibit high speeds of motion in SAFs, as illustrated in Fig. 5(c).

When considering a rigid spin texture, the Thiele equation can be derived by integrating the LLG equation in space to describe the motion of a spin texture under a driving force.^{123,124} In the case of a conventional STT, the Thiele equation is expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}} \times (\mathbf{v}_{s1} - \mathbf{v}_{d1}) + \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}} (\beta \mathbf{v}_{s1} - \alpha_{L} \mathbf{v}_{d1}) + \mathbf{F}_{1} + \mathbf{F}_{2/1} = 0$$
(3a)

$$-\mathcal{G} \times (\mathbf{v}_{s2} - \mathbf{v}_{d2}) + \overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (\beta \mathbf{v}_{s2} - \alpha_{\mathrm{L}} \mathbf{v}_{d2}) + \mathbf{F}_{2} + \mathbf{F}_{1/2} = 0.$$
(3b)

In the case of current-induced SOTs, the Thiele equation can then be written as

$$\mathcal{G} \times \mathbf{v}_{d1} + \alpha_{\rm L} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{v}_{d1} - \frac{\gamma_{\rm L} \Theta_{\rm SH} \hbar p}{2M_{\rm S} e d} \mathcal{T} + \mathbf{F}_1 + \mathbf{F}_{2/1} = 0$$
(4a)

$$-\mathcal{G} \times \mathbf{v}_{d2} + \alpha_{\rm L} \overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{v}_{d2} + \frac{\gamma_{\rm L} \Theta_{\rm SH} \hbar p}{2M_{\rm S} e d} \mathcal{T} + \mathbf{F}_2 + \mathbf{F}_{1/2} = 0.$$
(4b)



FIG. 5. (a) The magneto-optic Kerr effect microscopy images illustrating the movement of a single domain wall along a SAF nanowire composed of Co/Ni/Co/Ru/Co/Ni/Co. (b) The domain-wall velocity dependent on the ratio of remnant magnetization M_R to saturation magnetization M_S . (c) The average velocity of skyrmion bubbles in a SAF Pt/Co/Co_{0.19}Fe_{0.56}B_{0.25}/lr/Co/CoFeB/W multilayer (blue) and a ferromagnetic Pt/Co/Co_{0.19}Fe_{0.56}B_{0.25}/lr/Ru multilayer (red). (d) The skyrmion Hall angle as a function of the average skyrmion velocity for skyrmion bubbles in both SAF and ferromagnetic layers. (a) and (b) are reproduced with permission from Yang *et al.*, Nat. Nanotechnol. **10**, 221 (2015). Copyright 2015 Springer Nature. (c) and (d) are reproduced with permission from Dohi *et al.*, Nat. Commun. **10**, 5153 (2019). Copyright 2019 Springer Nature.

 $\mathcal{G} = (0, 0, \mathcal{G})$ is the gyrovector and $\mathcal{G} = \int \mathbf{m} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{m}}{\partial x} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{m}}{\partial y}\right) dxdy$ is related to the topological property of a spin texture. \mathcal{D} is the dissipative tensor with $\mathcal{D}_{ij} = \frac{Md}{\gamma_{La}} \int \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{m}}{\partial \xi_i} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{m}}{\partial \xi_i}\right) dxdy$. $\mathbf{F} = -\frac{\gamma_L}{M_S d} \nabla U$ is the pinning force arising from a non-uniform distribution of material parameters. In Eq. (3), $\mathbf{v}_s = -\frac{pa^3 \gamma_L \hbar}{2eM_s} \mathbf{j}$ is the effective spin velocity, and \mathbf{v}_d is the velocity of a spin texture. In Eq. (4), $\mathcal{T} = \int d^2 x j \mathbf{m}_p \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{m})$ represents the driving force from the spin current. $\mathbf{F}_{2/1}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{1/2}$ represent the coupling forces that describe the interaction between the textures in two magnetic layers.

Trivial spin textures, such as domain walls, have a topological charge of zero. Therefore, the first terms in Eqs. (3) and (4) vanish, and these textures do not experience transverse motion. On the other hand, non-trivial spin textures, like skyrmions, have a nonzero topological charge and experience a Magnus force [the first terms in Eqs. (3) and (4)] when in motion. This results in the skyrmion Hall effect, which describes the additional transverse motion that accompanies the longitudinal motion induced by the current.^{17,18} In applications such as racetrack memory devices, the intrinsic skyrmion Hall effect may drive a texture toward a racetrack boundary, resulting in its annihilation. Interestingly, in SAFs, the skyrmion Hall effect may disappear because of the opposing Magnus forces acting on textures in the two magnetic layers, as displayed in Fig. 5(d).^{82,84}

The advancement of spintronic technology depends heavily on the effective manipulation of spin textures. Based on the analysis presented above, several approaches could be considered to further improve the efficiency of electrical manipulation.^{125,126} The speed of a skyrmion can be increased by using the non-adiabatic STT β and confining it in a one-dimensional channel.¹²⁶ In this scenario, the velocity of the skyrmion motion is proportional to $\beta/\alpha_{\rm L} \mathbf{v}_{\rm s}$. Therefore, one way to improve the efficiency of electrical manipulation is to enhance the non-adiabatic STT β/α_L , which is inversely proportional to the product of the spin splitting 2ε and the partial density of states of magnetic atoms at the Fermi level $N_{\rm M}(\varepsilon_{\rm F})$. An unexpectedly large $|\beta/\alpha_L|$ value of ~8.3 is obtained in FeGe. This remarkable finding implies that the injection of current can efficiently propel skyrmions in FeGe at speeds of ~ 8.3 m/s per MA/cm², surpassing the conventional limitations associated with the low mobility in currentdriven skyrmion motion. Furthermore, this achievement reaches the mobility value of 10 m/s per MA/cm² as proposed by Sampaio et al.,¹¹⁰ highlighting FeGe's potential as a material for developing low-power and high-speed spintronic technologies.¹²⁵⁻¹²⁸ It is of great importance to identify other materials with a large $\beta/\alpha_{\rm L}$. This effect has also been noted for a skyrmion bundle with zero topological charge, which moves along the current direction without the need for an artificial one-dimensional channel.¹²⁵ For similar reasons, materials with a high β/α_L value are expected to be beneficial for enhancing the speed of textures in SAFs, which warrants further investigation.

Compared to SOTs, STT generally has a weaker effect on manipulating textures in films. Another approach to improve the efficiency of electrical control is to enhance the charge-to-spin conversion of the spin Hall materials adjacent to magnetic layers, thus improving the SOT generation efficiency. The spin Hall effect can be caused by either the intrinsic mechanism of a nonzero Berry curvature or the impurity-induced skew scattering or

side jump. Heavy elements with strong spin-orbit coupling, such as Pt, $^{7-9}$ β -Ta, 10,11 and β -W, 12,13 have demonstrated large spin Hall effects. In addition, investigating topological materials with spin-orbit coupling and spin-momentum locking in surface and/or bulk states can improve the intrinsic Berry curvature-induced spin Hall effect. In addition to topological insulators, such as Bi₂Se₃,¹²⁹ Cr-doped (Bi_{0.5}Sb_{0.5})₂Te₃,¹³⁰ Bi_{1.5}Sb_{0.5}Te_{1.7}Se_{1.3},¹³¹ and Weyl/Dirac semimetals WTe₂,¹³²⁻¹³⁵ which have been verified in experiments, other materials including Weyl/Dirac semimetals TaAs,¹³⁶ IrO₂,¹³⁷ W₃Ta,¹³⁸ PtTe₂,¹³⁹ ZrSiTe,¹⁴⁰ LaAlSi, and LaAlGe¹⁴¹ have been theorized to be intriguing potential candidates. Furthermore, alloying can be utilized to enhance extrinsic scattering.^{16,142-151} This may, however, also lead to an increase in the longitudinal resistivity and, thus, may not necessarily improve the efficiency of electrical manipulation. Therefore, an active and ongoing area of research is to identify materials with high SOT generation efficiency while maintaining high conductivity. Alternatively, researchers are exploring new effects such as the orbital Hall effect,¹⁵² which is prominent in 3d elements with low resistivity, as well as the intrinsic SOT generation in magnetic materials.^{153–158}

The spin current, typically associated with charge flow, may generate non-negligible Joule heating over time along with a short nanometer-scale propagation length.²⁸ A magnon current, which describes the precessing motion of spin moments, successfully addresses this shortcoming with a longer propagation length of several micrometers. Not only must we first understand the principles of this recently discovered form of spin current but we must also study how the magnon current interacts with spin textures. Han et al. have experimentally shown magnon-driven domain-wall motion in ferromagnetic Pt/[Co/Ni]9/Ru multilayers.¹⁵⁹ Conversely, spin textures can serve as knobs to tune spin waves. Wagner et al. demonstrated the channeling of spin waves inside nanosized domain walls in a 40-nm-thick Ni₈₁Fe₁₉ film.¹⁶⁰ In addition, Hämäläinen et al. have controlled spin-wave propagation across domain walls by modifying the domain-wall configuration.¹⁶¹ While 90° head-to-head or tail-to-tail domain walls are transparent to spin waves, head-to-tail domain-wall configuration hinders spinwave propagation. Moreover, theoretical works have predicted that domain walls can also be utilized to manipulate the phase of spin waves.^{162–166} More recently, Han *et al.* have experimentally verified such a phase-shifting effect in ferromagnetic Pt/[Co/Ni]9/Ru multilayers.¹⁵⁹ How exactly spin waves interact with spin textures in SAFs remains unexplored, although this may be studied in ways similar to their ferromagnetic counterparts. Research on this topic may provide a new avenue to efficiently manipulate spin textures and to control spin waves in SAFs, leading to advancements in non-volatile memory and magnonic logic devices. This effect is anticipated to be particularly significant in insulator-based structures.

Moreover, the utilization of alternative stimuli, such as bias voltage, also presents a promising avenue for achieving energy-efficient control over spin textures in SAFs. Recent research has shown that applying an electric field^{38,75} can efficiently modify the material properties of SAFs by influencing the carrier density at the Fermi surface.^{38,77,78} Notably, Kossak *et al.* successfully demonstrated a continuous transition from antiferromagnetic to ferromagnetic coupling, and vice versa, using a gate voltage,⁷⁶ which has implications for the manipulation of spin textures in SAFs. In addition, Guan *et al.* have presented a noteworthy manipula-

tion of current-induced domain-wall motion in SAFs through ionic liquid gating, as depicted in Fig. 6.⁸⁰ However, achieving energy-efficient manipulation of spin textures in SAFs still requires further investigation and is the topic of ongoing research. The application of bias voltage has shown promise in inducing skyrmion creation, motion, and deletion in ferromagnetic films by manipulating material parameters.¹⁶⁷⁻¹⁷¹ This suggests the feasibility of applying similar concepts to the context of SAFs, thereby opening up new possibilities for their control and utilization.

To achieve the efficient manipulation of spin textures, it is important to not only improve the spin torque generation efficiency but also to fabricate films with higher uniformity of material parameters. This can help reduce the pinning force $\mathbf{F} = -\frac{\gamma_L}{M_s d} \nabla U$ that impedes the motion of spin textures, which ultimately leads to a lower velocity of skyrmion motion in SAFs reported thus far. It should be noted, however, that the pinning effect can also be useful for improving the thermal stability of spin textures. Achieving a balance between the effective manipulation and thermal stability remains a challenge. One potential solution is to use artificial pinning centers to guide motion and prevent the annihilation of spin textures in SAFs.⁶⁸ Artificial pinning centers such as voids in multilayers, thickness modulations, embedded impurity atoms, and adatoms adhering to the surface may be formed with advanced thin film fabrication, lithography, irradiation, ion implantation, and laser ablation techniques.

IV. TOWARD THREE-DIMENSIONAL SPIN TEXTURES

Currently, most of the research efforts on spin textures focus on two-dimensional magnetic films. Introducing a third dimension may lead to the discovery of new physical phenomena and functionalities. For example, three-dimensional spin textures could be used for ultra-high-density data storage and may exhibit nontrivial dynamics, which are key to developing next-generation spintronic devices.

There are two ways to introduce a third dimension to magnetic films. One approach is to introduce curvature into the film. Curved magnetic films have significant potential for applications, especially in high-density data storage and processing. By using curvature as a new design parameter, it is possible to create new interactions that influence the morphology and dynamics of the spin textures.¹⁷²⁻¹⁷⁵

An alternative method is to build extended systems with intricate magnetic interactions in the third dimension, as schematically shown in Fig. 7. The formation of kink defects in the third dimension can be achieved by creating superlattices with varying IEC and magnetic layer thicknesses.^{176,177} These defects can be introduced and propagated by external field pulses. Recently, a theoretical work has predicted the existence of an interlayer DMI in an RKKY-coupled magnetic film with an in-plane asymmetry.⁹⁰ The interlayer DMI governs a chiral magnetization across magnetic multilayers, which has been observed in some prototype systems.^{91–95} The interlayer



FIG. 6. (a) Cross-section transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image (left) and schematic structure (right) for a SAF composed of Co/Ni/Co/Ru/Co/Ni/Co. (b) Sketch of racetrack device with a gate electrode. (c) The magneto-optic Kerr effect (MOKE) microscopy images of a single domain wall moving along the nanowire after applying sequences of 4×10 ns pulses with a current density of $J = 1.2 \times 10^8$ A cm⁻². (d) Dependence of domain-wall velocity on the in-plane magnetic field H_x for various gate voltages V_G . The in-plane magnetic field is applied along the racetrack. (e) The domain-wall velocity as a function of V_G at $H_x = 0$. Reproduced with permission from Guan *et al.*, Nat. Commun. **12**, 5002 (2021). Copyright 2021 Springer Nature.



FIG. 7. (a) A schematic representation of extended multilayers with intricate magnetic interactions in the third dimension. (b) Schematic representations of three-dimensional spin textures. Reproduced with permission from Göbel *et al.*, Phys. Rep. **895**, 1–28 (2021). Copyright 2021 Elsevier.

DMI originates either from crystalline asymmetry⁹⁰ or from the inplane asymmetry of the IEC.^{92,94,95} The interlayer and the intralayer DMIs provide two effective parameters to design and construct three-dimensional magnetic structures and devices. A notable example of a three-dimensional spin texture is the topologically protected hopfion, which places high demands on the system in which it exists. Kent *et al.* have shown that hopfions can be created in nanoscale disks.¹⁷⁸ The presence of interlayer DMI provides a new pathway for generating hopfions in extended systems that can be more easily manipulated.

Currently, the most promising techniques for detecting and directly observing three-dimensional spin textures appear to be x-ray microscopy/tomography¹⁷⁹⁻¹⁸² and off-axis electron holography/tomography.^{178,183} These methods involve capturing two-dimensional transmission images from different angles, which are then utilized to reconstruct the three-dimensional structure of the targeted object. Recent advancements have showcased the effectiveness of these three-dimensional tomographic imaging techniques in studying various spin textures, including magnetic singularities¹⁸⁰ and the skyrmion string.¹⁷⁹ This demonstrates the applicability of such techniques in studying and analyzing complex three-dimensional spin textures with high precision and accuracy.

The electrical detection of three-dimensional spin textures presents an ongoing challenge in current research. Surface-sensitive techniques, such as the TMR effect, face limitations in detecting spin textures located within the bulk of multilayers. However, alternative methods, such as anomalous Hall or topological Hall detections, show promise in electrically detecting three-dimensional spin textures. Particularly, the locally uncompensated emergent field of a hopfion can generate a topological Hall signal,¹⁸⁴ although this phenomenon still requires experimental examination to confirm its

existence and feasibility. Addressing these challenges and exploring novel electrical detection techniques will be crucial for advancing our understanding and utilization of three-dimensional spin textures.¹⁸⁵

Constructing three-dimensional spin textures presents a challenge that requires multilayer fabrication with interlayer DMI, which significantly impacts magnetization. Fabricating multilayers with a large antisymmetric/symmetric exchange interaction ratio can improve the contribution from the crystalline asymmetry. Wedge-shaped samples can improve the in-plane asymmetry of the IEC.

Chirality-induced nonreciprocity, in which a system responds differently to opposite excitations, is a universal phenomenon. Fernández-Pacheco *et al.*⁹¹ and Han *et al.*⁹² have shown an asymmetric response of the interlayer DMI-governed chiral magnetization to the external magnetic field. Moreover, Wang *et al.*⁹⁴ and Masuda *et al.*⁹⁵ have observed an asymmetric current-driven switching of the chiral magnetization, which they attribute to the spin torque from the interlayer DMI. While the interactions of single-domain structures with external stimuli have been extensively studied, the response of spin textures to stimuli is not well understood and requires further investigation. These spin structures may show nontrivial responses to external stimuli which will undoubtedly expand the scope of spintronic applications.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this Perspective article, we highlight the advantages of spin textures in SAFs over their ferromagnetic counterparts including smaller size, higher mobility, and vanishing skyrmion Hall

effect. We also discuss the potential of using magnetic multilayers, which combine both interfacial and interlayer DMIs as a promising platform for constructing three-dimensional spin textures. We examine how to incorporate a significant interlayer DMI into SAFs, which can enhance their nontrivial responses to external stimuli. Spin textures have the potential to revolutionize next-generation beyond-CMOS data storage, 103,186,187 logic, 188-19 probabilistic computing,¹⁹¹⁻¹⁹⁴ and neuromorphic computing¹⁹⁵ devices. For a deeper understanding, we recommend referring to focused reviews on specific topics.^{196,197} The ongoing developments of spin textures in SAFs are expected to greatly expand the scope of spintronic applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

G.X. acknowledges funding support from the National Science Foundation (NSF) under Grant No. DMR-2202514.

AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

Author Contributions

Kang Wang: Investigation (lead); Writing - original draft (lead); Writing - review & editing (equal). Vineetha Bheemarasetty: Writing - review & editing (equal). Gang Xiao: Funding acquisition (lead); Writing - review & editing (equal).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article.

REFERENCES

¹W. Jiang, P. Upadhyaya, W. Zhang, G. Yu, M. B. Jungfleisch, F. Y. Fradin, J. E. Pearson, Y. Tserkovnyak, K. L. Wang, and O. Heinonen, Science 349(6245), 283 (2015)

²C. Moreau-Luchaire, C. Moutafis, N. Reyren, J. Sampaio, C. A. F. Vaz, N. Van Horne, K. Bouzehouane, K. Garcia, C. Deranlot, and P. Warnicke, Nat. Nanotechnol. 11(5), 444 (2016).

³N. Romming, C. Hanneken, M. Menzel, J. E. Bickel, B. Wolter, K. von Bergmann, A. Kubetzka, and R. Wiesendanger, Science 341(6146), 636 (2013).

⁴A. Soumyanarayanan, M. Raju, A. L. Gonzalez Oyarce, A. K. C. Tan, M.-Y. Im, A. P. Petrović, P. Ho, K. H. Khoo, M. Tran, and C. K. Gan, Nat. Mater. 16(9), 898 (2017).

⁵I. Dzyaloshinsky, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 4(4), 241 (1958).

⁶T. Moriya, Phys. Rev. **120**(1), 91 (1960).

⁷L. Liu, O. J. Lee, T. J. Gudmundsen, D. C. Ralph, and R. A. Buhrman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109(9), 096602 (2012).

⁸M.-H. Nguyen, D. C. Ralph, and R. A. Buhrman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**(12), 126601 (2016).

⁹W. Zhang, W. Han, X. Jiang, S.-H. Yang, and S. S. P. Parkin, Nat. Phys. 11(6), 496 (2015).

¹⁰L. Liu, C.-F. Pai, Y. Li, H. W. Tseng, D. C. Ralph, and R. A. Buhrman, Science 336(6081), 555 (2012).

¹¹Q. Hao and G. Xiao, Phys. Rev. B 91(22), 224413 (2015).

¹³Q. Hao and G. Xiao, Phys. Rev. Appl. 3(3), 034009 (2015).

¹⁴Q. Hao, W. Chen, and G. Xiao, Appl. Phys. Lett. **106**(18), 182403 (2015).

¹⁵K. Wang, L. Qian, W. Chen, S.-C. Ying, G. Xiao, and X. Wu, Phys. Rev. B **99**(18), 184410 (2019).

¹⁶L. Qian, K. Wang, Y. Zheng, and G. Xiao, Phys. Rev. B **102**(9), 094438 (2020). ¹⁷W. Jiang, X. Zhang, G. Yu, W. Zhang, X. Wang, M. Benjamin Jungfleisch, J. E.

Pearson, X. Cheng, O. Heinonen, and K. L. Wang, Nat. Phys. 13(2), 162 (2017).

¹⁸K. Litzius, I. Lemesh, B. Krüger, P. Bassirian, L. Caretta, K. Richter, F. Büttner, K. Sato, O. A. Tretiakov, and J. Förster, Nat. Phys. 13(2), 170 (2017). ¹⁹L. Néel, <u>Science</u> 174(4013), 985 (1971).

²⁰J. Nogués and I. K. Schuller, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **192**(2), 203 (1999).

²¹W. Zhang and K. M. Krishnan, Mater. Sci. Eng.: R: Rep. 105, 1 (2016).

²²E. V. Gomonay and V. M. Loktev, Low Temp. Phys. 40(1), 17 (2014).

23 J. Sklenar, W. Zhang, M. B. Jungfleisch, W. Jiang, H. Saglam, J. E. Pearson, J. B. Ketterson, and A. Hoffmann, AIP Adv. 6(5), 055603 (2016).

- ²⁴T. Jungwirth, X. Marti, P. Wadley, and J. Wunderlich, Nat. Nanotechnol. 11(3), 231 (2016).
- ²⁵I. Fina and X. Marti, IEEE Trans. Magn. **53**(2), 2500107 (2016).

²⁶Y. Y. Wang, C. Song, J. Y. Zhang, and F. Pan, Prog. Nat. Sci.: Mater. Int. 27(2), 208 (2017).

27 V. Baltz, A. Manchon, M. Tsoi, T. Moriyama, T. Ono, and Y. Tserkovnyak, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90(1), 015005 (2018).

28 D. Xiong, Y. Jiang, K. Shi, A. Du, Y. Yao, Z. Guo, D. Zhu, K. Cao, S. Peng, and W. Cai, Fundam. Res. 2(4), 522 (2022).

²⁹R. A. Duine, K.-J. Lee, S. S. P. Parkin, and M. D. Stiles, Nat. Phys. 14(3), 217 (2018).

- ³⁰S. S. P. Parkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**(25), 3598 (1991).
- ³¹Z. Q. Qiu, J. Pearson, and S. D. Bader, Phys. Rev. B 46(13), 8659 (1992).

³²Z. Q. Qiu, J. Pearson, A. Berger, and S. D. Bader, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68(9), 1398 (1992).

³³B. Heinrich, J. F. Cochran, M. Kowalewski, J. Kirschner, Z. Celinski, A. S. Arrott, and K. Myrtle, Phys. Rev. B 44(17), 9348 (1991).

³⁴P. J. H. Bloemen, M. T. Johnson, M. T. H. Van de Vorst, R. Coehoorn, J. J. De Vries, R. Jungblut, J. Aan de Stegge, A. Reinders, and W. J. M. De Jonge, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72(5), 764 (1994).

- ³⁵S. N. Okuno and K. Inomata, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**(10), 1553 (1994).
- ³⁶L. E. Nistor, B. Rodmacq, S. Auffret, A. Schuhl, M. Chshiev, and B. Dieny, Phys. Rev. B 81(22), 220407 (2010).

³⁷C.-W. Cheng, C. H. Shiue, T.-I. Cheng, and G. Chern, J. Appl. Phys. 112(3), 033917 (2012).

³⁸Q. Yang, L. Wang, Z. Zhou, L. Wang, Y. Zhang, S. Zhao, G. Dong, Y. Cheng, T. Min, and Z. Hu, Nat. Commun. 9(1), 991 (2018).

³⁹X. Fan, G. Wei, X. Lin, X. Wang, Z. Si, X. Zhang, Q. Shao, S. Mangin, E. Fullerton, L. Jiang, and W. Zhao, Matter 2(6), 1582 (2020).

⁴⁰D. M. Polishchuk, M. Persson, M. M. Kulyk, E. Holmgren, G. Pasquale, and V. Korenivski, Appl. Phys. Lett. 117(2), 022402 (2020).

⁴¹L. Li, D. Han, W. Lei, Z. Liu, F. Zhang, X. Mao, P. Wang, and H. Hou, J. Appl. Phys. 116(12), 123904 (2014).

42 B. Chen, H. Xu, C. Ma, S. Mattauch, D. Lan, F. Jin, Z. Guo, S. Wan, P. Chen, and G. Gao, Science 357(6347), 191 (2017).

43 Z. Kurant, M. Tekielak, I. Sveklo, A. Wawro, and A. Maziewski, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 475, 683 (2019).

⁴⁴K. Wang, L. Qian, S.-C. Ying, and G. Xiao, Phys. Rev. B **102**(14), 144430 (2020).

⁴⁵P. Chongthanaphisut, S.-K. Bac, S. Choi, K. J. Lee, J. Chang, S. Choi, S. Lee,

- M. Nnaji, X. Liu, M. Dobrowolska, and J. K. Furdyna, Sci. Rep. 9(1), 4740 (2019). 46 T. Xu, H.-A. Zhou, Y. Dong, Q. Zhang, M. Che, L. Liu, Z. Wu, Z. Guan, L. Yang,
- and W. Jiang, Phys. Rev. Appl. 16(4), 044056 (2021). 47 T. Xu, J. Liu, X. Zhang, Q. Zhang, H.-A. Zhou, Y. Dong, P. Gargiani,

M. Valvidares, Y. Zhou, and W. Jiang, Phys. Rev. Appl. 18(5), 054051 (2022). ⁴⁸T. Kasuya, Prog. Theor. Phys. 16(1), 45 (1956).

- ⁴⁹M. A. Ruderman and C. Kittel, Phys. Rev. 96(1), 99 (1954).
- ⁵⁰K. Yosida, Phys. Rev. **106**(5), 893 (1957).

¹²C.-F. Pai, L. Liu, Y. Li, H. W. Tseng, D. C. Ralph, and R. A. Buhrman, Appl. Phys. Lett. 101(12), 122404 (2012).

- ⁵¹ P. Bruno, Phys. Rev. B **52**(1), 411 (1995).
- ⁵²J. Barnaś, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 111(3), L215 (1992).

⁵³C.-H. Chang, K.-P. Dou, Y.-C. Chen, T.-M. Hong, and C.-C. Kaun, Sci. Rep. 5(1), 16844 (2015).

⁵⁴S. Blizak, G. Bihlmayer, S. Blügel, and S. E. H. Abaidia, Phys. Rev. B 91(1), 014408 (2015).

⁵⁵P. Bruno, Europhys. Lett. **23**(8), 615 (1993).

⁵⁶M. Stier and W. Nolting, Phys. Rev. B **84**(9), 094417 (2011).

⁵⁷Z. Y. Liu, F. Zhang, B. Xu, D. L. Yu, J. L. He, and Y. J. Tian, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 42(3), 035010 (2009).

⁵⁸S. N. Okuno and K. Inomata, Phys. Rev. B **51**(9), 6139 (1995).

⁵⁹Y. Huai, AAPPS Bull. **18**(6), 33 (2008).

⁶⁰D. Apalkov, A. Khvalkovskiy, S. Watts, V. Nikitin, X. Tang, D. Lottis, K. Moon, X. Luo, E. Chen, and A. Ong, ACM J. Emerging Technol. Comput. Syst. 9(2), 1 (2013).

⁶¹ M. Cubukcu, O. Boulle, N. Mikuszeit, C. Hamelin, T. Brächer, N. Lamard, M.-C. Cyrille, L. Buda-Prejbeanu, K. Garello, and I. M. Miron, <u>IEEE Trans. Magn.</u> 54(4), 9300204 (2018).

⁶² R. Chen, Q. Cui, L. Liao, Y. Zhu, R. Zhang, H. Bai, Y. Zhou, G. Xing, F. Pan, H. Yang, and C. Song, Nat. Commun. **12**(1), 3113 (2021).

⁶³Y.-C. Lau, D. Betto, K. Rode, J. M. D. Coey, and P. Stamenov, Nat. Nanotechnol. 11(9), 758 (2016).

⁶⁴M. Fechner, P. Zahn, S. Ostanin, M. Bibes, and I. Mertig, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108(19), 197206 (2012).

⁶⁵S.-H. Yang, K.-S. Ryu, and S. Parkin, Nat. Nanotechnol. 10(3), 221 (2015).

⁶⁶R. Tomasello, V. Puliafito, E. Martinez, A. Manchon, M. Ricci, M. Carpentieri, and G. Finocchio, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **50**(32), 325302 (2017).

⁶⁷E. Raymenants, O. Bultynck, D. Wan, T. Devolder, K. Garello, L. Souriau, A. Thiam, D. Tsvetanova, Y. Canvel, and D. E. Nikonov, Nat. Electron. 4(6), 392 (2021).

⁶⁸J. Yoon, S.-H. Yang, J.-C. Jeon, A. Migliorini, I. Kostanovskiy, T. Ma, and S. S. P. Parkin, Nat. Nanotechnol. **17**(11), 1183 (2022).

⁶⁹X. Zhang, Y. Zhou, and M. Ezawa, Nat. Commun. 7(1), 10293 (2016).

⁷⁰D. Houssameddine, J. F. Sierra, D. Gusakova, B. Delaet, U. Ebels, L. D. Buda-Prejbeanu, M.-C. Cyrille, B. Dieny, B. Ocker, J. Langer, and W. Maas, Appl. Phys. Lett. **96**(7), 072511 (2010).

⁷¹S. Zhou, C. Zheng, X. Chen, and Y. Liu, J. Appl. Phys. **128**(3), 033907 (2020).

⁷²Z. Yu, M. Shen, Z. Zeng, S. Liang, Y. Liu, M. Chen, Z. Zhang, Z. Lu, L. You, and X. Yang, Nanoscale Adv. 2(3), 1309 (2020).
⁷³N. Bindal, C. A. C. Ian, W. S. Lew, and B. K. Kaushik, Nanotechnology 32(21),

⁷³N. Bindal, C. A. C. Ian, W. S. Lew, and B. K. Kaushik, Nanotechnology **32**(21), 215204 (2021).

⁷⁴I. Medlej, A. Hamadeh, and F. E. H. Hassan, *Physica B* **579**, 411900 (2020).

⁷⁵ S. Zhou, Z. Zhou, B. Peng, M. Zhu, M. Feng, Q. Yang, Y. Yan, W. Ren, Z.-G. Ye, Y. Liu, and M. Liu, Adv. Mater. **29**(17), 1606478 (2017).

⁷⁶ A. E. Kossak, M. Huang, P. Reddy, D. Wolf, and G. S. D. Beach, Sci. Adv. 9(1), eadd0548 (2023).

⁷⁷M. Weisheit, S. FÄhler, A. Marty, Y. Souche, C. Poinsignon, and D. Givord, Science **315**(5810), 349 (2007).

⁷⁸Y. Wang, X. Zhou, C. Song, Y. Yan, S. Zhou, G. Wang, C. Chen, F. Zeng, and F. Pan, Adv. Mater. **27**(20), 3196 (2015).

⁷⁹A. V. Chumak, V. I. Vasyuchka, A. A. Serga, and B. Hillebrands, Nat. Phys. 11(6), 453 (2015).

⁸⁰Y. Guan, X. Zhou, F. Li, T. Ma, S.-H. Yang, and S. S. P. Parkin, Nat. Commun. **12**(1), 5002 (2021).

⁸¹A. Cohen, A. Jonville, Z. Liu, C. Garg, P. C. Filippou, and S.-H. Yang, J. Appl. Phys. **128**(5), 053902 (2020).

⁸²T. Dohi, S. DuttaGupta, S. Fukami, and H. Ohno, Nat. Commun. 10(1), 5153 (2019).

⁸³ R. Chen, Y. Gao, X. Zhang, R. Zhang, S. Yin, X. Chen, X. Zhou, Y. Zhou, J. Xia, and Y. Zhou, Nano Lett. **20**(5), 3299 (2020).

⁸⁴ R. Chen, Q. Cui, L. Han, X. Xue, J. Liang, H. Bai, Y. Zhou, F. Pan, H. Yang, and C. Song, Adv. Funct. Mater. **32**(17), 2111906 (2022).

⁸⁵R. Juge, N. Sisodia, J. U. Larrañaga, Q. Zhang, V. T. Pham, K. G. Rana, B. Sarpi, N. Mille, S. Stanescu, and R. Belkhou, Nat. Commun. 13(1), 4807 (2022).

⁸⁶W. Legrand, D. Maccariello, F. Ajejas, S. Collin, A. Vecchiola, K. Bouzehouane, N. Reyren, V. Cros, and A. Fert, Nat. Mater. **19**(1), 34 (2020).

⁸⁷ H. X. Yang, M. Chshiev, B. Dieny, J. H. Lee, A. Manchon, and K. H. Shin, Phys. Rev. B 84(5), 054401 (2011).

⁸⁸B. Dieny and M. Chshiev, Rev. Mod. Phys. **89**(2), 025008 (2017).

⁸⁹H. Yang, A. Thiaville, S. Rohart, A. Fert, and M. Chshiev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115(26), 267210 (2015).

⁹⁰E. Y. Vedmedenko, P. Riego, J. A. Arregi, and A. Berger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**(25), 257202 (2019).

⁹¹ A. Fernández-Pacheco, E. Vedmedenko, F. Ummelen, R. Mansell, D. Petit, and R. P. Cowburn, Nat. Mater. **18**(7), 679 (2019).

⁹²D.-S. Han, K. Lee, J.-P. Hanke, Y. Mokrousov, K.-W. Kim, W. Yoo, Y. L. W. Van Hees, T.-W. Kim, R. Lavrijsen, and C.-Y. You, Nat. Mater. 18(7), 703 (2019).

⁹³S. D. Pollard, J. A. Garlow, K.-W. Kim, S. Cheng, K. Cai, Y. Zhu, and H. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**(22), 227203 (2020).

⁹⁴K. Wang, L. Qian, S.-C. Ying, and G. Xiao, Commun. Phys. 4(1), 10 (2021).

⁹⁵H. Masuda, T. Seki, Y. Yamane, R. Modak, K.-i. Uchida, J. Ieda, Y.-C. Lau, S. Fukami, and K. Takanashi, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **17**(5), 054036 (2022).

⁹⁶J. Yun, B. Cui, Q. Cui, X. He, Y. Chang, Y. M. Zhu, Z. Yan, X. Guo, H. Xie, and J. Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater. **2023**, 2301731 (2023).

⁹⁷X. S. Wang, H. Y. Yuan, and X. R. Wang, Commun. Phys. 1(1), 31 (2018).

⁹⁸K. G. Rana, A. Finco, F. Fabre, S. Chouaieb, A. Haykal, L. D. Buda-Prejbeanu, O. Fruchart, S. Le Denmat, P. David, and M. Belmeguenai, Phys. Rev. Appl. 13(4), 044079 (2020).

99 Y. Guang, I. Bykova, Y. Liu, G. Yu, E. Goering, M. Weigand, J. Gräfe, S. K. Kim, J. Zhang, and H. Zhang, Nat. Commun. 11(1), 949 (2020).

¹⁰⁰F. Büttner, I. Lemesh, M. Schneider, B. Pfau, C. M. Günther, P. Hessing, J. Geilhufe, L. Caretta, D. Engel, and B. Krüger, Nat. Nanotechnol. **12**(11), 1040 (2017).

¹⁰¹S. Finizio, K. Zeissler, S. Wintz, S. Mayr, T. Weßels, A. J. Huxtable, G. Burnell, C. H. Marrows, and J. Raabe, Nano Lett. **19**(10), 7246 (2019).

¹⁰²C. Feng, F. Meng, Y. Wang, J. Jiang, N. Mehmood, Y. Cao, X. Lv, F. Yang, L. Wang, and Y. Zhao, Adv. Funct. Mater. **31**(14), 2008715 (2021).

¹⁰³G. Chen, C. Ophus, A. Quintana, H. Kwon, C. Won, H. Ding, Y. Wu, A. K. Schmid, and K. Liu, Nat. Commun. 13(1), 1350 (2022).

¹⁰⁴ M. Ma, K. Huang, Y. Li, S. Li, Q. Feng, C. C. I. Ang, T. Jin, Y. Lu, Q. Lu, and W. S. Lew, Appl. Phys. Rev. 9(2), 021404 (2022).

¹⁰⁵Y. Guang, L. Zhang, J. Zhang, Y. Wang, Y. Zhao, R. Tomasello, S. Zhang, B. He, J. Li, and Y. Liu, Adv. Electron. Mater. **9**(1), 2200570 (2023).

¹⁰⁶K. Wang, L. Qian, S.-C. Ying, G. Xiao, and X. Wu, Nanoscale 11(14), 6952 (2019).

¹⁰⁷O. Heinonen, W. Jiang, H. Somaily, S. G. E. Te Velthuis, and A. Hoffmann, Phys. Rev. B **93**(9), 094407 (2016).

¹⁰⁸D. Maccariello, W. Legrand, N. Reyren, K. Garcia, K. Bouzehouane, S. Collin, V. Cros, and A. Fert, Nat. Nanotechnol. **13**(3), 233 (2018).

¹⁰⁹S. Woo, K. M. Song, X. Zhang, M. Ezawa, Y. Zhou, X. Liu, M. Weigand, S. Finizio, J. Raabe, and M.-C. Park, Nat. Electron. 1(5), 288 (2018).

¹¹⁰J. Sampaio, V. Cros, S. Rohart, A. Thiaville, and A. Fert, Nat. Nanotechnol. 8(11), 839 (2013).

¹¹¹X. Zhang, Y. Zhou, K. M. Song, T.-E. Park, J. Xia, M. Ezawa, X. Liu, W. Zhao, G. Zhao, and S. Woo, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **32**(14), 143001 (2020).

¹¹²S. Qiu, J. Liu, Y. Chen, X. Qi, and L. Fang, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 554, 169144 (2022).

¹¹³E. I. Rashba, Sov. Phys. Solid State **2**, 1109 (1960).

¹¹⁴A. Hoffmann, IEEE Trans. Magn. **49**(10), 5172 (2013).

¹¹⁵J. Sinova, S. O. Valenzuela, J. Wunderlich, C. H. Back, and T. Jungwirth, Rev. Mod. Phys. 87(4), 1213 (2015).

¹¹⁶W. Chen, L. Qian, and G. Xiao, Sci. Rep. 8(1), 8144 (2018).

¹¹⁷W. Chen, G. Xiao, Q. Zhang, and X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 98(13), 134411 (2018).
¹¹⁸L. Qian, W. Chen, K. Wang, X. Wu, and G. Xiao, AIP Adv. 8(11), 115323 (2018).

¹¹⁹ A. Mougin, M. Cormier, J. P. Adam, P. J. Metaxas, and J. Ferré, Europhys. Lett. **78**(5), 57007 (2007).

¹²⁰T. Koyama, K. Ueda, K.-J. Kim, Y. Yoshimura, D. Chiba, K. Yamada, J.-P. Jamet, A. Mougin, A. Thiaville, and S. Mizukami, Nat. Nanotechnol. 7(10), 635 (2012).

¹²¹S. Selzer, U. Atxitia, U. Ritzmann, D. Hinzke, and U. Nowak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117(10), 107201 (2016).

¹²²H. Saarikoski, H. Kohno, C. H. Marrows, and G. Tatara, Phys. Rev. B **90**(9), 094411 (2014).

- ¹²³A. A. Thiele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**(6), 230 (1973).
- ¹²⁴S. Seki and M. Mochizuki, *Skyrmions in Magnetic Materials* (Springer, 2016).

¹²⁵J. Tang, Y. Wu, W. Wang, L. Kong, B. Lv, W. Wei, J. Zang, M. Tian, and H. Du, Nat. Nanotechnol. **16**(10), 1086 (2021).

¹²⁶D. Song, W. Wang, J.-X. Yu, P. Zhang, S. S. Pershoguba, G. Yin, W. Wei, J. Jiang, B. Ge, and X. Fan, arXiv:2212.08991 (2022).

¹²⁷C. Jin, Z.-A. Li, A. Kovács, J. Caron, F. Zheng, F. N. Rybakov, N. S. Kiselev, H. Du, S. Blügel, and M. Tian, Nat. Commun. 8(1), 15569 (2017).

¹²⁸W. Wei, J. Tang, Y. Wu, Y. Wang, J. Jiang, J. Li, Y. Soh, Y. Xiong, M. Tian, and H. Du, Adv. Mater. **33**(33), 2101610 (2021).

¹²⁹Y. Wang, P. Deorani, K. Banerjee, N. Koirala, M. Brahlek, S. Oh, and H. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**(25), 257202 (2015).

¹³⁰Y. Fan, P. Upadhyaya, X. Kou, M. Lang, S. Takei, Z. Wang, J. Tang, L. He, L.-T. Chang, and M. Montazeri, Nat. Mater. 13(7), 699 (2014).

¹³¹Y. Shiomi, K. Nomura, Y. Kajiwara, K. Eto, M. Novak, K. Segawa, Y. Ando, and E. Saitoh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**(19), 196601 (2014).

¹³²S. Shi, S. Liang, Z. Zhu, K. Cai, S. D. Pollard, Y. Wang, J. Wang, Q. Wang, P. He, and J. Yu, Nat. Nanotechnol. 14(10), 945 (2019).

133 P. Song, C.-H. Hsu, G. Vignale, M. Zhao, J. Liu, Y. Deng, W. Fu, Y. Liu, Y. Zhang, and H. Lin, Nat. Mater. 19(3), 292 (2020).

¹³⁴B. Zhao, D. Khokhriakov, Y. Zhang, H. Fu, B. Karpiak, A. M. Hoque, X. Xu, Y. Jiang, B. Yan, and S. P. Dash, Phys. Rev. Res. 2(1), 013286 (2020).

¹³⁵I.-H. Kao, R. Muzzio, H. Zhang, M. Zhu, J. Gobbo, S. Yuan, D. Weber, R. Rao, J. Li, and J. H. Edgar, Nat. Mater. **21**(9), 1029 (2022).

136 Y. Sun, Y. Zhang, C. Felser, and B. Yan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117(14), 146403 (2016).

¹³⁷Y. Sun, Y. Zhang, C.-X. Liu, C. Felser, and B. Yan, Phys. Rev. B 95(23), 235104 (2017).

¹³⁸E. Derunova, Y. Sun, C. Felser, S. S. P. Parkin, B. Yan, and M. N. Ali, <u>Sci. Adv.</u> 5(4), eaav8575 (2019).

¹³⁹H. Xu, J. Wei, H. Zhou, J. Feng, T. Xu, H. Du, C. He, Y. Huang, J. Zhang, and Y. Liu, Adv. Mater. **32**(17), 2000513 (2020).

¹⁴⁰Y. Yen and G.-Y. Guo, Phys. Rev. B 101(6), 064430 (2020).

¹⁴¹T. Ng, Y. Luo, J. Yuan, Y. Wu, H. Yang, and L. Shen, Phys. Rev. B 104(1), 014412 (2021).

¹⁴²B. Gu, I. Sugai, T. Ziman, G. Y. Guo, N. Nagaosa, T. Seki, K. Takanashi, and S. Maekawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**(21), 216401 (2010).

¹⁴³P. Laczkowski, J.-C. Rojas-Sánchez, W. Savero-Torres, H. Jaffrès, N. Reyren, C. Deranlot, L. Notin, C. Beigné, A. Marty, and J.-P. Attané, Appl. Phys. Lett. 104(14), 142403 (2014).

¹⁴⁴M. Obstbaum, M. Decker, A. K. Greitner, M. Haertinger, T. N. G. Meier, M. Kronseder, K. Chadova, S. Wimmer, D. Ködderitzsch, H. Ebert, and C. H. Back, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**(16), 167204 (2016).

¹⁴⁵L. K. Zou, S. H. Wang, Y. Zhang, J. R. Sun, J. W. Cai, and S. S. Kang, Phys. Rev. B 93(1), 014422 (2016).

¹⁴⁶P. Laczkowski, Y. Fu, H. Yang, J.-C. Rojas-Sánchez, P. Noel, V. T. Pham, G. Zahnd, C. Deranlot, S. Collin, and C. Bouard, Phys. Rev. B **96**(14), 140405 (2017).

¹⁴⁷R. Ramaswamy, Y. Wang, M. Elyasi, M. Motapothula, T. Venkatesan, X. Qiu, and H. Yang, Phys. Rev. Appl. 8(2), 024034 (2017).

¹⁴⁸D. Qu, S. Y. Huang, G. Y. Guo, and C. L. Chien, Phys. Rev. B **97**(2), 024402 (2018).

¹⁴⁹L. Zhu, D. C. Ralph, and R. A. Buhrman, Phys. Rev. Appl. 10(3), 031001 (2018).

¹⁵⁰N. Behera, H. Fulara, L. Bainsla, A. Kumar, M. Zahedinejad, A. Houshang, and J. Åkerman, Phys. Rev. Appl. 18(2), 024017 (2022). ¹⁵¹ P. Wang, A. Migliorini, S. H. Yang, J. C. Jeon, I. Kostanovskiy, H. Meyerheim, H. Han, H. Deniz, and S. S. P. Parkin, Adv. Mater. 34(23), 2109406 (2022).

¹⁵²D. Go, D. Jo, H.-W. Lee, M. Kläui, and Y. Mokrousov, Europhys. Lett. 135(3), 37001 (2021).

¹⁵³W. Wang, T. Wang, V. P. Amin, Y. Wang, A. Radhakrishnan, A. Davidson, S. R. Allen, T. J. Silva, H. Ohldag, and D. Balzar, Nat. Nanotechnol. 14(9), 819 (2019).

¹⁵⁴X. Li, Z. Fu, Y. He, X. Yu, Y. Yang, and W. Li, Appl. Phys. Lett. **122**(11), 112405 (2023).

¹⁵⁵H. Lyu, Y. Zhao, J. Qi, H. Huang, J. Zhang, G. Yang, Y. Guo, S. Shen, W. Qin, and Y. Sun, Adv. Funct. Mater. **32**(30), 2200660 (2022).

¹⁵⁶L. Liu, Y. Song, X. Zhao, W. Liu, and Z. Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater. 32(39), 2200328 (2022).

¹⁵⁷X. Xie, X. Zhao, Y. Dong, X. Qu, K. Zheng, X. Han, X. Han, Y. Fan, L. Bai, and Y. Chen, Nat. Commun. **12**(1), 2473 (2021).

¹⁵⁸Q. Huang, C. Guan, Y. Fan, X. Zhao, X. Han, Y. Dong, X. Xie, T. Zhou, L. Bai, and Y. Peng, ACS Nano 16(8), 12462 (2022).

¹⁵⁹J. Han, P. Zhang, J. T. Hou, S. A. Siddiqui, and L. Liu, Science **366**(6469), 1121 (2019).

¹⁶⁰K. Wagner, A. Kákay, K. Schultheiss, A. Henschke, T. Sebastian, and H. Schultheiss, Nat. Nanotechnol. **11**(5), 432 (2016).

¹⁶¹S. J. Hämäläinen, M. Madami, H. Qin, G. Gubbiotti, and S. van Dijken, Nat. Commun. 9(1), 4853 (2018).

¹⁶²R. Hertel, W. Wulfhekel, and J. Kirschner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**(25), 257202 (2004).

¹⁶³C. Bayer, H. Schultheiss, B. Hillebrands, and R. L. Stamps, <u>IEEE Trans. Magn.</u> 41(10), 3094 (2005).

¹⁶⁴J.-S. Kim, M. Stärk, M. Kläui, J. Yoon, C.-Y. You, L. Lopez-Diaz, and E. Martinez, Phys. Rev. B 85(17), 174428 (2012).

¹⁶⁵ F. J. Buijnsters, Y. Ferreiros, A. Fasolino, and M. I. Katsnelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116(14), 147204 (2016).

¹⁶⁶J. Lan, W. Yu, and J. Xiao, Nat. Commun. **8**(1), 178 (2017).

¹⁶⁷Y. Wang, L. Wang, J. Xia, Z. Lai, G. Tian, X. Zhang, Z. Hou, X. Gao, W. Mi, and C. Feng, Nat. Commun. **11**(1), 3577 (2020).

¹⁶⁸D. Bhattacharya, S. A. Razavi, H. Wu, B. Dai, K. L. Wang, and J. Atulasimha, Nat. Electron. 3(9), 539 (2020).

¹⁶⁹H. Xia, C. Song, C. Jin, J. Wang, J. Wang, and Q. Liu, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **458**, 57 (2018).

¹⁷⁰L. Zhao, X. Liang, J. Xia, G. Zhao, and Y. Zhou, Nanoscale 12(17), 9507 (2020).

¹⁷¹W. Kang, Y. Huang, C. Zheng, W. Lv, N. Lei, Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, Y. Zhou, and W. Zhao, Sci. Rep. **6**(1), 23164 (2016).

¹⁷²E. J. Smith, D. Makarov, S. Sanchez, V. M. Fomin, and O. G. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**(9), 097204 (2011).

¹⁷³D. D. Sheka, O. V. Pylypovskyi, P. Landeros, Y. Gaididei, A. Kákay, and D. Makarov, Commun. Phys. 3(1), 128 (2020).

174 R. Streubel, E. Y. Tsymbal, and P. Fischer, J. Appl. Phys. 129(21), 210902 (2021).

¹⁷⁵K. Gu, Y. Guan, B. K. Hazra, H. Deniz, A. Migliorini, W. Zhang, and S. S. P. Parkin, Nat. Nanotechnol. 17(10), 1065 (2022).

176 R. Lavrijsen, J.-H. Lee, A. Fernández-Pacheco, D. C. M. C. Petit, R. Mansell, and R. P. Cowburn, Nature 493(7434), 647 (2013).

¹⁷⁷B. Böhm, L. Fallarino, D. Pohl, B. Rellinghaus, K. Nielsch, N. S. Kiselev, and O. Hellwig, Phys. Rev. B **100**(14), 140411 (2019).

¹⁷⁸N. Kent, N. Reynolds, D. Raftrey, I. T. G. Campbell, S. Virasawmy, S. Dhuey, R. V. Chopdekar, A. Hierro-Rodriguez, A. Sorrentino, and E. Pereiro, Nat. Commun. **12**(1), 1562 (2021).

¹⁷⁹S. Seki, M. Suzuki, M. Ishibashi, R. Takagi, N. D. Khanh, Y. Shiota, K. Shibata, W. Koshibae, Y. Tokura, and T. Ono, Nat. Mater. 21(2), 181 (2022).

¹⁸⁰A. Hierro-Rodríguez, C. Quirós, A. Sorrentino, L. M. Álvarez-Prado, J. I. Martín, J. M. Alameda, S. McVitie, E. Pereiro, M. Velez, and S. Ferrer, Nat. Commun. 11(1), 6382 (2020).

¹⁸¹M. Suzuki, K.-J. Kim, S. Kim, H. Yoshikawa, T. Tono, K. T. Yamada, T. Taniguchi, H. Mizuno, K. Oda, and M. Ishibashi, Appl. Phys. Express 11(3), 036601 (2018).

- ¹⁸²C. Donnelly, M. Guizar-Sicairos, V. Scagnoli, S. Gliga, M. Holler, J. Raabe, and L. J. Heyderman, Nature 547(7663), 328 (2017).
- ¹⁸³C. Donnelly and V. Scagnoli, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **32**(21), 213001 (2020).
- ¹⁸⁴B. Göbel, C. A. Akosa, G. Tatara, and I. Mertig, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**(1), 013315 (2020).
- ¹⁸⁵B. Göbel, I. Mertig, and O. A. Tretiakov, Phys. Rep. **895**, 1 (2021).
- ¹⁸⁶S. S. P. Parkin, M. Hayashi, and L. Thomas, <u>Science</u> **320**(5873), 190 (2008).
- ¹⁸⁷A. Fert, V. Cros, and J. Sampaio, Nat. Nanotechnol. 8(3), 152 (2013).
- ¹⁸⁸Z. Luo, A. Hrabec, T. P. Dao, G. Sala, S. Finizio, J. Feng, S. Mayr, J. Raabe, P. Gambardella, and L. J. Heyderman, *Nature* 579(7798), 214 (2020).
- ¹⁸⁹Z. R. Yan, Y. Z. Liu, Y. Guang, K. Yue, J. F. Feng, R. K. Lake, G. Q. Yu, and X. F. Han, Phys. Rev. Appl. 15(6), 064004 (2021).
- ¹⁹⁰X. Zhang, M. Ezawa, and Y. Zhou, Sci. Rep. 5(1), 9400 (2015).

- ¹⁹¹J. Zázvorka, F. Jakobs, D. Heinze, N. Keil, S. Kromin, S. Jaiswal, K. Litzius, G. Jakob, P. Virnau, and D. Pinna, Nat. Nanotechnol. 14(7), 658 (2019).
- ¹⁹²R. Ishikawa, M. Goto, H. Nomura, and Y. Suzuki, Appl. Phys. Lett. 119(7), 072402 (2021).
- ¹⁹³Y. Jibiki, M. Goto, E. Tamura, J. Cho, S. Miki, R. Ishikawa, H. Nomura, T. Srivastava, W. Lim, and S. Auffret, Appl. Phys. Lett. **117**(8), 082402 (2020).
- ¹⁹⁴K. Wang, Y. Zhang, V. Bheemarasetty, S. Zhou, S.-C. Ying, and G. Xiao, Nat. Commun. **13**(1), 722 (2022).
- ¹⁹⁵K. M. Song, J.-S. Jeong, B. Pan, X. Zhang, J. Xia, S. Cha, T.-E. Park, K. Kim, S. Finizio, and J. Raabe, Nat. Electron. 3(3), 148 (2020).
- ¹⁹⁶K. Wang, V. Bheemarasetty, J. Duan, S. Zhou, and G. Xiao, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 563, 169905 (2022).
- ¹⁹⁷S. Li, W. Kang, X. Zhang, T. Nie, Y. Zhou, K. L. Wang, and W. Zhao, Mater. Horiz. 8(3), 854 (2021).