

ECE 7251: Signal Detection and Estimation

Spring 2002
Prof. Aaron Lanterman
Georgia Institute of Technology

Lecture 14, 2/6/02:
“The” Expectation-Maximization Algorithm
(Theory)

Convergence of the EM Algorithm

- We'd like to prove that the likelihood goes up with each iteration:

$$L_{id}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}) \geq L_{id}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old})$$

- Recall from last lecture,

$$p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \mathbf{q}) = \frac{p_Z(z; \mathbf{q})}{p_Y(y; \mathbf{q})}$$

$$\text{for } z \in \mathcal{Z}(y) = \{z : h(z) = y\}$$

- Take logarithms of both sides and rearrange:

$$\ln p_Y(y; \mathbf{q}) = \ln p_Z(z; \mathbf{q}) - \ln p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \mathbf{q})$$

Deriving the Smiley Equality

- Multiply both sides by $p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \mathbf{q})$ and integrate with respect to z :

$$\begin{aligned} & \int p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \ln p_Y(y; \mathbf{q}) dz \\ &= \int p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \ln p_Z(z; \mathbf{q}) dz \\ & \quad - \int p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \ln p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \mathbf{q}) dz \end{aligned}$$

- Simplifies to:

$$L_{id}(\mathbf{q}) = Q(\mathbf{q}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) - \int p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \ln p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \mathbf{q}) dz$$

Call this equality

Using the Smiley Equality

- Evaluate at $\mathbf{q} = \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}$ and $\mathbf{q} = \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}$

$$L_{id}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}) = Q(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) - \int p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \ln p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}) dz$$

$$L_{id}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) = Q(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) - \int p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \ln p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) dz$$

- Subtract from

$$\begin{aligned} L_{id}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}) - L_{id}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) &= Q(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) - Q(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \\ & \quad - \int p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \ln \frac{p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new})}{p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old})} dz \end{aligned}$$

Use A Really Helpful Inequality

$$\begin{aligned} L_{id}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}) - L_{id}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) &= Q(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) - Q(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \\ & \quad - \int p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \ln \frac{p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new})}{p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old})} dz \end{aligned}$$

- A really helpful inequality: $\ln(x) \leq x - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{id}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}) - L_{id}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) &\geq Q(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) - Q(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \\ & \quad - \int p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \left[\frac{p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new})}{p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old})} - 1 \right] dz \end{aligned}$$

Let's focus on this final term for a little bit

Zeroneess of the Last Term

$$\begin{aligned} & \int p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \left[\frac{p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new})}{p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old})} - 1 \right] dz \\ &= \int p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}) - p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) dz \\ &= \int p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}) dz - \int p_{Z|Y}(z | y; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) dz \\ &= 1 - 1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

I Think We Got It!

- Now we have:

$$L_{id}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}) - L_{id}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \geq Q(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) - Q(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old})$$

- Recall the definition of the M-step:

$$\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new} = \underset{\mathbf{q}}{\operatorname{argmax}} Q(\mathbf{q}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old})$$

- So, by definition $Q(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \geq Q(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old})$

- Hence $L_{id}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}) \geq L_{id}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old})$

Some Words of Warning

- Notice we showed that the likelihood was nondecreasing; that doesn't automatically imply that the parameter estimates converge
- Parameter estimate could slide along a contour of constant loglikelihood
- Can prove some things about parameter convergence in special cases
 - Ex: EM Algorithm for Imaging from Poisson Data (i.e. Emission Tomography)

Generalized EM Algorithms

- Recall this line:

$$L_{id}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}) - L_{id}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \geq Q(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) - Q(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old})$$

- What if the M-step is too hard? Try a "generalized" EM algorithm:

$$\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{new} = \text{some easy to compute } \mathbf{q} \\ \text{such that } Q(\mathbf{q}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}) \geq Q(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{old})$$

- Note convergence proof still works!

The SAGE Algorithm

- Problem: EM algorithms tend to be slow
- Observation: "Bigger" complete data spaces result in slower algorithms than "smaller" complete data spaces
- SAGE (Space-Alternating Generalized Expectation-Maximization), Hero & Fessler
 - Split big complete data space into several smaller "hidden" data spaces
 - Designed to yield faster convergence
- Generalization of "ordered subsets" EM algorithm