Algebraically Defined Graphs and Generalized Quadrangles

Vladislav Taranchuk
(joint work with Felix Lazebnik)

29th British Combinatorial Conference

July 11, 2022

Introduction

Definition

A **simple graph** $\Gamma = \Gamma(V, E)$ is a pair, where V is the set of vertices, and $E \subset \binom{V}{2}$ is the set of edges. We denote the fact that a vertex x is **adjacent** to a vertex y by $x \sim y$. Since Γ is simple, the above definition removes the possibility of multiple edges, directed edges, and loops. In this talk, every graph mentioned will be a simple graph.

Introduction

Definition

A **simple graph** $\Gamma = \Gamma(V, E)$ is a pair, where V is the set of vertices, and $E \subset \binom{V}{2}$ is the set of edges. We denote the fact that a vertex x is **adjacent** to a vertex y by $x \sim y$. Since Γ is simple, the above definition removes the possibility of multiple edges, directed edges, and loops. In this talk, every graph mentioned will be a simple graph.

Definition

Let Γ be a graph. An **automorphism** of Γ is a function $\phi : V \to V$ such that ϕ is a bijection and $\phi(x) \sim \phi(y)$ iff $x \sim y$.

Introduction

Definition

A **simple graph** $\Gamma = \Gamma(V, E)$ is a pair, where V is the set of vertices, and $E \subset \binom{V}{2}$ is the set of edges. We denote the fact that a vertex x is **adjacent** to a vertex y by $x \sim y$. Since Γ is simple, the above definition removes the possibility of multiple edges, directed edges, and loops. In this talk, every graph mentioned will be a simple graph.

Definition

Let Γ be a graph. An **automorphism** of Γ is a function $\phi : V \to V$ such that ϕ is a bijection and $\phi(x) \sim \phi(y)$ iff $x \sim y$.

Definition

Let Γ be a graph containing at least one cycle. The **girth** of Γ is the length of the shortest cycle in Γ.

Introduction

Definition (Algebraically Defined Graphs)

Let $P = L = \mathbb{F}_q^m$ be two copies of the m-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $q = p^e$. Call the set P points and L lines, with the distinction in notation by $(a) \in P$ and $[a] \in L$. Define $\Gamma_q = \Gamma_q(f_2, f_3, \ldots, f_m)$ to be the bipartite graph with parts P and L and with edge relation defined between them as follows: If $(p) = (p_1, \ldots, p_m) \in P$ and $[\ell] = [\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m]$, then $(p) \sim [l]$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_2 + p_2 &= f_2(\ell_1, p_1) \\
\ell_3 + p_3 &= f_3(\ell_1, p_1, \ell_2, p_2) \\
&\vdots \\
\ell_m + p_m &= f_m(\ell_1, p_1, \ldots, \ell_{m-1}, p_{m-1})
\end{aligned}$$

Properties of algebraically defined graphs

Some general facts about algebraically defined graphs are as follows. Let $\Gamma_q = \Gamma_q(f_2, f_3, \ldots, f_m)$:

Properties of algebraically defined graphs

Some general facts about algebraically defined graphs are as follows. Let $\Gamma_q = \Gamma_q(f_2, f_3, \ldots, f_m)$:

- Each point (or line) in Γ_q has exactly one neighbor whose first coordinate is x, for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Properties of algebraically defined graphs

Some general facts about algebraically defined graphs are as follows. Let $\Gamma_q = \Gamma_q(f_2, f_3, \ldots, f_m)$:

- Each point (or line) in Γ_q has exactly one neighbor whose first coordinate is x, for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Fix a line $\ell = [\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_m]$ and choose any $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ setting $p_1 = x$, then:

Properties of algebraically defined graphs

Some general facts about algebraically defined graphs are as follows. Let $\Gamma_q = \Gamma_q(f_2, f_3, \dots, f_m)$:

- Each point (or line) in Γ_q has exactly one neighbor whose first coordinate is x, for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Fix a line $\ell = [\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_m]$ and choose any $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ setting $p_1 = x$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_2 + p_2 &= f_2(\ell_1, x) \\
\ell_3 + p_3 &= f_3(\ell_1, p_1, \ell_2, p_2) \\
&\vdots \\
\ell_m + p_m &= f_m(\ell_1, p_1, \dots, \ell_{m-1}, p_{m-1})
\end{aligned}$$

Properties of algebraically defined graphs

Some general facts about algebraically defined graphs are as follows. Let $\Gamma_q = \Gamma_q(f_2, f_3, \ldots, f_m)$:

- Each point (or line) in Γ_q has exactly one neighbor whose first coordinate is x, for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Fix a line $\ell = [\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_m]$ and choose any $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ setting $p_1 = x$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_2 + p_2 &= f_2(\ell_1, x) \\ \ell_3 + p_3 &= f_3(\ell_1, x, \ell_2, p_2) \\ &\vdots \\ \ell_m + p_m &= f_m(\ell_1, p_1, \ldots, \ell_{m-1}, p_{m-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Properties of algebraically defined graphs

Some general facts about algebraically defined graphs are as follows. Let $\Gamma_q = \Gamma_q(f_2, f_3, \ldots, f_m)$:

- Each point (or line) in Γ_q has exactly one neighbor whose first coordinate is x, for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Fix a line $\ell = [\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_m]$ and choose any $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ setting $p_1 = x$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_2 + p_2 &= f_2(\ell_1, x) \\
\ell_3 + p_3 &= f_3(\ell_1, x, \ell_2, p_2) \\
&\vdots \\
\ell_m + p_m &= f_m(\ell_1, p_1, \ldots, \ell_{m-1}, p_{m-1})
\end{aligned}$$

Properties of algebraically defined graphs

Some general facts about algebraically defined graphs are as follows. Let $\Gamma_q = \Gamma_q(f_2, f_3, \ldots, f_m)$:

- Each point (or line) in Γ_q has exactly one neighbor whose first coordinate is x, for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Fix a line $\ell = [\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_m]$ and choose any $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ setting $p_1 = x$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_2 + p_2 &= f_2(\ell_1, x) \\ \ell_3 + p_3 &= f_3(\ell_1, x, \ell_2, p_2) \\ &\vdots \\ \ell_m + p_m &= f_m(\ell_1, x, \ldots, \ell_{m-1}, p_{m-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Properties of algebraically defined graphs

Some general facts about algebraically defined graphs are as follows. Let $\Gamma_q = \Gamma_q(f_2, f_3, \dots, f_m)$:

- Each point (or line) in Γ_q has exactly one neighbor whose first coordinate is x, for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Fix a line $\ell = [\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_m]$ and choose any $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ setting $p_1 = x$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_2 + p_2 &= f_2(\ell_1, x) \\
\ell_3 + p_3 &= f_3(\ell_1, x, \ell_2, p_2) \\
&\vdots \\
\ell_m + p_m &= f_m(\ell_1, x, \dots, \ell_{m-1}, p_{m-1})
\end{aligned}$$

So $[\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_m] \sim (x, p_2, \dots, p_m)$

Properties of algebraically defined graphs

- Γ_q is q-regular with $|V| = n = 2q^m$ and

$$|E| = q^{m+1} = \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+1}{m}}$$

Properties of algebraically defined graphs

- Γ_q is q-regular with $|V| = n = 2q^m$ and

$$|E| = q^{m+1} = \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+1}{m}}$$

- For each $b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, there exists an automorphism $t_b \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_q)$ given by

$$t_b[\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_m] = [\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_m + b]$$
$$t_b(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m) = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m - b).$$

Why are these graphs interesting?

Let $\text{ex}(n, F)$ denote the largest number of edges in n-vertex graph that does not contain copy of F as a subgraph.

Why are these graphs interesting?

Let $\mathrm{ex}(n, F)$ denote the largest number of edges in n-vertex graph that does not contain copy of F as a subgraph.

- It has been shown that $\mathrm{ex}(n, C_{2k}) \leq c_k n^{1+1/k}$ for a constant dependent on k. Bondy and Simonovits showed $c_k = 100k$ works, and over time this constant has been improved several times, first by Verstraëte, then Pikhurko, and most recently by Bukh and Jiang who showed $c_k = 80\sqrt{k \log k}$.

Why are these graphs interesting?

Let $\text{ex}(n, F)$ denote the largest number of edges in n-vertex graph that does not contain copy of F as a subgraph.

- It has been shown that $\text{ex}(n, C_{2k}) \leq c_k n^{1+1/k}$ for a constant dependent on k. Bondy and Simonovits showed $c_k = 100k$ works, and over time this constant has been improved several times, first by Verstraëte, then Pikhurko, and most recently by Bukh and Jiang who showed $c_k = 80\sqrt{k \log k}$.
- Lazebnik, Ustimenko, and Woldar used algebraically defined graphs to show that for infinitely many n, $c'_k n^{1+2/(3k+3+\epsilon)} \leq \text{ex}(n, C_{2k})$ where $\epsilon = 1$ when k is odd and $\epsilon = 0$ otherwise.

Why are these graphs interesting?

Algebraically defined graphs have a strong connection to finite geometry.

Why are these graphs interesting?

Algebraically defined graphs have a strong connection to finite geometry.

Definition

A **generalized** n**-gon** of order $q \geq 1$ is a $(q+1)$-regular bipartite graph with diameter $n \geq 2$ and girth $2n$.

- $q = 1$, $n \geq 2$: C_{2n}
- $n = 2$, $q \geq 1$: $K_{(q+1),(q+1)}$

Why are these graphs interesting?

Algebraically defined graphs have a strong connection to finite geometry.

Definition

A **generalized** *n*-**gon** of order $q \geq 1$ is a $(q+1)$-regular bipartite graph with diameter $n \geq 2$ and girth $2n$.

- $q = 1$, $n \geq 2$: C_{2n}
- $n = 2$, $q \geq 1$: $K_{(q+1),(q+1)}$

Theorem (Tits 1959)

For $n = 3, 4, 6$, there exists a generalized n-gon of order q for every prime power q.

Why are these graphs interesting?

Algebraically defined graphs have a strong connection to finite geometry.

Definition

A **generalized** n**-gon** of order $q \geq 1$ is a $(q+1)$-regular bipartite graph with diameter $n \geq 2$ and girth $2n$.

- $q = 1,\ n \geq 2$: C_{2n}
- $n = 2,\ q \geq 1$: $K_{(q+1),(q+1)}$

Theorem (Tits 1959)

For $n = 3, 4, 6$, there exists a generalized n-gon of order q for every prime power q.

Theorem (Feit and Higman 1964)

There do no exist any generalized n-gons of any order q when $n \notin \{2, 3, 4, 6, 8\}$.

ADG's and Projective Planes

A generalized 3-gon is called a **projective plane**. Let $f_2 = f_2(p_1, \ell_1)$ and consider $\Gamma_q = \Gamma_q(f_2)$. Recall $(p_1, p_2) \sim [\ell_1, \ell_2]$ iff $p_2 + \ell_2 = f_2(p_1, \ell_1)$. If Γ_q has girth 6, there is a unique way to obtain a projective plane from Γ_q.

ADG's and Projective Planes

A generalized 3-gon is called a **projective plane**. Let $f_2 = f_2(p_1, \ell_1)$ and consider $\Gamma_q = \Gamma_q(f_2)$. Recall $(p_1, p_2) \sim [\ell_1, \ell_2]$ iff $p_2 + \ell_2 = f_2(p_1, \ell_1)$. If Γ_q has girth 6, there is a unique way to obtain a projective plane from Γ_q.

- The classical projective plane can be obtained using $f_2(p_1, \ell_1) = p_1 \ell_1$.

ADG's and Projective Planes

A generalized 3-gon is called a **projective plane**. Let $f_2 = f_2(p_1, \ell_1)$ and consider $\Gamma_q = \Gamma_q(f_2)$. Recall $(p_1, p_2) \sim [\ell_1, \ell_2]$ iff $p_2 + \ell_2 = f_2(p_1, \ell_1)$. If Γ_q has girth 6, there is a unique way to obtain a projective plane from Γ_q.

- The classical projective plane can be obtained using $f_2(p_1, \ell_1) = p_1\ell_1$.
- All translation planes can be represented this way by using $f_2(p_1, \ell_1) = p_1 \star \ell_1$ where $\star$ is the multiplication used in any particular quasifield. Translation planes account for many non-isomorphic classes of projective planes.

ADG's and Projective Planes

A generalized 3-gon is called a **projective plane**. Let $f_2 = f_2(p_1, \ell_1)$ and consider $\Gamma_q = \Gamma_q(f_2)$. Recall $(p_1, p_2) \sim [\ell_1, \ell_2]$ iff $p_2 + \ell_2 = f_2(p_1, \ell_1)$. If Γ_q has girth 6, there is a unique way to obtain a projective plane from Γ_q.

- The classical projective plane can be obtained using $f_2(p_1, \ell_1) = p_1\ell_1$.
- All translation planes can be represented this way by using $f_2(p_1, \ell_1) = p_1 \star \ell_1$ where $\star$ is the multiplication used in any particular quasifield. Translation planes account for many non-isomorphic classes of projective planes.

Question

Can we construct generalized quadrangles this way too?

ADG's and Generalized Quadrangles

Yes we can! Algebraically defined graphs of the form $\Gamma_q(f_2, f_3)$ give a method for constructing a generalized quadrangle (4-gon).

ADG's and Generalized Quadrangles

Yes we can! Algebraically defined graphs of the form $\Gamma_q(f_2, f_3)$ give a method for constructing a generalized quadrangle (4-gon).

- For all prime powers q, one can attach a tree to $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_2)$ to obtain the classical generalized quadrangle.

ADG's and Generalized Quadrangles

Yes we can! Algebraically defined graphs of the form $\Gamma_q(f_2, f_3)$ give a method for constructing a generalized quadrangle (4-gon).

- For all prime powers q, one can attach a tree to $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_2)$ to obtain the classical generalized quadrangle.

Question

Do there exist generalized quadrangles of odd order q that are not isomorphic to the classical generalized quadrangle?

ADG's and Generalized Quadrangles

Yes we can! Algebraically defined graphs of the form $\Gamma_q(f_2, f_3)$ give a method for constructing a generalized quadrangle (4-gon).

- For all prime powers q, one can attach a tree to $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_2)$ to obtain the classical generalized quadrangle.

Question

Do there exist generalized quadrangles of odd order q that are not isomorphic to the classical generalized quadrangle?

- No other (non-isomorphic) generalized quadrangles of odd order q are known.

ADG's and Generalized Quadrangles

Yes we can! Algebraically defined graphs of the form $\Gamma_q(f_2, f_3)$ give a method for constructing a generalized quadrangle (4-gon).

- For all prime powers q, one can attach a tree to $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_2)$ to obtain the classical generalized quadrangle.

Question

Do there exist generalized quadrangles of odd order q that are not isomorphic to the classical generalized quadrangle?

- No other (non-isomorphic) generalized quadrangles of odd order q are known.
- Algebraically defined graphs provide one potential method for answering this question. In large part, this question motivated our research.

Results and Methods

So far, we know:

Results and Methods

So far, we know:

- For all prime powers q, $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_2) \cong \Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_1^2)$.

Results and Methods

So far, we know:

- For all prime powers q, $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_2) \cong \Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_1^2)$.
- Many graphs of the form $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, f_3(p_1, \ell_1))$ have been shown to either be isomorphic to $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_2)$ or have girth less than 8, [1, 3].

Results and Methods

So far, we know:

- For all prime powers q, $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_2) \cong \Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_1^2)$.
- Many graphs of the form $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, f_3(p_1, \ell_1))$ have been shown to either be isomorphic to $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_2)$ or have girth less than 8, [1, 3].
- There are no known graphs, $\Gamma_q(f_2, f_3)$ with girth 8 that are not isomorphic to $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_1^2)$.

Results and Methods

So far, we know:

- For all prime powers q, $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_2) \cong \Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_1^2)$.
- Many graphs of the form $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, f_3(p_1, \ell_1))$ have been shown to either be isomorphic to $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_2)$ or have girth less than 8, [1, 3].
- There are no known graphs, $\Gamma_q(f_2, f_3)$ with girth 8 that are not isomorphic to $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_1^2)$.

One way to understand if there is still much viability in this approach is to answer the following question.

Results and Methods

So far, we know:

- For all prime powers q, $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_2) \cong \Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_1^2)$.
- Many graphs of the form $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, f_3(p_1, \ell_1))$ have been shown to either be isomorphic to $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_2)$ or have girth less than 8, [1, 3].
- There are no known graphs, $\Gamma_q(f_2, f_3)$ with girth 8 that are not isomorphic to $\Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_1^2)$.

One way to understand if there is still much viability in this approach is to answer the following question.

Question

Given $\Gamma_q(f_2, f_3)$ where $f_2 = f_2(p_1, \ell_1)$ and $f_3(p_1, \ell_1, p_2, \ell_2)$, does there exist a function $f_3' = f_3'(p_1, \ell_1)$ so that $\Gamma_q(f_2, f_3) \cong \Gamma_q(f_2, f_3')$?

Results and methods

Observe that for any graph of the form $\Gamma_q(f_2(p_1, \ell_1), f_3(p_1, \ell_1))$.
Observe that since

$$p_2 + \ell_2 = f_2(p_1, \ell_1)$$
$$p_3 + \ell_3 = f_3(p_1, \ell_1)$$

Results and methods

Observe that for any graph of the form $\Gamma_q(f_2(p_1,\ell_1), f_3(p_1,\ell_1))$. Observe that since

$$p_2 + \ell_2 = f_2(p_1,\ell_1)$$
$$p_3 + \ell_3 = f_3(p_1,\ell_1)$$

then for all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the function $t_{a,b}$ where

$$t_{a,b}[\ell_1,\ell_2,\ell_3] = [\ell_1, \ell_2 + a, \ell_3 + b]$$
$$t_{a,b}(p_1,p_2,p_3) = (p_1, p_2 - a, p_3 - b)$$

is an automorphism of Γ_q. Meaning, $q^2 \leq |\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_q)|$.

Results and methods

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_1 p_2(p_1 + p_2 + p_1 p_2))$. This family of graphs appeared in the thesis of Nassau 2021.

Results and methods

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_1 p_2(p_1 + p_2 + p_1 p_2))$. This family of graphs appeared in the thesis of Nassau 2021. It was checked via computer that for all odd prime powers $q = p^e < 43$, $|Aut(\Gamma)| = qe$, and hence, there do not exist functions f_2, f_3 of just p_1 and ℓ_1 such that $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_q(f_2, f_3)$ for these values of q.

Results and methods

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_1 p_2(p_1 + p_2 + p_1 p_2))$. This family of graphs appeared in the thesis of Nassau 2021. It was checked via computer that for all odd prime powers $q = p^e < 43$, $|Aut(\Gamma)| = qe$, and hence, there do not exist functions f_2, f_3 of just p_1 and ℓ_1 such that $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_q(f_2, f_3)$ for these values of q.

Theorem (Lazebnik and T. 2022)

Let p be an odd prime with $p \equiv 1 (mod\, 3)$. If Γ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_p$, then $|Aut(\Gamma)| = p$.

Results and methods

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_q(p_1\ell_1, p_1\ell_1 p_2(p_1 + p_2 + p_1 p_2))$. This family of graphs appeared in the thesis of Nassau 2021. It was checked via computer that for all odd prime powers $q = p^e < 43$, $|Aut(\Gamma)| = qe$, and hence, there do not exist functions f_2, f_3 of just p_1 and ℓ_1 such that $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_q(f_2, f_3)$ for these values of q.

Theorem (Lazebnik and T. 2022)

Let p be an odd prime with $p \equiv 1 (mod\, 3)$. If Γ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_p$, then $|Aut(\Gamma)| = p$.

Corollary (Lazebnik and T. 2022)

Let p be an odd prime with $p \equiv 1 (\; mod\, 3)$. Let $f_2 = f_2(p_1, \ell_1)$ and $f_3 = f_3(p_1, \ell_1)$ be functions of p_1 and ℓ_1. Then $\Gamma_q(f_2, f_3) \ncong \Gamma$.

References

1. V. Dmytrenko, F. Lazebnik, and J. Williford, *On monomial graphs of girth eight*, Finite Fields and Their Applications, 13, (2007), 828–842.
2. X.-D. Hou, S. Lappano, F. Lazebnik, *Proof of a conjecture on monomial graphs*, Finite Fields and Their Applications, Volume 43 (2017), pp. 42–68. and its Applications
3. F. Lazebnik, S. Sun, and Y.Wang, *Some families of graphs, hypergraphs and digraphs defined by systems of equations: a survey*, Lecture Notes of Seminario Interdisciplinare di Matematica , Vol. 14 (2017), pp. 105–142.
4. F. Lazebnik and V. Taranchuk, *On a new family of algebraically defined graphs with small automorphism group*, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 29(1)(2022), P1.43
5. B. Nassau, PhD Thesis, University of Delaware

Questions?

Thanks!