

# NEW LOWER BOUNDS ON THE MULTICOLOR RAMSEY NUMBERS $r_k(C_4)$

FELIX LAZEBNIK AND ANDREW J. WOLDAR

ABSTRACT. The multicolor Ramsey number  $r_k(C_4)$  is the smallest integer  $n$  for which any  $k$ -coloring of the edges of the complete graph  $K_n$  must produce a monochromatic 4-cycle. In [6] and [3] it was proved that  $r_k(C_4) \geq k^2 - k + 2$  for  $k - 1$  being a prime power. In this note we establish  $r_k(C_4) \geq k^2 + 2$  for  $k$  being an odd prime power.

( Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series **B** **79**, 172–176 (2000))

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $G_1, \dots, G_k$  be graphs. The multicolor Ramsey number  $r(G_1, \dots, G_k)$  is defined to be the smallest integer  $n = n(k)$  with the property that any  $k$ -coloring of the edges of the complete graph  $K_n$  must result in a monochromatic subgraph of  $K_n$  isomorphic to  $G_i$  for some  $i$ . (Here, by “monochromatic subgraph” we mean a subgraph all of whose edges have the same color.) When all graphs  $G_i$  are identical, we usually abbreviate  $r(G, \dots, G)$  by  $r_k(G)$ . Clearly, the notion of multicolor Ramsey number is a natural generalization of that of the classical Ramsey number  $r(s, t) := r(K_s, K_t)$ .

We focus our attention on the special case where each  $G_i$  is a 4-cycle  $C_4$ . Here it is known that

$$k^2 - k + 2 \leq r_k(C_4) \leq k^2 + k + 1,$$

with the upper bound (due independently to Chung [2] and Irving [6]) holding for all  $k \geq 1$ , and the lower bound (due independently to Irving [6] and Chung and Graham [3]) holding for  $k - 1$  being a prime power. In this note we show that

$$(1.1) \quad k^2 + 2 \leq r_k(C_4)$$

for  $k$  being an odd prime power.

In order to accomplish this, we first describe a special  $C_4$ -free graph  $\Gamma$  of order  $q^2$  and size  $q(q^2 - 1)/2$  where  $q$  is an odd prime power. (Recall that the order of a graph is its number of vertices, and the size is its number of edges.) We show how to color the edges of the complete graph  $K_{q^2}$  in  $q$  colors such that each maximal monochromatic subgraph is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ . Such colorings can also be viewed as edge decompositions of  $K_{q^2}$  into isomorphic copies of  $\Gamma$ . Clearly, the existence of such colorings implies that  $r_q(C_4) \geq q^2 + 1$ . Then we show that the

---

*Date:* January 16, 2003.

*Key words and phrases.* Edge coloring, monochromatic graph, multicolor Ramsey number, edge decomposition, polarity graph.

This research was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9622091.

edge coloring of  $K_{q^2}$  can be extended to a  $q$ -coloring of the edges of  $K_{q^2+1}$  such that each monochromatic subgraph is  $C_4$ -free. This will prove (1.1).

## 2. CONSTRUCTION AND PROOFS

Let  $q$  be a prime power and  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the finite field of  $q$  elements. Set  $V = \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$ . Consider a simple graph  $\Gamma$  with the vertex set  $V$  and the edge set defined in the following way: two distinct vertices  $(a_1, a_2)$  and  $(b_1, b_2)$  are adjacent if and only if

$$(2.1) \quad a_2 + b_2 = a_1 b_1.$$

Using this definition of adjacency, the degree of an arbitrary vertex  $(x, y)$  of  $\Gamma$  can be computed as follows. The neighbors of  $(x, y)$  are clearly those vertices  $(u, xu - y)$  which are distinct from  $(x, y)$ . Since  $(u, xu - y) = (x, y)$  if and only if  $u = x$  and  $y = x^2/2$ , each of the  $q$  vertices of the form  $(x, x^2/2)$  has exactly  $q - 1$  neighbors, while each of the remaining  $q^2 - q$  vertices has exactly  $q$  neighbors. This implies that the number of edges of  $\Gamma$  is  $\frac{1}{2}(q(q - 1) + (q^2 - q)q) = q(q^2 - 1)/2$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $q$  be an odd prime power. Then  $\Gamma$  is  $C_4$ -free, and there exists a  $q$ -coloring of the edges of  $K_{q^2}$  such that each maximal monochromatic subgraph is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2), (c_1, c_2), (d_1, d_2)$  be the four consecutive (distinct) vertices of a 4-cycle in  $\Gamma$ . Then

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} a_2 + b_2 &= a_1 b_1 \\ b_2 + c_2 &= b_1 c_1 \\ c_2 + d_2 &= c_1 d_1 \\ d_2 + a_2 &= d_1 a_1, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $(a_1 - c_1)(b_1 - d_1) = 0$ . Thus one has either  $a_1 = c_1$  or  $b_1 = d_1$ , which implies either that  $(a_1, a_2) = (c_1, c_2)$  or  $(b_1, b_2) = (d_1, d_2)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\Gamma$  is  $C_4$ -free.

To prove the second statement, we identify the vertex set of  $K_{q^2}$  with  $V$ . This makes  $\Gamma$  a spanning subgraph of  $K_{q^2}$ . For each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , define the mapping  $\phi_\alpha : V \rightarrow V$  by  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + \alpha)$ . Clearly,  $\phi_\alpha$  is a bijection. Now define  $\phi_\alpha(\Gamma)$  to be the spanning subgraph of  $K_{q^2}$  with two distinct vertices  $(a_1, a_2 + \alpha)$  and  $(b_1, b_2 + \alpha)$  adjacent if and only if the vertices  $(a_1, a_2)$  and  $(b_1, b_2)$  are adjacent in  $\Gamma$ . It is immediate from this definition that subgraph  $\phi_\alpha(\Gamma)$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ; indeed,  $\phi_\alpha$  is an explicit isomorphism.

We claim that the subgraphs  $\phi_\alpha(\Gamma)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , are pairwise edge-disjoint. Indeed, suppose two distinct vertices  $(x, y)$  and  $(u, w)$  are adjacent in both  $\phi_\alpha(\Gamma)$  and  $\phi_\beta(\Gamma)$ . Then the pairs of vertices  $\{(x, y - \alpha), (u, w - \alpha)\}$  and  $\{(x, y - \beta), (u, w - \beta)\}$  are both edges in  $\Gamma$ . Using (2.1) we obtain

$$(y - \alpha) + (w - \alpha) = (y - \beta) + (w - \beta) = xu,$$

which implies  $\alpha = \beta$ . This proves that the  $q$  subgraphs  $\phi_\alpha(\Gamma)$  are indeed pairwise edge-disjoint. Since the sizes of  $\Gamma$  and  $K_{q^2}$  are  $q(q^2 - 1)/2$  and  $q^2(q^2 - 1)/2$ , respectively, we obtain an edge partition of  $K_{q^2}$  into  $q$  isomorphic copies of  $\Gamma$ . To complete the proof, we merely choose  $\mathbb{F}_q$  as our color set and we color all edges of  $\phi_\alpha(\Gamma)$  with the color  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

An immediate consequence of Proposition 1 is the following result.

**Corollary 1.** *For  $q$  an odd prime power,  $r_q(C_4) \geq q^2 + 1$ .*

But, in fact, this bound can be slightly improved.

**Theorem 1.** *For  $q$  an odd prime power,  $r_q(C_4) \geq q^2 + 2$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to exhibit a  $q$ -coloring of the edges of  $K_{q^2+1}$  in which there is no monochromatic  $C_4$ . Fix a vertex  $v$  of  $K_{q^2+1}$  and denote the subgraph induced by its set of neighbors by  $N$ . As  $N$  is isomorphic to  $K_{q^2}$ , we can  $q$ -color its edges in accordance with Proposition 1, that is, by assigning color  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$  to all edges of  $N$  of the form  $\{(a_1, a_2), (b_1, a_1b_1 - a_2 + 2\alpha)\}$ . Thus it remains only to color the edges  $\{v, u\}$  for  $u \in V(N)$ , and we do this by simply assigning color  $\beta$  to edge  $\{v, u\}$  if  $\beta$  is the first coordinate of  $u$ . We claim that the constructed  $q$ -coloring of the edges of  $K_{q^2+1}$  contains no monochromatic 4-cycle.

Suppose, by way of contradiction, that a monochromatic 4-cycle exists, say of color  $\alpha$ . Clearly  $v$  must be one of its vertices, so denote the consecutive vertices of this cycle by  $v, w, x, y$ . Then  $w$  and  $y$  have common first coordinate  $\alpha$ , say  $w = (\alpha, w_2)$  and  $y = (\alpha, y_2)$ . By the above, one now has  $x = (x_1, \alpha x_1 - w_2 + 2\alpha)$  (by adjacency of  $x$  to  $w$ ) and  $x = (x_1, \alpha x_1 - y_2 + 2\alpha)$  (by adjacency of  $x$  to  $y$ ). Thus  $w_2 = y_2$  and we obtain the contradiction  $w = y$ .  $\square$

It is easy to argue that it is impossible to extend the obtained  $q$ -coloring of  $K_{q^2+1}$  to a  $q$ -coloring of  $K_{q^2+2}$  without creating a monochromatic 4-cycle.

### 3. GENERALIZATIONS AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

In [1] it is proved that  $r_3(C_4) = 11$  (see also [4]), but this is the only case in which  $r_k(C_4)$  is explicitly known. There are, however, lower bounds for very small  $k$  which improve the general lower bound from [6] and [3], namely  $r_4(C_4) \geq 18$  (improving  $r_4(C_4) \geq 14$ ) and  $r_5(C_4) \geq 25$  (improving  $r_5(C_4) \geq 22$ ), see [5]. Of these two cases, our theorem applies only to the latter, and the resulting bound is  $r_5(C_4) \geq 27$ . In fact, one actually has  $r_5(C_4) \in \{27, 28, 29\}$ , which is an easy consequence of a result in [9] which states that any graph of order 29 and size at least 81 must contain a 4-cycle.

The construction of graph  $\Gamma$  and the results of this note allow the following generalization.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. For each integer  $i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $f_i : R^{2i-2} \rightarrow R$  be a function which satisfies

$$f_i(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}) = f_i(y_1, x_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, x_{i-1}),$$

for all  $x_i, y_j \in R$ . Define  $\Gamma_n = \Gamma(R; f_2, \dots, f_n)$  to be the graph with vertex set  $V(\Gamma_n) = R^n$ , where distinct vertices  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  and  $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$  are adjacent if and only if the following  $n - 1$  relations on their coordinates hold:

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 + b_2 &= f_2(a_1, b_1) \\ a_3 + b_3 &= f_3(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2) \\ &\dots \quad \dots \\ a_n + b_n &= f_n(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Graph  $\Gamma$  of this note is a particular case of this construction, namely when  $n = 2$ ,  $R = \mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $f_2(x_1, y_1) = x_1y_1$ .

In [7] the authors show that when  $R$  is finite of cardinality  $r \geq 3$  and of characteristic not equal to 2, then the complete graph  $K_{r^n}$  can be decomposed into  $r^{n-1}$  edge-disjoint copies of  $\Gamma_n$ . Clearly, this decomposition provides a lower bound on the multicolor Ramsey number  $r(G_1, \dots, G_{r^{n-1}})$ , specifically

$$r(G_1, \dots, G_{r^{n-1}}) \geq r^n + 1,$$

when  $G_1, \dots, G_{r^{n-1}}$  are any graphs not contained in  $\Gamma_n$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Linyuan Lu, for pointing to the relation between the edge decomposition properties of our graphs from [7] and multicolor Ramsey numbers, and for suggesting reference [3].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bialostocki and J. Schönheim, On some Turán and Ramsey numbers for  $C_4$ , *Graph Theory and Combin.* (Ed. B. Bollobás), Academic Press, London (1984), 29-33.
- [2] F. R. K. Chung, On triangular and cyclic Ramsey numbers with  $k$  colors, in *Graphs and Combinatorics* (Ed. R. Bari and F. Harari), Springer LNM 406, Berlin (1974), 236-242.
- [3] F. R. K. Chung and R. L. Graham, On multicolor Ramsey numbers for complete bipartite graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 18 (1975), 164-169.
- [4] C. Clapham, The Ramsey number  $r(C_4, C_4, C_4)$ , *Periodica Math. Hungarica* 18 (1987), 317-318.
- [5] G. Exoo, Constructing Ramsey graphs with a computer, *Congr. Numerant.* 59 (1987), 31-36.
- [6] R. W. Irving, Generalized Ramsey numbers for small graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 9 (1974), 251 – 264.
- [7] F. Lazebnik and A. J. Woldar, General properties of some families of graphs defined by systems of equations, submitted.
- [8] S. P. Radziszowski, *Small Ramsey numbers*, *Electronic J. Combin.* 1 DS1 (1994), 1–30.
- [9] Y. Yuansheng and P. Rowlinson, On extremal graphs without four-cycles, *Utilitas Math.* 41 (1992), 204-210.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE, NEWARK, DE 19716, USA

*E-mail address:* lazebnik@math.udel.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, VILLANOVA UNIVERSITY, VILLANOVA, PA 19085, USA

*E-mail address:* woldar@ucis.vill.edu