

Changing Conceptions of Death as a Function of Depression Status, Suicidal Ideation, and Media Exposure in Early Childhood

Laura Hennefield, PhD, Diana J. Whalen, PhD, Grace Wood, BA,
Mary C. Chavarria, BS, Joan L. Luby, MD

Objective: This study characterized 3- to 6-year-old children's understanding of death as a function of depression status, suicidal ideation (SI), and media consumption.

Method: Participants were 79 children with depression (3.0–6.11 years old) who completed a comprehensive psychiatric assessment and experimenter-led death interview and a comparison group of 60 healthy children (4.0–7.12 years old). The interview assessed children's understanding of 5 concepts of death: universality, applicability, irreversibility, cessation, and causality. Children's mastery of each concept and overall understanding of death was examined as a function of depression and SI status: depressed with SI ($n = 22$), depressed without SI ($n = 57$), and healthy ($n = 60$). Children's observed emotional reactions to hearing about natural death, accidental death, and suicide were assessed by death-themed stories. Parent reports of children's television and videogames/internet consumption assessed links between media exposure and understanding of death.

Results: Children with depression and SI scored higher on overall understanding of death than those with depression without SI and healthy children. They also exhibited more sad and anxious affect listening to death-themed stories and were more likely to describe death as caused by violence. Across this sample, older children also were more likely to depict death as violent. More television use was associated with less understanding of death, including the concept of irreversibility.

Conclusion: Children with depression and SI have a more advanced understanding of death than their peers, dispelling the myth that these ideations arise in the context of a poor understanding of death. The increase in violence attributions across early childhood could indicate increasing normalization of violence in children's perceptions of death.

Clinical trial registration information: A Randomized Controlled Trial of PCIT-ED for Preschool Depression; <http://clinicaltrials.gov/NCT00595283>.

Key words: early childhood, depression, suicidality, death understanding, media

J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2019;58(3):339–349.



Recurrent thoughts of death and suicidal ideation (SI) are diagnostic symptoms of major depressive disorder (MDD) in children and adults.¹ Recent research indicates that preschoolers with depression are 4 times more likely than healthy or anxious peers to have thoughts of death,² raising important developmental questions about the content and veracity of young children's understanding of death in this context. Although little is known about how children with depression conceptualize death, there is a substantial basic developmental literature on this topic. This research offers a compelling account of the broad stability and universality of children's acquisition of concepts that, together, comprise a mature understanding of death in Western culture. Understanding whether

depressed young children understand death is an important issue in determining clinical response to expression of suicidal thoughts and behaviors in this age group.

Mature adults recognize that death occurs to all living—and only—living things, is inevitable and irreversible, and fundamentally results from a breakdown of bodily functions. Although children do not demonstrate a full understanding of the biological basis of death until approximately 7 years of age, by 4 years children begin to distinguish between things that do and do not die, and by 5 or 6 years understand that death is a permanent irreversible state.³ Several studies have found that direct personal experiences, such as the death of a grandparent^{4,5} or suffering from protracted serious physical illness,^{4,6} can influence the rate of acquisition of specific

relevant concepts. However, in general, the developmental trajectory of understanding death is highly robust, with children demonstrating mastery of each death concept in a relatively fixed sequential order.^{3,7}

Leading work on *how* children acquire these concepts has focused on children's integration of death into their developing theories of folk biology. Specifically, children's understanding of death changes from an initial notion of death as a behavior (eg, sleeping, going away) to conceptualizing death as a biological phenomenon, in parallel to acquiring the knowledge that life is governed by biological processes (for review, see Slaughter³). However, because children with depression think about death more than their nondepressed peers,² we investigated whether their understanding of death is more or less advanced than that of their healthy developing peers. This issue is important to understanding whether expressions of suicidal thoughts and behaviors in depressed young children might represent a real wish and understanding of ending their lives.

Recent research also indicates links between persistent thoughts of death and/or suicide with later school-age SI in young children.⁸ Recent reports issued by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention indicate that childhood suicide is at a 30-year high,⁹ and suicide in 10- to 14-year-olds has surpassed motor vehicle injury and homicide to become a leading cause of death in late childhood and early adolescence.¹⁰ These statistics highlight the pressing need to understand how young children with SI perceive death. Suicidal thoughts in young children can include detailed plans to end one's life, and deaths by suicide—although rare at this early developmental period—have been documented in children as young as 5 years.¹¹ Although there has been some speculation that children with SI have a “less mature” concept of death,¹² to our knowledge this question has not been systematically explored and, for reasons outlined earlier, has clinical relevance.

In addition to having a concept of oneself as a biological entity that can die, 2 death concepts appear particularly relevant to SI—permanence and knowledge that a range of plausible external and/or internal events can cause death. Critically, it is not necessary for children to grasp that death ultimately results from a breakdown of bodily functions to know that events such as choking oneself until breathing ceases will result in the permanent state of death. Indeed, we argue that the ability to detail penultimate events that can cause death is an important—and often overlooked—component of understanding death that is highly relevant to risk in childhood SI.

Studies that detail children's understanding of events that represent penultimate causes of death find that younger children describe primarily external events (eg, car accidents,

getting shot), whereas older children include more internal events (eg, illness, heart attack).^{3,13} Interestingly, violent themes such as being shot or stabbed feature prominently in Western children's responses at all ages.⁷ Arguably, in most modernized societies, an increasing proportion of children's exposure to violence comes through media, with new technologies allowing children to engage with different forms of media with increasing frequency and at younger ages than ever before.^{14,15} Furthermore, the parallel increase between rates of suicidal behaviors and internet and videogame use in children raises questions about whether there are links between these factors. This issue has begun to be explored in adolescent populations¹⁶ but has yet to be investigated in young children, particularly those with or at risk for mood disorders.

The goals of the present analysis were to investigate the understanding of death in young children with depression with and without SI and age-matched healthy controls and to explore associations between media consumption (television, videogames, internet) and young children's understanding of death as these factors relate to children's violence attributions and SI. The present measures were added to the baseline assessment of a clinical trial of parent-child psychotherapy for preschool depression after higher than expected SI was observed in the sample, thus providing the unique opportunity to assess the understanding of death in children with depression with and without SI. We investigated whether early childhood depression with and without SI was associated with developmental variation in the understanding of death. This developmental question is relevant to the expression of SI and therefore could inform clinical response in early childhood.

METHOD

Study Sample

The sample included baseline data from 79 children (24 girls) 3.0 to 6.11 years old before their randomization in a clinical trial for preschool depression (N = 239). Understanding of death and media measures were added to the baseline assessment in year 2 of the randomized clinical trial, after higher than expected rates of SI were observed. For the randomized clinical trial, children were recruited from primary care and daycare sites in St. Louis, Missouri and screened with the Preschool Feelings Checklist¹⁷ to ascertain a group of children who met criteria for depression interested in participation in a dyadic psychotherapy. Those with Preschool Feelings Checklist scores of at least 3 and without major chronic medical illness, without a major neurologic disorder, and not currently receiving an antidepressant medication or psychotherapy were further screened for MDD using the Preschool Age Psychiatric Assessment¹⁸

depression module. Children with a suspected autistic spectrum disorder (based on a diagnosis or screen positive result on the Social Reciprocity Scale) were excluded. Children passing these screening criteria were invited to participate in an in-person baseline assessment with their caregiver in the Early Emotional Development Program at the Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis. Only children who subsequently met all criteria for MDD or MDD not otherwise specified on the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia–Early Childhood (KSADS-EC; see below) were included in this analysis.

A comparison group of 60 healthy children 4.0 to 7.12 years old was added and matched for mean age, gender, and socioeconomic indicators (3-year-olds were not included because event-relation potential measures were added and were not feasible for 3-year-olds). Caregivers completed the Child Behavior Checklist¹⁹ to assess for internalizing and externalizing behavioral problems in children. To participate as a healthy control in this study, no *T* scores on internalizing or externalizing psychopathology were higher than 70. These children completed the understanding of death measures; a caregiver completed the media measure. All study procedures were approved by the Washington University School of Medicine institutional review board and informed consent from caregivers and verbal assent from children were obtained.

Measures

Assessment of Psychopathology and SI. A comprehensive age-appropriate psychiatric interview that assessed for the presence of all relevant Axis I disorders, the KSADS-EC,²⁰ was administered to the parent or primary caregiver by a research clinician trained to reliability (κ range 0.74–1.0). This measure generated Axis I diagnoses and information about suicidal thoughts and behaviors. Specifically, the KSADS-EC MDD module contains questions that assess parent report of a child's current and past SI. SI was defined by passive expression of thoughts of one's own death, such as "I wish I were dead," and/or active expression of thoughts or plans to end one's life, such as "I am going to kill myself." Any suicidal actions were included (eg, trying to choke oneself). Children included in the SI group endorsed clinically significant threshold levels of at least 1 of these SI items during the past month.

Life Events. Caregivers were administered the Life Events Checklist²¹ to assess children's exposure to traumatic life events, including death events. The Life Events Checklist was used in conjunction with the KSADS-EC to create a comprehensive and distinct measure of exposure to violent events for children with depression.

Death Interview. Children's understanding of death was assessed using a modified version of the Death Interview,²² a validated experimenter-led behavioral measure that captures children's understanding of 5 death concepts. Concepts consisted of universality (living things die), applicability (nonliving things do not die), irreversibility (death is a permanent state), cessation (bodily functions cease with death), and causality (there are events that can cause death). The respective items were:

1. Can you tell me some things that die?
2. Can you tell me some things that never ever die?
3. Could a dead person at some time become a live person? If a person dies, and they haven't been buried in their grave for very long, can they become a living person again?
4. When a person is dead do they need ... food? ... air? ... water? Can they move around? Do they have dreams?
5. Can you tell me something that might happen that would make someone die?

Responses to items 1 to 4 were scored for accuracy from transcriptions of children's verbal responses by 2 researchers blind to children's SI status (for scoring criteria, see Supplement 1, available online). For items 1 and 2, the researchers categorized each response given by the child as a person, animal, plant, electronic, or object; those categories were used to determine whether children named only living, living and nonliving, or only nonliving things for each question (electronics were excluded from these scores). For items 3 and 4, each response was scored independently; responses were averaged to give each child a single score for each concept. Any discrepancies, which were rare because of the objective nature of the scoring, were resolved by the second author (D.J.W.). Responses to item 5, causality, were coded into 5 categories—natural/biological (eg, old age, illness), violent (eg, shooting, stabbing), accidental/traumatic (eg, car crashes, tornados), fantasy (eg, monsters, potions), and nonsensical/no response—by 2 researchers blind to children's SI status (simple agreement reliability 97%; discrepancies were resolved by a third blind coder). Children were considered to understand causality if their initial response was natural/biologic, violent, or accidental/traumatic. This categorical coding also provided a means to investigate children's attributions of death as having violent causes.

Death-Themed Narratives. To investigate young children's emotional responses to hearing about natural death, accidental death, and suicide, their reactions while listening to and discussing 4 death-themed stories were recorded and subsequently coded from video by researchers blind to

children's SI status. These 4 stories were about an elderly grandparent who became sick and died in a hospital, an elderly grandparent who became sick and decided to end her life, a dog who got hit by a car and died, and a boy who was unhappy and upset who told his mother he wanted to die (for full stories, see Supplement 2, available online). The stories were modified from the death narratives for children by Harris and Giménez.²³ Scenes from the stories were depicted by play figurines in a picture book, which children viewed while being read each narrative. The targeted emotional responses of sadness ($\alpha = 0.82$), irritability/frustration ($\alpha = 0.97$), anxiety ($\alpha = 0.78$), and interest ($\alpha = 0.73$) were coded from 1 (very low) to 5 (high) based on children's expression of each emotion within each narrative. The scaled codes used to assess emotional response were modified from Lindahl and Malik's²⁴ system to code family problem discussions, with the general negativity and conflict codes altered to account for behaviors and facial expressions associated with each target category. Children's verbalizations (eg, "this is sad" for sadness), overt behaviors (frowning, crying), and emotional tone (whining, sad voice) were equally weighted within these codes.

Children were presented with the narratives after completing the Death Interview. Two steps were taken to assess and control for potential a priori differences in children's emotional responses as a function of depression or SI status. First, we acquired global affect codes (positive, neutral, negative) for all children during the 20 to 30 seconds before the start of the Death Interview. Second, we coded children's emotional responses (sadness, irritability/frustration, anxiety, interest) during the Death Interview. These 2 measures were included as covariates in all narrative analyses to ensure that any group differences detected in emotional responses could be attributed to the stories rather than to more general differences in emotional expression among groups.

Media Exposure. To explore whether children's media exposure affects their early conceptions of death, we used items from the Media Quotient Questionnaire²⁵ in which caregivers report the frequency of their child's engagement with different types of media. Composite variables were created to capture the average number of hours per day that children spend watching television, playing computer or videogames or accessing the internet (videogames/internet), and their engagement with alternative activities such as reading books and drawing pictures.

Analyses

For this investigation, we compared understanding of death among 3 groups of children: those with depression and SI

(MDD + SI), those with depression without SI (MDD – SI), and the nondepressed healthy comparison group. The presence or absence of SI (and therefore depression subgroup status) was based on the KSADS-EC measure of SI during the past month. The 3 groups were compared with one another on all demographic factors (Table 1), including age, gender, family income-to-needs ratio, and race/ethnicity, life events, media use, and all death measures.

Each component of the Death Interview was scaled from 0 to 1 such that a higher number indicates greater understanding. Because the components included dichotomous (causality), ordinal (universality, applicability, irreversibility), and continuous (cessation) measures, this uniform scoring allowed for direct comparisons between components. A composite score was created by averaging the components for each child. A 1-way between-subjects analysis of variance was conducted to test for differences among groups as a function of the death composite, with planned pairwise comparisons used to directly compare groups (2-tailed, $\alpha = 0.05$). Age was included in all analyses to assess and/or account for expected increases in children's understanding of death with age. Logistic, ordinal, and linear regressions were conducted for each type of respective death component to model the relation between children's understanding of that component and group. Logistic regressions were performed to independently assess whether type of causality response (natural/biologic, violent, accidental/traumatic, fantasy) could be predicted by age or group. The primary goal of these latter analyses was to investigate factors contributing to children's conceptualization of death as violent.

The narratives were used to test for differences in children's observed emotional reactions while listening to death-themed stories as a function of group. Repeated-measures analyses of variance with story as the within-subjects factor and group as the between-subjects factor were conducted independently for each target emotion (sadness, irritability/frustration, anxiety, interest). Codes for global affect and each respective emotional expression from the Death Interview were used as covariates to control for differences in children's a priori emotional state.

Media exposure measures were used to explore potential links between children's understanding of death and type and amount of media they regularly consume. Logistic, ordinal, and linear regressions were conducted to determine whether media exposure (television, videogames/internet, alternative) predicts children's understanding of each death measure. Logistic regressions were conducted to determine whether media exposure predicts the type of children's causality responses. Parallel analyses were conducted to explore potential links between children's understanding of

TABLE 1 Study Sample Demographic Characteristics and Media Use as a Function of Group

	MDD + SI (n = 22)	MDD – SI (n = 57)	HC (n = 60)	Statistic	p
Demographics					
Boys, %	86.36	63.16	61.67	$\chi^2_2 = 7.78$.09
Age (y), n					
3	0	13	0		
4	2	13	27		
5	8	16	22		
6		15	11		
Age (y), mean (SD)	5.86 (0.97)	5.12 (1.13)	5.16 (0.80)	$F_2 = 5.42$.01
Income-to-needs ratio	3.36 (1.20)	3.04 (1.43)	2.92 (1.3)	$F_2 = 0.841$.43
Race, n				$\chi^2_2 = 4.10$.13
White	15	50	48		
Non-white	7	7	12		
Life events, mean (SD)					
Traumatic	4.55 (3.93)	2.02 (2.00)	2.16 (3.22)	$F_2 = 3.46$.03
Death	2.85 (2.31)	1.98 (2.13)	1.84 (2.05)	$F_2 = 1.47$.23
Violent	.35 (0.67)	.22 (0.46)	—	$F_1 = 0.86$.36
Media use, mean (SD)					
Television (h/d)	1.81 (1.20)	2.07 (1.52)	1.78 (1.23)	$F_2 = 0.67$.52
Videogames/internet (h/d)	1.58 (1.52)	1.22 (2.19)	1.12 (1.50)	$F_2 = 0.49$.61
Alternatives (1 = never; 5 = always)	3.77 (0.40)	3.90 (0.48)	4.16 (0.49)	$F_2 = 7.20$.00

Note: Mean (SD) is presented for continuous variables. d = day; h = hours; HC = healthy comparison; MDD = major depressive disorder; MDD + SI = major depressive disorder with suicidal ideation; MDD – SI = major depressive disorder without suicidal ideation.

death and exposure to traumatic, death, and violent events. The Benjamini-Hochberg procedure was used to control the false discovery rate within each exploratory analysis.

RESULTS

Demographic Characteristics

The final sample of children with depression consisted of 22 children with SI (19 boys) and 57 without (36 boys). Children with SI were significantly older than children without SI. In the MDD + SI group, 5 children exhibited active SI, 12 exhibited passive SI, and 5 exhibited passive and active SI. One child had attempted suicide. Of note, all children with depression and a history of clinically significant levels of SI also met the current threshold for SI and thus were effectively captured in this group. Children with SI broadly experienced more traumatic life events, but not more death or violent events (Table 1). These children, and the 60 healthy controls (36 boys), completed the Death Interview.


Death Interview

Children's scores on the death composite differed among the 3 groups ($F_{2,131} = 3.70$, $p = .027$). Specifically, MDD + SI children (mean 0.79, standard error [SE] 0.04) showed a greater understanding of death than MDD – SI

children (mean 0.66, SE 0.03; $p < .01$) and healthy children (mean 0.68, SE 0.03; $p = .03$). As expected, there also was a main effect of age ($F_{1,127} = 35.99$, $p < .001$; Figure 1). Although MDD + SI children experienced more traumatic events than their peers, this variable was not significant when included in the model ($F_{1,123} = 0.01$, $p = .93$) and thus was not included in subsequent group analyses (for exploratory analyses between death understanding and life events, see Table S1, available online). Together, these findings indicate that older children demonstrated a greater understanding of death than younger children, and that MDD + SI children demonstrated a greater understanding of death than either peer group above the group differences in age and traumatic events.

Regressions were performed for each death concept, with the concept as the dependent variable and group and age as independent predictors. There were main effects of age for models that predicted universality, applicability, cessation, and causality, such that older children demonstrated greater understanding of these concepts than younger children (Table 2). There was no main effect of age for irreversibility. Group was a significant predictor only for applicability, with MDD + SI children demonstrating better understanding that nonliving things do not die than MDD – SI children ($B = -1.05$,

FIGURE 1 Children's Understanding of Death Concepts by Group and Age



Note: All previously significant findings remain significant when 3-year-olds are removed from the analyses. Group comparisons were not performed for causality because MDD + SI did not vary on this measure. HC = healthy comparison; MDD = major depressive disorder; MDD + SI = major depressive disorder with SI; MDD – SI = major depressive disorder without suicidal ideation. Please note color figures are available online.

^a Significant findings for age.

^b Significant findings for MDD + SI versus MDD – SI.

^c Significant findings for MDD + SI versus HC.

$p = .05$, not significant after controlling for multiple comparisons) after accounting for age.

There was no variation in causality responses for MDD + SI children; thus, group comparisons could not be performed. However, 100% of MDD + SI children named a cause of death compared with 61% of MDD – SI and 65% of healthy children. Further, age and SI status independently predicted children's attribution of death to violent causes. Specifically, MDD + SI children were 3.57 times more likely to name a violent event when asked to describe an event that can cause death than MDD – SI children (95% CI 1.23–10.88, $p =$

.02) and 1.76 times more likely to name a violent event than healthy children (95% CI 0.58–5.32, $p = .31$). Neither age nor group predicted children's responses in any other causality category (for means and estimates, see Table S2, available online).

Death-Themed Narratives

Most children (84%) who completed the Death Interview also completed the narratives (14 children did not complete the narratives because of refusal/fatigue; 15 children were excluded for missing data or experimenter error). Of the

TABLE 2 Children's Understanding of Death Concepts and Causal Attributions of Death as a Function of Group

Concept	B/Estimate	SE	t	p	OR	95% CI
Universality						
Age	0.61	0.26		.02 ^a		0.108 to 1.11
HC vs. MDD + SI	–0.45	0.86		.60		–2.12 to 1.23
MDD – SI vs. MDD + SI	–0.58	0.87		.51		–2.28 to 1.13
Applicability						
Age	0.80	0.20		.00 ^{ab}		0.397 to 1.20
HC vs. MDD + SI	–0.43	0.54		.42		–1.49 to 0.62
MDD – SI vs. MDD + SI	–1.05	0.54		.05		–2.12 to 0.016
Irreversibility						
Age	0.31	0.19		.10		–0.055 to 0.68
HC vs. MDD + SI	–0.16	0.51		.76		–1.15 to 0.83
MDD – SI vs. MDD + SI	0.24	0.52		.64		–0.78 to 1.26
Cessation						
Age	0.07	0.03	2.38	.02 ^a		0.01 to 0.13
Group	0.02	0.04	0.46	.64		–0.06 to 0.10
Causality						
Age	0.93	0.24		.00 ^{ab}	2.49	1.54 to 4.02
Causal attribution						
Natural/biological						
Age	0.11	0.32		.73	1.12	0.60 to 2.09
HC vs. MDD + SI	–0.48	0.81		.56	0.62	0.13 to 3.02
MDD – SI vs. MDD + SI	–0.42	0.81		.60	0.66	0.1 to 3.19
Violent						
Age	–0.63	0.22		.00 ^b	1.91	1.25 to 2.92
HC vs. MDD + SI	–0.63	0.54		.25	0.53	0.18 to 1.55
MDD – SI vs. MDD + SI	–1.26	0.56		.02 ^a	0.28	0.10 to 0.85
Accident/trauma						
Age	0.16	0.22		.45	1.18	0.77 to 1.79
HC vs. MDD + SI	–0.05	0.62		.94	0.95	0.28 to 3.21
MDD – SI vs. MDD + SI	0.31	0.61		.61	1.36	0.41 to 4.50
Fantasy						
Age	–0.07	0.37		.85	0.94	0.46 to 1.91

Note: All analyses co-vary for age. Logistic, ordinal, and linear regressions were performed; thus, statistical outputs differ across respective measures. Group comparisons were not performed for causality or fantasy because MDD + SI children did not vary on these measures. HC = healthy comparison; MDD = major depressive disorder; MDD + SI = major depressive disorder with suicidal ideation; MDD – SI = major depressive disorder without suicidal ideation; OR = odds ratio; SE = standard error; vs = versus.

^aSignificant findings based on a priori predictions.

^bFindings that remain significant after adjustment for multiple comparisons.


117 children who completed the narratives, most expressed neutral affect ($n = 95$), relative to positive ($n = 15$) or negative ($n = 7$) affect, before beginning the Death Interview. There were no significant baseline differences in global affect among MDD + SI, MDD – SI, and healthy children ($\chi^2[4, N = 117] = 8.31, p = .08$). Similarly, there were no significant differences in emotional reactions as a function of group for sadness, irritability/frustration, anxiety, or interest ($p > .10$ for all comparisons) during the Death Interview. However, during the narratives, there were main effects of group for sadness ($F_{2,88} = 5.15, p < .01$) and anxiety ($F_{2,88} = 5.29, p < .01$; Figure 2), although not for irritability/frustration or interest. Specifically, MDD + SI children displayed more sadness and more anxiety when listening to the narratives than MDD – SI or healthy children. There were no main effects of story as a function of group and no interactions between story and group. Thus, these findings suggest that, overall, listening to stories about death elicited these stronger negative emotional reactions in MDD + SI children relative to their peers.

Although there was no main effect of interest as a function of SI status, children's interest scores were quite high across all 4 stories, suggesting children were engaged with the stories throughout the task. Further, there was a main effect of story on interest ($F_{3,264} = 16.70, p < .001$) such that children displayed more interest during story 4 (upset boy with SI) relative to all other stories ($p < .001$ for all comparisons).

Media Exposure

Caregiver-reported media exposure measures were obtained for 92% of the sample. Across the 3 groups, children did not differ on amount of television or videogames/internet consumption. However, healthy children spent more time engaged in alternative activities such as reading books and drawing pictures than either depressed group. Across all children, watching more television predicted lower scores on the death composite ($B = -0.39, p < .001$), in addition to the specific concepts of applicability (estimate = $-0.28, p = .05$), irreversibility (estimate = $-0.36, p < .01$), and

FIGURE 2 Children's Emotional Reactions to the Death-Themed Narratives as a Function of Group



Note: HC = healthy comparison; MDD = major depressive disorder; MDD + SI = major depressive disorder with suicidal ideation; MDD – SI = major depressive disorder without suicidal ideation. Please note color figures are available online.

^a Significant group differences between HC and MDD + SI.

^b Significant group differences between MDD – SI and MDD + SI.

^c Children displayed significantly more interest during story 4 (upset boy with SI) compared with all other stories.

cessation ($B = -0.20, p = .03$; Table S3, available online). Applicability and cessation did not remain significant after controlling for multiple comparisons. Neither videogames/internet nor alternative activities predicted any death concept scores.

In addition to SI status and age, further analyses showed that, across children, spending more time engaged with videogames/internet uniquely predicted children's naming a violent event as a cause of death (odds ratio 1.29, $p = .032$, not significant after controlling for multiple comparisons). In contrast, neither watching television nor engaging in alternative activities predicted children's violence attributions or other causality responses (Table S4, available online).

DISCUSSION

The goals of the present analysis were to investigate whether early childhood depression and/or SI was associated with alterations in death understanding and to explore other key psychosocial correlates of understanding death. Study findings indicate that MDD + SI children have a more advanced understanding of death than MDD – SI and healthy children. This finding is of clinical interest because it suggests that SI in young children is associated with more advanced understanding of death, refuting a common assumption that such children were expressing regressive distress and were likely to have a less advanced understanding of death. This finding remains significant when age is accounted for, which is striking given the robust age-related increases in understanding death in the present sample of 3- to 6-year-old children. Specifically, across the sample, older children demonstrated an increased understanding of all death concepts except for irreversibility; findings that are largely consistent with previous research.²⁶ Furthermore, although understanding death was more refined in older children, by 4 years of age most children in the sample demonstrated at least some understanding that death occurs to living things, is permanent, and results in the cessation of bodily functions.

MDD + SI children were, on average, older than either peer group, and there were no 3-year-olds with SI in our sample. The sharp increase in SI at 5 and 6 years in this sample of 3- to 6-year-olds with depression offers some indication for a change in prevalence of SI across age at this early developmental stage. However, it is important to note that the 2 4-year-old MDD + SI children in our sample demonstrated a robust understanding of the death components, including that death is permanent and describing a reasonable event that could cause death. This suggests that by the time children express SI they have at least a

rudimentary coherent understanding of death. These findings also raise intriguing questions concerning whether the expression of SI is contingent on understanding death.

When asked to describe something that could cause someone to die, all MDD + SI children, and roughly two thirds of MDD – SI and healthy children, successfully described a reasonable biological (10%), violent (38%), or accidental (23%) event that could result in death. Interestingly, age and SI status uniquely predicted children's attribution of death to violent causes. That is, older children and MDD + SI children (57%) were more likely to describe death as being caused by violent events than their peers. Although children's broad attributions of death as violent are consistent with previous research,⁷ the available data have not fully informed how these attributions develop. In the United States, accidents and illness are the leading causes of death across the lifespan,⁹ indicating a disconnect between children's perceptions of death and the events that are most likely to cause death. The increase in violence attributions across early childhood could indicate an increasing normalization of violence in children's perceptions of death. Because many violent causes of death can be self-inflicted (eg, strangulation, stabbing, gunshot), this topic is one of great importance when trying to understand how children with SI conceptualize death. These findings also raise important questions about what factors shape these violence attributions.

One possibility is that exposure to death through media plays a role in shaping children's understanding of death. Indeed, across the sample, there was preliminary evidence that children who engaged more with videogames or internet (but not television or books) were more likely to depict death as violent. This association raises the possibility that violence encountered in interactive media leads children to more readily link death with violence than with accidental or biological causes. Although media content was not assessed in the present analysis, there is ample evidence that death and violence are pervasive in these media.²⁷ For example, videogames typically have death themes that follow clearly defined rules, such as protagonists given finite sets of lives, dying and retrying levels, and harming or killing other characters to advance in the game.²⁸ In older children and adolescents, there is a robust literature focused on elucidating contexts in which playing violent videogames desensitizes individuals to violence²⁹ and leads to real-world aggression.³⁰ However, little is known about the content of videogames children play, how children spend their time online, or how exposure to violence and death themes might affect emotional and behavioral

development. Thus, future investigation is needed to determine the effects of such exposure on children's perceptions of events that cause death, and whether children with SI might engage more, or learn differently from, interactive and/or violent media than their peers.

Somewhat unexpectedly, we found that more engagement with television was associated with less understanding of death, including applicability, irreversibility, and cessation across our sample, although we did not find any differences as a function of depression or SI status. One possible explanation is that children who watch more television are exposed to characters who defy biological principles—such as inanimate objects “dying” and characters coming back to life or continuing to exist after bodily functions have ceased. Although there is substantial evidence for a “video deficit” early in development—that is, infants and toddlers have more difficulty learning information from video than when that same information is presented live³¹—by 3 years of age children can learn new information solely from video.³² Thus, even the youngest children in the present analysis could have acquired their inaccuracies about death through television. Alternatively, spending more time watching television might deprive children of the experiences necessary to learn about death in the same manner or rate as peers who watch less television. As with violence attribution, future studies are needed to investigate potential causal relations between events viewed on television and children's understanding of death and nuanced associations between learning about death through television and depression/SI not detected in this analysis.

When listening to death-themed stories, MDD + SI children exhibited more sad and anxious affect than their peers. These stronger negative emotional responses appear to reflect a greater sensitivity to death themes. These findings are consistent with the notion that young children who express SI are more emotionally activated by death themes, perhaps given their internal preoccupations with these themes.

Although the inclusion of 22 MDD + SI children in our sample is unprecedented, this is still a relatively small sample from which to draw broad conclusions about the nature of early SI. Specific limitations concern the inability to address differences between active and passive SI or to detect potential interactions between SI status and life events or media use. Another limitation concerns the lack of specificity of the media measure, including the conflation of videogame and internet use and lack of data on content of the videogames played by children and how they spend their time online. We did not ask children about their

understanding of suicide or death in relation to themselves. These topics are fraught with practical, social, and ethical dilemmas. We hope that the present study will pave the way for future research to directly address these important questions about suicide and death in children with SI.

The present study informs the role of depression and SI on young children's understanding of death. The finding that young children with SI have a more advanced understanding of death suggests that these ideations are not developmentally regressive with regard to concepts of death. Although it remains unclear how to definitely assess risk in these circumstances and what these expressions mean, findings do suggest that these ideations should be taken seriously by clinicians and that detailed risk assessments should be done, including questioning about plans and access to weapons or potentially dangerous materials in children as young as 4 years who express SI. From a public health perspective, the preliminary finding that increased consumption of videogames and internet in early childhood was associated with more violent attributions about death is potentially worrisome and raises important questions about causal relations that should be further investigated in future studies. The finding that SI was associated with even greater attributions of death to violent events also is a related public health issue for which causality should be investigated. Overall, these findings, which are the first to our knowledge to inform the understanding of death in early childhood depression and suicidality, point to the need for clinicians to address suicidal thoughts and behaviors in young children with depression in clinical interviews and to take these phenomena seriously by putting in place appropriate safety precautions and addressing more adaptive coping mechanisms.

Accepted August 15, 2018.

Drs. Hennefield, Whalen, and Luby, and Mss. Wood and Chavarria are with the Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO.

This study was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant R01 MH098454. Dr. Hennefield's work was supported by NIH training grants T32 MH100019 and F32 HD093273.

Dr. Whalen served as the statistical expert for this research.

The authors thank the children and caregivers who participated in this study. The authors also thank Paul L. Harris, PhD, of Harvard University, for his guidance on this project.

Disclosure: Dr. Luby has received royalties from Guilford Press. Drs. Hennefield and Whalen and Mss. Wood and Chavarria report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Correspondence to Laura Hennefield, PhD, Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, 4444 Forest Park, Suite 2100, St. Louis, MO 63108; e-mail: lhennefield@wustl.edu

0890-8567/\$36.00/©2019 American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2018.07.909>

REFERENCES

1. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 5th ed. Washington, DC: Author; 2013:1-970.
2. Luby JL, Belden AC, Pautsch J, Si X, Spitznagel E. The clinical significance of preschool depression: impairment in functioning and clinical markers of the disorder. *J Affect Disord*. 2009;112:111-119.
3. Slaughter V. Young children's understanding of death. *Aust Psychol*. 2005;40:179-186.
4. Jay SM, Green V, Johnson S, Caldwell S, Nitschke R. Differences in death concepts between children with cancer and physically healthy children. *J Clin Child Psychol*. 1987;16:301-306.
5. Reilly TP, Hasazi JE, Bond LA. Children's conceptions of death and personal mortality. *J Pediatr Psychol*. 1983;8:21-31.
6. O'Halloran CM, Altmeyer EM. Awareness of death among children: does a life-threatening illness alter the process of discovery? *J Couns Dev*. 1996;74:259-262.
7. Panagiotaki G, Nobes G, Ashraf A, Aubby H. British and Pakistani children's understanding of death: cultural and developmental influences. *Br J Dev Psychol*. 2014;33:31-44.
8. Whalen DJ, Dixon-Gordon K, Belden AC, Barch D, Luby JL. Correlates and consequences of suicidal cognitions and behaviors in children ages 3 to 7 years. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2015;54:926-937.e2.
9. Kochanek KD, Murphy SL, Xu J, Tejada-Vera B. Deaths: Final Data for 2014. *Natl Vital Stat Rep*. 2016;65:1-122.
10. QuickStats: death rates for motor vehicle traffic injury, suicide, and homicide among children and adolescents aged 10–14 years—United States, 1999–2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2016;65:1203. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/65/wr/mm6543a8.htm>. Accessed February 19, 2019.
11. Sheftall AH, Asti L, Horowitz LM, *et al*. Suicide in elementary school-aged children and early adolescents. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138:e20160436.
12. Cuddy-Casey M, Orvaschel H. Children's understanding of death in relation to child suicidality and homicidality. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 1997;17:33-45.
13. Kenyon BL. Current research in children's conceptions of death: a critical review. *Omega (Westport)*. 2001;43:63-91.
14. Anderson CA, Bushman BJ, Donnerstein E, Hummer TA, Warburton W. SPSSI research summary on media violence. *Anal Soc Issues Public Policy*. 2015;15:4-19.
15. American families see tablet as playmate, teacher, and babysitter; <http://www.nielsen.com/us/en/insights/news/2012/american-families-see-tablets-as-playmate-teacher-and-babysitter.html>. Accessed March 1, 2018. Published February 16, 2012.
16. Twenge JM, Joiner TE, Rogers ML, Martin GN. Increases in depressive symptoms, suicide-related outcomes, and suicide rates among U.S. adolescents after 2010 and links to increased new media screen time. *Clin Psychol Sci*. 2017;6:3-17.
17. Luby JL, Heffelfinger A, Koenig-McNaught AL, Brown K, Spitznagel E. The Preschool Feelings Checklist: a brief and sensitive screening measure for depression in young children. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2004;43:708-717.
18. Egger HL, Ascher AA. *Preschool Age Psychiatric Assessment (PAPA)*. Durham, NC: Duke University Medical Center; 1999.
19. Achenbach TM, Rescorla LA. *Manual for the ASEBA Preschool Forms & Profiles: An Integrated System of Multi-Informant Assessment; Child Behavior Checklist for Ages 1 1/2-5; Language Development Survey; Caregiver-Teacher Report Form*. Burlington: University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth & Families; 2000.
20. Gaffrey MS, Luby JL. *Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia—Early Childhood Version (K-SADS-EC)*. St Louis, MO: Washington University School of Medicine; 2012.
21. Luby JL. *Life Events Checklist—Child (LEC-Child)*. St Louis, MO: Washington University School of Medicine; 2013.
22. Slaughter V, Griffiths M. Death understanding and fear of death in young children. *Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2007;12:525-535.
23. Harris PL, Giménez M. Children's acceptance of conflicting testimony: the case of death. *J Cogn Cult*. 2005;5:143-164.
24. Lindahl K, Malik N. The system for coding interactions and family functioning. In: Kerig P, Lindahl K, eds. *Family Observational Coding Systems Resources for Systemic Research*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2001:77-91.
25. Gentile DA, Walsh DA. *MediaQuotientTM: National Survey of Family Media Habits, Knowledge, and Attitudes*. Minneapolis, MN: National Institute on Media and the Family; 1999:1-104.
26. Hunter SB, Smith DE. Predictors of children's understandings of death: age, cognitive ability, death experience and maternal communicative competence. *Omega*. 2008;57:143-162.
27. Council on Communications and Media. *Media violence*. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124:1495-1503.
28. Tocci J. "You are dead. Continue?": Conflicts and complements in game rules and fiction. *Eludamos*. 2008;2:1-17.
29. Carnagey NL, Anderson CA, Bushman BJ. The effect of video game violence on physiological desensitization to real-life violence. *J Exp Soc Psychol*. 2007;43:489-496.
30. Calvert SL, Appelbaum M, Dodge KA, *et al*. The American Psychological Association Task Force assessment of violent video games: science in the service of public interest. *Am Psychol*. 2017;72:126-143.
31. Troseth GL, DeLoache JS. The medium can obscure the message: young children's understanding of video. *Child Dev*. 1998;69:950-965.
32. Richert RA, Robb MB, Smith EI. Media as social partners: the social nature of young children's learning from screen media. *Child Dev*. 2011;82:82-95.