

## ECE 7251: Signal Detection and Estimation

Spring 2002  
Prof. Aaron Lanterman  
Georgia Institute of Technology

Lecture 9, 1/25/02:  
Lord of the Cramér - Rao Bound

### Quest of the Cramer-Rao Bound

*One bound to beat them all,  
and in the confusion bind them...  
In the land of Techwood where the Engineers lie.*

- Our Quest: Find an ultimate performance bound which may not be beaten by *any* estimator

$$\text{var}_q [\hat{q}(Y)] \geq \text{some unbeatable bound}$$

### The Fellowship of the CR Bound

- Supposed the estimator is unbiased. Then

$$E_q [(\hat{q}(Y) - q)] = 0$$

$$\int p(y; q) [\hat{q}(y) - q] dy = 0$$

- Take derivative w.r.t.  $q$  on both sides:

Should check if interchange is legit; need derivative of density to be "Absolutely Integrable"

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q} \int p(y; q) [\hat{q}(Y) - q] dy = 0$$

$$\int \frac{d}{dq} p(y; q) [\hat{q}(y) - q] dy = 0$$

### Breakfast of the CR Bound

$$\int \frac{d}{dq} p(y; q) [\hat{q}(y) - q] dy = 0$$

$$-\int \underbrace{p(y; q)}_{=1} dy + \int \underbrace{\frac{\partial p(y; q)}{\partial q}}_{\frac{\partial \ln p(y; q)}{\partial q}} [\hat{q}(y) - q] dy = 0$$

$$\int \frac{\partial \ln p(y; q)}{\partial q} p(y; q) [\hat{q}(y) - q] dy = 1$$

### Lunch of the CR Bound

$$\int \frac{\partial \ln p(y; q)}{\partial q} p(y; q) [\hat{q}(y) - q] dy = 1$$

$$\left\{ \int \underbrace{\left[ \frac{\partial \ln p(y; q)}{\partial q} \sqrt{p(y; q)} \right]}_{=f(y)} \underbrace{\left[ \sqrt{p(y; q)} [\hat{q}(y) - q] \right]}_{=g(y)} dy \right\}^2 = 1^2$$

- Use the Schwarz, Lone Star!

$$\int f^2(y) dy \int g^2(y) dy \geq \left\{ \int f(y) g(y) dy \right\}^2$$

$$\left\{ \int \left( \frac{\partial \ln p(y; q)}{\partial q} \right)^2 p(y; q) dy \right\} \left\{ \int p(y; q) [\hat{q}(y) - q]^2 dy \right\} \geq 1$$

### Dinner of the CR Bound

$$\left\{ \int \left( \frac{\partial \ln p(y; q)}{\partial q} \right)^2 p(y; q) dy \right\} \left\{ \int p(y; q) [\hat{q}(Y) - q]^2 dY \right\} \geq 1$$

$$E_q \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \ln p(y; q)}{\partial q} \right)^2 \right] E_q [(\hat{q}(Y) - q)^2] \geq 1$$

$$\text{var}_q (\hat{q}) = E_q [(\hat{q}(Y) - q)^2] \geq \frac{1}{E_q \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \ln p(y; q)}{\partial q} \right)^2 \right]}$$

(Since estimator is unbiased)

Fisher Information

### The Two Fisher Info Towers

$$\int p(y; \mathbf{q}) dy = 1$$

- Take derivative w.r.t.  $\mathbf{q}$  on both sides:

$$\int \frac{\partial p(y; \mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}} dy = \int \frac{\partial \ln p(y; \mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}} p(y; \mathbf{q}) dy = 0$$

- Take derivative again (*assuming we can!*):

$$\int \frac{\partial^2 \ln p(y; \mathbf{q})}{\partial^2 \mathbf{q}} p(y; \mathbf{q}) + \frac{\partial \ln p(y; \mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \frac{\partial p(y; \mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}} dy = 0$$

$$\int \frac{\partial^2 \ln p(y; \mathbf{q})}{\partial^2 \mathbf{q}} p(y; \mathbf{q}) + \left[ \frac{\partial \ln p(y; \mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \right]^2 p(y; \mathbf{q}) dy = 0$$

### The Two Fisher Info Towers Con't

$$\int \frac{\partial^2 \ln p(y; \mathbf{q})}{\partial^2 \mathbf{q}} p(y; \mathbf{q}) + \left[ \frac{\partial \ln p(y; \mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \right]^2 p(y; \mathbf{q}) dy = 0$$

$$F(\mathbf{q}) \equiv E_{\mathbf{q}} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \ln p(y; \mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \right)^2 \right] = -E_{\mathbf{q}} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln p(y; \mathbf{q})}{\partial^2 \mathbf{q}} \right]$$

- Different notations for Fisher information:

Poor:	$I_{\mathbf{q}}$
Van Trees, Scharf, Srinath:	$J$
Hero:	$F(\mathbf{q})$

### Other Terminology

- Observed or empirical Fisher information:

$$-\frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\hat{y}; \mathbf{q})}{\partial^2 \mathbf{q}}$$

A specific collected data set

- Stochastic Fisher information:

$$-\frac{\partial^2 \ln p(Y; \mathbf{q})}{\partial^2 \mathbf{q}}$$

A random variable

- Fisher information is expected value of stochastic Fisher information

### Efficiency

- An unbiased estimator which achieves the CR Bound with equality is called efficient
- Efficient estimators are UMVE (but not necessarily the other way around)
- Efficient estimators can only exist if the density comes from an exponential family!
  - Proof uses condition for equality in the Schwarz inequality

$$\frac{\partial \ln p(y; \mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = [\hat{\mathbf{q}}(y) - \mathbf{q}] \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{q})$$

### More Properties of ML Estimators

- If an efficient estimator exists, the ML est. is it!
- Suppose we have  $n$  independent samples
  - ML estimators are asymptotically efficient

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{var}_{\mathbf{q}}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}) F(\mathbf{q}) = 1$$

- ML estimators are asymptotically normal:

$$\hat{\mathbf{q}}(Y) \sim N(\mathbf{q}, F^{-1}(\mathbf{q}))$$

Formally:  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}) \xrightarrow{i.d.} z, z \sim N(0, F^{-1}(\mathbf{q}))$

Fisher information matrix for one data sample

### Matrix CR Bound

- Elements of the Fisher information matrix:

$$F_{rc} = E \left[ \frac{\partial \ln p(y; \mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}_r} \frac{\partial \ln p(y; \mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}_c} \right] = -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln p(y; \mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}_r \partial \mathbf{q}_c} \right]$$

Average "curvature" of loglikelihood near  $\mathbf{q}$

- Matrix CR Bound for unbiased estimators:

$$\text{cov}_{\mathbf{q}}[\hat{\mathbf{q}}(Y)] \geq F^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$$

Means  $\text{cov}_{\mathbf{q}}[\hat{\mathbf{q}}(Y)] - F^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$  is nonnegative definite

### Nonnegative Definite? Huh???

- If  $A$  is nonnegative definite, then:
  - $z^T A z \geq 0$  for any real vector  $z$
  - All eigenvalues of  $A$  are nonnegative
- Useful consequences: if  $A \geq B$ ,
  - Diagonals dominated:  $A_{ii} \geq B_{ii}$
  - But does not mean  $A_{rc} \geq B_{rc}$  in general!
  - Total sum property:

$$\sum_{r,c} A_{rc} \geq \sum_{r,c} B_{rc}$$

### Returned of the Biased Estimator

- CR bound for biased estimator:

$$\text{var}_{\hat{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{Y})} \geq \frac{\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}} E_{\mathbf{q}}[\hat{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{Y})] \right)^2}{F(\mathbf{q})} = \frac{\left( 1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}} b_{\mathbf{q}}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}) \right)^2}{F(\mathbf{q})}$$

- Proof: Poor, pp. 169-171.
- There are extensions for multiparameter case
- Bias often difficult to compute analytically for a particular estimator; hence, the unbiased CR bound is often given anyway!

### What About Those Bayesians?

- A related bound on the MSE:

$$E[(\mathbf{q}(Y) - \Theta)^2] \geq \frac{1}{E \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \ln p(Y, \mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \right)^2 \right]_{\mathbf{q}=\Theta}} = \frac{1}{-E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln p(Y, \mathbf{q})}{\partial^2 \mathbf{q}} \right]_{\mathbf{q}=\Theta}}$$

- Note expectations now over  $Y$  and  $\Theta$
- Easily extended to multivariate case
- Not all authors cover!
  - Hero and Poor do not
  - Van Trees (pp. 72-73, 74-85) and Srinath (pp. 163) do

### Interpretations of the Bayesian Bound

- A related bound on the MSE:

Note not a func. of  $\mathbf{q}$

$$F_{\text{post}} = -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln p(Y, \mathbf{q})}{\partial^2 \mathbf{q}} \right]_{\mathbf{q}=\Theta} = -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln p(Y | \mathbf{q})}{\partial^2 \mathbf{q}} \right]_{\mathbf{q}=\Theta} - E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln p(Y | \mathbf{q})}{\partial^2 \mathbf{q}} \right]_{\mathbf{q}=\Theta}$$

- Info from data adds with info from prior
- To be efficient (achieve bound with equality) in the Bayesian setting, posterior density *must* be Gaussian!
  - Proof uses condition of equality in Schwarz ineq.
  - Stronger requirement than in nonrandom setting