## Machine Learning in LUX

Scott Kravitz Lawrence Berkeley National Lab *On behalf of the LUX collaboration* 10/29/2019

### LUX Intro

- Two-phase liquid xenon time-projection chamber (LXe TPC)
- Data taking 2013-2016
- Raw data: waveform per PMT
- Typical reconstructed info (for each scatter):
  - **S1** (prompt scintillation) total area
  - **S2** (ionization signal) total area
  - **X, Y** position (from S2 PMT hit pattern)
  - $\circ$  **Z** (from  $\Delta$ t between S1 and S2)



### LUX Machine Learning

- Projects:
  - SS/MS discrimination: data-driven, CNN applied to co-added tritium sum waveforms
  - S2-only analysis: data-driven, uses parametrized pulse shape info fed to a BDT
  - LIP search: uses BDT to optimize cuts for separating simulated LIP signal from background data
  - Gamma-X: uses BDT to optimize cuts for rejecting a pathological background (gamma-X events)
- Common theme: use information not available in standard RQs / search, especially related to pulse shape



## SS/MS Distinction w/ CNNs

Samuel Chan

### Improved S2 Separation with CNNs

- Data processing loses info pulse shape, hit pattern etc.
- Double scatter classification is imperfect merged S2s
- Machine learning (ML) can recover info from the raw PMT traces
- **One application:** convolutional neural network (CNN) image processing technique applied to summed waveforms for single vs. double scatter classification



### Pushing the Limits of Z Separation

- S2 widths vary with drift time due to e<sup>-</sup> cloud expansion (plot from Greg Rischbieter, generated with 32 keV Kr S2 in <u>NEST</u>)
- S2 width at 32 keV in the middle of LUX detector is ~0.5 us wide
- Can we distinguish S2s with drift separations down to 0.1 us?



### Tritium Data Training and Testing

- Data-driven training:
  - Single scatters from LUX tritium calibration (beta decay, electron recoil)
  - Double scatters constructed by co-adding the same single scatter event (same energy and PMT hit pattern) w/ a random time difference dT between [0.1, 0.5 us]
- 120k events of each type were divided into
  10 drift time (depth) bins for separate training variation in width < 0.05 us (see previous slide).</li>
- Fed to a CNN using Keras
- Example CNN output for center drift bin at right



### **Tritium Data Accuracies**

- TP: true positive ; FP: false positive ; FN: false negative
- Threshold set to separate SS from DS
- Left DS true positive in different drift time bins
- ROC curve shows how much better the net does compared to random guessing



![](_page_7_Figure_6.jpeg)

# S2-only Analysis

Kelsey C Oliver-Mallory

### S2-only Background

- Considering events w/o S1 lowers threshold, improves low-mass WIMP sensitivity
- But, S2-only bkg rate at 4 e- threshold is >> rate in fiducial (with drift time cut)
- Hypothesis: betas from the gate and cathode
- Can use events w/ S1 + S2 to learn about S2-only events w/ same S2 area

![](_page_9_Figure_5.jpeg)

S1 + S2 events in the WIMP search region

### Cut Events with Diffusion

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Pulse Shape with Machine Learning

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Pulse width alone fails due to distortion of E-field near wires
- Makes grid events more spiky
- Parameterize pulse shape w/ e.g. area fractional timings
- Tritium events for bulk, else
  bkg at gate/cathode -> BDT

![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

### **Receiver Operator Characteristic**

#### Cathode

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

Grid events distinguishable from bulk events at few e- level!

## Other ML Work

Peter Rossiter, Paul Terman, Nick Carrara

### Other projects

- Lightly-ionizing particles search:
  - BDT automates and optimizes cuts
  - Improved efficiency over manual cuts
- Gamma-x backgrounds:
  - Pathological background removal using BDT
- Profile likelihood ratio:
  - Collapsing PLR dimensions into 1D using NN
  - Improved speed while preserving correlations between variables

![](_page_14_Figure_9.jpeg)

### Summary / Needs and Challenges

- LUX projects demonstrate improved performance over standard techniques in a broad range of domains, primarily using fairly simple ML algorithms
- Common source of new information is **timing/pulse shape**
- Goal: inclusion of more and lower-level information (deep learning)
- **Challenge:** getting suitably-large, reliable, and detailed training datasets to enable this (both LUX and LZ)
- **Techniques** for moving away from simulation dependence (generic):
  - <u>Pivoting</u> (sims only; reduce reliance on uncertain quantities)
  - Domain adversarial training (part sims, part unlabelled data)
  - Training using impure or unlabeled data from calibrations (fully data-driven)

## Backup Slides

## SS/MS Distinction w/ CNNs

Samuel Chan

### Tritium Data Training and Testing

- Nets trained in **10 drift time bins**
- Tested using 2k SS and 2k DS events (same construction as training set)
- Good SS (blue) / DS (orange) separation seen in all bins

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

Depth 144 to 170 us

SS

ds

0.25

Net Output Score

0.50

075 100

DS = 1

19

100

 $10^{-1}$ 

10-2

10-3

55 = -1

Fraction of Testing Events

LUX

Preliminary

-1.00 -0.75 -0.50 -0.25 0.00

# S2-only Analysis

Kelsey C Oliver-Mallory

### S2 spectrum, before re-weighting

LUX  $10^{3}$ Preliminary Perfect opportunity Analysis Threshold = 3.5 e to use machine Gate learning Cathode Events Many parameters Tritium  $10^{2}$ that quantify the S2 shape Accurate training/testing 10 datasets 20 30 50 0 10 40 e

21

### Acceptance/Rejection

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

22

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Classification Results**

**Bulk-like** 

4 e⁻

![](_page_22_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_7.jpeg)

.mm/hul/

![](_page_22_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_9.jpeg)

**2** μs

30 e<sup>-</sup>

### Energy Spectrum of Background Data

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

24

## Gamma-X Rejection

Peter Rossiter

### Overview of background

- If a particle scatters twice, both above and below the cathode an enhanced S1 signal relative to the S2 signal will be observed
  - Since only the S2 signal from the scatter in above the cathode is seen
- The reduced S2/S1 ratio can push events out of the ER band into the NR band

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Analysis Variables

- S1 area
- S2 area
- Z position
- S1 hit pattern RMS
- Top-bottom asymmetry
- S1 max PMT area

![](_page_26_Figure_7.jpeg)

### Gamma-X BDT cut

- Combines several weak predictors into a single strong predictor
- Built to distinguish simulated gamma-X events from bottom PMT array from simulated SS events from bottom PMT array

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

## LIP Analysis

Paul Terman

### Lightly-ionizing Particles

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

- LIPs are hypothetical particles with fractional charge e\*f, f<1
  - Cosmogenic come from hemisphere above detector
  - Signature is many hits along a line through the full detector
- Several variables developed for this search, including:
  - $\chi^2$  of fit to a line (minimum of 5 scatters)
  - Angle of line from vertical
  - Track length over distance between first/last scatters
  - Standard deviation of pulse areas

o ...

- Series of manual cuts considered
- BDT automates and optimizes this process
- Allows addition of further inputs without greatly affecting complexity

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

DOF = Number of fit points - 4 (4 is due to 3D line)

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Series of manual cuts considered
- BDT automates and optimizes this process
- Allows addition of further inputs without greatly affecting complexity

### **BDT** Results

- Trained using:
  - LIP sims
  - Sample of bkg data
- Manual cuts and BDT both tuned for 0 background (training and testing)
- Efficiency of BDT noticeably exceeds that of manual cuts

![](_page_32_Figure_6.jpeg)