The Cyclic AMP Pathway Is a Sex-Specific Modifier of Glioma Risk in Type I Neurofibromatosis Patients

Nicole M. Warrington¹, Tao Sun¹, Jingqin Luo², Robert C. McKinstry^{1,3}, Patricia C. Parkin⁴, Sara Ganzhorn¹, Debra Spoljaric¹, Anne C. Albers⁵, Amanda Merkelson⁶, Douglas R. Stewart⁷, David A. Stevenson⁸, David Viskochil⁹, Todd E. Druley¹, Jason T. Forys¹, Karlyne M. Reilly¹⁰, Michael J. Fisher^{11,12}, Uri Tabori⁴, Jeffrey C. Allen⁶, Joshua D. Schiffman⁸, David H. Gutmann⁵, and Joshua B. Rubin^{1,13}

Abstract

Identifying modifiers of glioma risk in patients with type I neurofibromatosis (NF1) could help support personalized tumor surveillance, advance understanding of gliomagenesis, and potentially identify novel therapeutic targets. Here, we report genetic polymorphisms in the human adenylate cyclase gene adenylate cyclase 8 (*ADCY8*) that correlate with glioma risk in NF1 in a sex-specific manner, elevating risk in females while reducing risk in males. This finding extends earlier evidence of a role for cAMP in gliomagenesis based on results

Introduction

Neurofibromatosis type I (NF1) is a common autosomal dominant cancer predisposition syndrome that affects males and females of all races and ethnicities, and variably results in multiple developmental abnormalities and neoplasias (1). Currently, the

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Cancer Research Online (http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/).

N.M. Warrington, T. Sun, and J. Luo contributed equally to this article

Corresponding Author: Joshua B. Rubin, Washington University School of Medicine, Campus Box 8208, 660 South Euclid Avenue, St Louis, MO 63110. Phone: 314-286-2790; Fax: 314-286-2892; E-mail: rubin_j@kids.wustl.edu

doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-1891

©2014 American Association for Cancer Research.

in a genetically engineered mouse model (Nf1 GEM). Thus, sexually dimorphic cAMP signaling might render males and females differentially sensitive to variation in cAMP levels. Using male and female Nf1 GEM, we found significant sex differences exist in cAMP regulation and in the growth-promoting effects of cAMP suppression. Overall, our results establish a sex-specific role for cAMP regulation in human gliomagenesis, specifically identifying ADCY8 as a modifier of glioma risk in NF1. *Cancer Res; 75(1); 16–21.* ©2014 AACR.

severity with which multiple body systems will be affected by complications of NF1 remains largely unpredictable, which significantly hinders the delivery of care (2). Controversies surrounding the management of optic pathway gliomas (OPG) in these patients illustrate this point. These NF1-associated brain tumors occur in approximately 20% of affected individuals, and in up to 50% of NF1 OPG cases, chemotherapy is initiated, usually prompted by vision loss (3). The unpredictable growth of OPGs has impeded the adoption of consensus guidelines for care and confounds assessments of treatment efficacy (4). Identifying biomarkers for OPG risk would transform our management of NF1 patients.

The majority of NF1-associated gliomas occur in the anterior optic pathway of young children (<7 years old). Previously, we have shown that alterations in cAMP levels could vary the stereotypical pattern of OPG formation, and that pharmacologic elevation of cAMP levels could block OPG growth in an established genetically engineered mouse (GEM) model of NF1-associated OPG (5–7). These studies established the cAMP pathway as a candidate modifier of glioma risk in NF1. Here, we provide a measure of validation for these studies by showing that polymorphisms in adenylate cyclase 8 (*ADCY8*) modify NF1 glioma risk in a sex-specific fashion. Moreover, we found that sexual dimorphism in cAMP signaling and sex differences in cAMP-dependent growth regulation are well modeled in murine $Nf1^{-/-}$ astrocytes.

Materials and Methods

Animal studies

Animals were used in accordance with an Animal Studies Protocol (#20120174) approved by the Animal Studies Committee of the Washington University School of Medicine per the



¹Division of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology, Department of Pediatrics, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, Missouri. ²Division of Biostatistics, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, Missouri. ³Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, Missouri. ⁴Department of Pediatrics, The Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. ⁵Department of Neurology, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, Missouri. ⁶Department of Pediatrics, New York University Langone Medical Center, New York, New York. ⁷Clinical Genetics Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, NIH, Rockville, Maryland. ⁸Division of Medical Genetics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah. ⁹Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah. ¹⁰Rare Tumors Initiative, Office of the Director, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, NCI, Bethesda, Maryland. ¹¹Division of Oncology, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. ²Department of Pediatrics, The Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. ¹³Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, Missouri.

¹⁶ Cancer Res; 75(1) January 1, 2015

recommendations of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH, Rockville, MD).

Human studies

DNA specimens acquired from individuals with NF1 were processed and are being reported in accordance Institutional Review Board–approved Human Studies Protocols at each of the participating institutions.

Chemicals, reagents, and antibodies

All chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise indicated.

Human DNA sample collection

Individuals with NF1 were recruited for this study from NF1 Clinical Programs at Washington University in St. Louis (St. Louis, MO), the University of Toronto (Toronto, ON, Canada), University of Utah (Salt Lake City, UT), and New York University (New York, NY). Those with and without OPG were identified from MRI scans. Criteria for OPG included clear optic nerve or chiasm enlargement or enhancement. Other optic nerve abnormalities, such as tortuosity or dilated, and fluid filled optic nerve sheaths did not qualify as OPGs (8). Patients without OPG had negative MRIs obtained after the age of 10 years. DNA was extracted from blood using Qiagen DNA Blood Mini Kits and from saliva using DNA Genotek Oragene DNA kits according to the manufacturers' instructions. Following quality checks and concentration optimization, DNA was hybridized to Affymetrix 6.0 single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) microarrays at The Genome Institute, Washington University or ARUP, Salt Lake City, UT. Intensity scanning was performed in the same laboratories in which hybridization occurred. All data are accessible through the geo database, accession number GSE62215 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc= GSE62215)

High-density affymetrix genome-wide SNP array analysis

The Birdseed-v2 was used to make initial genotype calls. Samples with a genotyping call rate <95% and contrast QC < 0.4 according to the Affymetrix genotyping console analysis were removed and genotypes were regenerated using the remaining samples. PLINK (9) was used for SNP QC to exclude those failing Hardy–Weinberg test ($P \le 1e-06$) or missingness test (P < 0.1) or with a major allele frequency (MAF) <0.05. A total of 680,187 SNPs were analyzed. The logistic regression model for glioma risk was modeled with a SNP, Sex, SNP × Sex interaction, biospecimen (saliva/blood), and cohort (WU/UTAH/TORONTO/NYU), as well as the first four principal components from principal component analysis (PCA) using linkage disequilibrium (LD) pruned SNPs to control for population stratification. The bioConductor package "SNPRelate" (10) was used for LD pruning (the maximum base pairs in the sliding window = 10e06; LD threshold = 0.2 and the "composite" method was adopted for LD metrics) and PCA analysis and "GWASTools" (11) was used for genome-wide association analysis using logistic regression modeling under the dominant genetic model. The odds ratios (OR) of male, female, ratio of the ORs for glioma risk between males and females (the $SNP \times Sex$ interaction), and the likelihood ratio (LR) *P* values on the ratio that was obtained by comparing the full logistic regression model with the model leaving the interaction out were reported. To account for multiple comparisons, the permutation-adjusted P values and the false discovery rate (FDR)-adjusted *P* values were calculated. Specifically, the case–control status was permuted (for 500 times) and the LR *P* values of SNP × Sex corresponding to each permuted phenotype were calculated under the same full logistic regression model. The permutation-adjusted *P* value was finally computed as the proportion of permutations with at least one SNP's permutated *P* value \leq the original LR *P* value corresponding to the nonpermuted status. LD analysis was conducted using PLINK and the LD measure R^2 was reported. MAF for SNPs in the general population was determined using 1,093 total samples in the 1000 Genome phase I data released on May 2011 using ENGINES (SPSmart version 5.1.1 and dbSNP build 132; ref. 12).

Primary astrocyte cultures

Animals were maintained on a C57Bl/6 background. Primary $Nf1^{-/-}$ astrocytes were isolated from the cortices of individual neonatal Nf1-CKO ($Nf1^{flox}$ / fl^{ox} /GFAP-Cre) mice at postnatal day 1 to 2 as described previously (5). The sex of the newborn mice was determined by Jarid 1C/Jarid 1D PCR. Astrocytes of the same sex were combined and cryopreserved. Wild-type (WT) astrocytes were similarly derived from neonatal $Nf1^{flox/flox}$ mice. Western blot analysis for neurofibromin expression was performed by standard methods using rabbit anti-Nf1 antibody (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), mouse anti- β -actin antibody (1:30,000; Sigma), and IRdye680 or 800-conjugated donkey anti-mouse or rabbit IgG (1: 30,000; LI-COR). Only cells at low passage numbers (<6) were used. Each experiment included at least four separate cultures derived from at least two litters/sex.

Real-time PCR

RNA was extracted from WT and $Nf1^{-/-}$ astrocytes using the Qiagen RNeasy Kit (Qiagen). cDNA was generated using the SuperScript First-Strand cDNA Synthesis System (Invitrogen). Real-time quantitative PCR reactions were performed using Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) using primers as indicated in Supplementary Table S3. Triplicate measures were made for each sample and corresponding GAPDH control. PCR and data collection were done using the Bio-Rad MiniOpticon Real-Time PCR machine and Opticon Monitor 3 Software from Bio-Rad. Relative transcript copy number was calculated using the delta-delta-C(t) method. The relative expression values of cAMP modulators in cells derived from female $Nf1^{-/-}$ astrocytes were normalized to those from male expression levels (n = 3-5 separate litters/genotype).

Drug treatments

For cAMP measurements, astrocytes were cultured in serumfree DMEM/F12 media (24 hours), and then treated with the ADCY activator, forskolin (FSK; 10 µmol/L), and the phosphodiesterase (PDE) inhibitor, IBMX (1 mmol/L), FSK alone, or DMSO control as indicated. For cell number experiments, 75,000 cells per well were plated in 6-well plates. Twenty-four hours after plating, cells were serum starved for 24 hours, and then treated with dideoxyadenosine (DDA; 100 µmol/L) or CXCL12 (0.1 µg/mL; Peprotech) in serum-free DMEM/F12 as indicated. Cells cultured in DMEM/F12 + vehicle served as control. Cell number was determined by Trypan blue exclusion.

cAMP ELISA

cAMP was measured by competitive immunoassay using a Correlated Enzyme Immunoassay Kit (Enzo Life Sciences)

www.aacrjournals.org

Warrington et al.

according to the manufacturer's instructions and as previously described (5).

Statistical analysis

Baseline Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism version 4.00 (GraphPad Software) or Stata10 (Stata). Specific statistical tests are as indicated in the text and figure legends. All tests were two-sided and a P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

DNA samples were obtained from 243 individuals with NF1 and genotyped using Affymetrix whole-genome human SNP array 6.0. Two hundred and thirty six specimens, 123 from individuals with OPG and 113 from individuals without OPG, passed quality control filtering (Supplementary Table S1). Both the tumor and nontumor groups had equivalent numbers of males and females (P = 0.90, Fisher exact test). The average genotyping rate in the 236 individuals was 98.43%.

Our analysis focused on 2,761 unique SNPs in 22 key regulators of intracellular cAMP levels (Supplementary Table S2). Calculations for OR for glioma between genotypes within males and females, the ratio of the male OR to female OR, and corrections for multiple comparisons were calculated as described in Materials and Methods. At the 5% statistical significance level on the FDR-adjusted *P* values, we identified three SNPs in *ADCY8* (rs724365, FDR *P* = 0.014; rs4736688, FDR *P* = 0.014; rs1435446, FDR *P* = 0.043; Table 1). LD analysis indicated that recombination rarely occurred between rs724365 and rs1435446 in the population ($R^2 = 0.92$) while the LDs between each of the loci with rs4736688 were medium with both R^2 slightly above 0.5. In addition, SNPs in *CXCR7* (rs2568554) and *ADCYAP1* (rs16952813) were nearly significant at the 10% significance level (Table 1).

Unexpectedly, associations between *ADCY8* SNPs and glioma risk were sex-dependent. The minor alleles of each *ADCY8* SNP elevated glioma risk in females and decreased risk in males (Table 1). The resulting SNP \times Sex interaction effects were highly significant, indicating that sequence variants in *ADCY8* are potential sex-specific modifiers of glioma risk in NF1.

As the SNPs had sex-specific effects, we reviewed NF1 OPG case series for evidence of sex disparity. We found 543 OPG cases diagnosed from both, routine surveillance scans of asymptomatic individuals and scans obtained to evaluate symptoms. Six series reported higher frequency of OPG in females, four reported higher frequency in males, and three reported equal incidence. Overall, 297 or 55% of cases occurred in females (Table 2), suggesting a slight female predominance. However, not all cited studies were population-based, and in those series that include scans for symptoms, the results may be skewed toward increased rates in females as sex differences in glioma-associated symptoms have been reported (13).

Prior murine studies suggested that spatiotemporal regulation of CXCL12 and intracellular cAMP during development could influence the pattern of tumorigenesis in NF1 (5, 7). Identification of *ADCY8* as a sex-specific modifier of glioma risk in NF1 potentially provides important human validation for these studies. To examine whether cAMP exerts a sex-specific effect on tumorigenesis, we established primary cultures of male and female postnatal day 1 forebrain astrocytes from *WT* and *Nf1^{flox/flox}; GFAP^{cre}* (*Nf1^{-/-}*) mice based on expression of X and Y chromosome–encoded paralogs *Jarid 1C* and *Jarid 1D* (Fig. 1A; ref. 14) and verified equivalent deletion of neurofibromin (Fig. 1B).

We first looked for sex differences in cAMP regulator expression (Fig. 1C) and in intracellular cAMP levels. Although there were no sex differences in *Adcy8* expression, there were clear effects of sex and neurofibromin loss on the expression of multiple other components of the cAMP pathway (Fig. 1D). Intracellular cAMP levels were consistently lower in male compared with female $Nf1^{-/-}$ astrocytes (male, 6.97 ± 1.5; female, 10 ± 0.89 pmol/mg protein; P = 0.03, t test; n = 3 independent litters), indicating that cell intrinsic sexual dimorphism in cAMP regulation exists in $Nf1^{-/-}$ astrocytes.

Next, we looked for functional differences in cAMP synthesis and degradation. We assessed differences in synthesis (ADCY activity) by treating male and female with the pan-ADCY activator, FSK, in the presence of complete inhibition of cAMP degradation by the pan-PDE inhibitor, IBMX (Fig. 2A). Under these

Table 1. SNPs with significant association with optic glioma risk in individuals with NI	F1	I
--	----	---

	rs724365	rs4736688	rs1435446	rs2568554	rs16952813
SNP identifiers					
Gene Symbol	ADCY8	ADCY8	ADCY8	CXCR7	ADCYAP1
Chr	8	8	8	2	18
MAF					
Population ^a	0.263	0.39	0.241	0.2	0.14
NF1 dataset	0.242	0.361	0.239	0.11	0.102
OR					
Female	4.09	2.59	3.13	4.79	1.88
Male	0.31	0.19	0.31	0.31	0.1
Male/female OR					
Estimate	0.0746	0.07474	0.0982	0.0638	0.0524
95% CI	0.0233-0.239	0.0227-0.2457	0.0313-0.3076	0.0138-0.296	0.0088-0.3128
LR P ^b	6.35E-06	9.31E-06	4.33E-05	0.0002	0.0002
Permutated LR P ^c	0.018	0.03	0.138	0.446	0.472
FDR LR P ^c	0.014	0.014	0.043	0.102	0.102
SNP ranking ^d					
LR P	5	9	40	177	185
FDR LR P	4	4	26	99	99

^aMAF in the population was determined in 1,093 total samples from the 1000 Genome phase I data, including AFRICA (N = 246), EUROPE (N = 380), EAST ASIA (N = 286), and AMERICA (N = 181).

^bLR P was derived from LR test on the SNP \times Sex interaction term in logistic regression models.

^cPermutation and FDR adjustment was separately conducted on the SNPs on the cAMP pathway.

^dSNP ranking based on raw LR P and FDR-adjusted LR P (on all SNPs) compared the performance of each SNP in the cAMP pathway to all SNPs on the array.

Cancer Research

Sexually Dimorphic cAMP Signaling in Gliomas

Study	Location	Total	М	F
Listernick et al. (19)	Chicago, IL	17	5	12
Chateil et al. (20)	Cedex, FRA	14	7	7
Czyzyk et al. (21)	Warsaw, POL	51	19	32
Thiagalingam et al. (22)	Sydney, AUS	54	27	27
Blazo et al. (23)	TX	24	10	14
Pascual-Castroviejo I et al. (24)	Madrid, SPN	80	22	58
Nicolin et al. (4)	Toronto, CA	78	48	30
Segal et al. (25)	Montreal, CN	44	24	20
de Blank et al. (26)	Philadelphia, PA	50	25	25
Incecik et al. (27)	Turkey	9	5	4
Goodden et al. (28)	Liverpool, UK	19	11	8
Kalin-Hajdu et al. (29)	Montreal, CA	7	3	4
Diggs-Andrews et al. (13)	St Louis, MO	96	40	56
Total		543	246	297
%			(45%)	(55%)

Table 2. Frequency of OPGs in males and females with NF1

conditions, differences in cAMP levels reflect differences in synthetic capacity and not differences in degradation. Cyclic AMP levels increased to 650 and 900 pmol/mg protein in male and female $Nf1^{-/-}$ astrocytes, respectively, indicating greater cAMP synthetic capacity in female $Nf1^{-/-}$ astrocytes.

To detect sex differences in degradative (PDE) capacity, we treated with FSK alone (Fig. 2B). Under these conditions, cAMP levels are determined by total ADCY capacity and counter-regulatory increases in PDE activity. Cyclic AMP levels reached a plateau at approximately 6-fold and 3-fold above baseline in female and male astrocytes, respectively, indicating male astrocytes have greater capacity to upregulate PDE activity.

The SNP array data suggested that variation in ADCY activity has a sexually dimorphic effect on glioma risk. Previously, we have shown that ADCY inhibition with DDA promotes astrocyte growth (5). Here, we looked for sex differences in DDA effects. We found that, paralleling the human data, inhibition of ADCY activity promoted growth of female astrocytes but suppressed the growth of male astrocytes (Fig. 2C). The effect of DDA on $Nf1^{-/-}$ astrocytes was previously shown to phenocopy the growth-promoting effects of CXCL12 (5). Here, CXCL12 treatment suppressed cAMP levels in both male and female $Nf1^{-/-}$ astrocytes (Fig. 2D), but only the female astrocytes exhibited a growth response (Fig. 2E). Together, these observations identify sex differences in the growth-promoting effects of ADCY inhibition and cAMP suppression.

Discussion

Sex is a significant determinant of many human diseases (15) and has been shown to interact with genetic modifier loci to determine risk in a mouse model of high-grade glioma associated with combined loss of *Nf1* and *p53* (16, 17). This, however, is the first study to confirm a role for cAMP regulation in human gliomagenesis and to report that cAMP's effect is modified by sex.

Two lines of evidence suggest that sexually dimorphic growth responses to ADCY activity are relevant. First, inhibition of ADCY by DDA had opposing effects on the growth of female and male



Figure 1.

Sex differences in cAMP regulator expression in WT and $NfT^{-/-}$ astrocytes. A, neonatal mice were sexed by *Jarid 1C/Jarid 1D* PCR. M, male-derived samples; F, femalederived samples. B, Western blot analysis confirmed loss of neurofibromin expression in $NfT^{-/-}$ astrocytes. Actin served as a loading control. M, male-derived samples; F, female-derived samples. C, schematic of cAMP regulation indicating the different families of regulators whose expression was evaluated. D, significant differences in expression were detected for cAMP regulators in WT and $NfT^{-/-}$ astrocytes as indicated. Shown are the mean and SEM of expression in female cells relative to expression in male cells from three to five separate litters per genotype. *, P < 0.05, as detailed in the text.

www.aacrjournals.org

Warrington et al.



Figure 2.

Sexual dimorphism in cAMP regulation in $Nf^{-/-}$ astrocytes. Cyclic AMP levels were measured by ELISA in male and female $Nf^{-/-}$ astrocytes treated with the ADCY activator, FSK (10 µmol/L), and the pan-PDE inhibitor, IBMX (1 mmol/L; A), or FSK (10 µmol/L; B) alone for the times indicated. Shown are the means and SEM of cAMP levels from four independent experiments. *P* values are as indicated and were determined by the two-way ANOVA. C, cell number was measured by Trypan blue exclusion in male and female $Nf^{-/-}$ astrocytes treated with vehicle or DDA (100 µmol/L). Shown are the means and SEM of the differences between DDA and vehicle-treated male and female cultures derived from three independent experiments. *P* value is as indicated and was determined by the two-way ANOVA. D, cyclic AMP levels were measured by ELISA in male and female $Nf^{-/-}$ astrocytes treated with cXCL12 (0.1 µg/mL) for the times indicated. Shown are the means and SEM of three independent experiments measuring the responses of male and female $Nf^{-/-}$ astrocytes normalized to their basal values. E, cell number was measured by Trypan blue exclusion in male and female $Nf^{-/-}$ astrocytes treated with vehicle or CXCL12 (0.1 µg/mL) for the times indicated. Shown are the means and SEM of the ratio of cell numbers in CXCL12-treated/vehicle-treated cultures derived from three independent experiments. *, *P* < 0.05, as determined by the two-tailed *t* test.

 $Nf1^{-/-}$ astrocytes. Second, despite comparable suppression of cAMP levels, CXCL12 promoted the growth of female but not male astrocytes. Although not demonstrated to specifically involve ADCY8, the close parallel between these results and the effect of polymorphisms in *ADCY8* on glioma risk in males and females with NF1 suggest that these mechanisms are relevant to human disease and that this *Nf1 GEM* will be an important model for studying sexual dimorphism in the cAMP pathway.

Despite dramatically opposing effects of *ADCY8* SNPs on OPG risk in males and females, there is little sex disparity in OPG incidence. Thus, we hypothesize that OPGs that arise through variation in ADCY may represent a subset of disease that is more common in females. Sex disparities limited to molecular subsets of brain tumors are established in other childhood brain tumors like medulloblastoma (18).

Finally, these observations provide a rationale for clinical evaluation of personalized glioma surveillance in NF1 using SNP-based tools to identify those at the greatest risk.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

T.E. Druley is a consultant/advisory board member for Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation Scientific Advisory Board. No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed by the other authors.

Disclaimer

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or Government.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design: N.M. Warrington, T. Sun, D.A. Stevenson, K.M. Reilly, D.H. Gutmann, J.B. Rubin

Development of methodology: J. Luo, D.A. Stevenson, T.E. Druley, U. Tabori Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): N.M. Warrington, T. Sun, R.C. McKinstry, P.C. Parkin, S. Ganzhorn, A.C. Albers, A. Merkelson, D.R. Stewart, D.A. Stevenson, D. Viskochil, J.T. Forys, M.J. Fisher, U. Tabori, J.C. Allen, J.B. Rubin

Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): N.M. Warrington, T. Sun, J. Luo, R.C. McKinstry, S. Ganzhorn, T.E. Druley, U. Tabori, D.H. Gutmann, J.B. Rubin

Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: N.M. Warrington, T. Sun, J. Luo, R.C. McKinstry, P.C. Parkin, D. Spoljaric, D.R. Stewart, D.A. Stevenson, D. Viskochil, T.E. Druley, J.T. Forys, K.M. Reilly, M.J. Fisher, U. Tabori, J.C. Allen, J.D. Schiffman, D.H. Gutmann, J.B. Rubin

Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): J.B. Rubin

Study supervision: J.B. Rubin

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Clint C. Mason for sample selections/randomizations.

Grant Support

This work was supported by grants from The Children's Discovery Institute of Washington University (J.B. Rubin and D.H. Gutmann), the NCI RO1-CA136573 (J.B. Rubin and D.H. Gutmann), UO1-CA141549 (D.H. Gutmann), the NIH UL1RR025764 (D.A. Stevenson), The DOD W81XWH-11-1-0250 (D.A. Stevenson), Children's Tumor Foundation Young Investigator Award (T. Sun), IRP ZIA BC 010539 of the NIH, NCI (K.M. Reilly), The Hospital for Sick Children Research Institute (P.C. Parkin), the University of Utah Clinical Genetics Research Program (D.A. Stevenson). D.R. Stewart was supported, in part, by the intramural program of the Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics of the National Cancer Institute. The Genome Technology Access Center in the Department of Genetics at Washington University School of Medicine helped with genomic analysis and is partially supported by NCI Cancer Center Support Grant #P30 CA91842 to the Siteman

References

- 1. Rubin JB, Gutmann DH. Neurofibromatosis type I—a model for nervous system tumour formation? Nat Cancer Rev 2005;5:557-64.
- Ferner RE, Huson SM, Thomas N, Moss C, Willshaw H, Evans DG, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of individuals with neurofibromatosis 1. J Med Genet 2007;44:81–8.
- 3. Listernick R, Ferner RE, Liu GT, Gutmann DH. Optic pathway gliomas in neurofibromatosis-1: controversies and recommendations. Ann Neurol 2007;61:189–98.
- Nicolin G, Parkin P, Mabbott D, Hargrave D, Bartels U, Tabori U, et al. Natural history and outcome of optic pathway gliomas in children. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2009;53:1231–7.
- Warrington NM, Woerner BM, Daginakatte GC, Dasgupta B, Perry A, Gutmann DH, et al. Spatiotemporal differences in CXCL12 expression and cyclic AMP underlie the unique pattern of optic glioma growth in neurofibromatosis type 1. Cancer Res 2007;67:8588–95.
- Bajenaru ML, Hernandez MR, Perry A, Zhu Y, Parada LF, Garbow JR, et al. Optic nerve glioma in mice requires astrocyte Nf1 gene inactivation and Nf1 brain heterozygosity. Cancer Res 2003;63:8573–7.
- Warrington NM, Gianino SM, Jackson E, Goldhoff P, Garbow JR, Piwnica-Worms D, et al. Cyclic AMP suppression is sufficient to induce gliomagenesis in a mouse model of Neurofibromatosis-1. Cancer Res 2010; 70:5717–27.
- Ji J, Shimony J, Gao F, McKinstry RC, Gutmann DH. Optic nerve tortuosity in children with neurofibromatosis type 1. Pediatr Radiol 2013;43:1336–43.
- 9. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet 2007;81:559–75.
- Zheng X, Levine D, Shen J, Gogarten SM, Laurie C, Weir BS. A highperformance computing toolset for relatedness and principal component analysis of SNP data. Bioinformatics 2012;28:3326–8.
- Gogarten SM, Bhangale T, Conomos MP, Laurie CA, McHugh CP, Painter I, et al. GWASTools: an R/Bioconductor package for quality control and analysis of genome-wide association studies. Bioinformatics 2012;28: 3329–31.
- Amigo J, Salas A, Phillips C. ENGINES: exploring single nucleotide variation in entire human genomes. BMC Bioinformatics 2011;12:105.
- Diggs-Andrews KA, Brown JA, Gianino SM, Rubin JB, Wozniak DF, Gutmann DH. Sex is a major determinant of neuronal dysfunction in neurofibromatosis type 1. Ann Neurol 2014;75:309–16.
- 14. Xu J, Deng X, Disteche CM. Sex-specific expression of the X-linked histone demethylase gene Jarid1c in brain. PLoS One 2008;3:e2553.
- 15. Ober C, Loisel DA, Gilad Y. Sex-specific genetic architecture of human disease. Nat Rev Genet 2008;9:911–22.

Cancer Center and by ICTS/CTSA Grant# UL1TR000448 from the National Center for Research Resources (NCRR), a component of the NIH, and NIH Roadmap for Medical Research.

Received June 30, 2014; revised October 9, 2014; accepted October 28, 2014; published OnlineFirst November 7, 2014.

- Amlin-Van Schaick JC, Kim S, DiFabio C, Lee MH, Broman KW, Reilly KM. Arlm1 is a male-specific modifier of astrocytoma resistance on mouse Chr 12. Neuro Oncol 2012;14:160–74.
- Walrath JC, Fox K, Truffer E, Gregory Alvord W, Quinones OA, Reilly KM. Chr 19(A/J) modifies tumor resistance in a sex- and parent-of-originspecific manner. Mamm Genome 2009;20:214–23.
- Taylor MD, Northcott PA, Korshunov A, Remke M, Cho YJ, Clifford SC, et al. Molecular subgroups of medulloblastoma: the current consensus. Acta Neuropathol 2012;123:465–72.
- Listernick R, Darling C, Greenwald M, Strauss L, Charrow J. Optic pathway tumors in children: the effect of neurofibromatosis type 1 on clinical manifestations and natural history. J Pediatr 1995;127:718–22.
- Chateil JF, Soussotte C, Pedespan JM, Brun M, Le Manh C, Diard F. MRI and clinical differences between optic pathway tumours in children with and without neurofibromatosis. Br J Radiol 2001;74:24–31.
- 21. Czyzyk E, Jozwiak S, Roszkowski M, Schwartz RA. Optic pathway gliomas in children with and without neurofibromatosis 1. J Child Neurol 2003; 18:471–8.
- Thiagalingam S, Flaherty M, Billson F, North K. Neurofibromatosis type 1 and optic pathway gliomas: follow-up of 54 patients. Ophthalmology 2004;111:568–77.
- Blazo MA, Lewis RA, Chintagumpala MM, Frazier M, McCluggage C, Plon SE. Outcomes of systematic screening for optic pathway tumors in children with Neurofibromatosis Type 1. Am J Med Genet 2004;127A:224–9.
- Pascual-Castroviejo I, Pascual-Pascual SI, Velazquez-Fragua R, Viano J, Garcia-Segura JM, Botella MP. [Neurofibromatosis type 1 and optic pathway gliomas. A series of 80 patients]. Revista de neurologia 2008;46:530–6.
- Segal L, Darvish-Zargar M, Dilenge ME, Ortenberg J, Polomeno RC. Optic pathway gliomas in patients with neurofibromatosis type 1: follow-up of 44 patients. J AAPOS 2010;14:155–8.
- de Blank PM, Berman JI, Liu GT, Roberts TP, Fisher MJ. Fractional anisotropy of the optic radiations is associated with visual acuity loss in optic pathway gliomas of neurofibromatosis type 1. Neuro Oncol 2013;15: 1088–95.
- Incecik F, Altunbasak S, Herguner MO, Bayram I, Kupeli S, Demirbilek H. Oncologic manifestations in children with neurofibromatosis type 1 in Turkey. Tur J Pediatr 2013;55:266–70.
- Goodden J, Pizer B, Pettorini B, Williams D, Blair J, Didi M, et al. The role of surgery in optic pathway/hypothalamic gliomas in children. J Neurosurg Pediatr 2014;13:1–12.
- Kalin-Hajdu E, Decarie JC, Marzouki M, Carret AS, Ospina LH. Visual acuity of children treated with chemotherapy for optic pathway gliomas. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2014;61:223–7.



The Cyclic AMP Pathway Is a Sex-Specific Modifier of Glioma Risk in Type I Neurofibromatosis Patients

Nicole M. Warrington, Tao Sun, Jingqin Luo, et al.

Cancer Res 2015;75:16-21. Published OnlineFirst November 7, 2014.

Updated version	Access the most recent version of this article at: doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-1891
Supplementary	Access the most recent supplemental material at:
Material	http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/suppl/2014/11/14/0008-5472.CAN-14-1891.DC1.html

Cited Articles	This article cites by 29 articles, 10 of which you can access for free at: http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/75/1/16.full.html#ref-list-1
E-mail alerts	Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.
Reprints and Subscriptions	To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.
Permissions	To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org.