Mentoring for Success: Annual Appraisals, Peer Reviews, P&T

25 October 2023

Matt Kinservik
Vice Provost for Faculty Affairs
Agenda

• Survey Faculty Review Policies
• Q&A
• Facilitated Discussion at Tables
Three Formal Evaluation Processes for Faculty at UD

• Annual Appraisal and Planning Process
• Peer Review/Contract Renewal
• Promotion & Tenure Review
TT/CT

• These review processes apply to all Tenure Track and Continuing Track faculty members.
• They are described in the Faculty Handbook and the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA).
• They are supplemented by department-specific policies.
Each Evaluation is also an Opportunity for Mentorship

- Annual Appraisal and Planning Process
- Peer Review/Contract Renewal
- Promotion & Tenure Review
These Processes are Separate, but Related

• Annual Appraisal gives annual feedback.
• That feedback should prepare you for your Peer Reviews.
• The Peer Reviews are meant to advise you on your progress toward promotion.
Appraisal and Planning Process

- Described in Article 12.6 of the CBA
- https://www.udel.edu/content/dam/udellImages/human-resources/EmployeeRelations/cbas/AAUP.pdf
- Also described in the Faculty Handbook (4.3.5)
- https://facultysenate.udel.edu/faculty-handbook/
Goals of the Appraisal and Planning Process (Handbook)

• Provide the faculty member and the chair with an opportunity for personal review
• Provide an accurate means of planning and evaluation of a faculty member's professional growth and development
• Provide each faculty member and the University with timely and documented information concerning the faculty member's achievements and goals
Goals of the Appraisal and Planning Process (CBA)

• To assess performance based on assigned workload
• To award merit pay
Departmental Documents

• Merit Metric Document
• Workload Policy
• P&T Policy
• Find them all here:
  https://provost.udel.edu/faculty/faculty-affairs/college-department-resources/
Annual Appraisal and Planning: the Form

• Faculty member submits evidence of achievement through an online form in January/February.
• This evidence includes narrative statements and evidential material related to research, teaching, and service from the past 12 months (not academic year).
• Department Chair conducts the appraisal at the start of the Spring term.
• Chair reviews material and assigns a score of 1-9 in each category.
Annual Appraisal and Planning: the Meeting

- Every faculty member is entitled to meet with the chair to discuss the appraisal and planning process.
- This meeting is especially important for new faculty.
- It’s your chance to understand the review and rating.
- Just as important (if not more), it’s your chance to discuss your workload for the coming academic year and set reasonable goals to keep you on track to advance to your next contract/rank.
CBA Article 11: Faculty Workload

• Assigning workload is a key part of the chair’s responsibilities.

• 11.9 says that a workload plan should be developed in consultation with each faculty member and the process should be pursued “harmoniously.”

• 11.9 also says that the chair “retains the final right to determine the workload plan.”
Handbook 4.4.5: Statement on Workload

Workload shall be assigned with the expectation that the faculty member will have the opportunity to meet the criteria for satisfactory peer review, contract renewal, and promotion and/or tenure. An individual’s assigned workload during the review period shall be considered in the promotion and/or tenure and peer review process in a manner consistent with the approved promotion and/or tenure and peer review criteria written by each department. Candidates for promotion and/or tenure are required to report their assigned workload as part of their dossier so that all reviewers—including external reviewers—have a clear sense of their workload in the various areas of their effort and can judge their achievements fairly.
Faculty Workload Takeaways

• Article 11.4 of the CBA says, “An individual's workload shall be assigned with the expectation that the faculty member will have the opportunity to meet the criteria for promotion and satisfactory peer review.”

• When assigning workload in the annual appraisal and planning process, a chair must consider both the needs of the unit and the needs of the individual faculty member.

• Assignment of workload must never present a barrier to a faculty member meeting expectations for successful peer review, promotion, and the award of tenure.

• *Understanding workload is key to judging achievement relative to opportunity.*
Peer Review/Contract Renewal

• Also found in the Handbook in 4.3.5
• There you will find a description of the process, but an insufficient explanation of why it’s important or what, exactly, it’s meant to achieve.
Peer Review Goals

• The goal is to evaluate performance by means of a thorough review by a committee of your peers (colleagues in your department).
• To recommend (or not) the renewal of your contract.
• To offer feedback to keep you on track to advance to your next contract/rank.
Peer Review Process

• The faculty member prepares a dossier for the committee to review.
• The committee evaluates the dossier and makes a recommendation on contract renewal to the chair.
• Chair conducts an independent review and makes a recommendation to the dean.
• Dean makes the contract renewal decision.
Schedule of Peer Reviews: TT Faculty

• 2- and 4-year reviews (dean makes the final decision on contract renewal)

• Post-tenure peer reviews are done on an occasional basis for Associate Professors (every 3-5 years) and Full Professors (every 5-7 years).
Schedule of Peer Reviews: CT Faculty

• 2- and 4-year reviews (dean makes the final decision on contract renewal)
• 6- and 13-year reviews (provost makes the final decision on contract renewal)
• 8-year review omits the peer committee and involved only the chair and dean.
• Every 5 years after year 13
Promotion & Tenure Policies

• The university’s P&T policy is found in section 4.4 of the Faculty Handbook.
• Each college has a P&T policy that must align with the university policy.
• Each department has a P&T policy that must align with the college and university policies.
Promotion & Tenure Process

• Begins with a candidate declaring the intention to seek promotion no later than April 30.
• Evidential materials are sent to external reviewers in the summer.
• Candidate submits complete dossier by September 1.
• Ends with Provost’s decision on March 15.
Promotion & Tenure Process: Levels of Review

- Department Committee
- Chair
- College Committee
- Dean
- University Committee
- Provost
Promotion & Tenure Process: Candidate’s Rights

- Candidates are provided with a copy of the review letter at every stage.
- Candidates can add new evidential material to the dossier at any or every stage.
- Candidates can appeal the recommendation at any or every stage.
Recent Changes to the University P&T Policy

- Statement on Workload (4.4.5)
- Revised Guidance on Evidence (4.4.11)
- Expedited Tenure Review (4.4.17)
- COVID-19 Policies (4.4.18)
COVID-19 Contract & Tenure Clock Extension

• 4.4.18 of the Faculty Handbook
• Covers all TT and CT faculty employed in AY 2019-2020 and 2020-2021.
• Automatically extends the contract/peer review clock by one year.
• Faculty may opt out before their next scheduled peer review (one-time decision).
• Extensions go beyond AY 2020-2021 because it covers review periods that include the COVID-impacted years, but extend past them.
COVID-19 Evidential Materials, Teaching

- 4.4.18 of the Faculty Handbook
- Student course feedback, solicited according to normal departmental practices in Spring 2020 through Summer 2021, may be included in future faculty evaluations and future peer reviews only at the discretion of the faculty member. Notwithstanding this provision, faculty members must provide evidence of quality teaching for promotion and tenure applications and peer reviews.
COVID Impact Statements

• 4.4.18 of the Faculty Handbook
• Through the 2028-2029 academic year, all faculty members are required to include a “COVID Impact Statement” in their dossiers for peer reviews and promotion and/or tenure reviews. The purpose of the statement is to provide reviewers the information they need to perform a fair, contextual review; faculty members should not feel compelled to divulge personal information that they would prefer to keep private.
• The COVID Impact Statement is separate from the required workload statement and the conventional candidate statement. Candidates are encouraged to describe both negative and positive impacts they have experienced as a result of the pandemic. The statement should identify impacts that help reviewers to understand how COVID-19 influenced their work, both in terms of the impacts on their workload, as well as unexpected opportunities and challenges.
• If a candidate believes that there was no discernible impact, the candidate can use the statement to indicate that.
Takeaways

• Each evaluation process is an opportunity for mentoring for future success.
• These processes are separate, but related.
• The individual under review is responsible for presenting evidence of achievement.
• All faculty members must know the relevant department, college, and university policies.
UD ACHIEVE Programs

Small Group Mentoring
semester-long, weekly meetings with peer mentors

Write-on-Site
weekly writing/co-work sessions with peers

Work-life Balance
semester and weekly planning sessions

Promotion & Appraisal
semester-long courses with monthly meetings and peer reviews

Institutional Membership to National Center for Faculty Development & Diversity (NCFDD)
UD ADVANCE Programming Highlights

Faculty Recruitment Workshops:
• Ongoing Fall 2023

Promotion & Tenure Sessions
• 11/15/23: Strategic Approaches to P&T 1
• 2/14/2024: Navigating Faculty Evaluation
• 3/6/2024: Strategic Approaches to P&T 2
• 3/11/2024: Advancing to Full Professor

Training for P&T Committees:
• Ongoing, Spring 2024

For Department Chairs. Conducting Effective Faculty Evaluation
• Ongoing, Spring 2024

Information & Registration:
- visit sites.udel.edu/advance
- or scan QR code.