



Oestradiol as a neuromodulator of learning and memory

Lisa R. Taxier , Kellie S. Gross and Karyn M. Frick 

Abstract | Although hormones such as glucocorticoids have been broadly accepted in recent decades as general neuromodulators of memory processes, sex steroid hormones such as the potent oestrogen 17β -oestradiol have been less well recognized by the scientific community in this capacity. The predominance of females in studies of oestradiol and memory and the general (but erroneous) perception that oestrogens are ‘female’ hormones have probably prevented oestradiol from being more widely considered as a key memory modulator in both sexes. Indeed, although considerable evidence supports a crucial role for oestradiol in regulating learning and memory in females, a growing body of literature indicates a similar role in males. This Review discusses the mechanisms of oestradiol signalling and provides an overview of the effects of oestradiol on spatial, object recognition, social and fear memories. Although the primary focus is on data collected in females, effects of oestradiol on memory in males will be discussed, as will sex differences in the molecular mechanisms that regulate oestrogenic modulation of memory, which may have important implications for the development of future cognitive therapeutics.

Retention

Storage of acquired and consolidated information that enables subsequent recall or retrieval of the information.

Ovariectomized

Ovariectomy involves surgical removal of the ovaries to eliminate ovarian hormone cycling. Subjects that have undergone ovariectomy are considered ovariectomized.

Consolidation

Process through which learned information is encoded and stored to form a memory that can be recalled at a later time.

Oestrogens, androgens and progestogens are most commonly associated with their roles in reproduction, despite their involvement in a broad range of physiological and neural functions. One particular realm in which these sex steroid hormones exert wide-ranging effects is that of learning and memory. In particular, considerable effort has been devoted to understanding how 17β -oestradiol (E_2), the most potent and prevalent circulating oestrogen, regulates the function of the hippocampus, a bilateral medial temporal lobe structure with extensive connections to numerous cortical and subcortical brain regions. Although the hippocampus is not the only brain region important for memory, damage to or dysfunction of this structure leads to deficits in the formation and retention of numerous types of memories, including those used for spatial navigation, recognizing objects and conspecifics, and recalling fear-associated contexts. However, the roles of sex steroids in regulating the function of mnemonic brain regions such as the hippocampus have rarely been considered by scientists other than neuroendocrinologists.

In the early 1990s, a series of articles describing the effects of oestrogens on neuronal morphology and plasticity in the hippocampus were paradigm shifting for the field of neuroendocrinology^{1–5}. These publications demonstrated that dendritic spine density on CA1 pyramidal neurons in the hippocampus fluctuates across the reproductive (oestrous) cycle of female rats, and is increased in bilaterally ovariectomized female rats by exogenous E_2 treatment³. Other contemporaneous

work showed that E_2 potentiates hippocampal excitatory synaptic plasticity^{5–7} and protects hippocampal neurons from drug-induced excitotoxicity⁸. Collectively, this body of work unlocked a new research frontier that has broadened understanding of how E_2 regulates hippocampal function to modulate memory. Since their publication, these findings have inspired much research examining the actions of E_2 and other sex steroid hormones in non-reproductive, procognitive brain regions, including the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex (PFC). A subset of this newer research has unveiled very rapid actions of E_2 on molecular and cellular mechanisms in the hippocampus, PFC, amygdala and other regions that facilitate memory-consolidation processes.

Although the myriad effects of oestrogens such as E_2 on hippocampal function have been intensely studied by neuroendocrinologists for nearly three decades, oestrogens have yet to be widely accepted in neuroscience as general neuromodulators of cognitive processes. As oestrogens exert numerous effects in multiple cognitive brain areas in both sexes^{9–13}, elucidating how these hormones regulate memory could result in better mental health outcomes in people of both sexes by identifying potential therapeutic targets for neurodegenerative diseases, mood disorders and other conditions in which memory dysfunction features prominently. Effective treatments for memory loss are sorely lacking, highlighting an urgent need to characterize the neural mechanisms underlying memory formation, including those mediated by oestrogens. Moreover, such information

Department of Psychology,
University of Wisconsin-
Milwaukee, Milwaukee,
WI, USA.

✉e-mail: frickk@uwm.edu

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-020-0362-7>

Box 1 | A role for hippocampally synthesized oestrogens in memory

Although the gonads are a primary source of oestrogens in both sexes, oestrogens are synthesized in numerous tissues, including the brain. Of most relevance to learning and memory, the enzyme aromatase, which converts testosterone into 17β -oestradiol (E_2), is widely expressed in the brain and has been shown to produce E_2 locally in regions such as the hypothalamus and hippocampus^{17,18,200,237,238}. In the hippocampus, neuron-derived de novo E_2 supports multiple aspects of synaptic plasticity, including synaptogenesis and long-term potentiation^{19,204,239,240}. In hippocampal cultures from female rats, pharmacologically blocking de novo E_2 synthesis with the aromatase inhibitor letrozole results in reduced spine density, decreased expression of synaptic proteins and impaired long-term potentiation^{19,204,239}.

Neural E_2 synthesis seems to be regulated by neuronal activity. For example, activation of NMDA receptors in cultured hippocampal neurons or in hippocampal slices increased E_2 synthesis^{200,203}, and exposure to a learning stimulus in ovariectomized mice increased de novo E_2 synthesis in the hippocampus, an effect blocked by letrozole²²⁶. In vivo, systemic letrozole treatment decreased CA1 dendritic spine density and levels of hippocampal synaptic proteins in both ovary-intact and ovariectomized females²⁴⁰, demonstrating that neuron-derived E_2 contributes to hippocampal synaptic plasticity regardless of other sources of the hormone.

The central importance of de novo hippocampal E_2 synthesis in both sexes is illustrated by studies in which letrozole infusion into the dorsal hippocampus of gonadectomized male or female mice impaired consolidation of spatial and object recognition memory^{215,226}. Similarly, hippocampal implants of the aromatase inhibitor 1,4,6-androstatriene-3,17-dione impaired spatial memory in male zebra finches²⁴¹, suggesting that the importance of hippocampal E_2 synthesis is conserved across species. Supporting this conclusion are recent data showing oral letrozole treatment impaired spatial working memory and reduced hippocampal intrinsic excitability in male and female marmosets²²⁸.

Aromatase outside the hippocampus also seems to be important for memory consolidation, as infusion of letrozole into the perirhinal cortex of gonad-intact male mice impaired short-term and long-term memory in an object placement memory task²²⁴. In humans, letrozole is an approved treatment for oestrogen receptor (ER)-positive breast cancer; however, women taking letrozole may experience a number of adverse side effects that affect memory²⁴². For example, women taking letrozole for ER-positive breast cancer exhibited episodic memory deficits, supporting the idea that brain-synthesized oestrogens are crucial in memory processes²²⁷.

will greatly inform our general understanding of how memories are formed. Thus, more broadly considering oestrogens and related sex steroid hormones as important neuromodulators of memory formation will provide fundamental insights into the neurobiology of memory and new avenues for drug development.

The goal of this Review is to highlight the importance of E_2 as a critical modulator of synaptic plasticity and memory circuitry. Although other sex steroid hormones can regulate memory, the influence of E_2 on learning and memory is the most extensively documented, and thus E_2 is the focus of this Review. The discussion will centre on the effects of E_2 in rats and mice because their size and short lifespans make them convenient mammalian systems in which to explore molecular mechanisms of E_2 action in the brain, and thus the preponderance of data are from these species. However, non-human primate studies (reviewed in^{11–13}) have also provided insights into oestrogenic regulation of memory and neuronal morphology that corroborate findings from rodent studies, highlighting the translational potential of this work. Here we first outline molecular mechanisms underlying E_2 signalling in the rodent brain, including both classical and non-classical signalling. Next, we describe several forms of learning and memory modulated by E_2 in rodents, and the mechanisms thus far identified that underlie this mediation.

Although findings from female rodents are the primary focus, data from males are also discussed, as are sex differences in the neural mechanisms through which E_2 influences memory. Last, we conclude by discussing the broad health implications of considering E_2 as a neuromodulator, and speculate about the next steps necessary to further advance knowledge of oestrogenic regulation of memory. We hope readers will be convinced of the importance of E_2 as a general neuro-modulator that, like stress hormones and growth factors, should be considered in mainstream models of the neurobiological mechanisms underlying memory.

 E_2 signalling

Unlike neurotransmitters, which are stored in vesicles after synthesis for later release, steroid hormones are generated in response to a stimulus and released immediately. Sex steroid biosynthesis begins with the catabolism of cholesterol to progesterone, which is then broken down into the androgens testosterone and androstenedione, which are subsequently converted to the oestrogens E_2 and oestrone, respectively^{14,15}. In this synthesis pathway, E_2 is generated from testosterone via the enzyme aromatase. The primary sources of sex steroids in both sexes are the gonads, which synthesize progestogens, androgens and oestrogens in differing sex-dependent amounts (with more androgens in males, and more progestogens and oestrogens in females). Hormones synthesized in the gonads can exert paracrine effects on adjacent cells in the gonads, or endocrine effects on distant tissues via the bloodstream¹⁶. However, other tissues synthesize sex steroid hormones as well¹⁴, including adipose tissue, the adrenal glands and numerous brain areas, including the hippocampus^{16–19} (BOX 1). In the brain, steroids including E_2 exert rapid paracrine or synaptocrine effects on neighbouring cells^{20,21}.

In female mammals, circulating E_2 is synthesized by the ovaries, which release fluctuating levels into the bloodstream as part of the reproductive cycle. During the human menstrual cycle and rodent oestrous cycle, oestrogen levels rise to promote follicle maturation in advance of ovulation, peak to stimulate ovulation and then return to the baseline as the degenerating follicle secretes progesterone in preparation for implantation of a fertilized egg. In mice and rats, the oestrous cycle consists of four approximately 12–24-h-long stages: proestrus, oestrus, metoestrus and dioestrus^{22,23}. E_2 levels surge during proestrus and remain high through early oestrus, after which they plunge in late oestrus and remain low throughout metoestrus, rising again during late dioestrus. These fluctuations are reflected in the hippocampus, where E_2 levels are substantially higher during proestrus than during other phases²⁴, as is CA1 dendritic spine density² and neurogenesis²⁵. Hippocampal E_2 levels in ovariectomized rats are similar to those of ovary-intact rats in dioestrus and metoestrus²⁴, reflecting hippocampal E_2 synthesis in the absence of the ovaries. As discussed in BOX 1, de novo hippocampal E_2 synthesis is activity dependent and crucial for rapid synaptic plasticity and memory formation. Oestrogen receptor (ER) expression is also influenced by the oestrous cycle²⁶.

Hormone response elements

Short DNA sequence within the promoter region of a gene that binds a hormone receptor complex to enable gene transcription.

Caveolins

Integral membrane proteins that form functional microdomains of receptors and their associated signalling proteins at the plasma membrane.

Sexual receptivity

A positive state of responsiveness towards the initiation of sexual behaviour by another individual. Often indicated by a species-specific mating posture.

Classical and non-classical signalling

Early research demonstrated that sex steroid receptors act as transcription factors, dimerizing and translocating to the nucleus on ligand activation to bind hormone response elements and regulate gene transcription²⁷. E₂ binds two ER subtypes, ER α and ER β , to accomplish this ‘classical’ mechanism of steroid hormone action, resulting in slow transcriptional changes that become evident within hours to days²⁸. However, data from the 1960s revealed that E₂ could increase cellular concentrations of cyclic AMP (cAMP) in uterine tissue within seconds²⁹, a time course too rapid for classical genomic signalling. Similarly rapid effects on the order of seconds to minutes were later found in the brain, where E₂ altered neuronal activity in the preoptic area of the hypothalamus³⁰. More recent studies have characterized myriad ‘non-classical’, membrane-initiated mechanisms through which oestrogen rapidly triggers cell signalling and epigenetic processes to produce downstream alterations in gene expression, local protein synthesis, actin polymerization, synaptic physiology and dendritic spine morphology^{31–35}.

Non-classical oestrogen signalling is initiated at the cell membrane and influences cellular processes through the activation of downstream second messenger pathways. The identities of the ERs responsible for this signalling have been controversial, as the early characterization of ER α and ER β as purely nuclear receptors seemed to exclude a role in membrane-initiated signalling. However, technological advances have since permitted observation of these receptors at the cell membrane^{36–40}, and studies using overexpression or deletion of ER α and ER β demonstrated that these classical receptors are indeed responsible for many of the defining effects of rapid E₂ action, including increased cAMP response-element binding protein (CREB) phosphorylation and activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK)–mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling^{38,41,42}. Evidence of the classical ERs (that is, ER α and ER β) in dendritic spines and axon terminals of neurons^{43–45} suggests that these receptors could be directly involved in synaptic signalling.

How classical ERs initiate non-classical oestrogen signalling is still not thoroughly understood. The field continues to struggle with technical limitations, such as insufficient antibody validation⁴⁶, in studying the subcellular localization of ERs, and many questions remain about the nature of the interaction between classical ERs and the cell membrane. The ER α and ER β structures do not contain prototypical transmembrane domains^{47,48}, indicating that these receptors are probably not fully inserted into the membrane. However, ERs undergo post-translational lipidation^{49–51} and associate with caveolins^{52,53}. Both of these factors contribute to the ability of ERs to localize to and associate with the cell membrane^{50,53–55}.

In addition, how ER α and ER β , which do not have inherent kinase activity, can interact with and activate downstream second messengers is unclear. One explanation is that ERs localized to the membrane co-opt the signalling machinery of other membrane receptors. At the membrane, ER α and ER β can physically interact with and activate metabotropic glutamate receptors

(mGluRs) independently of glutamate release, resulting in rapid ERK and CREB phosphorylation^{56,57}. Functional coupling of ERs to mGluRs is observed throughout the nervous system and seems to contribute to the effects of E₂ on memory consolidation, motivated behaviour and sexual receptivity^{57–59}. ERs also interact with receptor tyrosine kinases at the membrane, activating signalling at insulin-like growth factor receptor 1 and the neurotrophin receptor TRKB through both rapid and gene expression-dependent mechanisms^{60–63}. Activation of these receptors subsequently engages neuroprotective and plasticity-related signalling cascades^{62–66}. The integration of ERs into a signalling complex with other receptors, G proteins and kinases at the cell membrane is facilitated by multiple scaffold and adaptor proteins. These include: caveolins, which facilitate ER interaction with mGluRs as well as membrane association^{50,53,55}; p130CAS, which couples ERs to kinases such as SRC and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)⁶⁷; and striatin, which binds caveolins and G proteins at the membrane⁶⁸.

Non-classical E₂ signalling also occurs through recently identified membrane-localized ERs. Although multiple putative ERs exist, the most well-defined and most studied is G protein-coupled ER (GPER; also known as GPR30). Characterized in the early years of the first decade of the twenty-first century, GPER is a seven-transmembrane-domain G protein-coupled receptor activated by oestrogens^{69,70}. It most frequently interacts with G α_q subunits, leading to increased levels of cAMP and activation of the ERK, protein kinase A (PKA) and PI3K signalling pathways^{69–72}. GPER is highly expressed in the brain, including in forebrain regions such as the cortex, hippocampus and hypothalamus^{73,74}, and pharmacological targeting of GPER has implicated it in mediating oestrogen effects on cognitive^{75–78}, social⁷⁹ and reproductive^{80,81} behaviours. Given the considerable overlap of GPER and classical ER expression in regions such as the hippocampus and PFC, as well as similarities in the outcomes of their activation, an intriguing question is whether these ER subtypes use parallel or distinct mechanisms to modulate neuronal function and behaviour. Current evidence suggests that the answer to this question is complex, with classical ERs and GPER often producing similar or complementary outcomes but through distinct molecular mechanisms^{78,82}.

Intracellular cascades

E₂ activation of membrane-localized receptors triggers numerous intracellular signalling events that ultimately influence synaptic plasticity in cognitive brain regions. These downstream cellular and molecular mechanisms have been best elucidated in the hippocampus (FIG. 1). Activation of membrane-localized ERs in cultured hippocampal neurons leads to rapid calcium influx^{83,84} and activation of phospholipase C and adenylyl cyclase signalling via G protein activation^{56,85}. In turn, these events activate small GTPases such as RHOA^{35,82} and a host of kinase pathways, including the ERK–MAPK^{56,84,86–89}, PI3K–AKT^{62,89–92}, PKA^{93–95}, protein kinase C (PKC)^{56,96} and JUN amino-terminal kinase (JNK)⁷⁸ pathways. The activation of these second messenger cascades influences processes that regulate synaptic structure and function,

such as local protein synthesis, actin polymerization, ion channel dynamics and gene expression.

E₂ activation of ERK and AKT stimulates local protein translation by activating mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) signalling and promoting the phosphorylation of translational initiation factors such as 4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1)^{32,82,97,98}, and this new protein synthesis is required for E₂-induced spinogenesis in the hippocampus⁹⁹. Recruitment of actin-remodelling pathways also contributes to E₂-induced structural plasticity: E₂ activation of RHOA elicits phosphorylation of LIM domain kinase (LIMK) and its substrate, the actin-binding protein cofilin, to promote actin polymerization^{35,100–103}. LIMK-cofilin activation and enhanced actin polymerization contribute to E₂ effects on spine structure and synaptic transmission^{35,100,103}. Moreover, although membrane-initiated E₂ signalling is often referred to as ‘non-genomic’ to differentiate it from the classical effects of E₂, the intracellular signalling cascades initiated by surface ERs ultimately influence gene expression. E₂ activation of ERK signalling rapidly induces phosphorylation of the transcription factor CREB^{56,83,88,104} and produces epigenetic modifications such as histone acetylation^{31,105,106} — both effects that can increase expression of genes with neurotrophic effects, such as *Bdnf*¹⁰⁶.




Fig. 1 | Membrane-initiated oestrogen signalling and downstream intracellular events. Intracellular processes are initiated by 17 β -oestradiol (E₂) binding to G protein-coupled oestrogen receptor (GPER), or functional interaction between the canonical oestrogen receptors (ER α and ER β) and other receptors located at the membrane (such as metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs)). Several kinase cascades, key for the memory-enhancing effects of E₂, rapidly increase activity in response to membrane-initiated signalling events. ER α and ER β seem to trigger similar kinases, whereas GPER activates distinct signalling pathways such as the JUN amino-terminal kinase (JNK) pathway. In turn, kinase activity facilitates additional regulatory processes, including protein synthesis, ion channel phosphorylation and trafficking, gene expression and cytoskeletal regulation. AMPAR, AMPA receptor; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; MEK, mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellular signal-regulated kinase; mTOR, mechanistic target of rapamycin; NMDAR, NMDA receptor; p4E-BP1, phosphorylated 4E-binding protein 1; pCREB, phosphorylated cyclic AMP response element-binding protein; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; PKA, protein kinase A; PKC, protein kinase C; pLIMK, phosphorylated LIM domain kinase; RTK, receptor tyrosine kinase.

Synaptic function

E₂-induced changes in intracellular signalling ultimately alter synaptic function. In the hippocampus, the general result of E₂ exposure is a rapid increase in excitatory neurotransmission. Application of E₂ to hippocampal slices increases intrinsic excitability of CA1 pyramidal neurons^{107,108}, enhances baseline excitatory neurotransmission^{5,6,35,109–111} and enhances long-term potentiation (LTP) at CA3–CA1 synapses^{35,109,111–114}.

The effects of E₂ on synaptic function correlate with increases in dendritic spine density^{5,110,113,114} and result primarily from regulation of ionotropic glutamate receptors. In hippocampal neurons, E₂ influences the phosphorylation and subcellular trafficking of both AMPA receptors (AMPA) and NMDA receptors (NMDARs)^{112,115–120}. AMPAR-mediated excitatory postsynaptic potentials can be positively modulated by E₂ (REFS^{6,35}); however, many of the effects of E₂ on synaptic plasticity rely on NMDAR-dependent mechanisms^{5,109,110,120,121}. In particular, NMDARs containing the NR2B subunit are required for E₂ enhancement of LTP^{120,121}. E₂ can also increase hippocampal excitability by suppressing inhibitory GABAergic signalling; however, this mechanism differs by sex^{122,123}.

In sum, considerable data support the view that the rapid cell-signalling mechanisms resulting from activation of surface-localized ERs enhance the multiple forms of synaptic plasticity thought to be cellular substrates for learning and memory. As we discuss in the next section, the activation of intracellular signalling and the resulting effects on neuronal structure and function are necessary for the beneficial effects of E₂ on memory in female rodents.

E₂ modulation of memory in females

The numerous classical and non-classical effects of E₂ on brain function provide abundant opportunities for this hormone to influence learning and memory. Copious data supporting a modulatory influence of E₂ on hippocampal plasticity have led most rodent researchers to focus on forms of learning and memory mediated by the hippocampus, such as spatial and object-based memories. However, oestrogens also regulate other types of learning and memory, including social learning, social discrimination and fear memory mediated by the amygdala, perirhinal cortex, PFC and other regions. Thus, the following sections will focus not only on the hippocampus but also on these brain regions as well.

Studies of ER-null mice^{114,124–126} or using viral vectors to modulate ER α expression¹²⁷ have suggested key roles for ER α and ER β in various forms of memory. However, pharmacological manipulations are the most common method of interrogating the roles of E₂ and ERs in memory processes (TABLE 1). Although the compounds used afford better temporal specificity than genetic manipulations, they lack absolute specificity for one ER over the other. However, most can be used at doses that promote preferential binding to a single ER. Ongoing synthesis of more potent and selective ER compounds will undoubtedly help pinpoint discrete functions of specific ERs¹²⁸.

Most studies examining the neuromodulatory role of E₂ have been conducted in bilaterally ovariectomized

Table 1 | Compounds used to investigate the role of oestrogen receptors in memory

Target	Action	Compounds	Effects on memory
ER α	Agonist	PPT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ↑ Object recognition^{57,154,173} ↑ Spatial memory^{57,173,224} ↑ Social recognition^{173,175}
	Antagonist	MPP dihydrochloride	↓ Spatial memory ²²⁵
ER β	Agonist	DPN; WAY200070 (benzoxazole); ERB 041; ISP358-2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ↑ Object recognition⁵⁷ ↑ Spatial memory^{57,224} ↑ Social recognition¹⁷⁵ ↑ Fear generalization¹⁹⁸
	Antagonist	PHTPP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ↓ Object recognition²²⁵ ↓ Spatial memory²²⁵
ER α and ER β	Antagonist	ICI 182,780 (fulvestrant)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ↓ Object recognition⁸⁷ ↓ Spatial memory²²⁴ ↓ Fear generalization¹⁹⁸
GPER	Agonist	G1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ↑ Object recognition^{78,103} ↑ Spatial memory^{76,78,103} ↑ Social recognition¹⁷⁵
	Antagonist	G15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ↓ Object recognition⁷⁸ ↓ Spatial memory^{78,224}
Aromatase	Inhibitor	Letrozole; anastrozole; ATD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ↓ Object recognition^{215,226} ↓ Spatial memory^{215,224,226–228}

ATD, 1,4,6-androstatriene-3,17-dione; DPN, diarylpropionitrile; ER α , oestrogen receptor- α ; ER β , oestrogen receptor- β ; GPER, G protein-coupled oestrogen receptor; MPP, 1,3-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)-4-methyl-5-[4-(2-piperidinylethoxy) phenol]-1H-pyrazole dihydrochloride; PHTPP, 4-[2-phenyl-5,7-bis(trifluoromethyl)pyrazolo [1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-yl] phenol; PPT, propyl pyrazole triol.

female rodents, although some reports have examined memory in naturally cycling females or in males (with or without gonadectomy). Although the widespread use of ovariectomized females has limitations that require cautious considerations about extrapolating effects to the natural cycle, this model has permitted more systematic investigations of oestrogen actions than is possible in the presence of daily ovarian hormone fluctuations. Much of this work has used young rodents (2–3 months old), but a rich literature also exists on the mnemonic effects of E₂ in middle-aged rodents (for example, 14–19 months old) and aged rodents (older than 20 months)^{129,130} (BOX 2). Besides animal age, there are several other experimental variables that influence behavioural and mechanistic outcomes. The timing of administration relative to testing, the length of administration (for example, long (that is, over several days) or short (that is, a single dose)) and the dose can differ across studies, making direct comparisons across studies challenging. Moreover, when E₂ is administered systemically, the site of E₂ action is often unknown. Indeed, given that E₂ is also synthesized in the brain (BOX 1), differentiating the effects of brain-derived E₂ from systemically administered E₂, or from gonadal E₂ in ovary-intact rodents, poses a unique challenge. In addition, the age at ovariectomy and the duration between ovariectomy and first E₂ treatment have also been demonstrated to affect oestrogenic regulation of memory^{129,130}. The age at testing and the duration of oestrogen deprivation are particularly important, as ER expression in the rodent hippocampus declines not only with age but also several

months after bilateral ovariectomy^{131,132}. Combined, these data highlight the importance of experimental parameters when one is designing studies or interpreting data. The following sections mirror the preponderance of studies using young ovariectomized rodents (but see BOX 2 and reviews^{129,133–135} for additional information relevant to ageing female and male rodents). As a comprehensive synthesis of all types of memory modulated by E₂ is beyond the scope of this Review, the following text focuses on select forms of memory and behavioural tasks that have proved especially useful to pinpoint the neural mechanisms underlying E₂-facilitated memory.

Spatial and object memory

The tasks most commonly used to assess hippocampal-dependent spatial and object memory include the Morris water maze (MWM), the radial arm maze (RAM), and object recognition (OR) and object placement (OP; also known as object location) tasks (FIG. 2). In the MWM and RAM, animals use extramaze cues to traverse a round or wheel-shaped maze to escape from water or locate a food or water reward. Memory in these tasks is typically measured across multiple days, enabling within-subject and between-subject performance to be assessed over time. Whereas both the MWM and the RAM involve explicit motivational stimuli to compel performance, the OR and OP tasks rely on the animal's inherent proclivity for novelty. That is, mice and rats will spend more time investigating a novel object, or a familiar object in a novel location, than a previously investigated object in a familiar location. One advantage of these single-trial learning tasks is that they permit learning assessment while minimizing appetitive or aversive confounders. Moreover, the rapidity with which object tasks are learned allows the effects of short-term hormone administration to be associated with specific neural events and discrete phases of memory formation (including acquisition, consolidation and retention).

Morris water maze. Studies using the MWM to test oestrogenic regulation of spatial reference memory present conflicting data on the role of E₂ in this form of memory. For example, long-term administration of systemic E₂ to ovariectomized rats or high E₂ levels during the rat oestrous cycle can impair spatial learning and memory^{136–138}, whereas high E₂ levels in cycling mice were associated with enhanced spatial learning¹³⁹, and ovariectomized rats systemically administered E₂ 72 and 48 h before testing exhibited better memory for a hidden platform¹⁴⁰. Moreover, high plasma E₂ levels in ovary-intact female meadow voles correlated with a longer latency to locate the escape platform during acquisition trials, whereas lower plasma E₂ levels were associated with better learning¹⁴¹. Given the varied reported effects of E₂ level on spatial MWM learning in different rodent studies, the E₂ dose and species may determine how E₂ affects spatial memory in this task.

Although few studies have examined the short-term effects of intracranially administered E₂ on MWM performance, existing research suggests beneficial effects. For example, E₂ infusion into the dorsal hippocampus of young ovariectomized rats immediately, but not 3 h, after spatial MWM training facilitates retention 24 h later,

Gonadectomy

Surgical removal of the gonads (ovaries or testes); because 'ovariectomy' is the preferred term for females, 'gonadectomy' is most commonly used for males.

Acquisition

A process through which information is learned through physical or sensory interaction with environmental stimuli.

Spatial reference memory

Memory for locations that do not change over time (for example, the layout of buildings on a college campus). Used for navigating through an environment.

Spatial working memory
Memory for locations that change over time (for example, the locations of your keys or your car in your campus car park).

Delayed non-match-to-sample task
Test of memory for items that differ from an initial stimulus array, assessed at some delay after the original stimulus presentation.

indicating that E_2 specifically enhances spatial memory consolidation¹⁴².

Radial arm maze. The RAM allows researchers to distinguish the effects of E_2 on spatial reference memory and spatial working memory (FIG. 2). Broadly, long-term systemic administration of E_2 in ovariectomized rats enhances spatial working memory in the RAM, an effect that manifests itself most prominently after several days of E_2 administration^{143–145}. Consistent with this, long-term systemic E_2 treatment in rats rescued ovariectomy-induced deficits in working memory but not reference memory¹⁴⁶. Similarly, intracerebroventricular E_2 infusion reduced working memory errors in ovariectomized

rats¹⁴⁷. Therefore, E_2 appears to facilitate spatial working memory but not spatial reference memory in the RAM among young ovariectomized rodents.

Many brain regions, including the PFC, are required to coordinate the effects of E_2 in the RAM, although the effects of E_2 in these brain regions differ by dose. For example, spatial working memory in ovariectomized rats was facilitated by infusion of a high, but not a low, dose of E_2 into the PFC, and infusion of a low, but not a high, dose into the dorsal hippocampus¹⁴⁸. Thus, the effects of E_2 on spatial working memory in the RAM may depend on both the dose and the brain region¹⁴⁸.

Single-trial learning. It is difficult to disaggregate the rapid non-classical effects of E_2 from its long-term classical effects in tasks such as the MWM and RAM, because learning in these tasks requires multiple trials across several days. Moreover, both tasks involve motivational components (for example, escape stress and thirst or hunger) to compel performance, which may alter how the brain responds to E_2 . By contrast, single-trial learning tasks such as OR and OP tasks afford more precise assessment of the neural mechanisms through which E_2 facilitates memory formation. As illustrated below, the findings from studies using these tasks to assess the effects of E_2 on spatial and recognition memory are considerably more consistent than those from maze studies.

As in the MWM and RAM, memory in the OR and OP tasks is negatively affected by ovariectomy^{149,150}. However, unlike in the MWM and RAM, exogenous E_2 consistently improves spatial and OR memory in the object tasks. For example, systemic administration of E_2 immediately after training enhances memory consolidation in the OR and OP tasks among young ovariectomized rats and mice^{151–153}. However, systemic administration of E_2 does not enhance memory when injected 2 h after training¹⁵², suggesting that the window in which E_2 exerts procognitive effects on OR and OP memory consolidation is time limited and probably dependent on non-classical mechanisms.

E_2 infused immediately, but not 3 h, after training into the dorsal hippocampus similarly enhances memory consolidation in the OR and OP tasks, indicating that the dorsal hippocampus has a crucial role in mediating the rapid effects of E_2 on memory consolidation^{57,87,154,155}. The perirhinal cortex also plays a part, as E_2 infused immediately after training into the perirhinal cortex of ovariectomized rats enhanced their preference for a novel object over a familiar object compared with vehicle-treated controls¹⁵⁶. However, perirhinal E_2 infusions also impaired object memory consolidation in a delayed non-match-to-sample task, complicating the role of this brain region in mediating the influence of E_2 on OR memory^{156,157}. Overall, these data suggest that E_2 generally enhances OR and OP memory consolidation in young ovariectomized rodents when it is administered either before training or immediately after training.

Molecular mechanisms. The memory-enhancing effects of E_2 in the hippocampus involve numerous cellular and molecular events in female rodents. Several cell-signalling cascades are rapidly activated in response to E_2 ,

Box 2 | The effects of oestradiol in ageing rodents

Ageing female rodents are frequently used to examine the effects of oestrogen deprivation and replacement during the transition to reproductive senescence (see REFS^{133,134,243} for recent reviews). In humans, menopause onset coincides with follicular depletion, whereas oestropause, the menopausal parallel in rodents, is driven by alterations in the function of the hypothalamus–pituitary–gonad axis^{244,245}. Given this difference, researchers should be cautious when interpreting studies using rodent models of reproductive ageing. Nevertheless, the ageing rodent model has proved useful in understanding the effects of oestrogen deprivation, the timing of hormone therapy administration and age-associated alterations in responsiveness to 17β -oestradiol (E_2).

Broadly, E_2 deprivation as a consequence of ageing or artificial long-term ovarian hormone depletion lowers sensitivity to the memory-enhancing effects of E_2 . In spatial memory tasks such as the Morris water maze (MWM), systemic E_2 administration typically enhances memory in middle-aged (14–19-month-old) but not aged (20-month-old or older) ovariectomized female rodents^{246–249}. However, E_2 can still facilitate memory in aged females under certain experimental conditions. Long-term, high doses of E_2 elicited better memory in the MWM among aged ovariectomized female rats²⁵⁰ and aged female mice²⁵¹. Similarly, 3 weeks of E_2 administration enhanced object memory in aged ovariectomized female mice²⁵².

Age and duration of oestrogen deprivation also modulate the effects of systemic E_2 treatment on spatial reference and working memory. For example, long-term systemic E_2 treatment enhanced spatial working memory in middle-aged ovariectomized rats in a water-motivated radial arm maze (RAM) task²⁵³. However, daily systemic injections of E_2 given to ageing female mice ovariectomized at 17.5 months did not affect spatial reference or working memory in a water-motivated RAM task, whereas intermittent E_2 treatment mimicking normal hormonal cycling impaired spatial reference and working memory²⁵⁴. As with the MWM, long-term E_2 treatment enhanced spatial reference memory in aged ovariectomized rats, but only when rats were primed with additional short-term injections of E_2 (REF.²⁵⁵). These data suggest not only an age-related loss of responsiveness to the memory-enhancing effects of E_2 but also a detrimental effect of E_2 on memory in aged rodents. Supporting the idea that the duration of hormone loss affects responsiveness to E_2 , systemic E_2 implants administered to 12-month and 17-month-old mice immediately after ovariectomy enhanced spatial working memory in a RAM²⁵⁶, whereas such E_2 treatment given to 17-month-old mice 5 months after ovariectomy no longer enhanced spatial working memory. These data support the well-accepted notion that the ageing brain is less responsive to E_2 after long periods of oestrogen deprivation.

As in the maze tasks, ageing female rodents or rodents subjected to delayed oestrogen replacement after ovariectomy exhibit diminished sensitivity to the beneficial effects of E_2 in object memory consolidation. For example, rats treated with systemic E_2 9 or 15 months after ovariectomy exhibited intact memory for a previously seen object⁹¹. However, increasing the treatment delay to 19 months after ovariectomy eliminated the memory-facilitating effects of E_2 (REF.¹⁶²), consistent with other findings that E_2 typically enhances object recognition and object placement memory in middle-aged, but not aged, female rodents^{248,257}. Combined, these data suggest that E_2 is less efficacious when given to aged rodents, especially when postovariectomy E_2 treatment is delayed.

Several potential mechanisms may underlie decreased sensitivity to E_2 in older rodents. For example, E_2 -induced hippocampal transcription is reduced in aged female rodents²⁵⁸, and several studies suggest that the expression of oestrogen receptor- α and oestrogen receptor- β diminishes with age^{131,259,260}. The precise mechanisms underlying decreased responsiveness to E_2 in advanced age remain to be determined.

including the ERK, mTOR and PI3K–AKT pathways; activity in these pathways is required for E₂ to enhance memory consolidation in the OR and OP tasks among ovariectomized mice^{57,87,91,97,158}. Within the dorsal hippocampus, these signalling cascades are initiated by E₂

binding to hippocampal ERα and ERβ but not to GPER^{57,78}. Indeed, although GPER activation in the dorsal hippocampus enhances OR and OP memory consolidation in ovariectomized females, these effects are independent of E₂ signalling⁷⁸.




Fig. 2 | Behavioural approaches to studying oestrogenic effects on memory. **a** | The Morris water maze tests a rodent’s ability to navigate in space and remember the location of spatial cues in the environment^{229,230}. Rodents are placed in a large round pool of water made opaque with non-toxic paint or powdered milk, and must use extramaze spatial cues to navigate to a platform hidden just below the surface of the water^{229,230}. Measures of performance include time to reach the platform, distance swum and swim speed. During probe trials in which the hidden platform is inaccessible to subjects for a portion of or throughout the trial, memory for the platform location can be assessed by measuring the number of times that animals cross the platform location and/or the time spent close to the location of the platform. **b** | The radial arm maze also tests spatial navigation abilities. Food-restricted or water-restricted subjects traverse a wheel-shaped maze in which they must retrieve food or water rewards from the ends of 8 or 12 long arms that radiate from a round central platform^{231,232}. Rewards are not replaced, so animals should visit each arm only once. In the standard version, all arms are baited to measure working memory, which is memory for information that changes from trial to trial. In a common variation, half of the arms are baited to measure working memory and half are never baited to measure reference memory, or memory for information that does not change from trial to trial. **c** | Object recognition and object placement tasks typically consist of a training trial, during which animals explore objects in an open field for 5–20 minutes, followed by a delay

(minutes to days) and a single testing phase, during which a new object is introduced (object recognition) or a training object is moved (object placement)^{233,234}. Because rodents are drawn to novelty, animals that remember the identity and location of the training objects will spend more time than chance with a novel or moved object during testing. **d** | Social recognition can be tested using a habituation–dishabituation task or a social discrimination task. In habituation–dishabituation, subjects are repeatedly exposed to the same stimulus conspecific, leading to a decrease in investigative behaviour (habituation) as the stimulus animal becomes known. Animals are then exposed to a novel conspecific, and if social recognition memory is intact, investigative behaviour returns (dishabituation). In social discrimination, animals undergo a test trial where they are simultaneously exposed to a familiar conspecific, seen previously in habituation trials, and a novel conspecific. Increased investigative behaviour of the novel conspecific is indicative of intact social recognition memory. **e** | Fear memory is most commonly studied with use of classical conditioning paradigms that pair a stimulus (a cue or context) with a foot shock, generating a learned association that leads to fear responses (such as freezing) on subsequent presentations of the conditioned stimulus (CS). Cued and contextual fear conditioning differ in their underlying neurocircuitry, with cued fear being independent of hippocampal function and contextual fear requiring hippocampal input²³⁵. Extinction can be tested with repeated presentation of the context in the absence of CS presentation²³⁶. Figure adapted from REF.²³⁶, Springer.

Silent synapses

Immature synapses containing few AMPA receptors, which could allow greater synaptic potentiation and learning facilitation on interaction with a training stimulus.

Extinction

Process whereby a learned association between two stimuli (for example, shock occurs in context A) becomes unlearned through repetitive exposure to one stimulus (context A) without the other (shock).

The ability of E₂ to activate dorsal hippocampal cell signalling is closely tied to its ability to mediate epigenetic processes associated with facilitated memory. ERK activation is necessary for E₂ to increase acetylation of histone H3 within the dorsal hippocampus of ovariectomized mice^{31,106}. Dorsal hippocampal E₂ infusion also decreases levels of histone deacetylases 2 and 3 in the hippocampus of ovariectomized mice, thereby providing a mechanism for increased H3 acetylation in the E₂-treated hippocampus^{31,106}. Importantly, dorsal hippocampal infusion of a histone acetyltransferase inhibitor prevents E₂ from enhancing OR memory consolidation in ovariectomized mice, demonstrating a crucial role for histone acetylation in the mnemonic effects of E₂ (REFS^{31,105}).

In ovariectomized females, systemic E₂ (REF.¹⁵⁹) and intrahippocampally administered E₂ increase the dendritic spine density of CA1 pyramidal neurons, an effect dependent on ERK and mTOR signalling⁹⁹. Consistent with these data, elevated E₂ levels robustly influence hippocampal synaptic plasticity by facilitating LTP^{121,160,161}, which correlates with enhanced memory in hippocampus-dependent tasks^{120,162}.

Although much remains to be learned, researchers are well on their way towards characterizing the neurobiological mechanisms through which E₂ modulates spatial and object memory consolidation.

Social cognition

The term ‘social cognition’ encompasses various processes, but perhaps the most well studied in animals is social recognition. Memory for conspecifics and past social interactions allows the formation of meaningful relationships and selection of appropriate behavioural responses in future social interactions, which are crucial abilities in social species¹⁶³. Social discrimination is heavily influenced by neuroendocrine mechanisms; however, much of this research has focused on the neuropeptides oxytocin and vasopressin, so only more recently have sex steroid hormones become appreciated as modulators of social memory¹⁶⁴.

Ovary-intact female mice trained on a habituation–dishabituation task (FIG. 2) during proestrus show better discrimination of a novel conspecific 24 h later relative to females trained in dioestrus, suggesting that elevated E₂ levels during training may improve long-term social recognition¹⁶⁵. Other studies specifically testing the effects of exogenous E₂ on social recognition in ovariectomized rodents have found that systemically administered E₂ given before training improves social memory in a habituation–dishabituation task^{166–168}.

Many of these studies use long-term E₂ replacement before training and then test memory at extended time-points, suggesting that classical actions of E₂ are involved. Indeed, E₂ action at ERα increases transcription of the oxytocin receptor in the medial amygdala¹⁶⁹, a region where oxytocin signalling is crucial for social recognition memory¹⁷⁰. However, rapid membrane-initiated E₂ signalling also contributes substantially to these effects. In a modified social discrimination task where acquisition and recall occur within 40 min of drug administration, systemic administration of E₂ enhanced

social recognition memory in ovariectomized rats³³. The relatively short period between training and testing makes it unlikely that classical E₂ mechanisms have a role in this effect, thereby implicating membrane-initiated signalling as the key mediator. Furthermore, rapid E₂-induced CA1 plasticity correlates with this memory enhancement; within 40 min of systemic or intracranial administration, E₂ increased CA1 dendritic spine density in ovariectomized mice, which was surprisingly associated with reduced AMPAR-mediated signalling^{33,119}. This change in excitatory signalling may reflect the generation of new silent synapses.

The effects of E₂ on social recognition memory are mediated by both classical receptors and GPER. ERα plays a particularly important role, with several studies reporting that ERα-null or ERα-deficient female rodents show impaired social recognition memory^{165,169,171,172}. Systemic injection of the ERα-selective agonist propyl pyrazole triol (PPT) improved memory among ovariectomized mice in a social discrimination task within 40 min, suggesting that rapid action of membrane-localized ERα is important for this effect¹⁷³. The GPER agonist G1 induces similarly rapid improvements in social recognition memory when systemically injected in ovariectomized mice⁷⁷. However, whether ERα and GPER initiate similar cellular and molecular mechanisms to facilitate social recognition memory is unclear. The facilitative effects of ERα and GPER on social recognition do not seem to extend fully to ERβ. Social recognition memory in ERβ-null female mice is either unaffected or only modestly impaired^{165,169,171}, and treatment with the ERβ-selective agonist diarylpropionitrile (DPN) in ovariectomized mice either impairs or does not improve social recognition memory¹⁷³.

Similarly to spatial and OR memory, the hippocampus is a critical locus of E₂ action for social recognition memory. Infusions of E₂, PPT or G1 directly into the dorsal hippocampus of ovariectomized mice induce a rapid enhancement of social recognition memory that is correlated with increased CA1 spine density and modulation of excitatory neurotransmission^{119,174}. However, E₂ also modulates the function of other brain regions crucial for social recognition memory. For example, knockdown of ERα in the medial amygdala of ovariectomized female rats abolishes social recognition memory¹⁷², and infusion of ERα and GPER agonists into the medial amygdala of ovariectomized mice rapidly enhances social recognition¹⁷⁵. An integrated understanding of how E₂ works across multiple brain regions, receptor subtypes and signalling mechanisms to influence social cognition and recognition behaviour remains an area ripe for future research.

Fear memory

The study of fear conditioning and its underlying circuitry and mechanisms is a cornerstone of the learning and memory field. Nevertheless, despite its prominence, researchers have until relatively recently largely ignored how sex steroid hormones such as E₂ affect fear learning. Existing work reveals an interesting, and at times contradictory, role for E₂ in modulating both the acquisition and the extinction of fear memory.

Contextual fear conditioning

Model of fear learning in which repeated exposure to foot shocks in one context eventually elicits fear (freezing) of the context in the absence of shock.

FOS

A transcription factor encoded by an immediate early gene that is activated rapidly and transiently in response to neuronal activity, leading to expression of memory-related genes.

Generalization

Process whereby a stimulus–response association learned in one context (for example, a stimulus induces fear) becomes transferred to another, similar context.

Fear acquisition. Studies examining how E_2 modulates fear acquisition report both enhancing and impairing effects. For example, long-term systemic E_2 administration enhanced cued and context-dependent fear conditioning in ovariectomized mice^{176,177} and fear-potentiated startle in ovariectomized rats¹⁷⁸, suggesting that E_2 enhances acquisition of fear learning. However, other findings reveal impaired contextual fear conditioning (CFC) in proestrus rats relative to males or rats in oestrus¹⁷⁹. Similarly, ovary-intact or ovariectomized rats given E_2 for 2 days before CFC froze less than did males or untreated ovariectomized rats¹⁸⁰, suggesting E_2 may impair fear learning.

These seemingly contradictory findings probably result from differences in experimental approaches, with the dose and timing of E_2 administration as important variables. High-dose systemic E_2 administration days or weeks before CFC enhanced acquisition in ovariectomized mice, with lower doses of E_2 having no effect^{181,182}. By contrast, when E_2 was injected into ovariectomized rats 30 min before CFC training, high doses impaired acquisition, whereas a low dose enhanced learning¹⁸³. These results suggest important differences in how E_2 dose and treatment duration interact to impact fear learning, but further research is needed to more clearly define these relationships.

Fear extinction. Research on the role of E_2 in modulating fear extinction paints a clearer picture than does the fear acquisition literature, with evidence from passive avoidance tasks¹⁸⁴, conditioned taste aversion¹⁸⁵ and both cued and conditioned fear conditioning^{186–188} supporting the conclusion that E_2 improves extinction learning. In ovary-intact female rats, fear extinction training during proestrus produces more rapid learning across trials¹⁸⁶ and reduced freezing during extinction recall testing¹⁸⁷ compared with extinction during metoestrus. E_2 seems to be both necessary and sufficient for these effects, as systemic E_2 treatment given to metoestrus or ovariectomized rats enhances extinction learning^{186,187}, whereas systemic blockade of ERs in proestrus rats impairs extinction recall¹⁸⁷. Similarly, reducing circulating E_2 levels via hormonal contraceptives impairs extinction recall in cycling female rats¹⁸⁹.

As with fear acquisition, the influence of E_2 on extinction is dose dependent. In cycling female rats, E_2 exhibits an inverted-U dose–response effect on extinction learning, such that rats with very low (untreated metoestrus) or very high (proestrus or metoestrus given a high dose of E_2) levels of E_2 exhibited poor extinction recall, and rats with moderate E_2 levels (untreated proestrus or metoestrus given a low dose of E_2) extinguished recall well¹⁹⁰.

ER β is an important mediator of the effects of E_2 on fear extinction. Systemic injection of the ER β -selective agonist DPN before extinction training improves extinction learning in ovariectomized or metoestrus rats, whereas the ER α -selective agonist PPT has no effect^{186,188}. Infusion of DPN into the dorsal hippocampus of ovariectomized rats enhanced contextual fear extinction learning, suggesting that this brain region is an important locus for ER β activity in this paradigm¹⁸⁶.

However, E_2 has more pervasive actions in the neurocircuitry of fear extinction beyond the hippocampus. The amygdala, a central region in fear extinction, shows widespread expression of ER subtypes¹⁶ and structural and synaptic plasticity following E_2 treatment^{191,192}. The infralimbic cortex sends excitatory projections that regulate amygdala subregions during extinction¹⁹³, and is also sensitive to oestrogens¹⁹⁴. E_2 seems to modulate the activity and connectivity of these regions during extinction recall; studies using FOS as a marker of neuronal activity report that metoestrus rats treated with E_2 displayed less amygdalar activation^{188,195} and greater infralimbic cortex activation¹⁸⁸ following extinction recall than did untreated controls. Both the oestrus-cycle phase and exogenous E_2 treatment influence the activity of infralimbic cortex–amygdala projections in female rats^{195,196}, suggesting that E_2 increases infralimbic cortex-driven activation of an inhibitory circuitry in the amygdala that reduces fear responses.

Fear generalization. In addition to fear acquisition and extinction, E_2 also influences fear generalization. Ovariectomized rats systemically treated with E_2 show increased generalization of fear to neutral contexts relative to untreated controls¹⁹⁷. Similarly to extinction, this effect seems to depend primarily on hippocampal ER β signalling, as infusion of the ER β -selective agonist DPN, but not ER α -selective PPT, into the hippocampus of ovariectomized rats increases fear generalization¹⁹⁸.

That E_2 can enhance both fear expression (via acquisition and generalization) as well as extinction may at first seem contradictory, but is not surprising given that these processes share certain underlying molecular mechanisms. Moreover, fear acquisition, generalization and extinction all require new learning. Thus, the effects of E_2 on all aspects of fear learning highlight its actions as a general promoter of learning and memory.

Together with findings from the spatial, object and social memory tasks discussed earlier, the fear memory data suggest that E_2 is uniquely positioned as a general neuromodulator that broadly facilitates new learning of many sorts.

 E_2 modulation of memory in males

Although oestrogens are often (incorrectly) considered ‘female’ hormones, accumulating research findings indicate a primary role for E_2 in enhancing cognition in male rodents. Indeed, E_2 levels in the male rat hippocampus are higher than in cycling females^{199,200}. Emerging findings, reviewed below, imply that E_2 may influence memory via distinct molecular mechanisms in males and females. Why might these differences matter if the beneficial effects on memory are similar in both sexes? From a drug discovery standpoint, these data suggest the potential need to develop sex-specific treatments for memory dysfunction in humans. Treatments that target mechanisms through which E_2 modulates memory in one sex (for example, ERK in females) but not in the other are bound to fail in half the population. Additional research to determine which oestrogenic mechanisms are sex specific and which are common to both sexes will substantially advance the

development of effective memory therapeutics for both men and women.

Sex differences in hippocampal E_2 signalling

In the hippocampus, E_2 acts as a neuromodulator in both males and females, but an emerging body of literature has found notable sex differences in how E_2 influences hippocampal neurotransmission and the molecular underpinnings of these effects. In both sexes, E_2 potentiates excitatory neurotransmission in the hippocampus^{6,35,111}, and although some molecules and pathways, such as SRC, ERK–MAPK, calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II and TRKB signalling, contribute to these effects in both sexes^{35,201,202}, others are sex specific. For example, potentiation of excitatory postsynaptic currents and LTP in the hippocampus depend on PKA in female rats but not in males²⁰². The sexes also differ in the ER subtypes that mediate neural excitation, as hippocampal glutamatergic neurotransmission is regulated presynaptically by ER β in females and ER α in males, and postsynaptically by GPER in females and ER β in males¹¹¹. Other work has similarly shown differential contributions of ER α versus ER β in facilitating LTP between males and females^{35,201}. Differing roles of ER subtypes in modulating excitatory signalling may arise from sex differences in subcellular ER localization, which in turn could lead to sex-specific recruitment of signalling kinases by E_2 (REFS^{167,201}).

Unlike excitatory signalling, inhibitory hippocampal neurotransmission seems to be modulated by E_2 only in females and through a sex-specific mechanism. In the ovariectomized female rat hippocampus, ER α activates postsynaptic mGluR1 signalling to stimulate endocannabinoid release, which inhibits presynaptic GABAergic terminals¹²². The functional coupling of ERs with mGluR subtypes was shown to occur only in females⁵⁶, and further investigation found that although ER–mGluR complexes can exist in both sexes, E_2 activates mGluR-dependent signalling only in females¹²³.

A similar sex difference has been found with locally synthesized E_2 in the hippocampus. Although male and female rodents both express aromatase and synthesize E_2 in the hippocampus^{17,200,203}, systemic aromatase inhibition reduces hippocampal synapse number and severely impairs LTP induction in female mice, but has no effect on synapse number and only modestly reduces LTP in male mice²⁰⁴. Similarly, aromatase-null female mice were shown to have reduced hippocampal spine density compared with wild-type female mice, whereas spine density was unaffected in aromatase-null male mice²⁰⁵. However, in a forebrain-specific aromatase-null mouse, both males and females exhibited reduced hippocampal spine density²⁰⁶. Understanding the cellular and molecular consequences of local oestrogen synthesis in the male hippocampus will require further investigation²⁰⁷.

Oestrogenic modulation of cognition in males

Despite differences in the mechanisms of E_2 signalling in cognition-related regions of the brain, E_2 exerts similar mnemonic benefits in males and females. Systemic injection or dorsal hippocampal infusion of E_2 immediately after training enhances memory consolidation in

the OR and OP tasks in gonad-intact and gonadectomized male rats and mice^{208,209}. Notably, sex differences in the mechanisms that drive the beneficial effects of E_2 on these tasks have been found: in contrast to its central importance to the memory-enhancing effects of E_2 in ovariectomized mice, dorsal hippocampal ERK phosphorylation is not necessary for E_2 to facilitate spatial and object memory consolidation in male mice, regardless of gonadal status²⁰⁹.

In the MWM, gonad-intact adult male rats and aged male mice systemically treated with E_2 immediately after training exhibited enhanced spatial memory compared with vehicle-treated males^{210,211}. Gonad-intact adult males receiving E_2 long term also made fewer working memory errors in the RAM than did vehicle-treated controls²¹².

Local synthesis of oestrogens is also important for memory processes in male rodents, as aromatase-null male mice exhibit deficits in social recognition²¹³. Furthermore, short-term oral aromatase inhibition increases errors in a working memory task in male rats²¹⁴, and short-term intrahippocampal aromatase inhibition prevents object and spatial memory consolidation in gonadectomized male mice²¹⁵. Therefore, the data thus far suggest that hippocampally synthesized E_2 is important for memory formation in male rodents, as has been observed in female rodents. Although much more remains to be learned, these selected findings support a beneficial effect of E_2 on memory formation in male rodents.

Conclusions and future directions

This Review has illustrated some of the myriad ways in which E_2 , acting in several brain regions via multiple ERs, can facilitate numerous forms of learning and memory (FIG. 3). Three decades of research has demonstrated that E_2 is a potent regulator of the neural mechanisms that are critically important for memory formation, including cell signalling, gene expression, protein synthesis, extrinsic and intrinsic excitability, dendritic spine morphology and neurogenesis. Thus, researchers studying the neurobiology of learning and memory should recognize oestrogens as essential neuromodulators akin to other well-accepted modulatory factors such as glucocorticoids and growth factors.

Widespread acceptance of oestrogenic neuromodulation may have been historically slow to take hold because of the strong association between oestrogens and female reproduction, which has led to the erroneous perceptions that oestrogens are ‘female’ hormones and that cyclic oestrogen fluctuations in females confound experimental outcomes due to increased variability. In fact, oestrogens are important modulators of memory and neural function in both sexes^{216,217}. Depending on the behaviour being assessed, ovary-intact females do not necessarily exhibit more behavioural variability than males²¹⁸, which argues for greater consideration of the modulatory influence of oestrogens in females and in males. Future progress on this issue may stem from the US National Institutes of Health’s 2016 policy requiring vertebrate animal researchers to consider sex as a biological variable^{219–221}, as more explicit comparisons between

Memory process	ER subtype	Site of oestrogenic action	Behavioural output
Spatial and object memory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ERα • ERβ • GPER 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ↑ Spatial reference memory ↓ Spatial reference memory ↑ Spatial working memory ↑ Object recognition and object placement memory
Social memory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ERα • GPER 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ↑ Social recognition memory
Fear memory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ERβ 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ↑ Fear acquisition ↓ Fear acquisition ↑ Fear extinction learning and recall ↑ Fear generalization

Fig. 3 | Summary of oestrogenic actions on memory processes. This schematic shows the receptors and brain regions involved in the effects of 17 β -oestradiol (E₂) on memory processes and the behavioural output of the actions of E₂ in young female rodents. Spatial reference memory¹⁴², working memory¹⁴⁸ and object memory^{57,87,154,155,173} are facilitated by E₂ (but see the discussion in the main text), and are largely dependent on the hippocampus (HPC) and prefrontal cortex (PFC). The perirhinal cortex (PRC) is also involved in E₂-mediated object recognition memory^{156,157}. Social recognition memory is facilitated by oestrogen receptor- α (ER α) and G protein-coupled oestrogen receptor (GPER) signalling in the HPC and medial amygdala (MeA)^{119,172–174}. Fear acquisition, fear extinction learning and recall, and fear generalization are regulated by ER β in the PFC, amygdala (Amy) and HPC^{186,188,195,196,198}.

the sexes could lead to new insights into hormonal regulation of cognition. Although some investigators were initially resistant to this policy, attitudes towards the requirement are improving²²². Greater appreciation of oestrogens as neuromodulators that exert wide-ranging effects in all individuals — not just females — is crucial for the advancement of both basic and clinical science.

To further advance knowledge of oestrogenic modulation in both sexes, future studies should use newer technologies, including single-cell sequencing, ‘omics’-level analyses and targeted genetic manipulations, to pinpoint crucial molecules and cellular processes that underlie oestrogenic facilitation of learning and memory. For example, a multiplexed CRISPR–Cas9 gene-editing approach could be used to simultaneously target multiple oestrogen-responsive genes implicated in neurodegenerative disease to understand their role in memory function²²³. Future research must also evolve from a focus on oestrogenic effects in individual brain regions to addressing how oestrogens concurrently influence multiple brain areas within memory circuits. Recent work using multiplexed chemogenetic

silencing has shown that the memory-enhancing effects of E₂ in the dorsal hippocampus requires concurrent activity of the dorsal hippocampus and PFC¹⁵⁵. Thus, determining how brain regions interact synergistically to support oestrogen-mediated memory processes is a crucial next step for the field. As part of this approach, researchers should also consider cell-type specificity, as memory-modulating effects of E₂ on cell types such as inhibitory neurons and glial cells have been overshadowed by a predominant focus on excitatory neurons.

By leveraging new technologies and asking circuit-level and cell type-specific questions in both males and females, scientists will discover fundamental new insights into the ways in which E₂-induced modulation of brain function influences memory formation. Given the dearth of effective treatments for memory dysfunction in various disorders, this information could provide valuable new avenues for therapeutic development that benefits both sexes.

Published online 2 September 2020

1. Gould, E., Woolley, C. S., Frankfurt, M. & McEwen, B. S. Gonadal steroids regulate dendritic spine density in hippocampal pyramidal cells in adulthood. *J. Neurosci.* **10**, 1286–1291 (1990).
2. Woolley, C. & McEwen, B. Estradiol mediates fluctuation in hippocampal synapse density during the estrous cycle in the adult rat. *J. Neurosci.* **12**, 2549–2554 (1992).
This foundational study links dynamic changes in hippocampal spine density to oestrous cyclicity, such that elevated spine density is correlated with higher levels of E₂.
3. Woolley, C. S. & McEwen, B. S. Roles of estradiol and progesterone in regulation of hippocampal dendritic spine density during the estrous cycle in the rat. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **336**, 293–306 (1993).
4. Woolley, C. S. & McEwen, B. S. Estradiol regulates hippocampal dendritic spine density via an N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor-dependent mechanism. *J. Neurosci.* **14**, 7680–7687 (1994).
5. Woolley, C. S., Weiland, N. G., McEwen, B. S. & Schwartzkroin, P. A. Estradiol increases the sensitivity of hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells to NMDA receptor-mediated synaptic input: correlation with dendritic spine density. *J. Neurosci.* **17**, 1848–1859 (1997).
6. Wong, M. & Moss, R. Long-term and short-term electrophysiological effects of estrogen on the synaptic properties of hippocampal CA1 neurons. *J. Neurosci.* **12**, 3217–3225 (1992).
7. Gu, Q. & Moss, R. L. 17 β -Estradiol potentiates kainate-induced currents via activation of the cAMP cascade. *J. Neurosci.* **16**, 3620–3629 (1996).
8. Azcoitia, I., Sierra, A. & Garcia-Segura, L. M. Estradiol prevents kainic acid-induced neuronal loss in the rat dentate gyrus. *Neuroreport* **9**, 3075–3079 (1998).
9. Frick, K. M., Tuscher, J. J., Koss, W. A., Kim, J. & Taxier, L. R. Estrogenic regulation of memory consolidation: a look beyond the hippocampus, ovaries, and females. *Physiol. Behav.* **187**, 57–66 (2018).
10. Rossetti, M. F., Cambiasso, M. J., Holschbach, M. A. & Cabrera, R. Oestrogens and progestagens: synthesis and action in the brain. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/jne.12402> (2016).
11. Hara, Y., Waters, E. M., McEwen, B. S. & Morrison, J. H. Estrogen effects on cognitive and synaptic health over the lifecourse. *Physiol. Rev.* **95**, 785–807 (2015).
12. Morrison, J. H. & Baxter, M. G. The aging cortical synapse: hallmarks and implications for cognitive decline. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **13**, 240–250 (2012).
13. Dumitriu, D., Rapp, P. R., McEwen, B. S. & Morrison, J. H. Estrogen and the aging brain: an elixir for the weary cortical network. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1204**, 104–112 (2010).
14. Miller, W. L. & Auchus, R. J. The molecular biology, biochemistry, and physiology of human steroidogenesis and its disorders. *Endocr. Rev.* **32**, 81–151 (2011).
15. Compagnone, N. A. & Mellon, S. H. Neurosteroids: biosynthesis and function of these novel neuromodulators. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* **21**, 1–56 (2000).
16. Österlund, M., Kuiper, G. G. J. M., Gustafsson, J.-Å. & Hurd, Y. L. Differential distribution and regulation of estrogen receptor- α and - β mRNA within the female rat brain. *Mol. Brain Res.* **54**, 175–180 (1998).
17. Prange-Kiel, J., Wehrenberg, U., Jarry, H. & Rune, G. M. Para/autocrine regulation of estrogen receptors in hippocampal neurons. *Hippocampus* **13**, 226–234 (2003).
18. Stanic, D. et al. Characterization of aromatase expression in the adult male and female mouse brain. I. Coexistence with oestrogen receptors α and β , and androgen receptors. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e90451 (2014).
19. Kretz, O. et al. Hippocampal synapses depend on hippocampal estrogen synthesis. *J. Neurosci.* **24**, 5913–5921 (2004).
This study provides early evidence that locally synthesized oestrogens are critical for maintenance of hippocampal synapses.
20. Balthazart, J. & Ball, G. F. Is brain estradiol a hormone or a neurotransmitter? *Trends Neurosci.* **29**, 241–249 (2006).
21. Remage-Healey, L., Saldanha, C. J. & Schlinger, B. A. Estradiol synthesis and action at the synapse: evidence for “synaptocrine” signaling. *Front. Endocrinol.* **2**, 28 (2011).
22. Allen, E. The oestrous cycle in the mouse. *Am. J. Anat.* **30**, 297–371 (1922).
23. Long, J. A. & Evans, H. M. *The Oestrous Cycle in the Rat and Its Associated Phenomena*. (University of California Press, 1922).
24. Kato, A. et al. Female hippocampal synapses have a significant correlation with cyclic fluctuation of hippocampal spines. *Front. Neural. Circuits* <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnirc.2013.00149> (2013).
25. Pawluski, J. L., Brummelte, S., Barha, C. K., Crozier, T. M. & Galea, L. A. M. Effects of steroid hormones on neurogenesis in the hippocampus of the adult female rodent during the estrous cycle, pregnancy, lactation and aging. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* **30**, 343–357 (2009).
26. Mendoza-Garcés, L. et al. Differential expression of estrogen receptors in two hippocampal regions during the estrous cycle of the rat. *Anat. Rec.* **294**, 1913–1919 (2011).
27. Balthazart, J., Choleris, E. & Remage-Healey, L. Steroid and the brain: 50 years of research, conceptual shifts and the ascent of non-classical and membrane-initiated actions. *Horm. Behav.* **99**, 1–8 (2018).
28. Vasudevan, N. & Pfaff, D. W. Non-genomic actions of estrogens and their interaction with genomic actions in the brain. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* **29**, 238–257 (2008).
29. Szego, C. M. & Davis, J. S. Adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate in rat uterus: acute elevation by estrogen. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **58**, 1711–1718 (1967).
30. Kelly, M. J., Moss, R. L. & Dudley, C. A. Differential sensitivity of preoptic-septal neurons to microelectrolysed estrogen during the estrous cycle. *Brain Res.* **114**, 152–157 (1976).
31. Zhao, Z., Fan, L. & Frick, K. M. Epigenetic alterations regulate estradiol-induced enhancement of memory consolidation. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 5605–5610 (2010).
32. Akama, K. T. & McEwen, B. S. Estrogen stimulates postsynaptic density-95 rapid protein synthesis via the Akt/protein kinase B pathway. *J. Neurosci.* **23**, 2353–2359 (2003).
33. Phan, A. et al. Low doses of 17 β -estradiol rapidly improve learning and increase hippocampal dendritic spines. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **37**, 2299–2309 (2012).
34. Woolley, C. S. Acute effects of estrogen on neuronal physiology. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **47**, 657–680 (2007).
35. Kramár, E. A. et al. Cytoskeletal changes underlie estrogen's acute effects on synaptic transmission and plasticity. *J. Neurosci.* **29**, 12982–12993 (2009).
36. Pappas, T. C., Gametchu, B. & Watson, C. S. Membrane estrogen receptors identified by multiple antibody labeling and impeded-ligand binding. *FASEB J.* **9**, 404–410 (1995).
37. Watson, C. S., Norflleet, A. M., Pappas, T. C. & Gametchu, B. Rapid actions of estrogens in GH3/B6 pituitary tumor cells via a plasma membrane version of estrogen receptor- α . *Steroids* **64**, 5–13 (1999).
38. Razandi, M., Pedram, A., Greene, G. L. & Levin, E. R. Cell membrane and nuclear estrogen receptors (ERs) originate from a single transcript: studies of ER α and ER β expressed in Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **13**, 307–319 (1999).
39. Clarke, C. H. et al. Perimembrane localization of the estrogen receptor α protein in neuronal processes of cultured hippocampal neurons. *Neuroendocrinology* **71**, 34–42 (2000).
40. Gorosito, S. V., Lorenzo, A. G. & Cambiasso, M. J. Estrogen receptor α is expressed on the cell-surface of embryonic hypothalamic neurons. *Neuroscience* **154**, 1173–1177 (2008).
41. Razandi, M., Pedram, A., Park, S. T. & Levin, E. R. Proximal events in signaling by plasma membrane estrogen receptors. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 2701–2712 (2003).
42. Abraham, I. M., Todman, M. G., Korach, K. S. & Herbison, A. E. Critical in vivo roles for classical estrogen receptors in rapid estrogen actions on intracellular signaling in mouse brain. *Endocrinology* **145**, 3055–3061 (2004).
43. Blaustein, J. D. Cytoplasmic estrogen receptors in rat brain: immunocytochemical evidence using three antibodies with distinct epitopes. *Endocrinology* **131**, 1336–1342 (1992).
44. Milner, T. A. et al. Ultrastructural evidence that hippocampal alpha estrogen receptors are located at extranuclear sites. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **429**, 355–371 (2001).
45. Milner, T. A. et al. Ultrastructural localization of estrogen receptor β immunoreactivity in the rat hippocampal formation. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **491**, 81–95 (2005).
46. Andersson, S. et al. Insufficient antibody validation challenges estrogen receptor beta research. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 15840 (2017).
47. Zhang, Z., Kumar, R., Santen, R. J. & Song, R. X.-D. The role of adapter protein Shc in estrogen non-genomic action. *Steroids* **69**, 523–529 (2004).
48. Russell, K. S., Haynes, M. P., Sinha, D., Clerisme, E. & Bender, J. R. Human vascular endothelial cells contain membrane binding sites for estradiol, which mediate rapid intracellular signaling. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **97**, 5930–5935 (2000).
49. Acconcia, F., Ascenzi, P., Fabboci, G., Visca, P. & Marino, M. S-palmitoylation modulates human estrogen receptor- α functions. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **316**, 878–883 (2004).
50. Pedram, A. et al. A conserved mechanism for steroid receptor translocation to the plasma membrane. *J. Biol. Chem.* **282**, 22278–22288 (2007).
51. Meitzen, J. et al. Palmitoylation of estrogen receptors is essential for neuronal membrane signaling. *Endocrinology* **154**, 4293–4304 (2013).
52. Schlegel, A., Wang, C., Katzenellenbogen, B. S., Pestell, R. G. & Lisanti, M. P. Caveolin-1 potentiates estrogen receptor α (ER α) signaling. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 33551–33556 (1999).
53. Razandi, M., Oh, P., Pedram, A., Schnitzer, J. & Levin, E. R. ERs associate with and regulate the production of caveolin: implications for signaling and cellular actions. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **16**, 100–115 (2002).
54. Acconcia, F. et al. Palmitoylation-dependent estrogen receptor α membrane localization: regulation by 17 β -estradiol. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **16**, 231–237 (2004).
55. Boulware, M. I., Kordasiewicz, H. & Mermelstein, P. G. Caveolin proteins are essential for distinct effects of membrane estrogen receptors in neurons. *J. Neurosci.* **27**, 9941–9950 (2007).
56. Boulware, M. I. et al. Estradiol activates group I and II metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling, leading to opposing influences on cAMP response element-binding protein. *J. Neurosci.* **25**, 5066–5078 (2005).
57. Boulware, M. I., Heisler, J. D. & Frick, K. M. The memory-enhancing effects of hippocampal estrogen receptor activation involve metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling. *J. Neurosci.* **33**, 15184–15194 (2013).
58. Martinez, L. A. et al. Estradiol facilitation of cocaine self-administration in female rats requires activation of mGluR5. *eNeuro* <https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0140-16.2016> (2016).
59. Dewing, P. et al. Membrane estrogen receptor- α interactions with metabotropic glutamate receptor 1a modulate female sexual receptivity in rats. *J. Neurosci.* **27**, 9294–9300 (2007).
60. Kahlert, S. et al. Estrogen receptor α rapidly activates the IGF-1 receptor pathway. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 18447–18453 (2000).
61. Mendez, P., Azcoitia, I. & Garcia-Segura, L. M. Estrogen receptor alpha forms estrogen-dependent multimolecular complexes with insulin-like growth factor receptor and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase in the adult rat brain. *Mol. Brain Res.* **112**, 170–176 (2003).
62. Spencer-Segal, J. L. et al. Estradiol acts via estrogen receptors alpha and beta on pathways important for synaptic plasticity in the mouse hippocampal formation. *Neuroscience* **202**, 131–146 (2012).
63. Kramár, E. A., Babayan, A. H., Gall, C. M. & Lynch, G. Estrogen promotes learning-related plasticity by modifying the synaptic cytoskeleton. *Neuroscience* **239**, 3–16 (2013).
64. Quesada, A. & Micevych, P. E. Estrogen interacts with the IGF-1 system to protect nigrostriatal dopamine and maintain motoric behavior after 6-hydroxydopamine lesions. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **75**, 107–116 (2004).
65. Selvamani, A. & Sohrabji, F. The neurotoxic effects of estrogen on ischemic stroke in older female rats is associated with age-dependent loss of insulin-like growth factor-1. *J. Neurosci.* **30**, 6852–6861 (2010).
66. Witty, C. F., Gardella, L. P., Perez, M. C. & Daniel, J. M. Short-term estradiol administration in aging ovariectomized rats provides lasting benefits for memory and the hippocampus: a role for insulin-like growth factor-I. *Endocrinology* **154**, 842–852 (2013).

67. Cabodi, S. et al. p130Cas interacts with estrogen receptor α and modulates non-genomic estrogen signaling in breast cancer cells. *J. Cell Sci.* **117**, 1603–1611 (2004).
68. Lu, Q. et al. Striatin assembles a membrane signaling complex necessary for rapid, nongenomic activation of endothelial NO synthase by estrogen receptor α . *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 17126–17131 (2004).
69. Filardo, E. J., Quinn, J. A., Bland, K. I. & Frackelton, A. R. Estrogen-induced activation of Erk-1 and Erk-2 requires the G protein-coupled receptor homolog, GPR30, and occurs via trans-activation of the epidermal growth factor receptor through release of HB-EGF. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **14**, 1649–1660 (2000).
70. Thomas, P., Pang, Y., Filardo, E. J. & Dong, J. Identity of an estrogen membrane receptor coupled to a G protein in human breast cancer cells. *Endocrinology* **146**, 624–632 (2005).
This study describes GPER as a membrane-tethered receptor that binds oestrogens, thereby establishing the existence of a novel, non-nuclear ER.
71. Filardo, E. J., Quinn, J. A., Frackelton, A. R. & Bland, K. I. Estrogen action via the G protein-coupled receptor, GPR30: stimulation of adenyl cyclase and cAMP-mediated attenuation of the epidermal growth factor receptor-to-MAPK signaling axis. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **16**, 70–84 (2002).
72. Revankar, C. M., Cimino, D. F., Sklar, L. A., Arterburn, J. B. & Prossnitz, E. R. A transmembrane intracellular estrogen receptor mediates rapid cell signaling. *Science* **307**, 1625–1630 (2005).
73. Hazell, G. G. J. et al. Localisation of GPR30, a novel G protein-coupled oestrogen receptor, suggests multiple functions in rodent brain and peripheral tissues. *J. Endocrinol.* **202**, 223–236 (2009).
74. Brailoiu, E. et al. Distribution and characterization of estrogen receptor G protein-coupled receptor 30 in the rat central nervous system. *J. Endocrinol.* **193**, 311–321 (2019).
75. Hammond, R., Nelson, D., Kline, E. & Gibbs, R. B. Chronic treatment with a GPR30 antagonist impairs acquisition of a spatial learning task in young female rats. *Horm. Behav.* **62**, 367–374 (2012).
76. Hawley, W. R., Grissom, E. M., Moody, N. M., Dohanich, G. P. & Vasudevan, N. Activation of G-protein-coupled receptor 30 is sufficient to enhance spatial recognition memory in ovariectomized rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* **262**, 68–73 (2014).
77. Gabor, C., Lymer, J., Phan, A. & Choleris, E. Rapid effects of the G-protein coupled estrogen receptor (GPER) on learning and dorsal hippocampus dendritic spines in female mice. *Physiol. Behav.* **149**, 53–60 (2015).
78. Kim, J., Szinte, J. S., Boulware, M. I. & Frick, K. M. 17 β -Estradiol and agonism of G-protein-coupled estrogen receptor enhance hippocampal memory via different cell-signaling mechanisms. *J. Neurosci.* **36**, 3309–3321 (2016).
79. Ervin, K. S. J., Mulvale, E., Gallagher, N., Roussel, V. & Choleris, E. Activation of the G protein-coupled estrogen receptor, but not estrogen receptor α or β , rapidly enhances social learning. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **58**, 51–66 (2015).
80. Anchan, D., Gafur, A., Sano, K., Ogawa, S. & Vasudevan, N. Activation of the GPR30 receptor promotes lordosis in female mice. *Neuroendocrinology* **100**, 71–80 (2014).
81. Long, N., Serey, C. & Sinchak, K. 17 β -estradiol rapidly facilitates lordosis through G protein-coupled estrogen receptor 1 (GPER) via deactivation of medial preoptic nucleus μ -opioid receptors in estradiol primed female rats. *Horm. Behav.* **66**, 663–666 (2014).
82. Briz, V. & Baudry, M. Estrogen regulates protein synthesis and actin polymerization in hippocampal neurons through different molecular mechanisms. *Neuroendocr. Sci.* **5**, 22 (2014).
83. Zhao, L., Chen, S., Ming Wang, J. & Brinton, R. D. 17 β -Estradiol induces Ca^{2+} influx, dendritic and nuclear Ca^{2+} rise and subsequent cyclic AMP response element-binding protein activation in hippocampal neurons: a potential initiation mechanism for estrogen neurotrophism. *Neuroscience* **132**, 299–311 (2005).
84. Wu, T.-W., Chen, S. & Brinton, R. D. Membrane estrogen receptors mediate calcium signaling and MAP kinase activation in individual hippocampal neurons. *Brain Res.* **1379**, 34–43 (2011).
85. Moss, R. L. & Gu, Q. Estrogen: mechanisms for a rapid action in CA1 hippocampal neurons. *Steroids* **64**, 14–21 (1999).
86. Kuroki, Y., Fukushima, K., Kanda, Y., Mizuno, K. & Watanabe, Y. Putative membrane-bound estrogen receptors possibly stimulate mitogen-activated protein kinase in the rat hippocampus. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **400**, 205–209 (2000).
87. Fernandez, S. M. et al. Oestradiol-induced enhancement of object memory consolidation involves hippocampal extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation and membrane-bound oestrogen receptors. *J. Neurosci.* **28**, 8660–8667 (2008).
This study reveals a crucial role for rapidly activated cell signalling activity in the memory-enhancing effects of E₂.
88. Lee, S. J. et al. Estrogen induces phosphorylation of cyclic AMP response element binding (pCREB) in primary hippocampal cells in a time-dependent manner. *Neuroscience* **124**, 549–560 (2004).
89. Yokomaku, D. et al. Estrogen enhances depolarization-induced glutamate release through activation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and mitogen-activated protein kinase in cultured hippocampal neurons. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **17**, 831–844 (2003).
90. Spencer, J. L., Waters, E. M., Milner, T. A. & McEwen, B. S. Estrogen cycle regulates activation of hippocampal Akt, LIMK, and neurotrophin receptors in C57BL/6 mice. *Neuroscience* **155**, 1106–1119 (2008).
91. Fan, L. et al. Estradiol-induced object memory consolidation in middle-aged female mice requires dorsal hippocampal extracellular signal-regulated kinase and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase activation. *J. Neurosci.* **30**, 4390–4400 (2010).
92. Ruiz-Palmero, I., Hernandez, M., Garcia-Segura, L. M. & Arevalo, M.-A. G protein-coupled estrogen receptor is required for the neurotrophic mechanism of 17 β -estradiol in developing hippocampal neurons. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* **372**, 105–115 (2013).
93. Lewis, M. C., Kerr, K. M., Orr, P. T. & Frick, K. M. Estradiol-induced enhancement of object memory consolidation involves NMDA receptors and protein kinase A in the dorsal hippocampus of female C57BL/6 mice. *Behav. Neurosci.* **122**, 716–721 (2008).
94. Sato, K., Akaiishi, T., Matsuki, N., Ohno, Y. & Nakazawa, K. β -Estradiol induces synaptogenesis in the hippocampus by enhancing brain-derived neurotrophic factor release from dentate gyrus granule cells. *Brain Res.* **1150**, 108–120 (2007).
95. Gu, Q., Korach, K. S. & Moss, R. L. Rapid action of 17 β -estradiol on kainate-induced currents in hippocampal neurons lacking intracellular estrogen receptors. *Endocrinology* **140**, 660–666 (1999).
96. Hasegawa, Y. et al. Estradiol rapidly modulates synaptic plasticity of hippocampal neurons: Involvement of kinase networks. *Brain Res.* **1621**, 147–161 (2015).
97. Fortress, A. M., Fan, L., Orr, P. T., Zhao, Z. & Frick, K. M. Estradiol-induced object recognition memory consolidation is dependent on activation of mTOR signaling in the dorsal hippocampus. *Learn. Mem.* **20**, 147–155 (2013).
98. Sarkar, S. N., Smith, L. T., Logan, S. M. & Simpkins, J. W. Estrogen-induced activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase signaling triggers dendritic resident mRNA translation. *Neuroscience* **170**, 1080–1085 (2010).
99. Tuscher, J. J., Luine, V., Frankfurt, M. & Frick, K. M. Estradiol-mediated spine changes in the dorsal hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex of ovariectomized female mice depend on ERK and mTOR activation in the dorsal hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* **36**, 1483–1489 (2016).
100. Yuen, G. S., McEwen, B. S. & Akama, K. T. LIM kinase mediates estrogen action on the actin depolymerization factor cofilin. *Brain Res.* **1379**, 44–52 (2011).
101. Zhao, Y. et al. Estrogen receptor alpha and beta regulate actin polymerization and spatial memory through an SRC-1/mTORC2-dependent pathway in the hippocampus of female mice. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **174**, 96–113 (2017).
102. Yildirim, M. et al. Estrogen and aging affect synaptic distribution of phosphorylated LIM Kinase (LIMK) in CA1 region of female rat hippocampus. *Neuroscience* **152**, 360–370 (2008).
103. Kim, J. et al. Dorsal hippocampal actin polymerization is necessary for activation of G-protein-coupled estrogen receptor (GPER) to increase CA1 dendritic spine density and enhance memory consolidation. *J. Neurosci.* **39**, 9598–9610 (2019).
104. Zhou, Y., Watters, J. J. & Dorsa, D. M. Estrogen rapidly induces the phosphorylation of the cAMP response element binding protein in rat brain. *Endocrinology* **137**, 2163–2166 (1996).
105. Zhao, Z., Fan, L., Fortress, A. M., Boulware, M. I. & Frick, K. M. Hippocampal histone acetylation regulates object recognition and the estradiol-induced enhancement of object recognition. *J. Neurosci.* **32**, 2344–2351 (2012).
106. Fortress, A. M., Kim, J., Poole, R. L., Gould, T. J. & Frick, K. M. 17 β -Estradiol regulates histone alterations associated with memory consolidation and increases Bdnf promoter acetylation in middle-aged female mice. *Learn. Mem.* **21**, 457–467 (2014).
107. Carrer, H. F., Araque, A. & Buño, W. Estradiol regulates the slow Ca^{2+} -activated K^{+} current in hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *J. Neurosci.* **23**, 6338–6344 (2003).
108. Kumar, A. & Foster, T. C. 17 β -estradiol benzoate decreases the AHP amplitude in CA1 pyramidal neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* **88**, 621–626 (2002).
109. Foy, M. R. et al. 17 β -estradiol enhances NMDA receptor-mediated EPSPs and long-term potentiation. *J. Neurophysiol.* **81**, 925–929 (1999).
110. Pozzo-Miller, L. D., Inoue, T. & Murphy, D. D. Estradiol increases spine density and NMDA-dependent Ca^{2+} transients in spines of CA1 pyramidal neurons from hippocampal slices. *J. Neurophysiol.* **81**, 1404–1411 (1999).
111. Oberlander, J. G. & Woolley, C. S. 17 β -estradiol acutely potentiates glutamatergic synaptic transmission in the hippocampus through distinct mechanisms in males and females. *J. Neurosci.* **37**, 12314–12327 (2017).
This article provides a detailed example of how E₂ can work through sex-specific molecular mechanisms to produce the same functional outcomes in both males and females.
112. Bi, R., Broutman, G., Foy, M. R., Thompson, R. F. & Baudry, M. The tyrosine kinase and mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways mediate multiple effects of estrogen in hippocampus. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **97**, 3602–3607 (2000).
113. Smith, C. C. & McMahon, L. L. Estrogen-induced increase in the magnitude of long-term potentiation occurs only when the ratio of NMDA transmission to AMPA transmission is increased. *J. Neurosci.* **25**, 7780–7791 (2005).
114. Liu, F. et al. Activation of estrogen receptor- β regulates hippocampal synaptic plasticity and improves memory. *Nat. Neurosci.* **11**, 334–343 (2008).
115. Xu, X. et al. Bisphenol-A rapidly promotes dynamic changes in hippocampal dendritic morphology through estrogen receptor-mediated pathway by concomitant phosphorylation of NMDA receptor subunit NR2B. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **249**, 188–196 (2010).
116. Avila, J. A. et al. Estradiol rapidly increases GluA2-mushroom spines and decreases GluA2-filopodia spines in hippocampus CA1. *Hippocampus* **27**, 1224–1229 (2017).
117. Waters, E. M. et al. Effects of estrogen and aging on synaptic morphology and distribution of phosphorylated Tyr1472 NR2B in the female rat hippocampus. *Neurobiol. Aging* **73**, 200–210 (2019).
118. Potier, M. et al. Temporal memory and its enhancement by estradiol requires surface dynamics of hippocampal CA1 N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors. *Biol. Psychiatry* **79**, 735–745 (2016).
119. Phan, A. et al. Rapid increases in immature synapses parallel estrogen-induced hippocampal learning enhancements. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 16018–16023 (2015).
This comprehensive study links rapid estrogen-induced hippocampal spine formation with modulation of glutamatergic synapses and enhancement of spatial and recognition memory.
120. Vedder, L. C., Smith, C. C., Flannigan, A. E. & McMahon, L. L. Estradiol-induced increase in novel object recognition requires hippocampal NR2B-containing NMDA receptors. *Hippocampus* **23**, 108–115 (2013).
121. Smith, C. C. & McMahon, L. L. Estradiol-induced increase in the magnitude of long-term potentiation is prevented by blocking NR2B-containing receptors. *J. Neurosci.* **26**, 8517–8522 (2006).
122. Huang, G. Z. & Woolley, C. S. Estradiol acutely suppresses inhibition in the hippocampus through a sex-specific endocannabinoid and mGluR-dependent mechanism. *Neuron* **74**, 801–808 (2012).
123. Tabatadze, N., Huang, G., May, R. M., Jain, A. & Woolley, C. S. Sex differences in molecular signaling at inhibitory synapses in the hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* **35**, 11252–11265 (2015).

124. Fugger, H. N., Foster, T. C., Gustafsson, J. & Rissman, E. F. Novel effects of estradiol and estrogen receptor alpha and beta on cognitive function. *Brain Res.* **883**, 258–264 (2000).

125. Walf, A. A., Koonce, C. J. & Frye, C. A. Estradiol or diarylpropionitrile administration to wild type, but not estrogen receptor beta knockout, mice enhances performance in the object recognition and object placement tasks. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* **89**, 513–521 (2008).

126. Rissman, E. F., Heck, A. L., Leonard, J. E., Shupnik, M. A. & Gustafsson, J.-A. Disruption of estrogen receptor beta gene impairs spatial learning in female mice. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **99**, 3996–4001 (2002).

127. Witty, C. F., Foster, T. C., Semple-Rowland, S. L. & Daniel, J. M. Increasing hippocampal estrogen receptor alpha levels via viral vectors increases MAP kinase activation and enhances memory in aging rats in the absence of ovarian estrogens. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e51385 (2012).

128. Hanson, A. M. et al. A-C estrogens as potent and selective estrogen receptor-beta agonists (SERBAs) to enhance memory consolidation under low-estrogen conditions. *J. Med. Chem.* **61**, 4720–4738 (2018).

129. Frick, K. M. Estrogens and age-related memory decline in rodents: what have we learned and where do we go from here? *Horm. Behav.* **55**, 2–23 (2009).

130. Boulware, M. I., Kent, B. A. & Frick, K. M. The impact of age-related ovarian hormone loss on cognitive and neural function. *Curr. Top. Behav. Neurosci.* **10**, 165–184 (2012).

131. Mehra, R. D., Sharma, K., Nyakas, C. & Vij, U. Estrogen receptor α and β immunoreactive neurons in normal adult and aged female rat hippocampus: a qualitative and quantitative study. *Brain Res.* **1056**, 22–35 (2005).

132. Zhang, Q.-G. et al. Estrogen attenuates ischemic oxidative damage via an estrogen receptor α -mediated inhibition of NADPH oxidase activation. *J. Neurosci.* **29**, 13823–13836 (2009).

133. Daniel, J. M. Estrogens, estrogen receptors, and female cognitive aging: the impact of timing. *Horm. Behav.* **63**, 231–237 (2013).

134. Luine, V. & Frankfurt, M. Estrogenic regulation of memory: the first 50 years. *Horm. Behav.* **121**, 104711 (2020).

135. Foster, T. C. Role of estrogen receptor alpha and beta expression and signaling on cognitive function during aging. *Hippocampus* **22**, 656–669 (2012).

136. Warren, S. G. & Juraska, J. M. Spatial and nonspatial learning across the rat estrous cycle. *Behav. Neurosci.* **111**, 259–266 (1997).

137. Daniel, J. M., Roberts, S. L. & Dohanich, G. P. Effects of ovarian hormones and environment on radial maze and water maze performance of female rats. *Physiol. Behav.* **66**, 11–20 (1999).

138. Chesler, E. J. & Juraska, J. M. Acute administration of estrogen and progesterone impairs the acquisition of the spatial Morris water maze in ovariectomized rats. *Horm. Behav.* **38**, 234–242 (2000).

139. Frick, K. M. & Berger-Sweeney, J. Spatial reference memory and neocortical neurochemistry vary with the estrous cycle in C57BL/6 mice. *Behav. Neurosci.* **115**, 229–237 (2001).

140. Sandstrom, N. J. & Williams, C. L. Memory retention is modulated by acute estradiol and progesterone replacement. *Behav. Neurosci.* **115**, 384–393 (2001).

141. Galea, L. A., Kavaliers, M., Ossenkopp, K. P. & Hampson, E. Gonadal hormone levels and spatial learning performance in the Morris water maze in male and female meadow voles, *Microtus pennsylvanicus*. *Horm. Behav.* **29**, 106–125 (1995).

142. Packard, M. G. & Teather, L. A. Intra-hippocampal estradiol infusion enhances memory in ovariectomized rats. *Neuroreport* **8**, 3009–3013 (1997). **This pioneering study demonstrates that intrahippocampally administered E₂ rapidly enhances spatial memory consolidation within just 2 h after training.**

143. Daniel, J. M., Fader, A. J., Spencer, A. L. & Dohanich, G. P. Estrogen enhances performance of female rats during acquisition of a radial arm maze. *Horm. Behav.* **32**, 217–225 (1997).

144. Bimonte, H. A. & Denenberg, V. H. Estradiol facilitates performance as working memory load increases. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **24**, 161–173 (1999).

145. Luine, V. N., Richards, S. T., Wu, Y. & Beck, K. D. Estradiol enhances learning and memory in a spatial memory task and effects levels of monoaminergic neurotransmitters. *Horm. Behav.* **34**, 149–162 (1998).

146. Gibbs, R. B. & Johnson, D. A. Sex-specific effects of gonadectomy and hormone treatment on acquisition of a 12-arm radial maze task by Sprague Dawley rats. *Endocrinology* **149**, 3176–3183 (2008).

147. Nelson, B. S., Springer, R. C. & Daniel, J. M. Antagonism of brain insulin-like growth factor-1 receptors blocks estradiol effects on memory and levels of hippocampal synaptic proteins in ovariectomized rats. *Psychopharmacology* **231**, 899–907 (2014).

148. Sinopoli, K. J., Floresco, S. B. & Galea, L. A. M. Systemic and local administration of estradiol into the prefrontal cortex or hippocampus differentially alters working memory. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* **86**, 293–304 (2006).

149. Wallace, M., Luine, V., Arellanos, A. & Frankfurt, M. Ovariectomized rats show decreased recognition memory and spine density in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. *Brain Res.* **1126**, 176–182 (2006).

150. Fonseca, C. S. et al. Object recognition memory and temporal lobe activation after delayed estrogen replacement therapy. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* **101**, 19–25 (2013).

151. Inagaki, T., Gautreaux, C. & Luine, V. Acute estrogen treatment facilitates recognition memory consolidation and alters monoamine levels in memory-related brain areas. *Horm. Behav.* **58**, 415–426 (2010).

152. Luine, V. N., Jacome, L. F. & MacLusky, N. J. Rapid enhancement of visual and place memory by estrogens in rats. *Endocrinology* **144**, 2836–2844 (2003).

153. Gresack, J. E. & Frick, K. M. Post-training estrogen enhances spatial and object memory consolidation in female mice. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* **84**, 112–119 (2006).

154. Pereira, L. M., Bastos, C. P., de Souza, J. M., Ribeiro, F. M. & Pereira, G. S. Estradiol enhances object recognition memory in Swiss female mice by activating hippocampal estrogen receptor α . *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* **114**, 1–9 (2014).

155. Tuscher, J. J., Taxier, L. R., Schalk, L. R., Haertel, J. M. & Frick, K. M. Chemogenetic suppression of medial prefrontal-dorsal hippocampal interactions prevents estrogenic enhancement of memory consolidation in female mice. *eNeuro* <https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0451-18.2019> (2019). **This study leverages novel chemogenetic tools to demonstrate that activity of multiple brain regions in concert is required for oestrogenic enhancement of memory consolidation.**

156. Gervais, N. J., Jacob, S., Brake, W. G. & Mumby, D. G. Systemic and intra-rhinal-cortical 17 β estradiol administration modulate object-recognition memory in ovariectomized female rats. *Horm. Behav.* **64**, 642–652 (2013).

157. Gervais, N. J., Hamel, L. M., Brake, W. G. & Mumby, D. G. Intra-perirhinal cortex administration of estradiol, but not an ER β agonist, modulates object-recognition memory in ovariectomized rats. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* **133**, 89–99 (2016).

158. Taxier, L. R., Philippi, S. M., Fortress, A. M. & Frick, K. M. Dickkopf-1 blocks 17 β -estradiol-enhanced object memory consolidation in ovariectomized female mice. *Horm. Behav.* **114**, 104545 (2019).

159. Luine, V. & Frankfurt, M. Interactions between estradiol, BDNF and dendritic spines in promoting memory. *Neuroscience* **239**, 34–45 (2013).

160. Warren, S. G., Humphreys, A. G., Juraska, J. M. & Greenough, W. T. LTP varies across the estrous cycle: enhanced synaptic plasticity in proestrus rats. *Brain Res.* **703**, 26–30 (1995).

161. Good, M., Day, M. & Muir, J. L. Cyclical changes in endogenous levels of oestrogen modulate the induction of LTD and LTP in the hippocampal CA1 region. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **11**, 4476–4480 (1999).

162. Vedder, L. C., Bredemann, T. M. & McMahon, L. L. Estradiol replacement extends the window of opportunity for hippocampal function. *Neurobiol. Aging* **35**, 2183–2192 (2014).

163. Ferguson, J. N., Young, L. J. & Insel, T. R. The neuroendocrine basis of social recognition. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* **23**, 200–224 (2002).

164. Gabor, C. S., Phan, A., Clipperton-Allen, A. E., Kavaliers, M. & Choleris, E. Interplay of oxytocin, vasopressin, and sex hormones in the regulation of social recognition. *Behav. Neurosci.* **126**, 97–109 (2012).

165. Sánchez-Andrade, G. & Kendrick, K. M. Roles of α - and β -estrogen receptors in mouse social recognition memory: effects of gender and the estrous cycle. *Horm. Behav.* **59**, 114–122 (2011).

166. Hlíňáček, Z. Social recognition in ovariectomized and estradiol-treated female rats. *Horm. Behav.* **27**, 159–166 (1993).

167. Tang, A. C. et al. Effects of long-term estrogen replacement on social investigation and social memory in ovariectomized C57BL/6 mice. *Horm. Behav.* **47**, 350–357 (2005).

168. Spiteri, T. & Āgmo, A. Ovarian hormones modulate social recognition in female rats. *Physiol. Behav.* **98**, 247–250 (2009).

169. Choleris, E. et al. An estrogen-dependent four-gene micronet regulating social recognition: a study with oxytocin and estrogen receptor- α and - β knockout mice. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 6192–6197 (2003).

170. Ferguson, J. N., Aldag, J. M., Insel, T. R. & Young, L. J. Oxytocin in the medial amygdala is essential for social recognition in the mouse. *J. Neurosci.* **21**, 8278–8285 (2001).

171. Choleris, E. et al. Involvement of estrogen receptor α , β and oxytocin in social discrimination: a detailed behavioral analysis with knockout female mice. *Genes Brain Behav.* **5**, 528–539 (2006).

172. Spiteri, T. et al. The role of the estrogen receptor α in the medial amygdala and ventromedial nucleus of the hypothalamus in social recognition, anxiety and aggression. *Behav. Brain Res.* **210**, 211–220 (2010).

173. Phan, A., Lancaster, K. E., Armstrong, J. N., MacLusky, N. J. & Choleris, E. Rapid effects of estrogen receptor α and β selective agonists on learning and dendritic spines in female mice. *Endocrinology* **152**, 1492–1502 (2011).

174. Lymer, J., Robinson, A., Winters, B. D. & Choleris, E. Rapid effects of dorsal hippocampal G-protein coupled estrogen receptor on learning in female mice. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **77**, 131–140 (2017).

175. Lymer, J. M. et al. Estrogens and their receptors in the medial amygdala rapidly facilitate social recognition in female mice. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **89**, 30–38 (2018).

176. Morgan, M. A. & Pfaff, D. W. Effects of estrogen on activity and fear-related behaviors in mice. *Horm. Behav.* **40**, 472–482 (2001).

177. Jasnow, A. M., Schulkin, J. & Pfaff, D. W. Estrogen facilitates fear conditioning and increases corticotropin-releasing hormone mRNA expression in the central amygdala in female mice. *Horm. Behav.* **49**, 197–205 (2006).

178. Hiroi, R. & Neumaier, J. F. Differential effects of ovarian steroids on anxiety versus fear as measured by open field test and fear-potentiated startle. *Behav. Brain Res.* **166**, 93–100 (2006).

179. Markus, E. J. & Zecevic, M. Sex differences and estrous cycle changes in hippocampus-dependent fear conditioning. *Psychobiology* **25**, 246–252 (1997).

180. Gupta, R. R., Sen, S., Diepenhorst, L. L., Rudick, C. N. & Maren, S. Estrogen modulates sexually dimorphic contextual fear conditioning and hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP) in rats. *Brain Res.* **888**, 356–365 (2001).

181. McDermott, C. M., Liu, D., Ade, C. & Schrader, L. A. Estradiol replacement enhances fear memory formation, impairs extinction and reduces COMT expression levels in the hippocampus of ovariectomized female mice. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* **118**, 167–177 (2015).

182. Matsumoto, Y. K., Kasai, M. & Tomihara, K. The enhancement effect of estradiol on contextual fear conditioning in female mice. *PLoS ONE* **13**, e0197441 (2018).

183. Barha, C. K., Dalton, G. L. & Galea, L. A. Low doses of 17 α -estradiol and 17 β -estradiol facilitate, whereas higher doses of estrone and 17 α - and 17 β -estradiol impair, contextual fear conditioning in adult female rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **35**, 547–559 (2010).

184. Rivas-Arancia, S. & Vazquez-Pereyra, F. Hormonal modulation of extinction responses induced by sexual steroid hormones in rats. *Life Sci.* **54**, PL363–PL367 (1994).

185. Yuan, D. L. & Chambers, K. C. Estradiol accelerates extinction of a conditioned taste aversion in female and male rats. *Horm. Behav.* **36**, 1–16 (1999).

186. Chang, Y.-J. et al. Estrogen modulates sexually dimorphic contextual fear extinction in rats through estrogen receptor β . *Hippocampus* **19**, 1142–1150 (2009).

187. Milad, M. R., Igoe, S. A., Lebron-Milad, K. & Novales, J. E. Estrous cycle phase and gonadal hormones influence conditioned fear extinction. *Neuroscience* **164**, 887–895 (2009).
This article defines E₂ as an important modulator of fear extinction learning.
188. Zeidan, M. A. et al. Estradiol modulates medial prefrontal cortex and amygdala activity during fear extinction in women and female rats. *Biol. Psychiatry* **70**, 920–927 (2011).
189. Graham, B. M. & Milad, M. R. Blockade of estrogen by hormonal contraceptives impairs fear extinction in female rats and women. *Biol. Psychiatry* **73**, 371–378 (2013).
190. Graham, B. M. & Scott, E. Effects of systemic estradiol on fear extinction in female rats are dependent on interactions between dose, estrous phase, and endogenous estradiol levels. *Horm. Behav.* **97**, 67–74 (2018).
191. de Castilhos, J., Forti, C. D., Achaval, M. & Rasia-Filho, A. A. Dendritic spine density of posterodorsal medial amygdala neurons can be affected by gonadectomy and sex steroid manipulations in adult rats: a Golgi study. *Brain Res.* **1240**, 73–81 (2008).
192. Ferri, S. L., Hildebrand, P. F., Way, S. E. & Flanagan-Cato, L. M. Estradiol regulates markers of synaptic plasticity in the hypothalamic ventromedial nucleus and amygdala of female rats. *Horm. Behav.* **66**, 409–420 (2014).
193. Amano, T., Unal, C. T. & Paré, D. Synaptic correlates of fear extinction in the amygdala. *Nat. Neurosci.* **13**, 489–494 (2010).
194. Shansky, R. M. et al. Estrogen promotes stress sensitivity in a prefrontal cortex–amygdala pathway. *Cereb. Cortex* **20**, 2560–2567 (2010).
195. Maeng, L. Y. et al. Estradiol shifts interactions between the infralimbic cortex and central amygdala to enhance fear extinction memory in female rats. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **95**, 163–175 (2017).
196. Rey, C. D., Lipps, J. & Shansky, R. M. Dopamine D1 receptor activation rescues extinction impairments in low-estrogen female rats and induces cortical layer-specific activation changes in prefrontal–amygdala circuits. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **39**, 1282–1289 (2014).
197. Lynch, J., Cullen, P. K., Jasnow, A. M. & Riccio, D. C. Sex differences in the generalization of fear as a function of retention intervals. *Learn. Mem.* **20**, 628–632 (2013).
198. Lynch, J. F., Winiecki, P., Vanderhoof, T., Riccio, D. C. & Jasnow, A. M. Hippocampal cytosolic estrogen receptors regulate fear generalization in females. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* **130**, 83–92 (2016).
199. Ooishi, Y. et al. Modulation of synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus by hippocampus-derived estrogen and androgen. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **131**, 37–51 (2012).
200. Hojo, Y. et al. Adult male rat hippocampus synthesizes estradiol from pregnenolone by cytochromes P45017 α and P450 aromatase localized in neurons. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 865–870 (2004).
201. Wang, W. et al. Memory-related synaptic plasticity is sexually dimorphic in rodent hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* **38**, 7935–7951 (2018).
202. Jain, A., Huang, G. Z. & Woolley, C. S. Latent sex differences in molecular signaling that underlies excitatory synaptic potentiation in the hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* **39**, 1552–1565 (2019).
203. Fester, L. et al. Control of aromatase in hippocampal neurons. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **160**, 9–14 (2016).
204. Vierk, R. et al. Aromatase inhibition abolishes LTP generation in female but not in male mice. *J. Neurosci.* **32**, 8116–8126 (2012).
205. Zhou, L. et al. Oestradiol-induced synapse formation in the female hippocampus: roles of oestrogen receptor subtypes. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* **26**, 439–447 (2014).
206. Lu, Y. et al. Neuron-derived estrogen regulates synaptic plasticity and memory. *J. Neurosci.* **39**, 2792–2809 (2019).
207. Brandt, N. & Rune, G. M. Sex-dependency of oestrogen-induced structural synaptic plasticity: inhibition of aromatase versus application of estradiol in rodents. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.14541> (2019).
208. Jacome, L. F. et al. Gonadal hormones rapidly enhance spatial memory and increase hippocampal spine density in male rats. *Endocrinology* **157**, 1357–1362 (2016).
This study shows that rapid E₂ signalling produces parallel effects on hippocampal structure and function in males comparable to historical findings in females.
209. Koss, W. A., Haertel, J. M., Philippi, S. M. & Frick, K. M. Sex differences in the rapid cell signaling mechanisms underlying the memory-enhancing effects of 17 β -estradiol. *eNeuro* <https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0267-18.2018> (2018).
210. Frye, C. A., Rhodes, M. E. & Dudek, B. Estradiol to aged female or male mice improves learning in inhibitory avoidance and water maze tasks. *Brain Res.* **1036**, 101–108 (2005).
211. Packard, M. G. Posttraining estrogen and memory modulation. *Horm. Behav.* **34**, 126–139 (1998).
212. Heikkinen, T., Puoliväli, J., Liu, L., Rissanen, A. & Tanila, H. Effects of ovariectomy and estrogen treatment on learning and hippocampal neurotransmitters in mice. *Horm. Behav.* **41**, 22–32 (2002).
213. Pierman, S. et al. Activational effects of estradiol and dihydrotestosterone on social recognition and the arginine-vasopressin immunoreactive system in male mice lacking a functional aromatase gene. *Horm. Behav.* **54**, 98–106 (2008).
214. Alejandro-Gomez, M., Garcia-Segura, L. M. & Gonzalez-Burgos, I. Administration of an inhibitor of estrogen biosynthesis facilitates working memory acquisition in male rats. *Neurosci. Res.* **58**, 272–277 (2007).
215. Koss, W. A. & Frick, K. M. Activation of androgen receptors protects intact male mice from memory impairments caused by aromatase inhibition. *Horm. Behav.* **111**, 96–104 (2019).
216. Koss, W. A. & Frick, K. M. Sex differences in hippocampal function. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **95**, 539–562 (2017).
217. Frick, K. M., Kim, J., Tuscher, J. J. & Fortress, A. M. Sex steroid hormones matter for learning and memory: estrogenic regulation of hippocampal function in male and female rodents. *Learn. Mem.* **22**, 472–493 (2015).
218. Prendergast, B. J., Onishi, K. G. & Zucker, I. Female mice liberated for inclusion in neuroscience and biomedical research. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **40**, 1–5 (2014).
219. Clayton, J. A. Studying both sexes: a guiding principle for biomedicine. *FASEB J.* **30**, 519–524 (2016).
220. Clayton, J. A. Applying the new SABV (sex as a biological variable) policy to research and clinical care. *Physiol. Behav.* **187**, 2–5 (2018).
221. Brooks, C. E. & Clayton, J. A. Sex/gender influences on the nervous system: basic steps toward clinical progress. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **95**, 14–16 (2017).
222. Woitowich, N. C. & Woodruff, T. K. Implementation of the NIH sex-inclusion policy: Attitudes and opinions of study section members. *J. Womens Health* **28**, 9–16 (2018).
223. Sandoval, A., Elahi, H. & Ploski, J. E. Genetically engineering the nervous system with CRISPR-Cas. *eNeuro* <https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0419-19.2020> (2020).
224. Mitchnick, K. A. et al. Dissociable involvement of estrogen receptors in perirhinal cortex-mediated object-place memory in male rats. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **107**, 98–108 (2019).
225. Kim, J. & Frick, K. M. Distinct effects of estrogen receptor antagonism on object recognition and spatial memory consolidation in ovariectomized mice. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **85**, 110–114 (2017).
226. Tuscher, J. J. et al. Inhibition of local estrogen synthesis in the hippocampus impairs hippocampal memory consolidation in ovariectomized female mice. *Horm. Behav.* **83**, 60–67 (2016).
227. Bayer, J. et al. The effect of estrogen synthesis inhibition on hippocampal memory. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **56**, 213–225 (2015).
228. Gervais, N. J. et al. Adverse effects of aromatase inhibition on the brain and behavior in a nonhuman primate. *J. Neurosci.* **39**, 918–928 (2019).
229. Morris, R. Developments of a water-maze procedure for studying spatial learning in the rat. *J. Neurosci. Methods* **11**, 47–60 (1984).
230. Vorhees, C. V. & Williams, M. T. Morris water maze: procedures for assessing spatial and related forms of learning and memory. *Nat. Protoc.* **1**, 848–858 (2006).
231. Olton, D. S. The radial arm maze as a tool in behavioral pharmacology. *Physiol. Behav.* **40**, 793–797 (1987).
232. Olton, D. S. & Papas, B. C. Spatial memory and hippocampal function. *Neuropsychologia* **17**, 669–682 (1979).
233. Ennaceur, A. & Delacour, J. A new one-trial test for neurobiological studies of memory in rats. 1: behavioral data. *Behav. Brain Res.* **31**, 47–59 (1988).
234. Ennaceur, A. & Aggleton, J. P. Spontaneous recognition of object configurations in rats: effects of fornix lesions. *Exp. Brain Res.* **100**, 85–92 (1994).
235. Phillips, R. G. & LeDoux, J. E. Differential contribution of amygdala and hippocampus to cued and contextual fear conditioning. *Behav. Neurosci.* **106**, 274–285 (1992).
236. Frick, K. M., Fortress, A. M. in *The Maze Book: Theories, Practice, and Protocols for Testing Rodent Cognition* (ed Bimonte-Nelson, H.) 165–210 (Springer, 2015).
237. Naftolin, F., Ryan, K. J. & Petro, Z. Aromatization of androstenedione by the anterior hypothalamus of adult male and female rats. *Endocrinology* **90**, 295–298 (1972).
238. Sato, S. M. & Woolley, C. S. Acute inhibition of neurosteroid estrogen synthesis suppresses status epilepticus in an animal model. *eLife* **5**, e12917 (2016).
239. Prange-Kiel, J. et al. Inhibition of hippocampal estrogen synthesis causes region-specific downregulation of synaptic protein expression in hippocampal neurons. *Hippocampus* **16**, 464–471 (2006).
240. Zhou, L. et al. Aromatase inhibitors induce spine synapse loss in the hippocampus of ovariectomized mice. *Endocrinology* **151**, 1153–1160 (2010).
241. Bailey, D. J., Ma, C., Soma, K. K. & Saldanha, C. J. Inhibition of hippocampal aromatization impairs spatial memory performance in a male songbird. *Endocrinology* **154**, 4707–4714 (2013).
242. Blaustein, J. D. Treatments for breast cancer that affect cognitive function in postmenopausal women. *Policy Insights Behav. Brain Sci.* **4**, 170–177 (2017).
243. Bimonte-Nelson, H. A., Acosta, J. I. & Talboom, J. S. Neuroscientists as cartographers: mapping the crossroads of gonadal hormones, memory and age using animal models. *Molecules* **15**, 6050–6105 (2010).
244. Wise, P. M. Alterations in the proestrous pattern of median eminence LHRH, serum LH, FSH, estradiol and progesterone concentrations in middle-aged rats. *Life Sci.* **31**, 165–173 (1982).
245. Richardson, S. J. & Nelson, J. F. Follicular depletion during the menopausal transition. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **592**, 13–20 (1990).
246. Talboom, J. S., Williams, B. J., Baxley, E. R., West, S. G. & Bimonte-Nelson, H. A. Higher levels of estradiol replacement correlate with better spatial memory in surgically menopausal young and middle-aged rats. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* **90**, 155–163 (2008).
247. Markham, J. A., Pych, J. C. & Juraska, J. M. Ovarian hormone replacement to aged ovariectomized female rats benefits acquisition of the Morris water maze. *Horm. Behav.* **42**, 284–293 (2002).
248. Gresack, J. E., Kerr, K. M. & Frick, K. M. Life-long environmental enrichment differentially affects the mnemonic response to estrogen in young, middle-aged, and aged female mice. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* **88**, 393–408 (2007).
249. Singh, M., Meyer, E. M., Millard, W. J. & Simpkins, J. W. Ovarian steroid deprivation results in a reversible learning impairment and compromised cholinergic function in female Sprague-Dawley rats. *Brain Res.* **644**, 305–312 (1994).
250. Foster, T. C., Sharrow, K. M., Kumar, A. & Masse, J. Interaction of age and chronic estradiol replacement on memory and markers of brain aging. *Neurobiol. Aging* **24**, 839–852 (2003).
251. Frick, K. M., Fernandez, S. M. & Bulinski, S. C. Estrogen replacement improves spatial reference memory and increases hippocampal synaptophysin in aged female mice. *Neuroscience* **115**, 547–558 (2002).
252. Vaucher, E. et al. Estrogen effects on object memory and cholinergic receptors in young and old female mice. *Neurobiol. Aging* **23**, 87–95 (2002).

253. Prakash, A. V. et al. Contrasting effects of individual versus combined estrogen and progesterone regimens as working memory load increases in middle-aged ovariectomized rats: one plus one does not equal two. *Neurobiol. Aging* **64**, 1–14 (2018).
254. Gresack, J. E. & Frick, K. M. Effects of continuous and intermittent estrogen treatments on memory in aging female mice. *Brain Res.* **1115**, 135–147 (2006).
255. Markowska, A. L. & Savonenko, A. V. Effectiveness of estrogen replacement in restoration of cognitive function after long-term estrogen withdrawal in aging rats. *J. Neurosci.* **22**, 10985–10995 (2002).
256. Daniel, J. M., Hulst, J. L. & Berbling, J. L. Estradiol replacement enhances working memory in middle-aged rats when initiated immediately after ovariectomy but not after a long-term period of ovarian hormone deprivation. *Endocrinology* **147**, 607–614 (2006).
257. Gresack, J. E., Kerr, K. M. & Frick, K. M. Short-term environmental enrichment decreases the mnemonic response to estrogen in young, but not aged, female mice. *Brain Res.* **1160**, 91–101 (2007).
258. Aenlle, K. K. & Foster, T. C. Aging alters the expression of genes for neuroprotection and synaptic function following acute estradiol treatment. *Hippocampus* **20**, 1047–1060 (2010).
259. Adams, M. M. et al. Estrogen and aging affect the subcellular distribution of estrogen receptor- α in the hippocampus of female rats. *J. Neurosci.* **22**, 3608–3614 (2002).
260. Waters, E. M. et al. Estrogen and aging affect the synaptic distribution of estrogen receptor beta-immunoreactivity in the CA1 region of female rat hippocampus. *Brain Res.* **1379**, 86–97 (2011).

Acknowledgements

The Frick laboratory is supported by the US National Institutes of Health (R01MH107886, 2R15GM118304-02, F31MH118822 and F32MH118782), the Alzheimer's Association (SAGA-17-419092), the University of Wisconsin System, the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee Research Foundation, the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee Office of Undergraduate Research and the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee College of Letters and Science.

Author contributions

The authors contributed equally to all aspects of the article.

Competing interests

K.M.F. is a co-founder and Chief Scientific Officer of Estrigenix Therapeutics Inc., and is listed as an inventor of a pending patent held by the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Marquette University and Concordia University Wisconsin entitled "Substituted (4'-hydroxyphenyl)cycloalkane and (4'-hydroxyphenyl)cycloalkene compounds and uses thereof as selective agonists of the estrogen receptor beta isoform for enhanced memory consolidation", inventors W. A. Donaldson, D. S. Sem and K.M.F. (WO2018183800A1). The other authors declare no competing interests.

Peer review information

Nature Reviews Neuroscience thanks H. Bimonte-Nelson, who co-reviewed with V. Bernaud, and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© Springer Nature Limited 2020