

**THE AMERICAN STATISTICIAN**  
**“CORRECTING CORRUPT RESEARCH” REFERENCES**  
**Supplementary Materials**

- 1 (2017), “Review and prosper,” *The Economist*, 423, 99.
- 2 (2017), “Time's up,” *The Economist*, 422, 69-71.
- 3 (2017), “Warning shots,” *The Economist*, 424, 72.
- 4 AACSB International. (2008), “Final Report of the AACSB International Impact of Research Task Force.” St. Louis, Missouri, AACSB International.
- 5 -----(2012a), “Impact of Research: a guide for business schools.” St. Louis, Missouri, AACSB International.
- 6 -----(2012b), “Insights from the AACSB International Impact of research exploratory study.” St. Louis, Missouri, AACSB International.
- 7 Abelson, R. P. (1995), *Statistics as principled argument*, Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- 8 Abrahamson, E. (2005), “Torturing data until it speaks: Significance testing and the file drawer problem in organizational research,” Paper presented to the Academy of Management Symposium on Rejecting the Null Hypothesis, Miami, FL.
- 9 Adler, N. J. and Harzing, A.-W. (2009), “When knowledge wins: Transcending the sense and nonsense of academic rankings,” *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 8, 72-95.
- 10 Aiken, L. S., West, S. G., Sechrest, L., Reno, R. R., Roediger III, H. L., Scarr, S., Kazdin, A. E., and Sherman, S. J. (1990), “Graduate training in statistics, methodology, and measurement in psychology: a survey of PhD programs in North America,” *American Psychologist*, 45, 721-734.
- 11 Armstrong, J. S. (2007a), “Significance tests harm progress in forecasting,” *International Journal of Forecasting*, 23, 321-327.
- 12 -----(2007b), “Statistical significance tests are unnecessary even when properly done and properly interpreted: Reply to commentaries,” *International Journal of Forecasting*, 23, 335-336.
- 13 Atkinson, D. R., Furlong, M. J., and Wampold, B. E. (1982), “Statistical significance, reviewer evaluations, and the scientific process: is there a (statistically) significant relationship?,” *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 29, 189-194.
- 14 Badia, P., Haber, A., and Runyon, R. P. (1970), *Research problems in psychology*, Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley.

- 15 Bakan, D. (1966), "The test of significance in psychological research," *Psychological Bulletin*, 66, 423-437.
- 16 Baker, M. (2016), "Is there a reproducibility crisis?" *Nature* 533, 452-454.
- 17 Bass, B. M. (1965), "The psychologist and the business school," *The Industrial Psychologist*, 2, 14-18.
- 18 Becker, B. J. (1987), "Applying tests of combined significance in meta-analysis," *Psychological Bulletin*, 102, 164-171.
- 19 Bedeian, A. G. (2003), "The manuscript review process: the proper role of authors, referees, and editors," *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 12, 331-338.
- 20 -----(2004), "Peer review and the social construction of knowledge in the management discipline," *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 3, 198-216.
- 21 Bedeian, A. G., Taylor, S. G., and Miller, A. N. (2010), "Management science on the credibility bubble: Cardinal sins and various misdemeanors," *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 9, 715-725.
- 22 Berkson, J. (1938), "Some difficulties of interpretation encountered in the application of the chi-square test," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 33, 526-542.
- 23 -----(1942), "Tests of significance considered as evidence," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 37, 325-335.
- 24 Blalock, Jr. H. M. (1960), *Social Statistics*, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- 25 Bloom, Nick, Dorgan, Stephen, Dowdy, John, Van Reenen, John, and Rippin, Tom. (2005), "Management practices across firms and nations." London, Center for Economic Performance, London School of Economics.
- 26 Bolles, R. and Messick, S. (1958), "Statistical utility in experimental inference," *Psychological Reports*, 4, 223-227.
- 27 Bozarth, J. D. and Roberts, R. R. Jr. (1972), "Signifying significant significance," *American Psychologist*, 27, 774-775.
- 28 Carver, R. P. (1978), "The case against statistical significance testing," *Harvard Education Review*, 378-399.
- 29 -----(1993), "The case against statistical significance testing, revisited," *Journal of Experimental Education*, 61, 287-292.
- 30 Ceci, S. J. and Peters, D. (1984), "How blind is blind review?," *American Psychologist*, 39, 1491-1494.

- 31 Center for Open Science. Online: <https://cos.io/>.
- 32 Cohen, J. (1994), "The earth is round ( $p < .05$ )," *American Psychologist*, 49, 997-1003.
- 33 -----(1988), *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- 34 -----(1962), "The statistical power of abnormal-social psychological research: a review," *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 65, 145-153.
- 35 -----(1990), "Things I have learned (so far)," *American Psychologist*, 45, 1304-1312.
- 36 Cumming, G. Significance roulette. URL: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OcJImS16jR4>.
- 37 Cumming, G. and Calin-Jageman, R. (2017), *Introduction to the new statistics: Estimation, open science, and beyond*, New York: Routledge.
- 38 Dawes, R. M., Mirels, H. L., Gold, E., and Donahue, E. (1993), "Equating inverse probabilities in implicit personality judgments," *Psychological Science*, 4, 396-400.
- 39 dryad. Online: [www.datadryad.org](http://www.datadryad.org).
- 40 Edwards, J. R. (2008), "To prosper, organizational psychology should ...overcome methodological barriers to progress," *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 29, 469-491.
- 41 Faulkner, C., Fidler, F., and Cumming, G. (2008), "The value of RCT evidence depends on the quality of statistical analysis," *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 46, 270-281.
- 42 Fidler, F., Thomason, N., Cumming, G., Finch, S., and Leeman, J. (2004), "Editors can lead researchers to confidence intervals, but can't make them think," *Psychological Science*, 15, 119-26.
- 43 figshare. Online: <https://figshare.com>.
- 44 Fisher, R. A. (1932), *Statistical methods for research workers*, Oxford, England: Oliver & Boyd.
- 45 Gill, J. (1999), "The insignificance of null hypothesis significance testing," *Political Science Quarterly*, 52, 647-674.
- 46 Goodman, S. N., Fanelli, D., and Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2016), "What does research reproducibility mean?" 341[12], 1-6.
- 47 Gordon, R. A. and Howell, J. E. (1959), *Higher education for business*, New York: Columbia University Press.

- 48 Greenwald, A. G. (1975), "Consequences of prejudice against the null hypothesis," *Psychological Bulletin*, 82, 1-20.
- 49 Hambrick, D. C. (2007), "The field of management's devotion to theory: too much of a good thing?," *Academy of Management Journal*, 50, 1346-1352.
- 50 Harlow, L. L., Muliak, S. A., and Steiger, J. H. (1997), *What if there were no significance tests?*, Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- 51 Hays, W. L. (1963), *Statistics*, New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- 52 Hubbard, R. (2016), *Corrupt research: The case for reconceptualizing empirical management and social science*, Los Angeles: Sage.
- 53 Hubbard, R. and Armstrong, J. S. (2006), "Why we don't really know what "statistical significance" means: a major educational failure," *Journal of Marketing Education*, 28, 114-120.
- 54 Hubbard, R. and Bayari, M. J. (2003), "Confusion over measures of evidence ( $p$ 's) versus errors ( $\alpha$ 's) in classical statistical testing (with comments)," *The American Statistician*, 57, 171-182.
- 55 Hubbard, R. and Lindsay, R. M. (2008), "Why  $p$  values are not a useful measure of evidence in statistical significance testing," *Theory & Psychology*, 18, 69-88.
- 56 Hubbard, R. and Meyer, C. K. (2013), "The rise of statistical significance testing in public administration research and why this is a mistake ," *Journal of Business and Behavioral Sciences*, 25, 4-20.
- 57 Hubbard, R., Parsa, R. A., and Luthy, M. R. (1997), "The spread of statistical significance testing in psychology: the case of the Journal of Applied Psychology," *Theory & Psychology*, 7, 545-554.
- 58 Hubbard, R. and Ryan, P. A. (2000), "The historical growth of statistical significance testing in psychology—and its future prospects," *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 60, 661-81.
- 59 Huck, S. W. (2009), *Statistical misconceptions*, New York: Routledge.
- 60 Hunter, J. E. (1997), "Needed: A ban on the significance test," *Psychological Science*, 8, 3-7.
- 61 Hunter, J. E. and Schmidt, F. L. (2004), *Meta-analysis: Correcting error and bias in research findings*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Thousand Oaks, Ca., Sage.
- 62 -----(2014), *Meta-analysis: Correcting error and bias in research findings* 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Thousand Oaks, Ca., Sage.

- 63 Hunter, J. E., Schmidt, F. L., and Jackson, G. B. (1982), *Meta-analysis: cumulating research findings across studies*, Beverly Hills, Ca.: Sage.
- 64 Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2017), "What have we (not) learnt from millions of scientific papers with p-values?," Presentation to the American Statistical Association, Bethesda Md.
- 65 -----(2005), "Why most published research findings are false." *PLOS Medicine* 2[8], e124.
- 66 Jackson, S. E. and Dutton, J. E. (1988), "Discerning threats and opportunities," *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 33, 370-387.
- 68 Jefferson, T., Alderson, P., Wager, E., and Davidoff, F. (2002), "Effects of editorial peer review: A systematic review," *JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 287, 2784-2786.
- 69 Jefferson, T., Wager, E., and Davidoff, F. (2002), "Measuring the quality of editorial peer review," *JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 287, 2786-2790.
- 70 Jones, L. V. (1955), "Statistics and research design," *Annual Review of Psychology*, 6, 405-430.
- 71 Kalinowski, P. and Fidler, F. (2010), "Interpreting significance: the differences between statistical significance, effect size, and practical importance," *Newborn & Infant Nursing Reviews*, 10, 51-54.
- 72 Kilmann, R. H., Thomas, K. W., Slevin, D. P., Nath, R., and Jerrell, S. L. (1994), *Producing useful knowledge for organizations*, San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- 73 Kish, L. (1959), "Some statistical problems in research design," *American Sociological Review*, 24, 328-338.
- 74 Kline, R. B. (2013), *Beyond significance testing: Statistics reform in the behavioral sciences*, Washington, D. C.: American Psychological Association.
- 75 Kmetz, J. L. (2017), "Management junk science." Online: <https://sites.udel.edu/mjs>.
- 76 -----(2016), "Neither 'food chain' nor 'translation problem'? The disregard of academic research in best-selling business books," *International Journal of Business Administration*, 7, 101-122.
- 77 -----(2012), "Self-reinforcement and negative entropy: the black hole of business-school research," in *28th European Group for Organization Studies (EGOS) Colloquium, Self-reinforcing Processes in Organizations, Networks and Professions*. Helsinki, Finland. Available at <https://sites.edul.edu/mjs>
- 78 -----(2011), "Fifty lost years: Why international business scholars must not emulate the US social-science research model," *World Journal of Management*, 3, 172-200.

- 79 -----(2007), "Science and the study of management: an opportunity to set the global standard for valid social science research." Paper presented to the Second International Conference on Corporate Governance and Corporate Social Responsibility, Moscow, Russia.
- 80 -----(2002), *The skeptic's handbook: Consumer guidelines and a critical assessment of business and management research*. Social Science Research Network.
- 81 -----(1998), *The information processing theory of organization: Managing technology accession in complex systems*, Aldershot, England: Ashgate.
- 82 -----(1992), "Proposals to improve the science of organization," Paper presented to the Research Methods Division of the Academy of Management, Las Vegas, NV.
- 83 Lane, P. J., Koka, B. R., and Pathak, S. (2006), "The reification of absorptive capacity: a critical review and rejuvenation of the construct," *Academy of Management Review*, 31, 833-863.
- 84 Loftus, G. R. (1996), "Psychology will be a much better science when we change the way we analyze data," *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 5, 161-171.
- 85 Mahoney, M. J. (1977), "Publication prejudices: an experimental study of confirmatory bias in the peer review system," *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 1, 161-175.
- 86 Makel, M. C., Plucker, J. A., Freeman, J., Lombardi, A., Simonson, B., and Coyne, M. (2016), "Replication of special education research: Necessary but far too rare," *Remedial and Special Education*, 37, 205-121.
- 87 McCloskey, D. N. (1992), "The bankruptcy of statistical significance," *Eastern Economic Journal*, 18, 359-361.
- 88 McCloskey, D. N. and Ziliak, S. T. (1996), "The standard error of regressions," *Journal of Economic Literature*, XXXIV, 97-114.
- 89 McKinsey&Co. (2005), "Management matters." London, McKinsey&Co.
- 90 Meehl, P. E. (1990), "Why summaries of research on psychological theories are often uninterpretable," *Psychological Reports*, 66 (Monograph Supplement 1-Vol. 66), 195-244.
- 91 -----(1986), "What social scientists don't understand," in *Metatheory in social science: pluralisms and subjectivities*, D. W. Fiske and R. A. Shweder (eds.), Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- 92 -----(1978), "Theoretical risks and tabular asterisks: Sir Karl, Sir Ronald, and the slow progress of soft psychology," *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 46, 806-834.

- 93 -----(1967), "Theory-testing in psychology and physics: a methodological paradox," *Philosophy of Science*, 34, 103-115.
- 94 Moonesinghe, R., Khoury, M. J., and Janssens, A. C. J. W. (2007), "Most published research findings are false—but a little replication goes a long way," *PLoS Med*, 4, e28.
- 95 Morrison, D. E. and Henkel, R. E. (1970), *The significance test controversy: a reader*, Chicago: Aldine.
- 96 Murray, M. L. (1993), "On the significance of significance (the significance of statistical information)," *CPCU Journal*, 46, 67-69.
- 97 Nathan, R. P. (2000), *Social science in government: the role of policy researchers*, Albany, NY: The Rockefeller Institute Press.
- 98 Nelson, L. D., Simmons, J., and Simonsohn (2018), U. "Psychology's renaissance." *Annual Review of Psychology* 69, 511-534.
- 99 Neyman, J. and Pearson, E. S. (1933), "On the problem of the most efficient test of statistical hypotheses," *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society (A)*, 231, 289-337.
- 100 Nix, T. W. and Barnette, J. J. (1998), "The data analysis dilemma: Ban or abandon. A review of null hypothesis significance testing," *Research in the Schools*, 5, 3-14.
- 101 Nunnally, J. C. (1960), "The place of statistics in psychology," *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 20, 61-650.
- 102 Oakes, M. W. (1986), *Statistical inference: a commentary for the social and behavioural sciences*, New York: Wiley.
- 103 Open Science Collaboration. (2015), "Estimating the reproducibility of psychological science." *Science* 349 [online]. DOI: 10.1126/science.aac4716.
- 104 Pashler, H. and Harris, C. R. (2012), "Is the replicability crisis overblown?," *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 7, 531-536.
- 105 Peters, D. and Ceci, S. J. (1982), "Peer-review practices of psychological journals: the fate of published articles, submitted again," *The Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 5, 187-195.
- 106 Pierson, F. C. (1959), *The education of American businessmen; a study of university-college programs in business administration*, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- 107 PLOS ONE. Online: <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/>.
- 108 PsychFileDrawer. Online: <https://pubpeer.com/static/about>.

- 109 PubPeer. Online: [www.pubpeer.com](http://www.pubpeer.com).
- 110 “The R project for statistical computing.” Online: <https://www.r-project.org/>.
- 111 Reinhart, A. (2015), *Statistics done wrong: the woefully complete guide*, San Francisco: No Starch Press.
- 112 Responsible Research in Business and Management. Online: <https://www.facebook.com/RRBMnetwork/>.
- 113 Rosenthal, R. (1979), “The ‘file drawer problem’ and tolerance for null results,” *Psychological Bulletin*, 86, 638-641.
- 114 Rosnow, R. L. and Rosenthal, R. (1988), “Focused tests of significance and effect size estimation in counseling psychology,” *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 38, 203-208.
- 115 -----(1989), “Statistical procedures and the justification of knowledge in psychological science,” *American Psychologist*, 44, 1276-1284.
- 116 Rozeboom, W. W. (1960), “The fallacy of the null-hypothesis significance test,” *Psychological Bulletin*, 57, 416-428.
- 117 Runyon, R. P. and Haber, A. (1972), *Fundamentals of behavioral statistics*, Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley.
- 118 Rynes, S. L., Giluk, T. L., and Brown, K. G. (2007), “The very separate worlds of academic and practitioner periodicals in human resource management: Implications for evidence-based management,” *Academy of Management Journal*, 50, 987-1008.
- 119 Scandura, T. A. and Williams, E. A. (2000), “Research methodology in management: Current practices, trends, and implications for future research,” *Academy of Management Journal*, 43, 1248-1264.
- 120 Schmidt, F. L. (1996), “Statistical significance testing and cumulative knowledge in psychology: Implications for training of researchers,” *Psychological Methods*, 1, 115-129.
- 121 -----(1992), “What do data really mean? Research findings, meta-analysis, and cumulative knowledge in psychology,” *American Psychologist*, 47, 1173-1181.
- 122 Seglen, P. O. (1997), “Citations and journal impact factors: questionable indicators of research quality,” *Allergy*, 52, 1050-1056.
- 123 Shrouf, P. E. (1997), “Should significance tests be banned? Introduction to a special section exploring the pros and cons,” *Psychological Science*, 8, 1-2.
- 124 Singh, G., Haddad, K. M., and Chow, C. W. (2007), “Are articles in “top” management journals necessarily of higher quality?,” *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 16, 319-331.\



- 125 Skapinker, M. (2008), "Why business ignores the business schools." *Financial Times*, January 7.
- 126 -----(2011), "Why business still ignores the business schools." *Financial Times*, January 24.
- 127 Social Science Research Network. Online: <https://ssrn.com/en/>.
- 128 Sohn, D. (2000), "Significance testing and the science," *American Psychologist*, 55, 964-965.
- 129 Spellman, B. A. (2012), "Data, data everywhere...especially in my file drawer," *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 7, 58-59.
- 130 Starbuck, W. H. (2008), "Croquet with the Queen of Hearts," Presentation to the National Academy of Management. Anaheim, CA.
- 131 -----(2005), "How much better are the most-prestigious journals? The statistics of academic publication ," *Organization Science*, 16, 180-200.
- 132 -----(2006), *The production of knowledge: the challenge of social science research*, New York: Oxford .
- 133 -----(1998), "A trip to view the elephants and the rattlesnakes in the garden of Aston." In Pugh, Derek (ed.), *The Aston programme Vol. III*. Aldershot, England, Ashgate.
- 134 -----(2003), "Turning lemons into lemonade: Where is the value in peer reviews?," *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 12, 344-351.
- 135 -----(2005), "What if the Academy banned null hypothesis significance testing?," Presentation to the National Academy of Management. Honolulu, HI.
- 136 Sterne, J. A. C. (2001), "Sifting the evidence—what's wrong with significance tests? " *British Medical Journal*, 27, 115-129.
- 137 The Replication Network. Online: <https://replicationnetwork.com/>.
- 138 Thompson, B. (1998), "Statistical significance and effect size reporting: Portrait of a possible future," *Research in the Schools*, 5, 33-38.
- 139 Tukey, J. W. (1960), "Conclusions vs. decisions." *Technometrics* 26[4].
- 140 Tyler, R. W. (1931), "What is statistical significance?," *Educational Research Bulletin*, 10, 115-142.
- 141 Van de Ven, A. H. (2007), *Engaged scholarship: a guide for organizational and social research*, New York: Oxford.

- 142 Vickers, A. (2010), *What is a p-value anyway?: 34 stories to help you actually understand statistics*, Boston: Addison-Wesley.
- 143 Wachtel, P. L. (1980), "Investigation and its discontents," *American Psychologist*, 35, 399-408.
- 144 Wager, E. and Jefferson, T. (2001), "Shortcomings of peer review in biomedical journals," *Learned Publishing*, 14, 257-263.
- 145 Wang, L. L. (2010), "Retrospective statistical power: Fallacies and recommendations," *Newborn & Infant Nursing Reviews*, 10, 55-59.
- 146 Wasserstein, R. L. and Lazar, N. A. (2016), "The ASA's statement on p values: Context, process, and purpose," *The American Statistician*, 70, 129-133.
- 147 Webber, R. A., Ference, T. P., Fox, W. M., Miles, R. E., and Porter, L. W. (1970), "Behavioral science and management: Why the troubled marriage?" In Atchison, T. J. and Ghorpade J. V. (eds.) (1971), *Academy of Management Proceedings: Annual Meeting*, 377-395.
- 148 Webster, J. and Starbuck, W. H. (1988), "Theory building in industrial and organizational psychology." In Cooper, Cary L. and Robertson, Ivan T. (eds.) (1988), *International Review of Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 93-138. New York, Wiley.
- 149 Wilkinson, L. and the Task Force on Statistical Inference. (1999), "Statistical methods in psychology journals: Guidelines and explanations." *American Psychologist* 54[8], 594-604.
- 150 Wright, M. and Armstrong, J. S. (2008), "The ombudsman: Verification of citations: Faulty Towers of knowledge?," *Interfaces*, 38, 125-139.
- 151 Young, C. (2017), "'See for yourself:' the pleasures and sorrows of transparency in social science research." Bethesda, MD, Paper presented to the American Statistical Association Symposium on Statistical Inference, October 17.
- 152 Ziliak, S. T. and McCloskey, D. N. (2008), *The cult of statistical significance: how the standard error costs us jobs, justice, and lives*, University of Michigan Press.