

6 Minute Walk Test for Adults with Lower-Limb Amputations

<u>Description</u>: The 6 Minute Walk Test (6MWT) is used to assess aerobic capacity¹ and walking function² in various populations. In patients with lower-limb amputations it can be used to assist with functional level classification³ and prediction of prosthesis nonuse at 1 year follow-up.⁴

<u>Equipment</u>: Stopwatch, rolling tape measure, long hallway (≥30m) or loop walkway, vital sign equipment

<u>Patient Instructions</u>: "This test assesses your walking capacity. Cover as much ground as possible in 6 minutes. You may rest at any point and sit if absolutely necessary, but the clock will not stop, so please start walking again as soon as you are able. To avoid limiting your speed, we will refrain from conversation. I will give you time updates. [I will walk with you (if loop walkway or safety concerns)]. Begin."

<u>Clinician Instructions</u>⁵: Assess vital signs pre- and post-6MWT. Time the subject for 6 minutes, then say "stop." Measure the distance walked. If repeating the test, use the same course as the baseline test as the number of turns may affect the distance walked. Patient may use assistive device if needed.

Do not perform if: systolic BP >180mmHg, diastolic BP>100mmHg, resting heart rate >120bpm OR SpO₂ <85%. 5, 11

Procedure^{5, 11}:

| <u>Do</u> | Do NOT | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Walk behind the patient if using a loop hallway. | Pace the patient if using a loop walkway (i.e., walk on their side). | | |
| Provide standardized encouragement every 30 seconds (i.e. "you're doing great" or "you're doing fine" or "keep going") and notify patient of time remaining every minute (i.e. "5 min remaining"). | Converse with the patient other than to give standard encouragement, give time checkpoints, and to check symptom status. | | |
| Utilize a standard tone of voice | Use an excited tone as to "cheer" the patient on. | | |
| Roll measurement wheel along the patient's path & stop where he/she stops. | Roll the measurement wheel too close to the patient in case they stop suddenly. | | |
| Monitor pulse oximetry during test. | Allow participant to run or jog. | | |

STOP testing based on the following criteria:

- 1. Angina symptoms (chest pain or tightness)
- 2. Any of the following symptoms:
 - Light-headedness
 - Confusion
 - Ataxia, staggering unsteadiness
 - Pallor
 - Cyanosis
 - Nausea
 - Marked dyspnea
 - Unusual fatigue
 - Signs of peripheral circulatory insufficiency
 - Claudication or other significant pain
 - Facial expressions signifying distress
- 3. Abnormal cardiac responses
 - Systolic BP drops > 10 mmHg
 - Systolic BP rises to > 250 mmHg
 - Diastolic BP rises to > 120 mmHg
 - Heart rate drops more than 15 bpm (given patient was walking the last minutes of the test)
 - SpO₂ drops to <80%¹¹

Predictive Ability: ≤191m predictive of prosthesis nonuse at 1 year post-discharge from rehab.⁴
Discriminant Validity^{3,7}

Test-Retest Reliability: ICC (95% CI): .97 (.95-.99)8

Standard Error of Measurement8: 20 m

Minimal Detectable Change (at 90% CI) 8: 45 m

| Longer-Term Prosthetic Users Reference Values (m) ³ | | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| K-Level | Mean±SD | Range | | | | |
| K0-1 (n=18) | 50±30 | 4-96 | | | | |
| K2 (n=43) | 190±111 | 16-480 | | | | |
| K3 (n=67) | 299±102 | 48-475 | | | | |
| K4 (n=39) | 419±86 | 264-624 | | | | |

| Longer-Term Unilateral Prosthesis Users Reference Values (m) ⁹ | | | |
|--|------------------|--|--|
| K-level | mean±SD (95% CI) | | |
| K3 (n=35; age: 60±12) | 311±18 (273-349) | | |
| K4 (n=20; age: 46±12) | 427±26 (373-481) | | |

| Outpatient Amputee Clinic Data | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Reference Values (m) ⁷ | | | | | | |
| K-level | mean±SD | | | | | |
| K1-2 (n=30) | 271±96 | | | | | |
| K3 (n=34) | 408±82 | | | | | |
| K4 (n=22) | 540±79 | | | | | |
| Amputation Cause | | | | | | |
| Trauma/Congenital (n=45) | 457±120 | | | | | |
| Dysvascular (n=21) | 345±104 | | | | | |
| Diabetes (n=9) | 256±122 | | | | | |
| Infection (n=6) | 261±76 | | | | | |
| Cancer (n=5) | 445±90 | | | | | |
| Sex | | | | | | |
| Male (n=66) | 400±43 | | | | | |
| Female (n=20) | 373±106 | | | | | |
| Age | | | | | | |
| < 50 years (n=23) | 514±113 | | | | | |
| ≥ 50 years (n=63) | 351±116 | | | | | |
| Amputation Level | | | | | | |
| Syme (n=4) | 503±100 | | | | | |
| Transtibial (n=63) | 383±136 | | | | | |
| Knee Disarticulate (n=3) | 441±98 | | | | | |
| Transfemoral (n=13) | 343±82 | | | | | |
| Bilateral Transtibial (n=3) | 451±111 | | | | | |

| Average Distance Walked in Meters per Age Group for Able-Bodied, Community-Dwelling Older Adults 10 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| Age | 60-64 years | 65-79 years | 70-74 years | 75-79 years | 80-84 years | 85-89 years | 90-94 years | |
| Female | 474-628 | 427-611 | 411-591 | 361-569 | 315-529 | 272-508 | 211-441 | |
| Male | 532-700 | 483-671 | 467-653 | 392-622 | 369-589 | 306-566 | 234-504 | |

¹ Rikil R, Jones C. The reliability and validity of a 6-minute walk test as a measure of physical endurance in older adults. *J Aging Phys Act.* 1998;6:363-375. ²Harada ND, et al. Mobility-related function in older adults: assessment with a 6-minute walk test. *Arch Phys Med Rehabl.* 1002;99;80:837-841. ²Gailey RS, et al. The Amputee Mobility Predictor: an instrument to assess determinants of the lower-limb amputes of salidity to ambulate. *Arch Phys Med Rehabl.* 1002;85:613-627. ²Roffman Ct, et al. Locomotor performance during rehabilitation of people with lower limb amputation and prosthetic nonuse 12 months after discharge. *Phys Ther.* 2016;96:985-994. ²American Thoracic Society. ATS statement: guidelines for the six-minute walk test. 2002. Available at: https://www.thoracic.org/statements/resources/plet/sixminute.pdf. ⁵Orendurff MS, et al. The kinematics and kinetics of turning: limb asymmetries associated with walking a circular path. *Gait Posture.* 2006;23:106-111. ²Reid L, et al. Going places: does the two-minute walk test predict the six-minute walk test in lower extremity amputees? *J Rehabil Med.* 2015;47:256-261. ³Rensik L, et al. Reliability

2006;23:106-111. 'Reid L, et al. Going places: does the two-minute walk test predict the six-minute walk test in lower extremity amputees? J Rehabil Med. 2015;47:256-261. 'Resnik L, et al. Reliability of outcome measures for people with lower-limb amputations: distinguishing true change from statistical error. Phys Ther. 2011;91:555-565. 'Sions JM, et al. Differences in physical performance measures among patients with unilateral lower-limb amputations classified as functional level K3 versus K4. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2018;99:1333-1341. 'Bikli RJ, lones C. Functional fitness normative scores for comm 1999;7:162-181. 'Holland AE, et al. An official European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society technical standard: field walking tests in chronic respiratory disease. Eur Respir J. 2014;44:1428-1446.

^{*}Notify physician if test is terminated for above reasons.*