WU Policy for use of a single IRB (sIRB) in multi-site research studies

Effective: March 1, 2024

Applicability: Washington University (WU) faculty, staff and students

Overview: This document describes the institutional policy for use of single IRB (sIRB) oversight in multi-site research studies.

Key Concepts:

- The WU Human Research Protection Program (HRPP) retains oversight of human subjects research conducted by WU faculty, staff and students.
  - As part of the WU HRPP, the WU IRB provides IRB review and oversight under the WU HRPP unless an alternate IRB has been approved through a formal written “reliance” agreement between WU and the alternate IRB.
  - The WU Human Research Protection Office (HRPO) acts as the administrative office for development and oversight of IRB reliance agreements.
  - The HRPO Executive Director is designated as the individual that will sign IRB Reliance Agreements on behalf of the Executive Vice Chancellor for Medical Affairs and Dean of the School of Medicine.

- IRB oversight for multi-site research studies may be provided via a sIRB model or with each site providing its own local IRB oversight.
  - All research that is funded by NIH and falls under the NIH sIRB Policy (effective January 25, 2018) must use a sIRB for the research conducted in the United States as designated in the funding application.
  - All research that falls under the DHHS regulations related to Cooperative Research (45 CFR 46.114) (effective January 20, 2020) must use a sIRB for the research that is conducted in the United States. This includes other agencies that have signed onto the Common Rule.
  - For multi-site studies where WU would be the Prime Awardee and the sIRB requirements apply, the WU researchers must apply to HRPO for institutional approval of their plan for sIRB oversight prior to submission of a funding application or initiation of agreements with multi-site participating entities.
  - The PI of a multi-site study for which WU serves as the reviewing IRB or is the relying institution must be a full-time, permanent employee (faculty or staff) or have received an exception approval from the WU Institutional Official. Research where an undergraduate student is the PI will not be considered for an exception approval. The sIRB of record has final authority to determine whether the proposed PI and the research staff are qualified to conduct and oversee the research.

- If the designated sIRB reviews and disapproves the research, the research cannot be deferred to any other IRB for review.
- All protocols for research performed at Washington University must be provided to HRPO by submission through the myIRB system, whether or not the WU IRB is acting as the sIRB for the research.
- The WU PI or the WU PI’s department is responsible for guaranteeing payment of fees associated with use of a sIRB model, prior to submission to the WU IRB or external sIRB.
Reporting Requirements

Researchers that normally fall under the oversight of the WU IRB are generally exempt from duplicative reporting when relying on an outside sIRB. However, reports of certain local events must be reported. The following local events must be reported to the WU IRB in addition to the sIRB of record:

- Unexpected events that are at least possibly related to the research that result in death or any other harm or injury to a research participant
- Noncompliance that results in death or any other harm or injury to a research participant

Note: Harm may include physical harm, informational harm, social harm, emotional/psychological harm, or financial harm.

Special Considerations for Use of sIRB Models

When WU enters into an agreement to rely on an external sIRB there are differing impacts on the WU IRB and the WU administrative infrastructure. While the need for some local IRB meetings may decrease as full board reviews are undertaken by an outside sIRB, many important administrative functions remain at WU including:

- Negotiation of the reliance agreements
- Management of and compliance with terms of the agreement
- Coordination, management, and liaison activities with outside sIRBs and their administrative infrastructures
- Development and implementation of new policies, procedures, workflows, and regulatory documentation specific to each reliance relationship (i.e. each new sIRB.)
- Administration of financial activities related to both the role of a relying institution and a reviewing sIRB.
- Continued responsibility for the oversight and conduct of the research at the local site.
- Tracking and institutional responses to unexpected serious adverse events that are at least possibly related to the research or findings of serious or continuing noncompliance made by the external IRB.
- Education and support of researchers to enable compliance with the variety of sIRB policies and procedures under which they will operate in the sIRB model.
- Education of WU researchers and staff to enable them to utilize outside sIRBs.
WU sIRB Decision Matrix for Use of sIRB:

The WU sIRB Decision Matrix (Figure 1) provides the options available at WU for identifying an appropriate sIRB for multi-site research. It is important that researchers contact HRPO as soon as they become aware that a sIRB model is a possibility for their research study or program to obtain consultation on choice of sIRB. The procedures for initiating use of a sIRB vary depending on the type of funding and WU role on the project. Regardless of whether the WU IRB will serve as the reviewing IRB, or if the institution will be relying on an outside sIRB for research, all research must first be submitted for review through the myIRB system.

- Research where WU IRB will serve as the sIRB requires submission of a protocol application by the lead PI plus a “site” application submitted by each participating site.
- Research where WU is relying on an outside sIRB requires that a “Request to Rely” (RTR) application be submitted and approved by HRPO prior to protocol submission to any outside sIRB.

Decision Matrix:
The sIRB Decision Matrix provides information about which sIRBs may be used for multi-site studies depending on the funding source and the researcher role on the project. In general, the WU IRB will have “right of first refusal” for oversight of multi-site research where WU receives the prime funding award and/or is the lead investigator or has developed the protocol. For studies where WU is not the prime awardee, the decision of which entity will provide sIRB services is typically determined by the prime awardee in collaboration with the research funding entity.

NIH Sponsored Research:
In general, WU IRB will serve as the Reviewing IRB for NIH-sponsored studies with a WU Prime Awardee. However, use of another sIRB may be approved by HRPO under specific circumstances. When WU is not the Prime awardee or has agreed to allow another IRB to act as the sIRB, the research team must submit and receive approval of a “Request to Rely” (RTR) application through the WU myIRB system to initiate reliance on another IRB.

Industry Sponsored Research:
Researchers who are a participating site on an industry-sponsored study may use a WU approved independent IRB for oversight of the WU site. The research team must submit and receive approval of a “Request to Rely” (RTR) application through the WU myIRB system to initiate reliance on one of the approved independent IRBs. A listing of WU approved independent IRBs is available in the HRPO Research Guide.

- A HRPO administrative fee will be assessed at the time of initial submission based on the fee schedule posted on the HRPO website. It is the responsibility of the WU principal investigator and his/her Department to pay the applicable fees.
- The initial fee is payable at the time of initial submission in the myIRB system. The RTR will not be approved until either the HRPO fee is paid in full, or an authorized Department Official attests on the RTR form that the Department takes responsibility for paying the fee. In the latter case, the Department will be invoiced for the fee immediately after RTR approval.
Developing a grant budget:

Fees are based on an annual fee schedule that accounts for the funding source, number of sites, length of the research project, and other factors. The sIRB Fee Schedule may be adjusted on an annual basis. WU recognizes that sIRB fees may change between the time that the grant is submitted and the time of award. The sIRB budget and associated per site fees may be adjusted at the time of grant award to account for the current annual fee schedule and any change in number of participating sites. The PI should consult with the Associate Director, Single IRB Services to obtain budgeting information for grant proposals and to determine the final fee schedule after the Just-In-Time notice is received.

Associate Director, Single IRB Services: https://sites.wustl.edu/hrpo/about-us/contact-us/

Key Terms:

**IRB**

An Institutional Review Board is a committee charged with providing regulatory oversight for research involving human subjects.

**sIRB**

A single IRB, also termed “central” IRB. An IRB that provides IRB review and oversight for two or more participating sites in multi-site research. The IRB may be associated with an academic, private, non-profit, or commercial entity.

**Reliance Agreement**

A written agreement between entities participating in multi-site research. The agreement contains terms that describe what each entity is responsible for in the review, oversight, and conduct of the research including responsibilities related to local requirements, state law, and federal regulations. Previously these were referred to as IAAs or “IRB Authorization Agreements.”

**Reviewing IRB**

A term used in Reliance Agreements to identify the party to the agreement that acts as the sIRB in providing IRB review for all sites participating in the conduct of the same multi-site protocol.

**Relying Institution**

A term used in Reliance Agreements to identify the party to the agreement that will rely on an IRB outside of its own entity. This is sometimes termed the Relying Institution or Relying Site or Participating Site.
**Figure 1: WU sIRB Decision Matrix**

*Use this table to determine which type of sIRB is appropriate under this policy for multi-site research depending on the funding source and the WU role on the research.*

*WU has pre-approved some independent (commercial) IRBs that researchers may use. (See “Independent (Commercial) IRB”) Pre-approved IRBs are listed in the HRPO Research Guide.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>WU Role</th>
<th>Consideration in choosing the sIRB</th>
<th>sIRB Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federally funded (including but not limited to NIH)</td>
<td>Prime Awardee</td>
<td>The WU IRB will be considered as having right of first refusal for the role of sIRB. However, a decision will be made on a case-by-case basis depending on the costs of sIRB review, number, type, and location of sites, complexity of the protocol, current capacity of the WU IRB, and ability to meet study review time lines. Fees apply when WU serves as the sIRB.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not include all SBIR/STTR research. Please see SBIR/STTR guidance on HRPO website</td>
<td>WU Participating Site</td>
<td>The Lead PI/Site will be responsible for identifying the entity to serve as the sIRB in the grant submission. This may involve consultation with other participating sites at the time of grant submission, or may be determined after award. If WU is considered as the sIRB, the same criteria under the Prime Awardee section would be assessed. Fees apply when WU serves as the sIRB.</td>
<td>Yes, with HRPO Agreement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* May be another academic site, a private entity, etc.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>WU Role</th>
<th>Consideration in choosing the sIRB</th>
<th>sIRB Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WU IRB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For-Profit</td>
<td>Local Principal Investigator Initiated</td>
<td>The WU IRB will be considered as having right of first refusal for the role of sIRB. However, a decision will be made on a case-by-case basis depending on the costs of sIRB review, number, type and location of sites, complexity of the protocol, current capacity of the WU IRB, and ability to meet study review timelines. Fees apply when WU serves as the sIRB.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USE OF SINGLE IRB MAY OR MAY NOT BE REQUIRED BY FUNDING ENTITY- ASK FUNDING ENTITY OR LEAD INSTITUTION TO CONFIRM</td>
<td>Outside Investigator Initiated</td>
<td>WU will consider relying on another academic site that is AAHRPP accredited or meets equivalent standards or one of the pre-approved independent IRBs. However, a decision will be made on a case-by-case basis depending on the requirements of the study to use a sIRB, and the complexity and risks of the study.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WU Participating Site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For-Profit Entity Initiated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WU Participating Site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* May be another academic site, a private entity, etc.
## Funding Source

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WU Role</th>
<th>Consideration in choosing the sIRB</th>
<th>sIRB Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Principal Investigator Initiated</td>
<td>The WU IRB will be considered first for the sIRB. However, a decision will be made on a case-by-case basis depending on the costs of sIRB review, number, type and location of sites, complexity of the protocol, current capacity of the WU IRB, and ability to meet study review timelines. Fees apply when WU serves as the sIRB.</td>
<td>Yes, with HRPO Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Investigator Initiated Participating Site</td>
<td>WU will consider relying on another academic site that is AAHRPP accredited or meets equivalent standards or one of the pre-approved independent IRBs. However, a decision will be made on a case-by-case basis depending on the requirements of the study to use a sIRB, and the complexity and risks of the study. Fees apply when WU serves as the sIRB.</td>
<td>Yes, with HRPO Agreement  Yes Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Profit/Private Initiated WU Participating Site</td>
<td>WU will consider relying on another academic site that is AAHRPP accredited or meets equivalent standards. The decision are made on a case-by-case basis depending on the requirements of the study to use a sIRB, and the complexity and risks of the study.</td>
<td>Yes Yes Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Principal Investigator Initiated</td>
<td>If your study is not funded and you do not have departmental funds to cover the sIRB fees, WU cannot act as the single IRB. The WU IRB will act as the IRB for the local site only.</td>
<td>No Yes No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Investigator Initiated WU Participating Site</td>
<td>WU will consider relying on another academic site that is AAHRPP accredited or meets equivalent standards. The decision are made on a case-by-case basis depending on the requirements of the study to use a sIRB, and the complexity and risks of the study. Use of a commercial IRB will involve fees. If the study is not funded this will not be an option.</td>
<td>No Yes No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* May be another academic site, a private entity, etc.
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