



US009462813B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Pierce et al.(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,462,813 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Oct. 11, 2016**(54) **BIOLOGICAL-BASED CATALYST TO DELAY PLANT DEVELOPMENT PROCESSES**(71) Applicant: **Georgia State University Research Foundation, Inc.**, Atlanta, GA (US)(72) Inventors: **George E. Pierce**, Canton, GA (US); **Sangeeta Ganguly-Mink**, Buffalo Grove, IL (US); **Gene K. Drago**, Gainesville, FL (US)(73) Assignee: **Georgia State University Research Foundation, Inc.**, Atlanta, GA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 253 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **13/784,089**(22) Filed: **Mar. 4, 2013**(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2013/0274102 A1 Oct. 17, 2013

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Continuation of application No. 13/083,855, filed on Apr. 11, 2011, now Pat. No. 8,389,441, which is a division of application No. 11/695,377, filed on Apr. 2, 2007, now Pat. No. 7,943,549.

(51) **Int. Cl.***A01N 63/00* (2006.01)
A01P 21/00 (2006.01)
A01N 63/02 (2006.01)
A01H 3/00 (2006.01)
C12N 1/20 (2006.01)
C12N 1/38 (2006.01)
C12N 11/06 (2006.01)
C12N 11/10 (2006.01)
C12N 11/12 (2006.01)
C12R 1/01 (2006.01)(52) **U.S. Cl.**CPC *A01N 63/02* (2013.01); *A01H 3/00* (2013.01); *A01N 63/00* (2013.01); *C12N 1/20* (2013.01); *C12N 1/38* (2013.01); *C12N 11/06* (2013.01); *C12N 11/10* (2013.01); *C12N 11/12* (2013.01); *C12R 1/01* (2013.01)(58) **Field of Classification Search**None
See application file for complete search history.(56) **References Cited****U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**3,940,316 A 2/1976 Commeyras et al.
4,001,081 A 1/1977 Commeyras et al.
4,343,900 A 8/1982 Watanabe
4,880,739 A 11/1989 Yamada et al.
5,089,411 A 2/1992 Yamada et al.
5,409,509 A 4/1995 Burth et al.
5,512,466 A 4/1996 Klee et al.
5,545,815 A 8/1996 Fischer et al.
5,664,368 A 9/1997 Sandor
5,807,730 A 9/1998 Ito et al.
5,863,750 A 1/1999 Pierce6,060,265 A 5/2000 Pierce
6,132,985 A 10/2000 Pierce
6,133,001 A 10/2000 Homann et al.
6,133,421 A 10/2000 Fallon et al.
6,153,415 A 11/2000 Oriel et al.
6,156,956 A 12/2000 Theoglis et al.
6,194,193 B1 2/2001 Drahos et al.
6,214,603 B1 4/2001 Oriel et al.
6,228,633 B1 5/2001 Oriel et al.
6,242,242 B1 6/2001 Oriel et al.
6,251,388 B1 6/2001 Durden
6,287,828 B1 9/2001 Oriel et al.
6,316,242 B1 11/2001 Endo et al.
6,426,105 B1 7/2002 Palta et al.
6,524,998 B1 2/2003 Kloepper et al.
6,606,822 B2 8/2003 Bonfiglio
6,613,435 B1 9/2003 Guritza
6,649,397 B1 11/2003 Nakamura
6,677,149 B2 1/2004 Dicosimo et al.
6,730,508 B1 5/2004 Ito et al.
6,735,902 B1 5/2004 Ahm
6,955,911 B2 10/2005 Ryuno et al.
6,995,007 B2 2/2006 Gunner et al.
7,084,321 B2 8/2006 Pais et al.
7,213,366 B1 5/2007 Ahm

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTSCN 1384709 12/2002
CN 1547609 11/2004

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONSInternational Search Report and Written Opinion for related International Application No. PCT/US2014/026371, mailed Jul. 9, 2014.
Kerr, Jonathan R., Bacterial inhibition of fungal growth and pathogenicity; Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease 1999, vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 129-142.
Cai et al., Study on Immobilization of the Cell of Niteile Hydratase by Flocculation, Chemical Technology Market, 3:39-42 (2005).
Colby et al., Immobilization of Rhodococcus AJ270 and Use of Entrapped Biocatalyst for the Production of Acrylic Acid, Monatshefte für Chemie, 131:655-666 (2000).
Marcos et al., "Involvement of ethylene biosynthesis and perception in the susceptibility of citrus fruits to Penicillium digitatum infection and the accumulation of defence-related mRNAs." J. Exp. Botany. 56: 2183-93 (2005).

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Lisa J Hobbs(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Meunier Carlin & Curfman LLC(57) **ABSTRACT**The present invention is directed to methods for delaying a plant development process comprising exposing a plant or plant part to one or more bacteria or enzymes. In specific embodiments, the one or more bacteria are selected from the group consisting of *Rhodococcus* spp., *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, and a mixture comprising any combination of these bacteria. Apparatuses for delaying a plant development process comprising a catalyst that comprises one or more of the above bacteria.**16 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets**

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,244,595	B2	7/2007	Uehara et al.
7,405,064	B2	7/2008	Payne et al.
7,504,557	B2	3/2009	Gallie et al.
7,531,343	B2	5/2009	Pierce et al.
7,531,344	B2	5/2009	Pierce et al.
2002/0139046	A1	10/2002	Weber et al.
2003/0044807	A1	3/2003	Bramucci et al.
2003/0049807	A1	3/2003	Salvo et al.
2003/0084609	A1	5/2003	Perriello et al.
2003/0093946	A1	5/2003	Gutierrez Pavez
2003/0115633	A1	6/2003	Pais et al.
2004/0072694	A1	4/2004	Jacobson et al.
2004/0106165	A1	6/2004	Reisinger et al.
2005/0000154	A1	1/2005	Perriello et al.
2005/0014243	A1	1/2005	Uehara et al.
2005/0227356	A1	10/2005	Lessard et al.
2007/0068072	A1	3/2007	Xavier et al.
2007/0090122	A1	4/2007	Zeyfang
2007/0184528	A1	8/2007	Pierce et al.
2007/0184543	A1	8/2007	Pierce et al.
2007/0259783	A1	11/2007	Tateishi et al.
2008/0236038	A1	10/2008	Pierce et al.
2013/0035232	A1	2/2013	Pierce et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	109083	5/1984
EP	0243966	11/1987
EP	0243967	11/1987
EP	0307926	3/1989
EP	0362829	4/1990
EP	0790310	8/1997
JP	54129190	10/1979
JP	2000470	1/1990
JP	5030982	2/1993
JP	5030983	2/1993
JP	5236977	9/1993
JP	8056684	3/1996
JP	8154691	6/1996
JP	8187092	7/1996
JP	08196208	8/1996
PL	161863 B1	8/1993
RU	829484	5/1981
WO	WO9912249	7/1992
WO	WO98/27016 A1	6/1998
WO	9940177 A1	8/1999
WO	WO0036085	6/2000
WO	WO0051435	9/2000
WO	02/072856	9/2002
WO	WO03037066	5/2003
WO	WO03041491	5/2003
WO	2007/090122	8/2007
WO	WO2008124307	10/2008
WO	2011/091374	7/2011
WO	WO2013/019604 A2	2/2013

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Martinkova, et al., "Nitrile- and amide-converting microbial enzymes: stereo-, regio-chemoselectivity" *Biotransformation* 20(2):73-93 (2002).

Mathooko, "Regulation of ethylene biosynthesis in higher plants by carbon dioxide," *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 7:1-26 (1996).

Mayak and Dilley, "Regulation of senescence in carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*): effect of abscisic acid and carbon dioxide on ethylene production," *Plant Physiol.* 58:663-5 (1972).

Mayak and Halevy, "Interrelationships of ethylene and abscisic acid in the control of rose petal senescence," *Plant Physiol.* 50:341-6 (1972).

McDaniel, Virginia Polytechnic University, Horticulture 2164 Lecture Notes, R-8, (1999). <http://www.hort.vt.edu/faculty/McDaniel/hort2164/R&DistributionandHandling.htm>.

Merritt et al., "Inhibitors of ethylene synthesis inhibit auxin-induced stomatal opening in epidermis detached from leaves of *Vicia faba* L." *Plant Cell Physiol* 42:223-30 (2001).

Mullins, University of Florida, BOT 6566 (Plant Growth and Development), Lecture Notes 12: Seed and Fruit Development (2000).

Nagasawa et al., "Optimum Culture Conditions for the Production of Benzonitrilase by *Rhodococcus Rhodochrous* J1" *Arch. Microbiol.* 150:89-94 (1988).

Nagasawa et al., "Occurrence of a Cobalt-Induced and Cobalt-Containing Nitrile Hydratase in *Rhodococcus Rhodochrous* J1" *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 155:1008-16 (1988).

Nagasawa, et al., "Optimum culture conditions for the production of cobalt-containing nitrile hydratase by *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* J1" *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 34:783-8 (1991).

Nagasawa et al., "Characterization of a new cobalt-containing nitrile hydratase purified from urea-induced cells of *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* J1," *Eur. J. Biochem.* 196:581-9 (1991).

Nagasawa et al., "Nitrilase of *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* J1. Conversion into the active form by subunit association" *Eur. J. Biochem.* 267(1):138-44 (2000).

Nukui et al., "Repressed ethylene production in the gynoecium of longlasting flowers of the carnation 'White Candle': role of the gynoecium in carnation flower senescence," *Journal of Experimental Botany* 55 (397): 641-50 (2004).

Pandey et al., "Role of polyamines and ethylene as modulators of plant senescence," *J. Biosci.* 25(3):291-9 (2000).

Pesis et al., Project Abstract, Postharvest delay of fruit ripening by metabolites of anaerobic respiration: acetaldehyde and ethanol. <http://www.bard-isus.com/FRAbst/1787.htm>.

Pesis and Faiman, "Inhibition of ethylene production and ACC oxidase activity in avocado by acetaldehyde vapours," *Proceedings of the World Avocado Congress* 111, 354-361, (1995). www.avocadosource.com/WAC3/WAC3_p354.htm.

Plant-Hormones, Ethylene, <http://www.plant-hormones.info/ethylene.htm>.

Pretel et al., "Ripening and ethylene biosynthesis in controlled atmosphere stored apricots" *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 209:130-4 (1999).

Pujade-Renaud et al., "Ethylene-induced increase in glutamine synthetase activity and mRNA levels in *Hevea brasiliensis* latex cells" *Plant Physiol.* 100:131-127 (1994).

Radboud University, Plant hormone ethylene and detection, http://www.ru.nl/tracenasfacility/life_science_trace/plant_physiology/plant_hormone/.

Reed et al., "Delayed ripening tomato plants expressing the enzyme 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid deaminase. 1. Molecular characterization, enzyme expression, and fruit ripening traits," *J. Agriculture Food* 43:1954-62 (1995).

Rhodes, Purdue University, Horticulture 640—Metabolic Plant Physiology (2008). <http://www.hort.purdue.edu/rhodcv/hort640c/sulfate/su00009.htm>.

Rychter et al., 1978. "Cyanide-resistant respiration in freshly cut potato slices." *Plant Physiol.* 61: 667-668.

Sacher, "Permeability characteristics and amino acid incorporation during senescence (ripening) of banana tissue," *Plant Physiol.* 41:701-8 (1966).

Saltveit, University of California, Davis. Department of Vegetable Crops. Postharvest Technology Research Information Center [PTRIC] "Respiratory Metabolism" (2006). postharvest.ucdavis.edu.

Sankhian et al., "Nitrile hydratase of *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* NHB-2: optimization of conditions for production of enzyme and conversion of acrylonitrile to acrylamide" *Asian J. of Microbiol. Biotech.* 5(2):217-33 (2003).

Singh et al., "Effect of cobalt, cadmium, and nickel as inhibitors of ethylene biosynthesis on floral malformation, yield, and fruit quality of mango" *J. Plant Nutrition.* 17:1659-70 (1994).

Sisler et al., "Inhibition of ethylene responses by 1-methylcyclopropane and 3-methylcyclopropane." *Plant Growth Reg.* 27:105-11 (1999).

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- SLX International, Inc., User manual and instructions for the SLX International, Inc. model 2024 shipping container (2002). SLX International, Inc. San Luis Obispo, CA.
- Solomos and Laties, "Similarities between the actions of ethylene and cyanide in initiating the climacteric ripening of avocados" *Plant Physiol.* 54:506-11 (1974).
- Sonawane et al., "Utilization of acidic amino acids and their amides by *Pseudomonas*: role of periplasmic glutaminase-asparaginase" *Arch. Microbiol.* 179:151-9 (2003).
- Sonawane et al., "Identification of *Pseudomonas* proteins coordinately induced by acidic amino acids and their amides: a two-dimensional electrophoresis study" *Microbiology* 149:2909-18 (2003).
- Soong et al., "A novel amidase (half-amidase) for half-amide hydrolysis involved in the bacterial metabolism of cyclic imides" *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66(5):1947-52 (2000).
- Sozzi et al., "Gibberellic acid, synthetic auxins, and ethylene differentially modulate α -L-arabinofuranosidase activities in antisense 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid synthase tomato pericarp discs," *Plant Physiol.* 129:1330-40 (2002).
- Ten Have and Woltering, "Ethylene biosynthetic genes are differentially expressed during carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) flower senescence" *Plant Molec. Biol.* 34:89-97 (1997).
- Thompson et al., "Acceleration of membrane senescence in cut carnation flowers by treatment with ethylene," *Plant Physiol.* 69:859-863 (1982).
- Trainotti and Casadoro, "Different ethylene receptors show an increased expression during the ripening of strawberries: does such an increment imply a role for ethylene in the ripening of these non-climacteric fruits," *J. Exp. Botany* 56:2037-46 (2005).
- Tudela and Primo-Millo, "1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid transported from roots to shoots promotes leaf abscission in Cleopatra Mandarin (*Citrus reshni* Hort. ex Tan.) seedlings rehydrated after water stress" *Plant Physiology* 100:131-7 (1992).
- USDA, Agricultural Export Transportation Handbook: Maintaining Product Quality During Transportation, http://www.rockymountainbusiness.com/AgExporters/maintaining_product_quality.htm.
- USDA. Tropical Products Transport Handbook. USDA [usda.gov/tmd/Tropical] (2006).
- U.S. Global Resources, Plant Growth 1 Germination Cabinets, www.usgr.com.
- Van Doorn, "Does Ethylene Treatment Mimic the Effects of Pollination on Floral Lifespan and Attractiveness?" *Annals of Botany* 89:375-83 (2002).
- Van Doorn, "Effect of Ethylene on Flower Abscission: a Survey" *Annals of Botany* 89:689-93 (2002).
- Van Doorn, "Categories of petal senescence and abscission: a re-evaluation" *Annals of Botany* 87:447-56 (2001).
- Wagstaff et al., "Ethylene and flower longevity in *Alstroemeria*: relationship between tepal senescence, abscission and ethylene biosynthesis" *J. Exp. Botany* 56:1007-16 (2005).
- Wang et al., "An in vivo experimental system to study sugar phloem unloading in ripening grape berries during water deficiency stress," *Annals of Botany* 92:523-8 (2003).
- Wang et al., "Ethylene biosynthesis and signaling networks," *The Plant Cell*, Supplement 2002, S131-S151 (2002).
- Wang et al., "Regulation of ethylene gas biosynthesis by the *Arabidopsis* ETI protein" *Nature* 428:945-50 (2004).
- Watanabe et al., "Screening, Isolation and Taxonomical Properties of Microorganisms Having Acrylonitrile Hydrating Activity" *Agric. Biol. Chem.* 51:3193-9 (1987).
- Office Action, dated May 4, 2014, received in connection with Chinese Application No. 201310089657.5 (English Translation).
- Alexander and Grierson, "Ethylene biosynthesis and action in tomato: a model for climacteric fruit ripening" *J. Exp. Botany* 53:2039-55 (2002).
- Avni et al., "Induction of ethylene biosynthesis in *Nicotiana tabacum* by a *Trichoderma viride* sylanase is correlated to the accumulation of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) synthase and ACC oxidase transcripts" *Plant Physiol.* 106:1049-55 (1994).
- Badr et al., "Kinetics and properties of L-glutaminase and L-asparaginase activities of *Pseudomonas ovalis*," *Badt. II. Abt.* 131:489-96 (1976).
- Bahr and Bonner, Jr., "Cyanide-insensitive respiration" *J. Biol. Chem.* 248: 3446-50 (1973).
- Bates and Warner, "1-MCP and Fruit Quality," *Perishables Handling Quarterly*, Issue No. 108, (Nov. 2001) postharvest.ucdavis.edu/datastorefiles/234-37.pdf.
- Beaudoin et al., "Interactions between abscisic acid and ethylene signaling cascades," *The Plant Cell* 12:1103-15 (2000).
- Bijnen et al., "Geometrical optimization of a longitudinal resonant photoacoustic cell for sensitive and fast trace gas detection" *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 67: 2914-23 (1996).
- Blankenship and Dole, "1-Methylcyclopropene: a review," *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 28:1-25 (2003).
- Bleecker and Kende, "Ethylene: a gaseous signal molecule in plants," *Ann. Rev. Cell. Dev. Biol.* 16:1-18 (2000).
- Bowyer and Wills, "Delaying postharvest senescence of cut flowers using nitric oxide," *Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation*, (May 2003). www.rirdc.gov.au/reports/WNP/03-015.pdf.
- Bunch et al., "Biotransformation of nitriles by Rhodococci" *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands* 74:89-97 (1998).
- Caron, Environmental Test Chambers, <http://www.caronproducts.com/T8/prodcat/all>.
- Chamani et al., "Ethylene and anti-ethylene treatment effects on cut 'First Red' rose," *Journal of Applied Horticulture*, 7 (1): 3-7 (2005).
- "Chiquita explores financial alternatives," *Refrigerated Transporter*, Sep. 29, 2006, http://refrigeratedtrans.com/marr/transposition_chiquita_explores_financial/index.html.
- Cincinnati Sub-zero, Microclimate Benchtop Test Chambers, <http://www.cszindustrial.com/products/microclimate/microclimate>.
- Crassweller, Pennsylvania State University, Horticulture 432, Lecture Notes: Thinning and PGRs, (2000). www.hortweb.cas.psu.edu/courses/hort432/lecturenotes/pgr.html.
- Crisoto, "Stone fruit maturity indices: a descriptive review," *Postharvest News and Information* 5(6):65N-68N (1994).
- Cristescu et al., "Ethylene production by *Botrytis cinerea* in vitro and in tomatoes," *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68:5342-50 (2002).
- Curry and Thompson, "Delicious quality can be affected by ethephon or ReTain," *Washington University—Tree Fruit Research and Extension Center: Postharvest Information Network*, 15th Annual Postharvest Conference, Mar. 9-10, 1999. <http://postharvest.tfrec.wsu.edu/pgDisplay.php?article=PC99A>.
- Dixon and Palva, "Stress-induced phenylpropanoid metabolism," *Plant Cell* 7:1085-97 (1995).
- Dole Worldwide: Latin America and Caribbean, <http://www.dole.com/CompanyInfo/About/Worldwide/LatinAmerica.isp>.
- Dominguez et al., "Effect of inhibitors of ethylene biosynthesis and action on ripening of bananas," *Proc. Int. Symp. Bananas in Subtropics* (V. Galan Sauco, Editor) 519-28 (1998).
- Dong et al., "Purification and characterization of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase from apple fruit," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 89:9789-93 (1992).
- Ei-Sharkawy et al., "Isolation and characterization of four ethylene perception elements and their expression during ripening in pears (*Pyrus communis* L.) with/without cold requirement," *J. Exp. Botany* 54:1615-25 (2003).
- Fawcett et al., "A Rapid and Precise Method for the Determination of Urea," *J. Clin. Path.* 13:156-9 (1960).
- Foda et al., "Formation and properties of L-glutaminase and L-asparaginase activities in *Pichia polymorpha*," *Acta Microbiol. Pol.* 29(4):343-52 (1980).
- Fisher et al., "Bacillus subtilis 168 contains two differentially regulated genes encoding L-asparaginase" *J. Bacteriol.* 184(8):2148-54 (2002).
- Floritech, Tips on Keeping Flowers Healthy, http://www.floritech.net/New/%C2%BFmode=view_page&page_id=20.html.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Fruit Control Equipment, Product Technical Catalog, CA Pilot Cabinets, <http://www.fruitcontrol.it/prodottinglese/cabinet.htm>.

Fournand et al., "Acyl transfer activity of an amidase from *Rhodococcus* sp. Strain R312: Formation of a wide range of hydroxamic acids" *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 64(8):2844-52 (1998).

Gas Control Systems, Ethylene Analyser GCS-560, www.gascontrolsystems.com.

GEO-PIE Project: Delayed fruit ripening, www.geopie.cornell.edu-traits/fruitrip.html.

Goda et al., "Discovery of a novel enzyme, isonitrile hydratase, involved in nitrogen-carbon triple bond cleavage" *J. Biol. Chem.* 276(26):23480-5 (2001).

Hann et al., "5-Cyanovaleramide Production Using Immobilized *Pseudomonas Chlororaphis* B23", *Bioorg. Medicinal Chem.*, 7:2239-45 (1999).

Huber et al., "Use of 1-methylcyclopropane (1-MCP) on tomato and avocado fruits: potential for enhanced shelf life and quality retention," University of Florida, IFAS Extension, (2003). <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/HS151>.

International Labour Organization, "The world cut flower industry: trends and prospects," <http://www.ilo.org/public/english/dialou/sector/papers/ctflower/139e2.htm>.

Itai et al., "Rapid identification of 1-minocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) synthase genotypes in cultivars of Japanese pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia nakai*) using CAPS markers." *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 106:1266-72 (2003).

Johnson and Ecker, "The ethylene gas signal transduction pathway: a molecular perspective." *Ann. Rev. Genetics.* 32: 227-54 (1998).

Kader, "A summary of CA requirements and recommendations for fruits other than apples and pears," *Postharvest Horticulture Series* No. 22A, University of California, Davis, pp. 29-70 (2001).

Kader et al., "Postharvest handling and physiology of horticultural crops: a list of selected references," University of California Postharvest Group (May 2001).

Kato et al., "Nitrile hydratase involved in aldoxime metabolism from *Rhodococcus* sp. strain YH3-3 purification and characterization" *Eur. J. Biochem.* 263(3):662-70 (1999).

Klee et al., "Control of ethylene synthesis by expression of a bacterial enzyme in transgenic tomato plants." *Plant Cell.* 3:1187-93 (1991).

Komeda et al., "Characterization of the gene cluster of high-molecular-mass nitrile hydratase (H-NHase) induced by its reaction product in *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* J1" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 93:4267-72 (1996).

Kopf et al., "Key Role of Alkanoic Acids on the Spectral Properties, Activity, and Active-Site Stability of Iron-Containing Nitrile Hydratase From *Brevibacterium* R312" *Eur. J. Biochem.* 240:239-44 (1996).

Kozdroj et al., "Influence of introduced potential biocontrol agents on maize seedling growth and bacterial community structure in the rhizosphere" *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 36(11):1775-84 (2004).

Kulikova et al., "Ethylene epoxidation by native and immobilized cells of the propane-assimilating culture *Rhodococcus erythropolis* 3/89," *Prikladnaya Biokhimiya I Mikrobiologiya* 35(6):611-15 (1999).

Lafuente et al., "Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase as related to ethylene in the development of chilling symptoms during cold storage of citrus fruit." *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 49:6020-5 (2001).

Lawton et al., "Regulation of senescence-related gene expression in carnation flower petals by ethylene," *Plant Physiol.* 93:1370-5 (1990).

Liao et al., "Postharvest life of cut rose flowers as affected by silver thiosulfate and sucrose," *Bot. Bull. Acad. Sin.* 41:299-303 (2000).

Mafra et al., "Ripening-related changes in the cell walls of olive (*Olea europaea* L.) pulp of two consecutive harvests," *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 86:988-98 (2006).

Bucke, C., "Cell Immobilization in Calcium Alginate," *Methods in Enzymology*, vol. 135, 1987, pp. 175-189.

Collins: et al., "The Utilization of Nitriles and Amides by *Nocardia rhodochrous*," *J. Gen. Microbiol.*, vol. 129, 1983, pp. 711-718.

Difco Manual of Dehydrated Culture Media and Reagents for Microbiological and Clinical Laboratory Procedures, 9th Ed., 1953, pp. 264-265.

Ferreira, J., et al., "Comparison of three different methods for trehalose determination in yeast extracts," *Food Chemistry*, vol. 60, No. 2, pp. 251-254.

Harper, D., "Characterization of a Nitrilase from *Nocardia* sp. (*Rhodochrous* Group) N.C.I.B. 11215, Using *p*-Hydroxybenzonitrile as Sole Carbon Source," *Int. J. Biochem.*, vol. 17, No. 6, 1985, pp. 677-683.

Harper, D., "Microbial metabolism of aromatic nitriles," *Enzymology of C-N cleavage by *Nocardia* sp. (*Rhodochrous* group)* N.C.I.B. 11216, *Biochem J.*, vol. 165, No. 2, 1977, pp. 309-319.

Jun, C., "Study on Immobilization of the Cells of Nitrile Hydratase by Flocculation," *Chemical Technology Market*, vol. 3, 2005, pp. 39-42.

Kobayashi, M. et al., "Versatile nitrilases: Nitrile-hydrolysing enzymes," *FEMS Microbiology*, vol. 120, 1994, pp. 217-224.

Kobayashi, M., et al., "Metalloenzyme nitrile hydratase: Structure, regulation and application to biotechnology," *Nature Biotechnology*, vol. 16, 1998, pp. 733-736.

Linton, E., et al., "Utilization of Aliphatic Amides and Nitriles by *Nocardia rhodochrous* LL100-21," *Journal of General Microbiology*, vol. 132, 1986, pp. 1493-1501.

López-Gallego, F., et al., "Enzyme stabilization by glutaraldehyde crosslinking of adsorbed proteins on aminated supports," *Journal of Biotechnology*, vol. 119, 2005, pp. 70-75.

Nagasawa, T., et al., "Superiority of *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* B23 nitrile hydratase as a catalyst for the enzymatic production of acrylamide," *Experientia*, vol. 45, 1989, pp. 1066-1070.

Nagasawa, T., et al., "The superiority of the third-generation catalyst, *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* J1 nitrile hydratase, for industrial production of acrylamide," *Applied Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, vol. 40, 1993, pp. 189-195.

Seong, K.-Y., et al., Effect of Trehalose on the Viability of Fluorescent *Pseudomonas*, Strain SSL3, *Korean J. Soil Sci. & Fert.*, vol. 33, No. 4, 2000, pp. 292-301.

Singer, M., et al., "Multiple Effects of Trehalose on Protein Folding In Vitro and In Vivo," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 1, Apr. 1998, pp. 639-648.

U.S. Biological web page capture, <http://www.usbio.net/Product.aspx?ProdSku=P3300>, Composition of Peptone, Nov. 2007.

Yamaki, T., et al., "Cloning and Sequencing of a Nitrile Hydratase Gene from *Pseudonocardia thermophila*, JCM3095," *Journal of Fermentation and Bioengineering*, vol. 83, No. 5, 1997, pp. 474-477.

Office Action, dated Feb. 6, 2013, received in connection with Chinese Application No. 201210105416.0. (English Translation).

Office Action, dated Dec. 4, 2013, received in connection with Chinese Application No. 201210105416.0. (English Translation).

Office Action, dated Nov. 6, 2013, received in connection with New Zealand Application No. 612756.

Office Action, dated Feb. 10, 2014, received in connection with European Application No. 11735319.3.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability, dated Jul. 31, 2012, received in connection with International Application No. PCT/US2011/022278.

International Search Report and Written Opinion, dated Oct. 14, 2011, received in connection with International Application No. PCT/US2011/022278.

International Search Report, dated Mar. 11, 2009, received in connection with International Application No. PCT/US2008/058286.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability, dated Aug. 20, 2009, received in connection with International Application No. PCT/US2008/058286.

International Search Report, dated Dec. 3, 2007, received in connection with International Application No. PCT/US2007/061315.





International Preliminary Report on Patentability, dated Jun. 25, 2008, received in connection with International Application No. PCT/US2007/061315.



(56)


References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Watkins and Frenkel, "Inhibition of pear fruit ripening by mannose," *Plant Physiol.* 85:56-61 (1987).
- Weingart and Volksch, "Ethylene production by *Pseudomonas syringae* pathovars in vitro and in planta." *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63:156-161 (1997).
- Whittaker et al., "Expression of ethylene biosynthetic genes in *Actinidia chinensis* fruit" *Plant Molec. Biol.* 34:345-55 (1997).
- Wild, "Controlled atmosphere update: a cost benefit analysis—horses for courses," Intermodal 1998 Conference, Dec. 1-3, 1998, Rotterdam. www.drwild.de/1998-12-02_Intermodal_CA.pdf.
- Woolf et al., "I-MCP reduces physiological storage disorders of 'Hass' avocados." *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 35:43-60 (2005).
- Woltering, "Interorgan translocation of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid and ethylene coordinates senescence in emasculated *Cymbidium* flowers" *Plant Physiol.* 92:837-45 (1990).
- Woodson et al., "Expression of ethylene biosynthetic pathway transcripts in senescing carnation flowers" *Plant Physiol.* 99:526-532 (1992).
- Woodson and Lawton, "Ethylene-induced gene expression in carnation petals" *Plant Physiol.* 87:498-503 (1988).
- Yamada et al., "Optimum culture conditions for production by *Pseudomonas chloroaphis* B23 of nitrile hydratase" *Agric. Biol. Chem.* 50(11):2859-65 (1986).
- Yang and Hoffman, "Ethylene biosynthesis and its regulation in higher plants" *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.* 35:155-89 (1984).
- Zhao et al., "Elicitor signal transduction leading to production of plant secondary metabolites," *Biotechnology Advances* 23:283-333 (2005).
- Kulayeva, Ethylene in the life of plants, Soros Educational J., 11:78-84 (1998).
- Frankenberger WT and Tabatabai MA. Amidase and urease activities in plants. *Plant and Soil* 1982, 64(2):153-166.
- Mascharak, PK. Structural and functional models of nitrile hydratase. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* 2002, 225 (1):201-214.
- Worthington Enzyme Manual. Asparaginase. Worthington Biochemical Corporation. 2012.
- Skjerdal OT, et al. "Changes in intracellular composition in response to hyperosmotic stress of NaCl, sucrose or glutamic acid in *Brevibacterium lactofermentum* and *Corynebacterium glutamicum*." *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. Jan. 1996, vol. 44, Issue 5, pp. 635-642.
- Tucker TM, et al. "A comparison of mycolic acids profiles of *Rhodococcus* DAP 96253 when grown on different media." Abstracts of the General Meeting of the American Society for Microbiology, vol. 106, 2006, p. 440, & 106th General Meeting of the American-Society-for-Microbiology; Orlando, FL, USA; May 21-25, 2006, available at http://ieg.ou.edu/ASM2006/data/papers/O_100.htm.
- Tucker TA, et al. "Effect of growth media on cell envelope composition and nitrile hydratase stability in *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* strain DAP 96253." *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol.* Nov. 2012;39(11):1577-85.
- Wolf M, et al. "Stabilisation and determination of the biological activity of L-asparaginase in poly(D,L-lactide-coglycolide) nanospheres." *Int J Pharm.* Apr. 30, 2003;256(1-2):141-52.
- Extended European Search Report in EP 11735319.3, mailed Jul. 1, 2013.
- Communication pursuant to Article 94(3) EPC for related International Application No. 07710402.4, mailed Jan. 8, 2016.







1

BIOLOGICAL-BASED CATALYST TO DELAY PLANT DEVELOPMENT PROCESSES

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation application of U.S. application Ser. No. 13/083,855, filed Apr. 11, 2011, which is a divisional application of U.S. application Ser. No. 11/695,377, filed Apr. 2, 2007, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,943,549, all of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to methods for delaying a plant development comprising exposing a plant or plant part to one or more bacteria or enzymes. Apparatuses for delaying a plant development process are further provided.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Ethylene production in plants and plant parts is induced by a variety of external factors and stressors, including wounding, the application of hormones (e.g., auxin), anaerobic conditions, chilling, heat, drought, and pathogen infection. Increased ethylene production also is observed during a variety of plant development processes, including fruit or vegetable ripening, seed germination, leaf abscission, and flower senescence.

Ethylene biosynthesis in plants is typically depicted as an enzymatic scheme involving three enzymes, traditionally referred to as the “Yang Cycle,” in which S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) synthase catalyzes conversion of methionine to S-adenosyl-L-methionine (AdoMet); 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) synthase catalyzes the conversion of AdoMet to ACC; and ACC oxidase catalyzes the conversion of ACC to ethylene and the byproducts carbon dioxide and hydrogen cyanide. See, for example, Srivastava (2001) *Plant Growth and Development: Hormones and Environment* (Academic Press, New York) for a general description of ethylene biosynthesis in plants and plant development processes regulated by ethylene.

Previous research has established that in climacteric fruit ripening is triggered, at least in part, by a sudden and significant increase in ethylene biosynthesis. Although a sudden burst of ethylene production is implicated in the fruit ripening process of climacteric fruits, the exact mechanism, particularly in nonclimacteric fruits, is not completely understood. While there is no sudden burst of ethylene production in non-climacteric fruit, non-climacteric fruit will respond to ethylene. Moreover, fruits, vegetables, and other plant products vary in the amount of ethylene synthesized and also in the sensitivity of the particular product to ethylene. For example, apples exhibit a high level of ethylene production and ethylene sensitivity, whereas artichokes display a low level of ethylene biosynthesis and ethylene sensitivity. See, for example, Cantwell (2001) “Properties and Recommended Conditions for Storage of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables” at postharvest.ucdavis.edu/Produce/Storage/index.shtml (last accessed on Mar. 6, 2007), which is herein incorporated by reference in its entirety. Fruit ripening typically results in a change in color, softening of the pericarp, and changes in the sugar content and flavor of the fruit. While ripening initially makes fruit more edible and attractive to eat, the process eventually leads to degradation and deterioration of fruit quality, making it unacceptable for

2

consumption, leading to significant commercial monetary losses. Control of the ripening process is desirable for improving shelf-life and extending the time available for transportation, storage, and sale of fruit and other agricultural products subject to ripening.

In addition to a sudden increase in ethylene biosynthesis in climacteric fruits, ripening-related changes are also associated with a rise in respiration rate. Heat is produced as a consequence of respiration in fruit, vegetables, and other plant products and, consequently, impacts the shelf-life and the required storage conditions (e.g., refrigeration) for these commodities. Plant products with higher rates of respiration (e.g., artichokes, cut flowers, asparagus, broccoli, spinach, etc.) exhibit shorter shelf-lives than those with lower respiration rates (e.g., nuts, dates, apples, citrus fruits, grapes, etc.). Respiration is affected by a number of environmental factors including temperature, atmospheric composition, physical stress, light, chemical stress, radiation, water stress, growth regulators, and pathogen attack. In particular, temperature plays a significant role in respiration rate. For a general description of respiratory metabolism and recommended controlled atmospheric conditions for fruits, vegetables, and other plant products see, for example, Kader (2001) *Postharvest Horticulture Series* No. 22A:29-70 (University of California—Davis); Saltveit (University of California—Davis) “Respiratory Metabolism” at usna.usda.gov/hb66/019respiration.pdf (last accessed on Mar. 6, 2007); and Cantwell (2001) “Properties and Recommended Conditions for Storage of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables” at postharvest.ucdavis.edu/Produce/Storage/index.shtml (last accessed on Mar. 6, 2007), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety.

Methods and compositions for delaying the fruit ripening process include, for example, the application of silver salts (e.g., silver thiosulfate), 2,5-norbornadiene, potassium permanganate, 1-methylcyclopropene (1-MCP), cyclopropene (CP) and derivatives thereof. These compounds have significant disadvantages, such as the presence of heavy metals, foul odors, and explosive properties when compressed, that make them unacceptable for or of limited applicability for use in the food industry. Transgenic approaches for controlling ethylene production to delay plant development processes (e.g., fruit ripening) by introducing nucleic acid sequences that limit ethylene production, particularly by reducing the expression of the enzymes ACC synthase or ACC oxidase, are also under investigation. The public’s response to genetically modified agricultural products, however, has not been entirely favorable.

Accordingly, a significant need remains in the art for safe methods and apparatuses to delay plant development processes. Such methods and apparatuses could provide better control of fruit ripening, vegetable ripening, flower senescence, leaf abscission, and seed germination and extend the shelf-life of various agricultural products (e.g., fruit, vegetables, and cut flowers), thereby permitting longer distance transportation of these products without the need for refrigeration, increasing product desirability to consumers, and decreasing monetary costs associated with product loss due to untimely ripening and senescence.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Methods for delaying a plant development process, including but not limited to fruit ripening, vegetable ripening, flower senescence, and leaf abscission, are provided. The methods of the present invention generally comprise exposing a plant or plant part to one or more bacteria in a

3

quantity sufficient to delay the plant development process of interest. In certain aspects of the invention, the bacteria are selected from the group consisting of *Rhodococcus* spp., *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, and mixtures thereof. The bacteria used in the practice of the present methods may be further treated with an inducing agent, including for example asparagine, glutamine, cobalt, urea, and mixtures thereof, to induce the ability of the bacteria to delay a plant development process of interest.

The present invention further provides apparatuses for delaying a plant development process comprising a catalyst that comprises one or more of bacteria, particularly *Rhodococcus* spp., *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, or a mixture thereof. Any apparatus that permits exposure of a plant or plant part to the catalyst and delays the plant development process of interest is encompassed by the present invention. Exemplary apparatuses include those in which the catalyst is immobilized in a matrix and placed in, placed on, or otherwise affixed to any physical structure. Various configurations of the disclosed apparatuses are envisioned and described in greater detail herein below. The methods and apparatuses of the invention for delaying a plant development process find particular use in increasing shelf-life and facilitating longer-distance transportation of plant products such as fruits, vegetables, and flowers, improving consumer product satisfaction, and reducing product loss resulting from untimely ripening or senescence.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Having thus described the invention in general terms, reference will now be made to the accompanying drawings, which are not necessarily drawn to scale, and wherein:

FIG. 1 shows a non-limiting depiction of a three-layer apparatus for retarding fruit ripening. The outer layers (designated A and B) provide structural integrity to the apparatus. The catalyst layer, as defined herein below, comprises one or more of the enzymes of the invention and is located between the outer layers.

FIG. 2A-C provides non-limiting depictions of various apparatuses for retarding fruit ripening. These apparatuses comprise a catalyst layer, one or more layers intended to provide structural integrity, and one or more layers intended to be removed prior to use of the apparatus. Removal of one or more of these layers may, for example, expose an adhesive for attachment of the apparatus to another physical structure.

FIGS. 3A-3B show a non-limiting depiction of an apparatus for retarding fruit ripening. The apparatus comprises a catalyst immobilized on a layer of film and attached to a physical structure (e.g., a box suitable for storage/transportation of fruit).

FIG. 4 provides a non-limiting depiction of an apparatus for retarding fruit ripening. The apparatus comprises a slotted chamber structure that permits the insertion and replacement of one or more catalyst module elements, as defined below. The outer layers of the physical structure may be composed of a material that permits air to flow into the catalyst.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present inventions now will be described more fully hereinafter with reference to specific embodiments of the invention and particularly to the various drawings provided

4

herewith. Indeed, the invention may be embodied in many different forms and should not be construed as limited to the embodiments set forth herein; rather, these embodiments are provided so that this disclosure will satisfy applicable legal requirements. As used in the specification, and in the appended claims, the singular forms “a”, “an”, “the”, include plural referents unless the context clearly dictates otherwise.

Throughout the specification the word “comprising,” or grammatical variations thereof, will be understood to imply the inclusion of a stated element, integer or step, or group of elements, integers or steps, but not the exclusion of any other element, integer or step, or group of elements, integers or steps.

The present invention provides methods for delaying a plant development process of interest comprising exposing a plant or plant part to one or more bacteria. In particular embodiments, the methods are drawn to delaying a plant development process comprising exposing a plant or plant part to one or more bacteria selected from the group consisting of *Rhodococcus* spp., *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, and mixtures thereof, wherein the one or more bacteria are exposed to the plant or plant part in a quantity sufficient to delay the plant development process. Apparatuses for delaying a plant development process of interest and for practicing the methods described herein are further provided. The inventive methods and apparatuses of the invention may be used, for example, to delay fruit/vegetable ripening or flower senescence and to increase the shelf-life of fruit, vegetables, or flowers, thereby facilitating transportation, distribution, and marketing of such plant products.

As used herein, “plant” or “plant part” is broadly defined to include intact plants and any part of a plant, including but not limited to fruit, vegetables, flowers, seeds, leaves, nuts, embryos, pollen, ovules, branches, kernels, ears, cobs, husks, stalks, roots, root tips, anthers, and the like. In particular embodiments, the plant part is a fruit, vegetable, or flower. In certain aspects of the invention, the plant part is a fruit, more particularly a climacteric fruit, as described in more detail below.

The methods and apparatuses of the invention are directed to delaying a plant development process, such as a plant development process generally associated with increased ethylene biosynthesis. “Plant development process” is intended to mean any growth or development process of a plant or plant part, including but not limited to fruit ripening, vegetable ripening, flower senescence, leaf abscission, seed germination, and the like. In particular embodiments, the plant development process of interest is fruit or vegetable ripening, flower senescence, or leaf abscission, more particularly fruit or vegetable ripening. As defined herein, “delaying a plant development process,” and grammatical variants thereof, refers to any slowing, interruption, suppression, or inhibition of the plant development process of interest or the phenotypic or genotypic changes to the plant or plant part typically associated with the specific plant development process. For example, when the plant development process of interest is fruit ripening, a delay in fruit ripening may include inhibition of the changes generally associated with the ripening process (e.g., color change, softening of pericarp (i.e., ovary wall), increases in sugar content, changes in flavor, general degradation/deterioration of the fruit, and eventual decreases in the desirability of the fruit to consumers, as described above). One of skill in the art will appreciate that the length of time required for fruit ripening to occur will vary depending on, for example, the

type of fruit and the specific storage conditions utilized (e.g., temperature, humidity, air flow, etc.). Accordingly, “delaying fruit ripening” may constitute a delay of 1 to 90 days, particularly 1 to 30 days, more particularly 5 to 30 days. Methods for assessing a delay in a plant development process such as fruit ripening, vegetable ripening, flower senescence, and leaf abscission are well within the routine capabilities of those of ordinary skill in the art and may be based on, for example, comparison to plant development processes in untreated plants or plant parts. In certain aspects of the invention, delays in a plant development process resulting from the practice of the present methods may be assessed relative to untreated plants or plant parts or to plants or plant parts that have been treated with one or more agents known to retard the plant development process of interest. For example, a delay in fruit ripening resulting from performance of a method of the invention may be compared to fruit ripening times of untreated fruit or fruit that has been treated with an anti-ripening agent, such as those described herein above.

The methods of the invention for delaying a plant development process typically comprise exposing a plant or plant part to one or more of the following bacteria: *Rhodococcus* spp., *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, or a mixture containing any combination of these bacteria. In certain embodiments, the one or more bacteria include *Rhodococcus* spp., more particularly *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 strain, *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 strain, *Rhodococcus erythropolis*, or mixtures thereof. As used herein, exposing a plant or plant part to one or more of the above bacteria includes, for example, exposure to intact bacterial cells, bacterial cell lysates, and bacterial extracts that possess enzymatic activity (i.e., “enzymatic extracts”). Methods for preparing lysates and enzymatic extracts from cells, including bacterial cells, are routine in the art. The one or more bacteria used in the methods and apparatuses of the invention may at times be more generally referred to herein as the “catalyst.”

In accordance with the methods of the invention, the one or more bacteria are exposed to the plant or plant part in a quantity sufficient to delay the plant development process. “Exposing” a plant or plant part to one or more of the bacteria of the invention includes any method for presenting a bacterium to the plant or plant part. Indirect methods of exposure include, for example, placing the bacterium or mixture of bacteria in the general proximity of the plant or plant part (i.e., indirect exposure). In other embodiments, the bacteria may be exposed to the plant or plant part via closer or direct contact. Furthermore, as defined herein, a “sufficient” quantity of the one or more bacteria of the invention will depend on a variety of factors, including but not limited to, the particular bacteria utilized in the method, the form in which the bacteria is exposed to the plant or plant part (e.g., as intact bacterial cells, cell lysates, or enzymatic extracts, as described above), the means by which the bacteria is exposed to the plant or plant part, and the length of time of exposure. It would be a matter of routine experimentation for the skilled artisan to determine the “sufficient” quantity of the one or more bacteria necessary to delay the plant development process of interest.

Although in particular embodiments of the invention the one or more bacteria are selected from the group consisting of *Rhodococcus* spp., *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, any bacterium that delays a plant development process when exposed to a plant or plant part can be used in the present methods and apparatuses. For example, bacteria belonging to the genus *Nocardia* [see

Japanese Patent Application No. 54-129190], *Rhodococcus* [see Japanese Patent Application No. 2-470], *Rhizobium* [see Japanese Patent Application No. 5-236977], *Klebsiella* [Japanese Patent Application No. 5-30982], *Aeromonas* [Japanese Patent Application No. 5-30983], *Agrobacterium* [Japanese Patent Application No. 8-154691], *Bacillus* [Japanese Patent Application No. 8-187092], *Pseudonocardia* [Japanese Patent Application No. 8-56684], *Pseudomonas*, and *Mycobacterium* are non-limiting examples of microorganisms that can be used according to the invention. Not all species within a given genus may exhibit the same properties. Thus, it is possible to have a genus generally known to include strains capable of exhibiting a desired activity (e.g., the ability to delay a particular plant development process such as, for example, fruit ripening) but have one or more species that do not generally exhibit the desired activity. In light of the disclosure provided herein and the general knowledge in the art, however, it would be a matter of routine experimentation for the skilled artisan to carry out an assay to determine whether a particular species possesses one or more of the desired activities.

Further, specific examples of bacteria useful according to the invention include, but are not limited to, *Nocardia* sp., *Rhodococcus* sp., *Rhodococcus rhodochrous*, *Klebsiella* sp., *Aeromonas* sp., *Citrobacter freundii*, *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, *Xanthobacter flavus*, *Erwinia nigrifluens*, *Enterobacter* sp., *Streptomyces* sp., *Rhizobium* sp., *Rhizobium loti*, *Rhizobium leguminosarum*, *Rhizobium merioti*, *Candida guilliermondii*, *Pantoea agglomerans*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* subsp. *pneumoniae*, *Agrobacterium radiobacter*, *Bacillus smithii*, *Pseudonocardia thermophila*, *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Pseudomonas erythropolis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, *Rhodococcus erythropolis*, *Nocardia farcinica*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Helicobacter pylori*. In particular embodiments, bacteria from the genus *Rhodococcus*, more specifically *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 strain (ATCC Deposit No. 55899; deposited with the ATCC on Dec. 11, 1996), *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 strain (ATCC Deposit No. 55898; deposited with the ATCC on Dec. 11, 1996), *Rhodococcus erythropolis*, or mixtures thereof, are used in the methods and apparatuses of the invention.

In certain aspects of the invention, the one or more bacteria are “induced” to exhibit a desired characteristic (e.g., the ability to delay a plant development process such as fruit ripening) by exposure to or treatment with a suitable inducing agent. Inducing agents include but are not limited to asparagine, glutamine, cobalt, urea, or any mixture thereof. In particular embodiments, the bacteria are exposed to or treated with the inducing agent asparagine, more particularly a mixture of the inducing agents comprising asparagine, cobalt, and urea. The inducing agent can be added at any time during cultivation of the desired cells. For example, with respect to bacteria, the culture medium can be supplemented with an inducing agent prior to beginning cultivation of the bacteria. Alternately, the bacteria could be cultivated on a medium for a predetermined amount of time to grow the bacteria and the inducing agent could be added at one or more predetermined times to induce the desired enzymatic activity in the bacteria. Moreover, the inducing agent could be added to the growth medium (or to a separate mixture including the previously grown bacteria) to induce the desired activity in the bacteria after the growth of the bacteria is completed.

While not intending to be limited to a particular mechanism, “inducing” the bacteria of the invention may result in the production (or increased production) of one or more

enzymes, such as a nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or asparaginase, and the induction of one or more of these enzymes may play a role in delaying a plant development process of interest. "Nitrile hydratases," "amidases," and "asparaginases" comprise families of enzymes present in cells from various organisms, including but not limited to, bacteria, fungi, plants, and animals. Such enzymes are well known to persons of skill in the art, and each class of enzyme possesses recognized enzymatic activities. "Enzymatic activity," as used herein, generally refers to the ability of an enzyme to act as a catalyst in a process, such as the conversion of one compound to another compound. In particular, nitrile hydratase catalyzes the hydrolysis of nitrile (or cyanohydrin) to the corresponding amide (or hydroxy acid). Amidase catalyzes the hydrolysis of an amide to the corresponding acid or hydroxyl acid. Similarly, an asparaginase enzyme, such as asparaginase I, catalyzes the hydrolysis of asparagine to aspartic acid.

In certain aspects of the invention, enzymatic activity can be referred to in terms of "units" per mass of enzyme or cells (typically based on the dry weight of the cells, e.g., units/mg cdw). A "unit" generally refers to the ability to convert a specific amount of a compound to a different compound under a defined set of conditions as a function of time. In specific embodiments, one "unit" of nitrile hydratase activity can relate to the ability to convert one μ mol of acrylonitrile to its corresponding amide per minute, per milligram of cells (dry weight) at a pH of 7.0 and a temperature of 30° C. Similarly, one unit of amidase activity can relate to the ability to convert one μ mol of acrylamide to its corresponding acid per minute, per milligram of cells (dry weight) at a pH of 7.0 and a temperature of 30° C. Further, one unit of asparaginase activity can relate to the ability to convert one μ mol of asparagine to its corresponding acid per minute, per milligram of cells (dry weight) at a pH of 7.0 and a temperature of 30° C. Assays for measuring nitrile hydratase, amidase activity, or asparaginase activity are known in the art and include, for example, the detection of free ammonia. See Fawcett and Scott (1960) *J. Clin. Pathol.* 13:156-159, which is incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

Methods of delaying a plant development process comprising exposing a plant or plant part to one or more enzymes selected from the group consisting of nitrile hydratase, amidase, asparaginase, or a mixture thereof, wherein the one or more enzymes are exposed to the plant or plant part in a quantity or at an enzymatic activity level sufficient to delay the plant development process are further encompassed by the present invention. For example, whole cells that produce, are induced to produce, or are genetically modified to produce one or more of the above enzymes (i.e., nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or asparaginase) may be used in methods to delay a plant development process. Alternatively, the nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or asparaginase may be isolated, purified, or semi-purified from any of the above cells and exposed to the plant or plant part in a more isolated form. See, for example, Goda et al. (2001) *J. Biol. Chem.* 276:23480-23485; Nagasawa et al. (2000) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 267:138-144; Soong et al. (2000) *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66:1947-1952; Kato et al. (1999) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 263:662-670, all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety. One of skill in the art will further appreciate that a single cell type may be capable of producing (or being induced or genetically modified to produce) more than one of the enzymes of the invention. Such cells are suitable for use in the disclosed methods and apparatuses.

The nucleotide and amino acid sequences for several nitrile hydratases, amidases, and asparaginases from various organisms are disclosed in publicly available sequence databases. A non-limiting list of representative nitrile hydratases and aliphatic amidases known in the art is set forth in Tables 1 and 2 and in the sequence listing. The "protein score" referred to in Tables 1 and 2 provides an overview of percentage confidence intervals (% Confid. Interval) of the identification of the isolated proteins based on mass spectroscopy data.

TABLE 1

Amino Acid Sequence Information for Representative Nitrile Hydratases			
Source organism	Accession No.	Sequence Identifier	Protein Score (% Confid. Interval)
<i>Rhodococcus</i> sp.	806580	SEQ ID NO: 1	100%
<i>Nocardia</i> sp.	27261874	SEQ ID NO: 2	100%
<i>Rhodococcus rhodochrous</i>	49058	SEQ ID NO: 3	100%
Uncultured bacterium (BD2); beta-subunit of nitrile hydratase	27657379	SEQ ID NO: 4	100%
<i>Rhodococcus</i> sp.	806581	SEQ ID NO: 5	100%
<i>Rhodococcus rhodochrous</i>	581528	SEQ ID NO: 6	100%
Uncultured bacterium (SP1); alpha-subunit of nitrile hydratase	7657369	SEQ ID NO: 7	100%

TABLE 2

Amino Acid Sequence Information for Representative Aliphatic Amidases			
Source organism	Accession No.	Sequence Identifier	Protein Score (% Confid. Interval)
<i>Rhodococcus rhodochrous</i>	62461692	SEQ ID NO: 8	100%
<i>Nocardia farcinica</i> IFM 10152	54022723	SEQ ID NO: 9	100%
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> PAO1	15598562	SEQ ID NO: 10	98.3%
<i>Helicobacter pylori</i> J99	15611349	SEQ ID NO: 11	99.6%
<i>Helicobacter pylori</i> 26695	2313392	SEQ ID NO: 12	97.7%
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	150980	SEQ ID NO: 13	94%

Generally, any bacterial, fungal, plant, or animal cell capable of producing or being induced to produce nitrile hydratase, amidase, asparaginase, or any combination thereof may be used in the practice of the invention. A nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or asparaginase may be produced constitutively in a cell from a particular organism (e.g., a bacterium, fungus, plant cell, or animal cell) or, alternatively, a cell may produce the desired enzyme or enzymes only following "induction" with a suitable inducing agent. "Constitutively" is intended to mean that at least one enzyme of the invention is continually produced or expressed in a particular cell type. Other cell types, however, may need to be "induced," as described above, to express nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or asparaginase at a sufficient quantity or enzymatic activity level to delay a plant development process of interest. That is, an enzyme of the invention may only be produced (or produced at sufficient levels) following exposure to or treatment with a suitable inducing agent. Such inducing agents are known in the art and outlined above. For example, in certain aspects of the invention, the one or more bacteria are treated with an inducing agent such as asparagine, glutamine, cobalt, urea,

or any mixture thereof, more particularly a mixture of asparagine, cobalt, and urea. Furthermore, as disclosed in pending U.S. application Ser. No. 11/669,011, entitled "Induction and Stabilization of Enzymatic Activity in Microorganisms," filed Jan. 30, 2007, asparaginase I activity can be induced in *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96622 (Gram-positive) or *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96253 (Gram-positive), in medium supplemented with amide containing amino acids, or derivatives thereof. Other strains of *Rhodococcus* can also preferentially be similarly induced to exhibit asparaginase I enzymatic activity utilizing amide containing amino acids, or derivatives thereof.

In other aspects of the invention, *P. chloroaphis* (ATCC Deposit No. 43051), which produces asparaginase I activity in the presence of asparagine, and *B. kletoglutamicum* (ATCC Deposit No. 21533), a Gram-positive bacterium that has also been shown to produce asparaginase activity, are used in the disclosed methods. Fungal cells, such as those from the genus *Fusarium*, plant cells, and animal cells, that express a nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or an asparaginase, may also be used in the methods and apparatuses disclosed herein, either as whole cells or as a source from which to isolated one or more of the above enzymes.

In additional embodiments, host cells that have been genetically engineered to express a nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or asparaginase can be used exposed to a plant or plant part in accordance with the present methods and apparatuses for delaying a plant development process. Specifically, a polynucleotide that encodes a nitrile hydratase, amidase, or asparaginase (or multiple polynucleotides each of which encodes a nitrile hydratase, amidase, or asparaginase) may be introduced by standard molecular biology techniques into a host cell to produce a transgenic cell that expresses one or more of the enzymes of the invention. The use of the terms "polynucleotide," "polynucleotide construct," "nucleotide," or "nucleotide construct" is not intended to limit the present invention to polynucleotides or nucleotides comprising DNA. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that polynucleotides and nucleotides can comprise ribonucleotides and combinations of ribonucleotides and deoxyribonucleotides. Such deoxyribonucleotides and ribonucleotides include both naturally occurring molecules and synthetic analogues. The polynucleotides of the invention also encompass all forms of sequences including, but not limited to, single-stranded forms, double-stranded forms, and the like.

Variants and fragments of polynucleotides that encode polypeptides that retain the desired enzymatic activity (i.e., nitrile hydratase, amidase, or asparaginase activity) may also be used in the practice of the invention. By "fragment" is intended a portion of the polynucleotide and hence also encodes a portion of the corresponding protein. Polynucleotides that are fragments of an enzyme nucleotide sequence generally comprise at least 10, 15, 20, 50, 75, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 350, 400, 450, 500, 550, 600, 650, 700, 800, 900, 1,000, 1,100, 1,200, 1,300, or 1,400 contiguous nucleotides, or up to the number of nucleotides present in a full-length enzyme polynucleotide sequence. A polynucleotide fragment will encode a polypeptide with a desired enzymatic activity and will generally encode at least 15, 25, 30, 50, 100, 150, 200, or 250 contiguous amino acids, or up to the total number of amino acids present in a full-length enzyme amino acid sequence of the invention. "Variant" is intended to mean substantially similar sequences. Generally, variants of a particular enzyme sequence of the invention will have at least about 40%, 45%, 50%, 55%, 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%,

98%, 99% or more sequence identity to the reference enzyme sequence, as determined by standard sequence alignment programs. Variant polynucleotides encompassed by the invention will encode polypeptides with the desired enzyme activity.

As used in the context of production of transgenic cells, the term "introducing" is intended to mean presenting to a host cell, particularly a microorganism such as *Escherichia coli*, with a polynucleotide that encodes a nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or asparaginase. In some embodiments, the polynucleotide will be presented in such a manner that the sequence gains access to the interior of a host cell, including its potential insertion into the genome of the host cell. The methods of the invention do not depend on a particular method for introducing a sequence into a host cell, only that the polynucleotide gains access to the interior of at least one host cell. Methods for introducing polynucleotides into host cells are well known in the art including, but not limited to, stable transfection methods, transient transfection methods, and virus-mediated methods. "Stable transfection" is intended to mean that the polynucleotide construct introduced into a host cell integrates into the genome of the host and is capable of being inherited by the progeny thereof. "Transient transfection" or "transient expression" is intended to mean that a polynucleotide is introduced into the host cell but does not integrate into the host's genome.

Furthermore, the nitrile hydratase, amidase, or asparaginase nucleotide sequence may be contained on, for example, a plasmid for introduction into the host cell. Typical plasmids of interest include vectors having defined cloning sites, origins of replication, and selectable markers. The plasmid may further include transcription and translation initiation sequences and transcription and translation terminators. Plasmids can also include generic expression cassettes containing at least one independent terminator sequence, sequences permitting replication of the cassette in eukaryotes, or prokaryotes, or both, (e.g., shuttle vectors) and selection markers for both prokaryotic and eukaryotic systems. Vectors are suitable for replication and integration in prokaryotes, eukaryotes, or optimally both. For general descriptions of cloning, packaging, and expression systems and methods, see Gilman and Smith (1979) *Gene* 8:81-97; Roberts et al. (1987) *Nature* 328:731-734; Berger and Kimmel (1989) *Guide to Molecular Cloning Techniques, Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 152 (Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, Calif.); Sambrook et al. (1989) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, Vols. 1-3 (2d ed; Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Plainview, N.Y.); and Ausubel et al., eds. (1994) *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Current Protocols* (Greene Publishing Associates, Inc., and John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York; 1994 Supplement). Transgenic host cells that express one or more of the enzymes of the invention may be used in the disclosed methods and apparatuses as whole cells or as a biological source from which one or more enzymes of the invention can be isolated.

Apparatuses for delaying a plant development process and for performing the methods of the invention are further provided. In particular embodiments, an apparatus for delaying a plant development process, particularly fruit ripening, comprising a catalyst that comprises one or more bacteria selected from the group consisting of *Rhodococcus* spp., *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium kletoglutamicum*, and mixtures thereof is encompassed by the present invention. *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 strain, *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 strain, *Rhodococcus erythropolis*, or mixtures thereof may be used in certain aspects of the invention. The one or more bacteria of an apparatus of the

invention are provided in a quantity sufficient to delay a plant development process of interest, as defined herein above. In other aspects of the invention, the catalyst comprises one or more enzymes (i.e., nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or asparaginase) in a quantity or at an enzymatic activity level sufficient to delay a plant development process. Sources of the desired enzymes for use as a catalyst in the apparatuses of the invention are also described in detail above. For example, the catalyst may be used in the form of whole cells that produce (or are induced or genetically modified to produce) one or more of the enzymes of the invention or may comprise the enzyme(s) themselves in an isolated, purified, or semi-purified form.

Apparatuses for delaying a plant development process encompassed by the present invention may be provided in a variety of suitable formats and may be appropriate for single use or multiple uses (e.g., "re-chargeable"). Furthermore, the apparatuses of the invention find use in both residential and commercial settings. For example, such apparatuses can be integrated into residential or commercial refrigerators, included in trains, trucks, etc. for long-distance transport of fruit, vegetables, or flowers, or used as stand-alone cabinets for the storage or transport of such plant products. Exemplary, non-limiting apparatuses of the invention are described herein below and depicted in FIGS. 1-4.

In particular embodiments, the catalyst is provided in an immobilized format. Any process or matrix for immobilizing the catalyst may be used so long as the ability of the one or more bacteria (or enzymes) to delay a plant development process is retained. For example, the catalyst may be immobilized in a matrix comprising alginate (e.g., calcium alginate), carrageen, DEAE-cellulose, or polyacrylamide. Other such matrices are well known in the art and may be further cross-linked with any appropriate cross-linking agent, including but not limited to glutaraldehyde or polyethylenimine, to increase the mechanical strength of the catalyst matrix. In one aspect of the invention, the catalyst is immobilized in a glutaraldehyde cross-linked DEAE-cellulose matrix. The catalyst, particularly the catalyst in an immobilized form, may be further presented as a "catalyst module element." A catalyst module element comprises a catalyst, such as an immobilized catalyst, within an additional structure that, for example, reduces potential contact with the catalyst, facilitates replacement of the catalyst, or permits air flow across the catalyst.

In one embodiment, the matrix comprises alginate, or salts thereof. Alginate is a linear copolymer with homopolymeric blocks of (1-4)-linked β -D-mannuronate (M) and its C-5 epimer α -L-guluronate (G) residues, respectively, covalently linked together in different sequences or blocks. The monomers can appear in homopolymeric blocks of consecutive G-residues (G-blocks), consecutive M-residues (M-blocks), alternating M and G-residues (MG-blocks), or randomly organized blocks. In one embodiment, calcium alginate is used as the substrate, more particularly calcium alginate that has been cross-linked, such as with polyethylenimine, to form a hardened calcium alginate substrate. Further description of such immobilization techniques can be found in Bucke (1987) "Cell Immobilization in Calcium Alginate" in *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 135(B) (Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, Calif.; Mosbach, ed.), which is incorporated herein by reference. An exemplary method of immobilization using polyethylenimine cross-linked calcium alginate is also described below in Example 5. In another embodiment, the matrix comprises an amide-containing polymer. Any polymer comprising one or more

amide groups could be used according to the invention. In one embodiment, the substrate comprises a polyacrylamide polymer.

Increased mechanical strength of an immobilized catalyst matrix can be achieved through cross-linking. For example, cells can be chemically cross-linked to form agglutinations of cells. In one embodiment, cells harvested are cross-linked using glutaraldehyde. For example, cells can be suspended in a mixture of de-ionized water and glutaraldehyde followed by addition of polyethylenimine until maximum flocculation is achieved. The cross-linked cells (typically in the form of particles formed of a number of cells) can be harvested by simple filtration. Further description of such techniques is provided in Lopez-Gallego et al. (2005) *J. Biotechnol.* 119:70-75, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. A general protocol for immobilization of cells, particularly *Rhodococcus* spp. cells, in DEAE-cellulose cross-linked with glutaraldehyde is also outlined below in Example 4.

In certain aspects of the invention, the immobilized catalyst or one or more catalyst module elements are placed in, placed on, or affixed to a "physical structure." The physical structure includes but is not limited to a film, sheet, coating layer, box, pouch, bag, or slotted chamber capable of holding one or more catalyst module elements. In certain embodiments, the physical structure comprises a container suitable for transport or storage of fruit, vegetables, or flowers. The physical structure may further comprise more than one individual structure, whereby all of the individual structures are connected to a central catalyst or catalyst module element. A physical structure described herein above may optionally be refrigerated by external means or comprise a refrigeration unit within the physical structure itself.

Elements for monitoring the efficacy of the catalyst for delaying a plant development process of interest (e.g., to assess when the catalyst or catalyst module should be replaced) or for measuring or controlling air flow, moisture content/humidity, and carbon dioxide levels may be optionally included in an apparatus of the invention. Any apparatus for delaying a plant development process may further comprise one or more elements to permit air flow to or through the catalyst or catalyst module element. The skilled artisan would readily envision other possible modifications to the apparatuses described herein for monitoring and controlling the atmospheric conditions (e.g., air flow, humidity, and carbon dioxide levels) of the catalyst, the catalyst module element, or the physical structure. Conditions such as temperature, atmospheric composition (e.g., relative humidity, O₂ and CO₂ levels, physical stress, light, chemical stress, radiation, water stress, growth regulators, and pathogen attack play an important role in respiration rates and significantly impact shelf-life of fruits, vegetables, flowers, and other plant-related products. Although temperature and atmospheric conditions for storage vary depending on the fruit, vegetable, or other plant product of interest, recommended storage temperatures are typically in the range of about 0° to about 20° C. with O₂ and CO₂ levels in the approximate ranges of 1-10% and 0-20%, respectively. A relative humidity of about 50% to about 100%, particularly 85% to about 95%, more particularly about 90% to about 95% is generally recommended for the storage of fruits, vegetables, and related plant products. Given the significant correlation between respiration rate and shelf-life of plant products, control of the above factors is important to delaying the deterioration of such products. Accordingly, a carbon dioxide scavenger can be provided in the apparatus to reduce the carbon dioxide content.

13

In particular embodiments of the invention, air-permeable catalyst apparatuses for delaying a plant development process comprising multiple layers are provided. For example, as shown in FIG. 1, a catalyst can include outer layers 12 and 14 and an intermediate catalyst layer 16 located between the outer layers 12 and 14. The catalyst layer 16 comprises one or more bacteria (e.g., *Rhodococcus* spp., *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, and mixtures thereof) or enzymes (a nitrile hydratase, amidase, asparaginase, and mixtures thereof), wherein the one or more bacteria or enzymes are provided in a quantity sufficient to delay the plant development process of interest, and a third layer. In this embodiment, one or more of the outer layers 12 and 14 provide structural integrity to the catalyst apparatus 10. The outer layers 12 and 14 typically permit air flow to the catalyst layer 16 although, in some embodiments, it may be advantageous to have an outer layer that is not air-permeable, e.g., if apparatus forms the side of the box and there is a desire not to allow the outermost layer of the box to expose the catalyst layer to the environment. The catalyst apparatus 10 can be provided in reusable or non-reusable bags or pouches in accordance with the invention. In one embodiment, the catalyst layer 16 comprises *Rhodococcus* spp. cells, particularly *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 strain, *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 strain, *Rhodococcus erythropolis*, or mixtures thereof. Bacterial cells utilized as a catalyst in an apparatus of the invention may be induced with one or more inducing agents (e.g., asparagine, glutamine, cobalt, urea, or a mixture thereof), as described in detail above.

FIGS. 2A-2C illustrate alternative apparatuses in accordance with the invention for delaying a plant development process. These apparatuses comprise multiple layers, wherein one or more of the layers are removable. As shown in FIG. 2A, the apparatus can include an air-permeable structural layer 22 and a catalyst layer 24. Removable layers 26 and/or 28 can be provided along the structural layer 22 and/or the catalyst layer 24 and are typically intended to be removed prior to using or activating the catalyst. In certain aspects of the invention, the removal of the removable layers 26 and 28 expose an adhesive that facilitates placement or attachment of the catalyst structure to a separate physical structure. FIG. 2B illustrates an alternative embodiment wherein the apparatus 30 includes two air-permeable structural layers 32 and 34, an intermediate catalyst layer 36 and a removable layer 38. FIG. 2C illustrates yet another embodiment wherein the apparatus 40 includes two air-permeable structural layers 42 and 44, an intermediate catalyst layer 46 and two removable layers 48 and 50.

FIGS. 3A-3B illustrate an alternative embodiment 60 wherein the catalyst is affixed to the interior of a container such as a cardboard box. As shown in FIG. 3A, a side 62 of the container includes a catalyst layer 64 attached thereto through the use of an adhesive layer 66. A peelable film 68 can be provided adjacent the catalyst layer 64 to protect the catalyst layer from exposure to the environment. The peelable film 68 can be removed to activate the catalyst in the catalyst layer 64 by exposing the catalyst to a plant part provided in the container to thereby delay an undesired plant development process. FIG. 3B illustrates a catalyst structure 70 prior to affixing the catalyst structure to a container interior in the manner shown in FIG. 3A. In addition to the catalyst layer 64, the adhesive layer 66, and the peelable film 68, the catalyst structure 70 includes an additional peelable film 72. The peelable film 72, like the peelable film 68, protects the catalyst structure 70 when it is packaged, shipped or stored. The peelable film 72 can be removed to

14

expose the adhesive layer 66 to allow the catalyst structure 70 to be affixed to the container interior in the manner illustrated in FIG. 3A.

FIG. 4 illustrates a catalyst structure 80 that includes two slots 82 and 84 for receiving a catalyst cassette (e.g. cassette 86). The catalyst cassette 86 is air-permeable and can be easily inserted into or removed from slot 84. Thus, the catalyst cassette 86 can be readily replaced if a new catalyst cassette is desired for use in the catalyst structure 80. The catalyst cassette 86 includes a catalyst such as described herein and that is preferably immobilized in a matrix. The catalyst structure 80 can include opposed air-permeable surfaces 88 and 90 such as mesh screens to allow air flow through the catalyst cassette 86. The catalyst structure 80 can, in alternative embodiments, include only one air-permeable surface, two non-opposed air-permeable surfaces or more than two air-permeable surfaces as would be understood to one of skill in the art. Although FIG. 4 includes two slots 82 and 84 for receiving a catalyst cassette (e.g. cassette 86), it would be understood to one of skill in the art that the catalyst structure 80 could include one or more slots for receiving a cassette. The catalyst structure 80 can be provided within a container used to transport a plant part such as fruit or flowers or can be affixed to a container, e.g., through the use of an adhesive layer as discussed herein.

The present methods and apparatuses may be used to delay a plant development process of any plant or plant part of interest. In particular embodiments, the methods and apparatuses of the invention are directed to delaying ripening and the plant part is a fruit (climacteric or non-climacteric), vegetable, or other plant part subject to ripening. One of skill in the art will recognize that "climacteric fruits" exhibit a sudden burst of ethylene production during fruit ripening, whereas "nonclimacteric fruits" are generally not believed to experience a significant increase in ethylene biosynthesis during the ripening process. Exemplary fruits, vegetables, and other plant products of interest include but are not limited to: apples, apricots, biriba, breadfruit, cherimoya, feijoa, fig, guava, jackfruit, kiwi, bananas, peaches, avocados, apples, cantaloupes, mangos, muskmelons, nectarines, persimmon, sapote, soursop, olives, *papaya*, passion fruit, pears, plums, tomatoes, bell peppers, blueberries, cacao, caju, cucumbers, grapefruit, lemons, limes, peppers, cherries, oranges, grapes, pineapples, strawberries, watermelons, tamarillos, and nuts.

In other aspects of the invention, the methods and apparatuses are drawn to delaying flower senescence, wilting, abscission, or petal closure. Any flower may be used in the practice of the invention. Exemplary flowers of interest include but are not limited to roses, carnations, orchids, *portulaca*, malva, and begonias. Cut flowers, more particularly commercially important cut flowers such as roses and carnations, are of particular interest. In certain embodiments, flowers that are sensitive to ethylene are used in the practice of the invention. Ethylene-sensitive flowers include but are not limited to flowers from the genera *Alstroemeria*, *Aneomone*, *Anthurium*, *Antirrhinum*, *Aster*, *Astilbe*, *Cattleya*, *Cymbidium*, *Dahlia*, *Dendrobium*, *Dianthus*, *Eustoma*, *Freesia*, *Gerbera*, *Gypsophila*, *Iris*, *Lathyrus*, *Lilium*, *Limonium*, *Nerine*, *Rosa*, *Syringa*, *Tulipa*, and *Zinnia*. Representative ethylene-sensitive flowers also include those of the families Amaryllidaceae, Alliaceae, Convallariaceae, Hemerocallidaceae, Hyacinthaceae, Liliaceae, Orchidaceae, Aizoaceae, Cactaceae, Campanulaceae, Caryophyllaceae, Crassulaceae, Gentianaceae, Malvaceae, Plumbaginaceae, Portulacaceae, Solanaceae, Agavaceae, Asphodelaceae, Asparagaceae, Begoniaceae, Caprifoliaceae, Dipsacaceae,

15

Euphorbiaceae, Fabaceae, Lamiaceae, Myrtaceae, Onagraceae, Saxifragaceae, and Verbenaceae. See, for example, Van Doom (2002) *Annals of Botany* 89:375-383; Van Doom (2002) *Annals of Botany* 89:689-693; and Elgar (1998) "Cut Flowers and Foliage—Cooling Requirements and Temperature Management" at hortnet.co.nz/publications/hortfacts/hf305004.htm (last accessed Mar. 20, 2007), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety. Methods and apparatuses for delaying leaf abscission are also encompassed by the present invention. Significant commercial interest exists in the plant, fruit, vegetable, and flower industries for methods and apparatuses for regulating plant development processes such as ripening, senescence, and abscission.

The skilled artisan will further recognize that any of the methods or apparatuses disclosed herein can be combined with other known methods and apparatuses for delaying a plant development process, particularly those processes generally associated with increased ethylene biosynthesis (e.g., fruit/vegetable ripening, flower senescence, and leaf abscission). Moreover, as described above, increased ethylene production has also been observed during attack of plants or plant parts by pathogenic organisms. Accordingly, the methods and apparatuses of the invention may find further use in improving plant response to pathogens.

The following examples are offered by way of illustration and not by way of limitation:

EXPERIMENTAL

The present invention will now be described with specific reference to various examples. The following examples are not intended to be limiting of the invention and are rather provided as exemplary embodiments.

Example 1

Delayed Fruit Ripening Following Exposure to Induced *Rhodococcus* Spp

Rhodococcus spp. cells induced with asparagine, acrylonitrile, or acetonitrile were immobilized in a glutaraldehyde-cross-linked matrix of DEAE-cellulose. Methods of inducing cells and preparing the above matrix are described herein below in greater detail.

The cross-linked DEAE-cellulose catalyst matrix was placed in three separate paper bags (approximately 1-2 grams pack wet weight of cells per bag), with each bag containing unripe bananas, peaches, or avocados. As negative controls, the same fruits were placed in separate paper bags in the absence of the catalyst matrix. The paper bags were retained at room temperature, and the produce was observed daily for signs of fruit ripening and degradation.

All produce exposed to the catalyst matrix displayed significant delays in fruit ripening. In particular, the firmness and skin integrity of the peaches was maintained longer in the presence of the catalyst matrix. Similarly, with the bananas, the appearance of brown spots was delayed and the firmness retained longer relative to the negative controls.

Example 2

General Fermentation and Induction Protocols

Fermentation Process

The following general protocols and culture media were utilized for fermentation of the *Rhodococcus* spp. strains

16

Rhodococcus sp. DAP 96622 and *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 for use in other experiments:

Fermentation vessels were configured with probes to measure dissolved oxygen (DO) and pH, as well as with sampling devices to measure glucose concentration (off-line). Additional ports were used to add correctives (e.g., acid, base, or antifoam), inducers, nutrients and supplements. Previously cleaned vessels were sterilized in-place. A suitable base medium (1 or 1.5×) R2A or R3A was used. The specific components of these culture media are set forth below. Certain substitutions to the contents of the media were made in certain experiments. For example, Proflo® (Trader's Protein, Memphis, Tenn.) was at times used in place of the proteose peptone and/or casamino acids. Moreover, in certain experiments, Hy-Cotton 7803® (Quest International, Hoffman Estates, Ill.), Cottonseed Hydrolysate, Cottonseed Hydrolysate-Ultrafiltered (Marcor Development Corp., Carlstadt, N.J.) was used in place of the Proflo® (Trader's Protein, Memphis, Tenn.).

A feed profile for nutrient supplementation was set to gradually replace the R2A or R3A base medium with a richer medium, namely 2×YEMEA, the components of which are also described in greater detail below. Other optional nutrient supplements included maltose 50% (w/v) and dextrose 50% (w/v). Commercial products containing dextrose equivalents (glucose, maltose, and higher polysaccharides) were sometimes used in place of maltose and dextrose.

Inocula were prepared from cultures of the *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 and *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 strains on a suitable solid medium and incubated at their appropriate temperature (e.g., 30° C.). In particular embodiments, cells were grown on YEMEA agar plates for 4-14 days, preferably 7 days. Alternatively, inocula were prepared from frozen cell concentrates from previous fermentation runs. Cell concentrates were typically prepared at a 20× concentration over that present in the fermenter. In addition, inoculum was at times prepared from a suitable biphasic medium (i.e., a combination of liquid medium overlaying a solid medium of the same or different composition). When a biphasic medium was used, the medium generally contained YEMEA in both the liquid and solid layers.

For induction of nitrile hydratase, at t=0 hour, sterile CoCl₂·6H₂O and urea were added to achieve concentrations of 5-200 ppm of CoCl₂ and 750 mg/l-10 g/l of urea, with 10-50 ppm CoCl₂ and 7500 mg/l-7.5 g/l urea generally preferred. In a particular embodiment, urea and/or cobalt were added again during the fermentation. For example, an equivalent volume of urea and 150 ppm CoCl₂ were added at 4-6 hours or at 24-30 hours. In addition to urea, a final concentration of 300-500 ppm of acrylonitrile/acetonitrile or 0.1 M-0.2 M asparagine was added step-wise or at a constant rate, beginning at various times. The fermentation runs were terminated when cell mass and enzyme concentrations were acceptable, typically at 24-96 hours.

The cells were then harvested by any acceptable method, including but not limited to batch or continuous centrifugation, decanting, or filtration. Harvested cells were resuspended to a 20× concentrated volume in a suitable buffer such as 50 mM phosphate buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with the inducer used during the fermentation process. Cell concentrates were then frozen, particularly by rapid freezing. Frozen cells were stored at -20° C.-80° C. or under liquid nitrogen for later use.

Description of Culture Media

R2A Medium (See Reasoner and Geldreich (1985) <i>Appl. Environ. Microbiol.</i> 49: 1-7.)		
Yeast Extract	0.5 g	
Proteose Peptone #3	0.5 g	
Casamino acids	0.5 g	
Glucose	0.5 g	
Soluble starch	0.5 g	
K ₂ HPO ₄	0.3 g	
MgSO ₄ •7H ₂ O	0.05 g	
Sodium Pyruvate	0.3 g	
DI or dist H ₂ O	1.0 liter	

R3A Medium (See Reasoner and Geldreich, supra.)		
Yeast Extract	1.0 g	
Proteose Peptone #3	1.0 g	
Casamino acids	1.0 g	
Glucose	1.0 g	
Soluble starch	1.0 g	
K ₂ HPO ₄	0.6 g	
MgSO ₄ •7H ₂ O	0.1 g	
Sodium Pyruvate	0.5 g	
DI or dist H ₂ O	1.0 liter	

YEMEA Medium			
	1X	2X	
Yeast Extract	4.0 g	8.0 g	
Malt Extract	10.0 g	20.0 g	
Glucose	4.0 g	8.0 g	
DI or dist H ₂ O	1.0 liter	1.0 liter	

Induction

The following general protocol was utilized for induction of the *Rhodococcus* spp. strains *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 and *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253:

Volatile inducer liquids (e.g., acrylonitrile/acetonitrile) were added volumetrically as filter-sterilized liquid inducers based upon the density of the particular liquid inducer. In the case of solid inducers (e.g., asparagine/glutamine), the solids were weighed and added directly to the culture medium. The resulting media were autoclaved. When filter-sterilized liquid inducers were utilized, the culture medium alone was autoclaved and cooled to 40° C. before the liquid inducer was added. Typical concentrations for inducers of interest were: 500 ppm acrylonitrile/acetonitrile; 500 ppm asparagine/glutamine; and 50 ppm succinonitrile. Cells were then grown on specified media and further analyzed for particular enzymatic activities and biomass.

Example 3

Analysis of Nitrile Hydratase, Amidase, and Asparaginase Activity and Biomass in Asparagine-Induced *Rhodococcus* Spp. Cells

Nitrile hydratase, amidase, and asparaginase activity and biomass were assessed in asparagine-induced cells from the *Rhodococcus* spp. strains *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 and *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253. Various modifications to culture media components, the administration methods, rates, and concentrations of asparagine provided to the cells, and the source of the cells were analyzed with respect

to their effects on the activities of the above enzymes and on biomass. Sections A through G of this Example describe the specifics of each set of test conditions and provide a summary of the enzymatic activities and biomasses obtained under each the specified conditions.

A. Essentially as described above in Example 2, a 20-liter fermenter inoculated using cells of *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 harvested from solid medium was continuously supplemented with the inducer asparagine (120 µl/minute of a 0.2 M solution). Hy-Cotton 7803® was used in place of the proteose peptone #3 in the R3A medium described above. At the end of the fermentation run, acrylonitrile-specific nitrile hydratase activity, amidase activity, and biomass were measured in accordance with standard techniques known in the art.

The results for nitrile hydratase activity, amidase activity, and biomass are provided below in Table 3, with activities provided in units/mg cdw (cell dry weight). One unit of nitrile hydratase activity relates to the ability to convert 1 µmol of acrylonitrile to its corresponding amide per minute, per milligram of cells (dry weight) at pH 7.0 and a temperature of 30° C. One unit of amidase activity relates to the ability to convert 1 µmol of acrylamide to its corresponding acid per minute, per milligram of cells (dry weight) pH of 7.0 and a temperature of 30° C. Biomass is reported as cells packed in g/l cww (cell wet weight).

TABLE 3

Enzymatic Activities and Biomass of <i>Rhodococcus rhodochrous</i> DAP 96523 Cells Following Induction with Asparagine		
Nitrile Hydratase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Amidase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Biomass (g/l cww)
168	2	36

B. Essentially as described above in Example 3A, with changes to the medium as noted below, enzymatic activities and biomass were assessed with *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 cells. In particular, YEMEA, dextrose or maltose was added to a modified R3A medium, further containing Hy-Cotton 7803® substituted for the proteose peptone #3. A 0.2 M solution of asparagine was added at a continuous rate of 120 µl/minute beginning at t=8 hours. At the end of the fermentation run, acrylonitrile-specific nitrile hydratase activity, amidase activity, and biomass were measured. Results are summarized in Table 4. Increased biomass yield was observed with the addition of YEMEA, dextrose, or maltose to the medium.

TABLE 4

Enzymatic Activities and Biomass of <i>Rhodococcus rhodochrous</i> DAP 96523 Cells Following Continuous Induction with Asparagine		
Nitrile Hydratase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Amidase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Biomass (g/l cww)
155	6	52

C. *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 cells from solid medium were used as the source of the inoculum for a 20-liter fermentation run (see Example 2 for details of fermentation process). A 0.2 M solution of asparagine was added semi-continuously every 6 hours, beginning at t=24 hours, for 50-70 minutes at a rate of 2 ml/minute. Hy-Cotton 7803® was used in place of the proteose peptone #3 in a modified R3A medium. At the end of the fermentation run, acrylonitrile-

19

trile-specific nitrile hydratase activity, amidase activity, and biomass were measured. The results are summarized in Table 5.

TABLE 5

Enzymatic Activities and Biomass of <i>Rhodococcus</i> sp. DAP 96622 Cells Following Semi-Continuous Induction with Asparagine		
Nitrile Hydratase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Amidase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Biomass (g/l cww)
172	2	44

D. *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 cells from solid medium were used as the source of the inoculum for a 20-liter fermenter run. A 0.2 M solution of asparagine was added semi-continuously every 6 hours, beginning at t=12 hours, for 12-85 minutes at a rate of 2.5 ml/minute. Cotton Seed Hydrolysate was used in place of the proteose peptone #3 in a modified R3A medium. At the end of the fermentation run, acrylonitrile-specific nitrile hydratase activity, amidase activity, and biomass were measured, and the results are summarized in Table 6.

TABLE 6

Enzymatic Activities and Biomass of <i>Rhodococcus</i> sp. DAP 96622 Cells Following Semi-Continuous Induction with Asparagine		
Nitrile Hydratase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Amidase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Biomass (g/l cww)
165	2	57

E. Previously frozen *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 cells were used as the source of the inoculum for a 20-liter fermentation run. YEMEA, dextrose, or maltose was added to a modified R3A medium that further contained Hy-Cotton 7803® as a substitute for proteose peptone #3. A 0.15 M solution of asparagine was added at a continuous rate of 120 µl/minute beginning at t=8 hours. At the end of the fermentation run, acrylonitrile-specific nitrile hydratase activity, amidase activity, and biomass were measured. Results are summarized in Table 7.

TABLE 7

Enzymatic Activities and Biomass of <i>Rhodococcus rhodochrous</i> DAP 96253 Cells Following Continuous Induction with Asparagine		
Nitrile Hydratase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Amidase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Biomass (g/l cww)
171	4	74

F. *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 cells grown on biphasic medium were used as the source of inoculum for a 20-liter fermentation run. A modified R3A medium was used that was supplemented by the addition of a carbohydrate (i.e., YEMEA, dextrose, or maltose) and further containing Cottonseed Hydrolysate in place of proteose peptone #3. A 0.15 M solution of asparagine was added at a continuous rate of 1000 µl/minute beginning at t=10 hours. At the end of the fermentation run, acrylonitrile-specific nitrile hydratase activity, amidase activity, asparaginase I activity, and biomass were measured. The results are summarized in Table 8.

20

TABLE 8

Enzymatic Activities and Biomass of <i>Rhodococcus rhodochrous</i> DAP 96253 Cells Following Continuous Induction with Asparagine			
Nitrile Hydratase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Amidase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Asparaginase I Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Biomass (g/l cww)
159	22	16	16

G. *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 cells grown on biphasic medium were used as the source of inoculum for a 20-liter fermentation run. A modified R3A medium was used that contained maltose (in place of dextrose) and Hy-Cotton 7803® as a substitute for proteose peptone #3. A 0.15 M solution of asparagine was added at a continuous rate of 476 µl/minute beginning at t=8 hours. At the end of the fermentation run, acrylonitrile-specific nitrile hydratase activity, amidase activity, and biomass were measured, and the results are summarized in Table 9.

TABLE 9

Enzymatic Activities and Biomass of <i>Rhodococcus rhodochrous</i> DAP 96253 Cells Following Continuous Induction with Asparagine		
Nitrile Hydratase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Amidase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Biomass (g/l cww)
137	6	35

Example 4

Immobilization of *Rhodococcus* Spp. Cells in DEAE-Cellulose Cross-Linked with Glutaraldehyde

A modified process derived from the methods described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,229,536 and in Lopez-Gallego et al. (2005) *J. Biotechnol.* 119:70-75 is used to immobilize *Rhodococcus* spp. cells in a matrix comprising glutaraldehyde cross-linked DEAE-cellulose.

Preparation of Cells

Rhodococcus cells are grown in an appropriate culture medium (e.g., YEMEA-maltose+inducers, biphasic cultures, etc.) and harvested by centrifugation at 8,000 rpm for 10 minutes. The resulting cell pellet is resuspended in 100 ml of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) and centrifuged at 8,000 rpm for 10 minutes. This process of resuspending the cell pellet and centrifuging at 8,000 rpm for 10 minutes is repeated twice. The packed wet weight (ww) of the final cell sample is noted. The nitrile hydratase activity of a small sample of the cells is performed to assess the enzymatic activity of the whole cells.

Immobilization of Cells

An amount of DEAE-cellulose equivalent to that of the harvested *Rhodococcus* spp. cells is obtained, and the cells and the DEAE-cellulose are resuspended in 100 ml of deionized H₂O. A volume of a 25% solution of glutaraldehyde sufficient to achieve a final concentration of 0.5% is added with stirring to the mixture of cells/DEAE-cellulose. The mixture is stirred for 1 hour, after which 400 ml of deionized H₂O is added with further mixing. While stirring, 50% (by weight solution) of polyethylenimine (PEI; MW 750,000) is added. Stirring proceeds until flocculation is completed. The flocculated mixture is filtered and extruded through a syringe of appropriate size. The immobilized cells

21

are broken up into small pieces, dried overnight, and cut into granules of approximately 2-3 mm prior to use.

Example 5

Immobilization of *Rhodococcus* Spp. Cells in Calcium Alginate and Hardening of Calcium Alginate Beads

A process adapted from the method described in Bucke (1987) "Cell Immobilization in Calcium Alginate" in *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 135(B) (Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, Calif.; Mosbach, ed.) is used to immobilize *Rhodococcus* spp. cells in calcium alginate.

Preparation of Cells

The *Rhodococcus* spp. cells are prepared as described above in Example 4.

Immobilization of Cells

25 g of a 4% sodium alginate solution is produced by dissolving 1 g of sodium alginate in 24 ml of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.2). 25 mg of sodium metaperiodate is added to the alginate solution and stirred at 25° C. for 1 hour or until the alginate is completely dissolved. The cells prepared as described above are resuspended to a final volume of 50 ml in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.2) and then added to the sodium alginate solution with stirring. The resulting beads are extruded through a 27-gauge needle into 500 ml of a 0.1

22

M CaCl₂ solution. The needle is generally placed approximately two inches above the solution to prevent air entry into the beads and to prevent sticking of the beads. The beads are cured for 1 hour in the CaCl₂ solution, and the beads are then rinsed with water and stored at 4° C. in a 0.1 M CaCl₂ solution prior to use.

Hardening of Calcium Alginate Beads Comprising *Rhodococcus* Spp. Cells

The calcium alginate beads prepared as outlined above may be further strengthened by cross-linking with PEI. The beads are incubated in 2 L of 0.5% PEI in a 0.1 M CaCl₂ solution (20 g of 50% PEI in a 0.1 M CaCl₂ solution). The pH of the final solution is adjusted to 7.0 with HCl or NaOH, if necessary, and the beads are incubated for 24 hours. The beads are then rinsed with water and stored at 4° C. in a 0.1 M CaCl₂ solution prior to use.

Many modifications and other embodiments of the inventions set forth herein will come to mind to one skilled in the art to which these inventions pertain having the benefit of the teachings presented in the foregoing descriptions. Therefore, it is to be understood that the inventions are not to be limited to the specific embodiments disclosed and that modifications and other embodiments are intended to be included within the scope of the appended claims. Although specific terms are employed herein, they are used in a generic and descriptive sense only and not for purposes of limitation.

SEQUENCE LISTING

<160> NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 13

<210> SEQ ID NO 1

<211> LENGTH: 229

<212> TYPE: PRT

<213> ORGANISM: *Rhodococcus* sp.

<400> SEQUENCE: 1

```

Met Asp Gly Ile His Asp Thr Gly Gly Met Thr Gly Tyr Gly Pro Val
 1             5             10             15
Pro Tyr Gln Lys Asp Glu Pro Phe Phe His Tyr Glu Trp Glu Gly Arg
 20             25             30
Thr Leu Ser Ile Leu Thr Trp Met His Leu Lys Gly Met Ser Trp Trp
 35             40             45
Asp Lys Ser Arg Phe Phe Arg Glu Ser Met Gly Asn Glu Asn Tyr Val
 50             55             60
Asn Glu Ile Arg Asn Ser Tyr Tyr Thr His Trp Leu Ser Ala Ala Glu
 65             70             75             80
Arg Ile Leu Val Ala Asp Lys Ile Ile Thr Glu Glu Glu Arg Lys His
 85             90             95
Arg Val Gln Glu Ile Leu Glu Gly Arg Tyr Thr Asp Arg Asn Pro Ser
100            105            110
Arg Lys Phe Asp Pro Ala Glu Ile Glu Lys Ala Ile Glu Arg Leu His
115            120            125
Glu Pro His Ser Leu Ala Leu Pro Gly Ala Glu Pro Ser Phe Ser Leu
130            135            140
Gly Asp Lys Val Lys Val Lys Asn Met Asn Pro Leu Gly His Thr Arg
145            150            155            160
Cys Pro Lys Tyr Val Arg Asn Lys Ile Gly Glu Ile Val Thr Ser His
165            170            175
Gly Cys Gln Ile Tyr Pro Glu Ser Ser Ser Ala Gly Leu Gly Asp Asp

```

-continued

180	185	190
Pro Arg Pro Leu Tyr Thr Val	Ala Phe Ser Ala Gln Glu Leu Trp Gly	
195	200	205
Asp Asp Gly Asn Gly Lys Asp Val Val Cys Val Asp Leu Trp Glu Pro		
210	215	220
Tyr Leu Ile Ser Ala		
225		

<210> SEQ ID NO 2
 <211> LENGTH: 229
 <212> TYPE: PRT
 <213> ORGANISM: Nocardia sp.

<400> SEQUENCE: 2

Met Asp Gly Ile His Asp Thr Gly Gly Met Thr Gly Tyr Gly Pro Val		
1	5	10 15
Pro Tyr Gln Lys Asp Glu Pro Phe Phe His Tyr Glu Trp Glu Gly Arg		
20	25	30
Thr Leu Ser Ile Leu Thr Trp Met His Leu Lys Gly Met Ser Trp Trp		
35	40	45
Asp Lys Ser Arg Phe Phe Arg Glu Ser Met Gly Asn Glu Asn Tyr Val		
50	55	60
Asn Glu Ile Arg Asn Ser Tyr Tyr Thr His Trp Leu Ser Ala Ala Glu		
65	70	75 80
Arg Ile Leu Val Ala Asp Lys Ile Ile Thr Glu Glu Glu Arg Lys His		
85	90	95
Arg Val Gln Glu Ile Leu Glu Gly Arg Tyr Thr Asp Arg Asn Pro Ser		
100	105	110
Arg Lys Phe Asp Pro Ala Glu Ile Glu Lys Ala Ile Glu Arg Leu His		
115	120	125
Glu Pro His Ser Leu Ala Leu Pro Gly Ala Glu Pro Ser Phe Ser Leu		
130	135	140
Gly Asp Lys Val Lys Val Lys Asn Met Asn Pro Leu Gly His Thr Arg		
145	150	155 160
Cys Pro Lys Tyr Val Arg Asn Lys Ile Gly Glu Ile Val Thr Ser His		
165	170	175
Gly Cys Gln Ile Tyr Pro Glu Ser Ser Ser Ala Gly Leu Gly Asp Asp		
180	185	190
Pro Arg Pro Leu Tyr Thr Val Ala Phe Ser Ala Gln Glu Leu Trp Gly		
195	200	205
Asp Asp Gly Asn Gly Lys Asp Val Val Cys Val Asp Leu Trp Glu Pro		
210	215	220
Tyr Leu Ile Ser Ala		
225		

<210> SEQ ID NO 3
 <211> LENGTH: 229
 <212> TYPE: PRT
 <213> ORGANISM: Rhodococcus rhodochrous
 <220> FEATURE:
 <223> OTHER INFORMATION: Uncultured bacterium BD2

<400> SEQUENCE: 3

Met Asp Gly Ile His Asp Thr Gly Gly Met Thr Gly Tyr Gly Pro Val		
1	5	10 15
Pro Tyr Gln Lys Asp Glu Pro Phe Phe His Tyr Glu Trp Glu Gly Arg		
20	25	30

Thr	Leu	Ser	Ile	Leu	Thr	Trp	Met	His	Leu	Lys	Gly	Ile	Ser	Trp	Trp
35						40						45			
Asp	Lys	Ser	Arg	Phe	Phe	Arg	Glu	Ser	Met	Gly	Asn	Glu	Asn	Tyr	Val
50						55				60					
Asn	Glu	Ile	Arg	Asn	Ser	Tyr	Tyr	Thr	His	Trp	Leu	Ser	Ala	Ala	Glu
65				70						75				80	
Arg	Ile	Leu	Val	Ala	Asp	Lys	Ile	Ile	Thr	Glu	Glu	Glu	Arg	Lys	His
				85				90						95	
Arg	Val	Gln	Glu	Ile	Leu	Glu	Gly	Arg	Tyr	Thr	Asp	Arg	Lys	Pro	Ser
		100						105				110			
Arg	Lys	Phe	Asp	Pro	Ala	Gln	Ile	Glu	Lys	Ala	Ile	Glu	Arg	Leu	His
		115				120						125			
Glu	Pro	His	Ser	Leu	Ala	Leu	Pro	Gly	Ala	Glu	Pro	Ser	Phe	Ser	Leu
130						135				140					
Gly	Asp	Lys	Ile	Lys	Val	Lys	Ser	Met	Asn	Pro	Leu	Gly	His	Thr	Arg
145				150						155				160	
Cys	Pro	Lys	Tyr	Val	Arg	Asn	Lys	Ile	Gly	Glu	Ile	Val	Ala	Tyr	His
				165				170						175	
Gly	Cys	Gln	Ile	Tyr	Pro	Glu	Ser	Ser	Ser	Ala	Gly	Leu	Gly	Asp	Asp
		180						185				190			
Pro	Arg	Pro	Leu	Tyr	Thr	Val	Ala	Phe	Ser	Ala	Gln	Glu	Leu	Trp	Gly
		195				200						205			
Asp	Asp	Gly	Asn	Gly	Lys	Asp	Val	Val	Cys	Val	Asp	Leu	Trp	Glu	Pro
210						215				220					
Tyr	Leu	Ile	Ser	Ala											
225															

```
<210> SEQ ID NO 4
<211> LENGTH: 166
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Unknown
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Uncultured bacterium BD2
<221> NAME/KEY: PEPTIDE
<222> LOCATION: (1)...(166)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Beta-subunit of nitrile hydratase

<400> SEQUENCE: 4
```

Met 1	Asp	Gly	Ile	His 5	Asp	Thr	Gly	Gly	Met 10	Thr	Gly	Tyr	Gly	Pro 15	Val
Pro	Tyr	Gln	Lys	Asp 20	Glu	Pro	Phe	Phe 25	His	Tyr	Glu	Trp	Glu	Gly 30	Arg
Thr	Leu	Ser	Ile	Leu 35	Thr	Trp	Met 40	His	Leu	Lys	Gly	Ile 45	Ser	Trp	Trp
Asp	Lys 50	Ser	Arg	Phe	Phe 55	Arg	Glu	Ser	Met	Gly	Asn 60	Glu	Asn	Tyr	Val
Asp 65	Glu	Ile	Arg	Asn 70	Ser	Tyr	Tyr	Thr	His	Trp 75	Leu	Ser	Ala	Ala 80	Glu
Arg	Ile	Leu	Val	Ala 85	Asp	Lys	Ile	Ile	Thr 90	Glu	Glu	Glu	Arg	Lys 95	His
Arg	Val	Gln	Glu 100	Ile	Leu	Glu	Gly	Arg 105	Tyr	Thr	Asp	Arg	Lys 110	Pro	Ser
Arg	Lys 115	Phe	Asp	Pro	Ala	Gln	Ile 120	Glu	Lys	Ala	Ile	Glu 125	Arg	Leu	His
Glu 130	Pro	His	Ser	Leu	Ala	Leu 135	Pro	Gly	Ala	Glu	Pro 140	Ser	Phe	Ser	Leu

-continued

Gly Asp Lys Asn Gln Ser Glu Glu Tyr Glu Pro Ala Gly Thr His Thr
145 150 155 160

Val Pro Glu Ile Cys Ala
165

<210> SEQ ID NO 5
<211> LENGTH: 203
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Rhodococcus sp.

<400> SEQUENCE: 5

Met Ser Glu His Val Asn Lys Tyr Thr Glu Tyr Glu Ala Arg Thr Lys
1 5 10 15

Ala Ile Glu Thr Leu Leu Tyr Glu Arg Gly Leu Ile Thr Pro Ala Ala
20 25 30

Val Asp Arg Val Val Ser Tyr Tyr Glu Asn Glu Ile Gly Pro Met Gly
35 40 45

Gly Ala Lys Val Val Ala Lys Ser Trp Val Asp Pro Glu Tyr Arg Lys
50 55 60

Trp Leu Glu Glu Asp Ala Thr Ala Ala Met Ala Ser Leu Gly Tyr Ala
65 70 75 80

Gly Glu Gln Ala His Gln Ile Ser Ala Val Phe Asn Asp Ser Gln Thr
85 90 95

His His Val Val Val Cys Thr Leu Cys Ser Cys Tyr Pro Trp Pro Val
100 105 110

Leu Gly Leu Pro Pro Ala Trp Tyr Lys Ser Met Glu Tyr Arg Ser Arg
115 120 125

Val Val Ala Asp Pro Arg Gly Val Leu Lys Arg Asp Phe Gly Phe Asp
130 135 140

Ile Pro Asp Glu Val Glu Val Arg Val Trp Asp Ser Ser Ser Glu Ile
145 150 155 160

Arg Tyr Ile Val Ile Pro Glu Arg Pro Ala Gly Thr Asp Gly Trp Ser
165 170 175

Glu Asp Glu Leu Ala Lys Leu Val Ser Arg Asp Ser Met Ile Gly Val
180 185 190

Ser Asn Ala Leu Thr Pro Gln Glu Val Ile Val
195 200

<210> SEQ ID NO 6
<211> LENGTH: 203
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Rhodococcus rhodochrous

<400> SEQUENCE: 6

Met Ser Glu His Val Asn Lys Tyr Thr Glu Tyr Glu Ala Arg Thr Lys
1 5 10 15

Ala Ile Glu Thr Leu Leu Tyr Glu Arg Gly Leu Ile Thr Pro Ala Ala
20 25 30

Val Asp Arg Val Val Ser Tyr Tyr Glu Asn Glu Ile Gly Pro Met Gly
35 40 45

Gly Ala Lys Val Val Ala Lys Ser Trp Val Asp Pro Glu Tyr Arg Lys
50 55 60

Trp Leu Glu Glu Asp Ala Thr Ala Ala Met Ala Ser Leu Gly Tyr Ala
65 70 75 80

Gly Glu Gln Ala His Gln Ile Ser Ala Val Phe Asn Asp Ser Gln Thr
85 90 95

-continued

His His Val Val Val Cys Thr Leu Cys Ser Cys Tyr Pro Trp Pro Val
 100 105 110
 Leu Gly Leu Pro Pro Ala Trp Tyr Lys Ser Met Glu Tyr Arg Ser Arg
 115 120 125
 Val Val Ala Asp Pro Arg Gly Val Leu Lys Arg Asp Phe Gly Phe Asp
 130 135 140
 Ile Pro Asp Glu Val Glu Val Arg Val Trp Asp Ser Ser Ser Glu Ile
 145 150 155 160
 Arg Tyr Ile Val Ile Pro Glu Arg Pro Ala Gly Thr Asp Gly Trp Ser
 165 170 175
 Glu Glu Glu Leu Thr Lys Leu Val Ser Arg Asp Ser Met Ile Gly Val
 180 185 190
 Ser Asn Ala Leu Thr Pro Gln Glu Val Ile Val
 195 200

<210> SEQ ID NO 7
 <211> LENGTH: 180
 <212> TYPE: PRT
 <213> ORGANISM: Unknown
 <220> FEATURE:
 <223> OTHER INFORMATION: Uncultured bacterium SP1
 <221> NAME/KEY: PEPTIDE
 <222> LOCATION: (1)...(180)
 <223> OTHER INFORMATION: Alpha-subunit of nitrile hydratase

<400> SEQUENCE: 7

Met Ser Glu His Val Asn Lys Tyr Thr Glu Tyr Glu Ala Arg Thr Lys
 1 5 10 15
 Ala Val Glu Thr Leu Leu Tyr Glu Arg Gly Leu Ile Thr Pro Ala Ala
 20 25 30
 Val Asp Arg Val Val Ser Tyr Tyr Glu Asn Glu Ile Gly Pro Met Gly
 35 40 45
 Gly Ala Lys Val Val Ala Lys Ser Trp Val Asp Pro Glu Tyr Arg Lys
 50 55 60
 Trp Leu Glu Glu Asp Ala Thr Ala Ala Met Ala Ser Leu Gly Tyr Ala
 65 70 75 80
 Gly Glu Gln Ala His His Val Val Val Cys Thr Leu Cys Ser Cys Tyr
 85 90 95
 Pro Trp Pro Val Leu Gly Leu Pro Pro Ala Trp Tyr Lys Ser Met Glu
 100 105 110
 Tyr Arg Ser Arg Val Val Ala Asp Pro Arg Gly Val Leu Lys Arg Asp
 115 120 125
 Phe Gly Phe Asp Ile Pro Asp Glu Val Glu Val Arg Val Trp Asp Ser
 130 135 140
 Ser Ser Glu Ile Arg Tyr Ile Val Ile Pro Glu Arg Pro Ala Gly Thr
 145 150 155 160
 Asp Gly Trp Ser Glu Glu Glu Leu Thr Lys Leu Val Ser Arg Asp Ser
 165 170 175
 Ile Ile Gly Val
 180

<210> SEQ ID NO 8
 <211> LENGTH: 345
 <212> TYPE: PRT
 <213> ORGANISM: Rhodococcus rhodocrous
 <400> SEQUENCE: 8

-continued

Met	Arg	His	Gly	Asp	Ile	Ser	Ser	Ser	Pro	Asp	Thr	Val	Gly	Val	Ala
1				5					10					15	
Val	Val	Asn	Tyr	Lys	Met	Pro	Arg	Leu	His	Thr	Lys	Ala	Asp	Val	Leu
		20						25					30		
Glu	Asn	Ala	Arg	Ala	Ile	Ala	Lys	Met	Val	Val	Gly	Met	Lys	Ala	Gly
		35					40					45			
Leu	Pro	Gly	Met	Asp	Leu	Val	Val	Phe	Pro	Glu	Tyr	Ser	Thr	Met	Gly
	50					55					60				
Ile	Met	Tyr	Asp	Asn	Asp	Glu	Met	Tyr	Ala	Thr	Ala	Ala	Thr	Ile	Pro
65					70					75					80
Gly	Asp	Glu	Thr	Asp	Ile	Phe	Ala	Gln	Ala	Cys	Arg	Asp	Ala	Lys	Thr
				85				90						95	
Trp	Gly	Val	Phe	Ser	Ile	Thr	Gly	Glu	Arg	His	Glu	Asp	His	Pro	Asn
			100					105					110		
Lys	Pro	Pro	Tyr	Asn	Thr	Leu	Val	Leu	Ile	Asn	Asp	Gln	Gly	Glu	Ile
		115					120					125			
Val	Gln	Lys	Tyr	Arg	Lys	Ile	Leu	Pro	Trp	Thr	Pro	Ile	Glu	Gly	Trp
	130					135					140				
Tyr	Pro	Gly	Gly	Gln	Thr	Tyr	Val	Thr	Asp	Gly	Pro	Lys	Gly	Leu	Lys
145					150					155					160
Ile	Ser	Leu	Ile	Ile	Cys	Asp	Asp	Gly	Asn	Tyr	Pro	Glu	Ile	Trp	Arg
			165					170						175	
Asp	Cys	Ala	Met	Lys	Gly	Ala	Glu	Leu	Ile	Val	Arg	Pro	Gln	Gly	Tyr
		180						185					190		
Met	Tyr	Pro	Ser	Lys	Glu	Gln	Gln	Val	Leu	Met	Ala	Lys	Ala	Met	Ala
		195					200					205			
Trp	Ala	Asn	Asn	Cys	Tyr	Val	Ala	Val	Ala	Asn	Ala	Thr	Gly	Phe	Asp
	210					215					220				
Gly	Val	Tyr	Ser	Tyr	Phe	Gly	His	Ser	Ala	Ile	Ile	Gly	Phe	Asp	Gly
225					230					235					240
Arg	Thr	Leu	Gly	Glu	Cys	Gly	Glu	Glu	Asp	Tyr	Gly	Val	Gln	Tyr	Ala
			245						250					255	
Gln	Leu	Ser	Leu	Ser	Thr	Ile	Arg	Asp	Ala	Arg	Ala	Asn	Asp	Gln	Ser
		260						265					270		
Gln	Asn	His	Leu	Phe	Lys	Leu	Leu	His	Arg	Gly	Tyr	Thr	Gly	Val	Phe
		275					280					285			
Ala	Gly	Gly	Asp	Gly	Asp	Lys	Gly	Val	Ala	Asp	Cys	Pro	Phe	Asp	Phe
	290					295					300				
Tyr	Arg	Asn	Trp	Val	Asn	Asp	Ala	Glu	Ala	Thr	Gln	Lys	Ala	Val	Glu
305					310					315					320
Ala	Ile	Thr	Arg	Glu	Thr	Ile	Gly	Val	Ala	Asp	Cys	Pro	Val	Tyr	Asp
			325					330						335	
Leu	Pro	Ser	Glu	Lys	Thr	Met	Asp	Ala							
		340					345								

<210> SEQ ID NO 9

<211> LENGTH: 345

<212> TYPE: PRT

<213> ORGANISM: Nocardia farcinica

<400> SEQUENCE: 9

Met	Arg	His	Gly	Asp	Ile	Ser	Ser	Ser	Pro	Asp	Thr	Val	Gly	Val	Ala
1				5					10					15	
Val	Val	Asn	Tyr	Lys	Met	Pro	Arg	Leu	His	Thr	Lys	Ala	Glu	Val	Leu
		20						25					30		

-continued

Asp Asn Cys Arg Arg Ile Ala Asp Met Leu Val Gly Met Lys Ser Gly
 35 40 45
 Leu Pro Gly Met Asp Leu Val Val Phe Pro Glu Tyr Ser Thr Gln Gly
 50 55 60
 Ile Met Tyr Asp Glu Gln Glu Met Tyr Asp Thr Ala Ala Thr Val Pro
 65 70 75 80
 Gly Glu Glu Thr Ala Ile Phe Ser Ala Ala Cys Arg Glu Ala Gly Val
 85 90 95
 Trp Gly Val Phe Ser Ile Thr Gly Glu Gln His Glu Asp His Pro Arg
 100 105 110
 Lys Pro Pro Tyr Asn Thr Leu Val Leu Ile Asp Asp His Gly Glu Ile
 115 120 125
 Val Gln Lys Tyr Arg Lys Ile Leu Pro Trp Cys Pro Ile Glu Gly Trp
 130 135 140
 Tyr Pro Gly Asp Thr Thr Tyr Val Thr Glu Gly Pro Lys Gly Leu Lys
 145 150 155 160
 Ile Ser Leu Ile Val Cys Asp Asp Gly Asn Tyr Pro Glu Ile Trp Arg
 165 170 175
 Asp Cys Ala Met Lys Gly Ala Glu Leu Ile Val Arg Cys Gln Gly Tyr
 180 185 190
 Met Tyr Pro Ser Lys Asp Gln Gln Val Leu Met Ala Lys Ala Met Ala
 195 200 205
 Trp Ala Asn Asn Cys Tyr Val Ala Val Ala Asn Ala Ala Gly Phe Asp
 210 215 220
 Gly Val Tyr Ser Tyr Phe Gly His Ser Ala Leu Ile Gly Phe Asp Gly
 225 230 235 240
 Arg Thr Leu Gly Glu Thr Gly Glu Glu Glu Tyr Gly Ile Gln Tyr Ala
 245 250 255
 Gln Leu Ser Ile Ser Ala Ile Arg Asp Ala Arg Ala His Asp Gln Ser
 260 265 270
 Gln Asn His Leu Phe Lys Leu Leu His Arg Gly Tyr Ser Gly Val His
 275 280 285
 Ala Ala Gly Asp Gly Asp Arg Gly Val Ala Asp Cys Pro Phe Glu Phe
 290 295 300
 Tyr Lys Leu Trp Val Thr Asp Ala Gln Gln Ala Arg Glu Arg Val Glu
 305 310 315 320
 Ala Ile Thr Arg Asp Thr Val Gly Val Ala Asp Cys Arg Val Gly Ser
 325 330 335
 Leu Pro Val Glu Gln Thr Leu Glu Ala
 340 345

<210> SEQ ID NO 10
 <211> LENGTH: 346
 <212> TYPE: PRT
 <213> ORGANISM: Pseudomonas aeruginosa

<400> SEQUENCE: 10

Met Arg His Gly Asp Ile Ser Ser Ser Asn Asp Thr Val Gly Val Ala
 1 5 10 15
 Val Val Asn Tyr Lys Met Pro Arg Leu His Thr Ala Ala Glu Val Leu
 20 25 30
 Asp Asn Ala Arg Lys Ile Ala Glu Met Ile Val Gly Met Lys Gln Gly
 35 40 45
 Leu Pro Gly Met Asp Leu Val Val Phe Pro Glu Tyr Ser Leu Gln Gly

-continued

50					55					60						
Ile	Met	Tyr	Asp	Pro	Ala	Glu	Met	Met	Glu	Thr	Ala	Val	Ala	Ile	Pro	
65					70					75				80		
Gly	Glu	Glu	Thr	Glu	Ile	Phe	Ser	Arg	Ala	Cys	Arg	Lys	Ala	Asn	Val	
				85					90					95		
Trp	Gly	Val	Phe	Ser	Leu	Thr	Gly	Glu	Arg	His	Glu	Glu	His	Pro	Arg	
				100					105					110		
Lys	Ala	Pro	Tyr	Asn	Thr	Leu	Val	Leu	Ile	Asp	Asn	Asn	Gly	Glu	Ile	
				115					120					125		
Val	Gln	Lys	Tyr	Arg	Lys	Ile	Ile	Pro	Trp	Cys	Pro	Ile	Glu	Gly	Trp	
				130					135					140		
Tyr	Pro	Gly	Gly	Gln	Thr	Tyr	Val	Ser	Glu	Gly	Pro	Lys	Gly	Met	Lys	
				145					150					155	160	
Ile	Ser	Leu	Ile	Ile	Cys	Asp	Asp	Gly	Asn	Tyr	Pro	Glu	Ile	Trp	Arg	
				165					170					175		
Asp	Cys	Ala	Met	Lys	Gly	Ala	Glu	Leu	Ile	Val	Arg	Cys	Gln	Gly	Tyr	
				180					185					190		
Met	Tyr	Pro	Ala	Lys	Asp	Gln	Gln	Val	Met	Met	Ala	Lys	Ala	Met	Ala	
				195					200					205		
Trp	Ala	Asn	Asn	Cys	Tyr	Val	Ala	Val	Ala	Asn	Ala	Ala	Gly	Phe	Asp	
				210					215					220		
Gly	Val	Tyr	Ser	Tyr	Phe	Gly	His	Ser	Ala	Ile	Ile	Gly	Phe	Asp	Gly	
				225					230					235	240	
Arg	Thr	Leu	Gly	Glu	Cys	Gly	Glu	Glu	Glu	Met	Gly	Ile	Gln	Tyr	Ala	
				245					250					255		
Gln	Leu	Ser	Leu	Ser	Gln	Ile	Arg	Asp	Ala	Arg	Ala	Asn	Asp	Gln	Ser	
				260					265					270		
Gln	Asn	His	Leu	Phe	Lys	Ile	Leu	His	Arg	Gly	Tyr	Ser	Gly	Leu	Gln	
				275					280					285		
Ala	Ser	Gly	Asp	Gly	Asp	Arg	Gly	Leu	Ala	Glu	Cys	Pro	Phe	Glu	Phe	
				290					295					300		
Tyr	Arg	Thr	Trp	Val	Thr	Asp	Ala	Glu	Lys	Ala	Arg	Glu	Asn	Val	Glu	
				305					310					315	320	
Arg	Leu	Thr	Arg	Ser	Thr	Thr	Gly	Val	Ala	Gln	Cys	Pro	Val	Gly	Arg	
				325					330					335		
Leu	Pro	Tyr	Glu	Gly	Leu	Glu	Lys	Glu	Ala							
				340					345							

<210> SEQ ID NO 11

<211> LENGTH: 339

<212> TYPE: PRT

<213> ORGANISM: Helicobacter pylori

<400> SEQUENCE: 11

Met	Arg	His	Gly	Asp	Ile	Ser	Ser	Ser	Pro	Asp	Thr	Val	Gly	Val	Ala
1				5					10					15	
Val	Val	Asn	Tyr	Lys	Met	Pro	Arg	Leu	His	Thr	Lys	Asn	Glu	Val	Leu
				20				25					30		
Glu	Asn	Cys	Arg	Asn	Ile	Ala	Lys	Val	Ile	Gly	Gly	Val	Lys	Gln	Gly
				35			40					45			
Leu	Pro	Gly	Leu	Asp	Leu	Ile	Ile	Phe	Pro	Glu	Tyr	Ser	Thr	His	Gly
				50			55				60				
Ile	Met	Tyr	Asp	Arg	Gln	Glu	Met	Phe	Asp	Thr	Ala	Ala	Ser	Val	Pro
65				70						75				80	

-continued

```

Asn Pro Tyr Asn Thr Leu Ile Leu Val Asn Asp Lys Gly Glu Ile Val
  115                      120                      125

Gln Lys Tyr Arg Lys Ile Leu Pro Trp Cys Pro Ile Glu Cys Trp Tyr
  130                      135                      140

Pro Gly Asp Lys Thr Tyr Val Val Asp Gly Pro Lys Gly Leu Lys Val
  145                      150                      155                      160

Ser Leu Ile Ile Cys Asp Asp Gly Asn Tyr Pro Glu Ile Trp Arg Asp
  165                      170                      175

Cys Ala Met Arg Gly Ala Glu Leu Ile Val Arg Cys Gln Gly Tyr Met
  180                      185                      190

Tyr Pro Ala Lys Glu Gln Gln Ile Ala Ile Val Lys Ala Met Ala Trp
  195                      200                      205

Ala Asn Gln Cys Tyr Val Ala Val Ala Asn Ala Thr Gly Phe Asp Gly
  210                      215                      220

Val Tyr Ser Tyr Phe Gly His Ser Ser Ile Ile Gly Phe Asp Gly His
  225                      230                      235                      240

Thr Leu Gly Glu Cys Gly Glu Glu Glu Asn Gly Leu Gln Tyr Ala Gln
  245                      250                      255

Leu Ser Val Gln Gln Ile Arg Asp Ala Arg Lys Tyr Asp Gln Ser Gln
  260                      265                      270

Asn Gln Leu Phe Lys Leu Leu His Arg Gly Tyr Ser Gly Val Phe Ala
  275                      280                      285

Ser Gly Asp Gly Asp Lys Gly Val Ala Glu Cys Pro Phe Glu Phe Tyr
  290                      295                      300

Lys Thr Trp Val Asn Asp Pro Lys Lys Ala Gln Glu Asn Val Glu Lys
  305                      310                      315                      320

Ile Thr Arg Pro Ser Val Gly Val Ala Ala Cys Pro Val Gly Asp Leu
  325                      330                      335

Pro Thr Lys

```

```

<210> SEQ ID NO 13
<211> LENGTH: 346
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Pseudomonas aeruginosa

```

```

<400> SEQUENCE: 13

```

```

Met Arg His Gly Asp Ile Ser Ser Ser Asn Asp Thr Val Gly Val Ala
  1      5      10      15

Val Val Asn Tyr Lys Met Pro Arg Leu His Thr Ala Ala Glu Val Leu
  20     25     30

Asp Asn Ala Arg Lys Ile Ala Asp Met Ile Val Gly Met Lys Gln Gly
  35     40     45

Leu Pro Gly Met Asp Leu Val Val Phe Pro Glu Tyr Ser Leu Gln Gly
  50     55     60

Ile Met Tyr Asp Pro Ala Glu Met Met Glu Thr Ala Val Ala Ile Pro
  65     70     75     80

Gly Glu Glu Thr Glu Ile Phe Ser Arg Ala Cys Arg Lys Ala Asn Val
  85     90     95

Trp Gly Val Phe Ser Leu Thr Gly Glu Arg His Glu Glu His Pro Arg
  100    105    110

Lys Ala Pro Tyr Asn Thr Leu Val Leu Ile Asp Asn Asn Gly Glu Ile
  115    120    125

Val Gln Lys Tyr Arg Lys Ile Ile Pro Trp Cys Pro Ile Glu Gly Trp
  130    135    140

```

-continued

Tyr	Pro	Gly	Gly	Gln	Thr	Tyr	Val	Ser	Glu	Gly	Pro	Lys	Gly	Met	Lys
145					150					155					160
Ile	Ser	Leu	Ile	Ile	Cys	Asp	Asp	Pro	Asn	Tyr	Pro	Glu	Ile	Trp	Arg
			165						170					175	
Asp	Cys	Ala	Met	Lys	Gly	Ala	Glu	Leu	Ile	Val	Arg	Cys	Gln	Gly	Tyr
			180					185					190		
Met	Tyr	Pro	Ala	Lys	Asp	Gln	Gln	Val	Met	Met	Ala	Lys	Ala	Met	Ala
		195					200					205			
Trp	Ala	Asn	Asn	Cys	Tyr	Val	Ala	Val	Ala	Asn	Ala	Ala	Gly	Phe	Asp
	210					215					220				
Gly	Val	Tyr	Ser	Tyr	Phe	Gly	His	Ser	Ala	Ile	Ile	Gly	Phe	Asp	Gly
225					230					235					240
Arg	Thr	Leu	Gly	Glu	Cys	Gly	Glu	Glu	Glu	Met	Gly	Ile	Gln	Tyr	Ala
			245						250					255	
Gln	Leu	Ser	Leu	Ser	Gln	Ile	Arg	Asp	Ala	Arg	Ala	Asn	Asp	Gln	Ser
			260					265					270		
Gln	Asn	His	Leu	Phe	Lys	Ile	Leu	His	Arg	Gly	Tyr	Ser	Gly	Leu	Gln
		275					280					285			
Ala	Ser	Gly	Asp	Gly	Asp	Arg	Gly	Leu	Ala	Glu	Cys	Pro	Phe	Glu	Phe
	290					295					300				
Tyr	Arg	Thr	Trp	Val	Thr	Asp	Ala	Glu	Lys	Ala	Arg	Asp	Asn	Val	Glu
305					310					315					320
Arg	Leu	Thr	Arg	Ser	Thr	Thr	Gly	Val	Ala	Gln	Cys	Pro	Val	Gly	Arg
			325						330					335	
Leu	Pro	Tyr	Glu	Gly	Leu	Glu	Lys	Glu	Ala						
			340					345							

That which is claimed:

1. A method for delaying a plant development process comprising exposing a plant or plant part to nitrile hydratase enzymes in a quantity sufficient to delay the plant development process, wherein the plant development process is selected from the group consisting of fruit ripening, vegetable ripening, leaf abscission, flower senescence, wilting, abscission, and petal closure.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the nitrile hydratase enzymes are provided by one or more bacteria.

3. The method of claim 2, wherein the nitrile hydratase enzymes are isolated, purified, or semi-purified from the one or more bacteria.

4. The method of claim 2, wherein the one or more bacteria are selected from the group consisting of *Rhodococcus* spp., *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, and mixtures thereof.

5. The method of claim 4, wherein the one or more bacteria include *Rhodococcus* spp.

6. The method of claim 5, wherein the *Rhodococcus* spp. includes *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 strain, *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 strain, *Rhodococcus erythropolis*, or mixtures thereof.

7. The method of claim 2, wherein the one or more bacteria are induced by exposure to an inducing agent selected from the group consisting of asparagine, glutamine, cobalt, urea, and mixtures thereof.

35

8. The method of claim 1, wherein the plant or plant part is indirectly or directly exposed to the nitrile hydratase enzymes.

40

9. The method of claim 1, wherein the plant development process is fruit ripening, vegetable ripening or leaf abscission.

10. The method of claim 1, wherein the plant part is a fruit, a vegetable or a flower.

45

11. The method of claim 10, wherein the fruit is a climacteric fruit.

12. The method of claim 11, wherein the climacteric fruit is selected from the group consisting of bananas, peaches, plums, nectarines, apples, tomatoes, pears, and avocados.

50

13. The method of claim 1, wherein the plant part is a flower and the plant development process is flower senescence, wilting, abscission or petal closure.

14. The method of claim 13, wherein the flower is a carnation, rose, orchid, *portulaca*, malva, or *begonia*.

55

15. The method of claim 1, wherein delaying the plant development process results in increased shelf-life or facilitates longer-distance transportation of the plant or plant part.

60

16. The method of claim 1, wherein the nitrile hydratase enzymes are immobilized and are placed in, placed on, or affixed to a physical structure.

* * * * *