

ECE 7251: Signal Detection and Estimation

Spring 2002
Prof. Aaron Lanterman
Georgia Institute of Technology

Lecture 6, 1/16/02:
The Orthogonality Principle
in MMSE Estimation

The Setup

- Let X be a random variable with $E[|X|^2] < \infty$
- Can think of such random variables as elements of a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = E[XY^*]$
- Let \mathcal{V} be a collection of random variables on the same space such that:
 - $E[|Z|^2] < \infty$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{V}$
 - \mathcal{V} is a linear class, i.e., if $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{V}$, then $Z_1 + Z_2 \in \mathcal{V}$
 - \mathcal{V} is closed in the mean-square sense:

(slides based on notes by Bruce Hajek of UIUC)

The Orthogonality Principle

- Given setup on previous slide, there exists a unique $\tilde{Z} \in \mathcal{V}$ such that

$$E[|X - \tilde{Z}|^2] \leq E[|X - Z|^2]$$

- For all $Z \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$X - \tilde{Z} \perp Z = 0$$

$$E[(X - \tilde{Z})Z^*] = 0$$

- “If and only if” type of statement

General MMSE Estimation

- To keep things simple, let's now focus on real-valued parameters and data
- Let Θ be a random variable with $E[\Theta^2] < \infty$
- Let Y be a random variable (or m-vector)
- Let \mathcal{V} be the set of all possible squared-integrable estimators based on Y :

$$\mathcal{V} = \{g(Y) : \mathcal{R}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{R}, E[g^2(Y)] < \infty\}$$

- What $g(Y)$ minimizes $E[(\Theta - g(Y))^2]$?
- Previously found:

$$g(Y) = E[\Theta | Y]$$

Double-Check Using O.P.

- Does $E[(\Theta - E[\Theta | Y])h(Y)] = 0$?

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint (\mathbf{q} - E[\Theta | y]) h(y) p(\mathbf{q}, y) d\mathbf{q} dy \\ = & \iint \{(\mathbf{q} - E[\Theta | y]) p(\mathbf{q} | y) h(y) d\mathbf{q}\} p(y) dy \\ = & \int \underbrace{(E[\Theta | y] - E[\Theta | y])}_{=0} h(y) p(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

So all is well.

Multiparameter Estimation

- Extends immediately to $\Theta = [\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_n]^T$
- Just do one coordinate at a time:

$$E[\Theta | Y] = \begin{bmatrix} E[\Theta_1 | Y] \\ E[\Theta_2 | Y] \\ \vdots \\ E[\Theta_m | Y] \end{bmatrix}$$

- Aside: MAP estimation extends naturally the same way

Error of MMSE Estimator

- Define the est. error $e = \Theta - E[\Theta | Y]$
- Expected error: $E[e] = E[\Theta] - E[E[\Theta | Y]] = E[\Theta] - E[\Theta] = 0$
- Error covariance: $Cov[\Theta] = Cov[e + E[\Theta | Y]] = Cov[e] + Cov[E[\Theta | Y]]$ (by O.P.)

$$MSE = E[ee^T] = Cov[\Theta] - Cov[E[\Theta | Y]]$$

“Linear” MMSE Estimation

- Let Θ and Y be a random vectors
- Let \mathcal{V} be the set of all possible affine estimators based on Y : $\mathcal{V} = \{g(Y) = AY + b, A \in \mathcal{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathcal{R}^{m \times 1}\}$
- What $g(Y)$ minimizes $E[(g - \Theta)^2]$?
- Could set it up Bayes risk, take derivatives and set equal to zero, etc., as before; but can also use O.P. directly!

(slides based on notes by Stefano Soatto of UCLA)

Step 1

- Assume Θ scalar, Θ and Y both zero-mean
- O.P. says: $E[\Theta - AY, Y_1] = 0$
 $E[\Theta - AY, Y_2] = 0$
 \vdots
 $E[\Theta - AY, Y_n] = 0$
- Hold iff $E[\Theta Y_i] = AE[YY_i], i = 1 \dots n$
 $E[\Theta Y^T] = AE[YY^T]$
 $K_{\Theta Y} = AK_Y$ (since zero mean)
 $K_{\Theta Y} K_Y^{-1} = A$
 $g(Y) = AY$ Only need 2nd order statistics!

Step 2

- Now Θ vector, Θ and Y both zero-mean
- Just repeat previous for $\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \dots, \Theta_m$

$$A_1 = K_{\Theta_1 Y} K_Y^{-1}$$

$$A_2 = K_{\Theta_2 Y} K_Y^{-1}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$A_m = K_{\Theta_m Y} K_Y^{-1}$$

↑
row index

Combining: $A = K_{\Theta Y} K_Y^{-1}$

Step 3

- Step 1: Θ and Y not zero mean
- Do transformation $\tilde{\Theta} = \Theta - \mathbf{m}_\Theta, \tilde{Y} = Y - \mathbf{m}_Y$
- For transformed problem, have: $A = K_{\tilde{\Theta} \tilde{Y}} K_{\tilde{Y}}^{-1} = K_{\Theta Y} K_Y^{-1}$
- Substitution yields: $g(Y) = \mathbf{m}_\Theta + K_{\Theta Y} K_Y^{-1} (Y - \mathbf{m}_Y) \equiv \hat{E}[\Theta | Y]$

Called “projection of Θ over span of Y ” by inhabitants of Hilbert space

Error of LMMSE Estimator

- Define the est. error $e = \Theta - \hat{E}[\Theta | Y]$
- Expec. of estimator: $E[\hat{E}[\Theta | Y]] = E[\mathbf{m}_\Theta + K_{\Theta Y} K_Y^{-1} (Y - \mathbf{m}_Y)] = \mathbf{m}_\Theta + K_{\Theta Y} K_Y^{-1} E[(Y - \mathbf{m}_Y)] = \mathbf{m}_\Theta$
- Expected value of error: $E[e] = E[\Theta - \hat{E}[\Theta | Y]] = 0$

MSE of LMMSE Estimator

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Cov}[\Theta] &= \text{Cov}[e + \hat{E}[\Theta | Y]] \\
 &= \underbrace{\text{Cov}[e]} + \text{Cov}[\hat{E}[\Theta | Y]] \quad (\text{by O.P.}) \\
 \text{MSE} &= \underbrace{E[ee^T]} = \text{Cov}[\Theta] - \text{Cov}[E[\Theta | Y]] \\
 &= \text{Cov}[\Theta] - \text{Cov}[AY] \\
 &= K_\Theta - AK_Y A^T \\
 &= K_\Theta - K_{\Theta Y} K_Y^{-1} K_Y (K_{\Theta Y} K_Y^{-1})^T \\
 &= K_\Theta - K_{\Theta Y} K_Y^{-1} K_{Y\Theta} = K_e
 \end{aligned}$$

Gaussian Case

- In general,

$$E[(\Theta - E[\Theta | Y])^2] \leq E[(\Theta - \hat{E}[\Theta | Y])^2]$$
 (take a performance hit for using linear estimator)
- But, if Θ and Y are *jointly* Gaussian, then

$$E[\Theta | Y] = \hat{E}[\Theta | Y]$$
- Also have easy way to compute conditionals:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{q} | Y = y &\sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{E}[X | Y = y], K_e) \\
 &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{m}_\Theta + K_{\Theta Y} K_Y^{-1} (Y - \mathbf{m}_Y), K_\Theta - K_{\Theta Y} K_Y^{-1} K_{Y\Theta})
 \end{aligned}$$