

Modeling Bank Erosion, Mercury Methylation/Demethylation, and Subsequent Receiving Water Impacts

Mercury Workshop

Sponsored by DuPont

At the University of Delaware January 4-5, 2005

J.J. Warwick & R.W.H. Carroll Desert Research Institute, Division of Hydrologic Sciences Reno, NV



Overview

- Site Description
- Modeling Tools
 - * RIVMOD
 - ✤ WASP5
 - MERC4
- Extreme Event
- Calibration
- Verification
- Ongoing Work
- Conclusions
- Recommendations





Collaborators

Tamar Barkay Jean-Claude Bonzongo **Rosemary Carroll** Dan Crawford Jadran Faganeli Ken Heim Mark Hines Milena Horvat Paul Lechler Berry Lyons Jerry Miller Gregor Petkovsek Rudi Rajar David Wayne Dusan Zagar





Funding Sources



NIEHS
NSF
USEPA
NSF
NSF

Carson River



- Modeled domain from USGS gage CCG through Lahontan Reservoir (~110 Km)
- Semi-arid river with peak flows generally occurring in the spring
- Catastrophic floods (e.g., 1997flood) are generated with rare, rain-on-snow events that occur during the winter months
- The meandering river is entrenched with steep sides of complexly structured alluvial fill





WASP5



RIVMOD WASP5 MERC4

Model Augmentation

* RIVMOD

✤ Floodplain flow

WASP

- Real sediment transport capabilities (3 separate particles and colloids)
- ✤ Bank moisture history
- Overbank Deposition
- ✤ MERC4
 - No changes





Minimal Calibration

Bank Erosion

- Evaluate fine sediment and areal erosion estimates
- Adjust 3 parameters
- ✤ Mercury
 - * May 1994 (Med. Flow)
 - June 1995 (High Flow)
 - Adjust 2 parameters



1997 Flood





1997 Flood





Photos by Rhea Williams, USGS 150

Frank a





Geomorphic Survey

 Extensive survey conducted in the spring of 1997 using geomorphic techniques of aerial photography (taken in 1991 and 1997) and floodplain mapping







 River divided into 10 reaches based on valley slope and floodplain width





Modifications to RIVMOD

- 1. Handles a more complex shape
- 2. Computes dynamic width adjustment in which eroded mass updates channel width
- 3. Divided channel approach was applied to the momentum equation



BW4 = Inner floodplain width (ft, m)



Validation of RIVMOD Code Modifications





Modeling Bank Erosion: In-Channel Flows

Assumptions

- The mass erosion rate, MER (Kg/s) is proportional to the shear stress applied to the bank
- *MER* is inversely proportional to the square-root of the channel bottom slope

$$MER = \frac{\psi_{1} p_{s} \gamma_{w} n^{2} D^{2/3} v^{2} L_{s}}{S_{0}^{1/2}}$$

Where *D* is the water depth starting at the vertical face of the channel bank (m), and S_{o} is the bottom slope, *v* is the water velocity (m/s), *n* is Manning's coefficient, and L_{S} is the segment length (m)



Modeling Bank Erosion: <u>Overbank Flows</u>

A second term was added to account for the underlying change of character as the river exceeds bankfull flow (Ervine et al., 2000) such that, when D>h

$$MER_{OB} = \frac{\psi_1 \rho_s \gamma_w n^2 D^{2/3} v^2 L_s}{S_0^{1/2}} + \frac{\psi_2 \rho_s \gamma_w n^2 (D-h) v^2 L_s}{h^{1/3} S_0^{1/2}}$$

Where *h* is the height of the vertical bank face.



Modeling Overbank Deposition

Course Suspended Load

Modified version of Walling and He (1997)

$$R_s^c = \kappa V_s^c C_{main}^c \left(1 - e^{-X_f / \kappa} \right)$$

Washload

WEPP (Foster et al., 1995)

$$R_{s}^{w} = \frac{\overline{\beta}V_{s}^{w}}{q_{f}} \left(G_{main}^{w} - T_{c}\right)$$

$$T_{c} = (\psi_{3} q_{f}^{2} S_{0}^{1.66})$$

Calibration In-Channel Bank Erosion (Ψ_1) and Washload Overbank Deposition (Ψ_3)



Calibration Overbank Bank Erosion (Ψ_2)



Channel Width Increases





Overbank CSS Deposition





Overbank Washload Deposition





Sources of Hg to the Water Column



Inorganic Hg from Bank Erosion





Mercury Speciation



MeHg Bank Concentrations



- ✤ Water content
- Percent MeHg
- Methylation rates
- Non-linear contribution of MeHg from bank erosion?

Inorganic Mercury & MeHg Calibration & Verification



Calibration Hg_{in} Transport: Pre-1997 flood $\lambda_1 = 2,500 \ \mu g/kg$





May 16, 1994 (medium flow $Q = 600 \text{ ft}^3/\text{s}$)



- June 10, 1995 (higher flow $Q = 1,960 \text{ ft}^3/\text{s}$)

June 16, 1994 (low flow $Q = 62 \text{ ft}^3/\text{s}$)

Verification Hg_{in} Transport: 1997 flood and beyond



Verification Hg_{in} Transport: 1997 flood and beyond



Verification using July 23-29, 1997 (low flow Q = 43 ft³/s)





Mercury Rates and Calibration

Location	Methylation		Demethylation		
	K ₂₀ (day ⁻¹)	Q ₁₀	K ₂₀ (day⁻¹)	Q ₁₀	 Range (Km)
Water Column	0	2.03	0	2.03	0 - 115.0
River Bed	0.0041	2.03	0.4483	2.03	0 - 79.25
River Bank	0.0060*	2.03	0.4483	2.03	0 - 79.25
Reservoir Bed	0.0028	2.03	1.2522	2.03	79.25 - 115.0
* calibrated					



Verification MeHg Transport: 1997 flood and beyond





Verification MeHg Transport: 1997 flood and beyond



Ongoing Activities

- Reservoir physical characterization
- Reservoir net settling
- Characterize erosion uncertainty
- Perform Monte Carlo analysis to determine probable range of predicted system behavior





Conclusions

- Simplistic model of bank erosion predicts in-stream sediment concentrations well over a large flow domain and channel widening well over a large spatial domain
- Overbank deposition of Course Suspended Sediment is predicted well without calibration over a large spatial domain
- Overbank deposition of Washload is correctly over-predicted, but this does not validate the approach
- In-stream inorganic and methyl mercury concentrations are predicted well over a large spatial and flow domain

Recommendations



- Determine measures of mercury bioavailability
- Re-define mercury methylation and demethylation kinetics
 - * First-order?
 - Important environmental factors and associated corrections
- Deal with and express impacts from parameter uncertainties