



Yale Law School



Washington
University in St. Louis
SCHOOL OF LAW

Compilation of Government Responses to the
UN International Commission's Work on Crimes Against Humanity
2022

Prepared by the
Crimes Against Humanity Initiative
Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute
Washington University School of Law
and the
Lowenstein Human Rights Project
Yale Law School

February 2023



WHITNEY R. HARRIS
WORLD LAW INSTITUTE

CrimesAgainstHumanity.wustl.edu

© Copyright 2023 Leila Nadya Sadat. All rights reserved.

Table of Contents

1. Introductory Note	i
2. Summary Table of Support for the Resolution	ii
3. 77th Session of the UNGA Sixth Committee	1

Introductory Note

This document is a compilation of government reactions to the International Law Commission’s Draft Articles on Crimes Against Humanity during the United Nations General Assembly Sixth Committee’s 77th Session and notes country support for the November 18, 2022, Crimes Against Humanity Draft Resolution A/C.6/77/L.4 (GA Resolution A/77/416). A **Y** indicates that the country was a co-sponsor of the resolution. The chart is based on statements made by States during the plenary debate. A link for each full statement has been provided in the Compilation Report when available. Government reactions have been grouped into the following six categories:

Code	Description
Favors Zero Draft	Explicitly favors the Zero Draft Resolution.
Favors CAH Treaty (Silent on Zero Draft)	Explicitly favors the CAH treaty. Takes no explicit view on the Zero Draft Resolution.
Neutral to Zero Draft	Takes no explicit view on the Zero Draft Resolution.
Seems to Oppose Zero Draft	Indicates some opposition to the Zero Draft Resolution.
Strongly Opposes Zero Draft	Clearly opposes the Zero Draft Resolution, may support CAH treaty.
Opposes CAH Treaty	Explicitly or implicitly opposes the CAH treaty.

The 2022 Sixth Committee Statements were prepared by the Yale Lowenstein Human Rights Project and Washington University School of Law Crimes Against Humanity Initiative volunteers: Deniz Ariturk, Hannah Grage, Harry Grindle, Corey Khan, Isabella Marin Quintero, Vijay Pathak, Sai Rayala, Kris Sturm, and Joe Sullivan-Springhetti under the supervision of Professor Leila Nadya Sadat.

This compilation is available to researchers free of charge. Please cite as: *Compilation of Gov’t Reactions to the Int’l L. Commn’s. Project on Crimes Against Human.*, Wash. U. Crimes Against Human. Initiative & Yale Lowenstein Human Rts. Project (2023).

Summary Table of Support for the Resolution

The table included below indicates country support for the International Law Commission’s Draft Articles on Crimes Against Humanity during the United Nations General Assembly Sixth Committee’s 77th Session and country support for the November 18, 2022, Crimes Against Humanity Draft Resolution.

Zero Draft Co-Sponsors includes countries that did not speak during the United Nations General Assembly Sixth Committee’s 77th Session in October 2022, but voted in favor of the November 18, 2022 Crimes Against Humanity Draft Resolution A/C.6/77/L.4 (GA Resolution A/77/416).

Summary Table of Support for the Resolution	
Favors Zero Draft	63
Favors CAH Treaty (Silent on Zero Draft in Plenary Statement)	14
Zero Draft Co-Sponsors (Did not Speak at Plenary)	23
Total Countries in Favor	100
Neutral to Zero Draft	4
Seems to Oppose Zero Draft	8
Strongly Opposes Zero Draft	5
Opposes CAH Treaty	2
Total Countries in Opposition	15

Compilation of Government Reactions during the 6th committee meeting

Country	Speaker, Date, and Time	Official Statement in Plenary	Link to Statement	Coding for Plenary Statements	Co-Sponsors of Resolution
Afghanistan		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Albania		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined European Union plenary statement.			Y
Algeria	Algerian delegation, Oct. 11, 00:32:45 (10:00 - 13:00)	<i>Unofficial Translation</i> : "Despite the many positive points contained in these draft articles, [Algeria] stresses that it is practiced each country has its own criminal jurisdiction over crimes against humanity, as is well known that many of these fundamental issues are shrouded in a lot of ambiguity which require delving into more serious discussions within the framework of the Sixth Committee"	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_algeria.pdf	Seems to Oppose Zero Draft Resolution	
Argentina	Martin Juan Mainero, Oct. 10, 2:35:36 (15:00-18:00)	"We cannot say it is premature to move towards a convention when the notion of crimes against humanity goes back to the 19th century . . . A convention on crimes against humanity is still a pending debt on the international community . . . We need progress . . . we have before us draft articles . . . on which we can begin deliberations . . . it is important that during this session that we can agree on a roadmap to at least structure deliberations . . . continuing inaction is difficult to justify . . . we are ready to continue working constructively in this session and to promote various proposals on the path towards a convention."	No official statement issued.	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Armenia	Mr. Tigran Galstyan, Oct. 10, 02:30:00 (15:00 - 18:00)	"Armenia welcomes the discussions on enhancing the scope and the efficiency of the United Nations prevention toolbox. We reaffirm that Member States must make every effort to prevent and punish all crimes against humanity. The draft articles, as recommended by the International Law Commission, are reflective of the shared objective of combating impunity for the perpetrators and delivering justice to the victims. It is important to build upon this shared objective to further develop the capacity of the international community to protect people from crimes against humanity. A new convention would be instrumental in filling a perceived gap by adding to the treaties on prevention and punishment of genocide and war crimes . . . We reiterate our commitment to support efforts towards prevention of atrocity crimes and stand ready to contribute to the tangible progress in this regard."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_armenia.pdf	Favors CAH Treaty – wants to move forward – silent on zero draft	Y
Australia		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined Canadian plenary statement.			Y

Austria	Mr. Maximilian Gorke, Oct. 10, 00:04:53 (15:00-18:00)	"My delegation fully aligns itself with the statement made by the European Union and I would like to make the following remarks in our national capacity: . . . justice cannot be achieved as long as there are ways to allow impunity for the most serious international crimes such as crimes against humanity . . . Austria . . . supports the elaboration and conclusion of an international convention on the basis of the 'Draft articles on prevention and punishment of crimes against humanity' presented by the International Law Commission in 2019. Such a convention - codifying existing customary international law on the criminalization of widespread or systematic attacks directed against any civilian population - is long overdue . . . Only crimes against humanity are not governed by a specific convention. A convention on this topic would fill an existing gap in international treaty law . . . Austria remains committed to multilateralism and the promotion and continuous development of international law . . . In order to live up to its purpose as well as to ensure an ongoing fruitful relationship with the ILC, the Sixth Committee must conduct meaningful and outcome-oriented discussions on the ILC's work and ensure the appropriate follow-up. The codification of existing customary international law contributes to legal certainty and strengthens the rule of law . . . Austria considers an Ad Hoc Committee for the intersessional period as a suitable forum for open and constructive deliberations . . . We think that the zero draft resolution put forward by Mexico together with others reflects the preferred way forward as expressed in last year's debate in a balanced manner"	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_austria.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Bahamas		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y

Bangladesh	H.E. Muhammad Abdul Muhith, Oct 10, 01:32:32 (15:00-18:00)	<p>"Crimes against humanity is a despicable affront to human civilization . . . we ratified the Rome Statute in 2010 . . . the Government of Bangladesh established the International Crimes Tribunals to try and punish the perpetrators of the crimes against humanity committed in our territory in 1971 . . . the Rohingyas have faced multiple forms of atrocities and human rights violations in Myanmar . . . we are extending full support to all other accountability mechanisms . . . We believe, in the absence of full universalization of the Rome Statute, a dedicated international instrument will create an opportunity to unify all global efforts in the fight against impunity of the crime against humanity . . . we thank the International Law Commission for drafting articles on the prevention and punishment of crimes against humanity in 2019 and submission of those articles to the General Assembly for consideration . . . we are delighted to be one of the main sponsors of this year's draft resolution proposing the establishment of an Adhoc Committee to discuss the draft articles of ILC. We call upon all member States to support this year's draft resolution proposing the establishment of an Ad-hoc Committee to discuss the draft articles of ILC and constructively engage in the negotiations of the resolution . . . No international mechanism would be enough to prevent and address crimes against humanity if the member States are not willing to support them or cooperate with them. We call upon member States to demonstrate genuine political will in addressing impunity . . ."</p>	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_bangladesh.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Belarus	Oct. 10, 02:51:06 (10:00-13:00)	<p>"Belarus aligns itself with Venezuela. . . . Not all the provisions of this document can be characterized as norms of customary international law based on the opinio juris of the international community as a whole. Unfortunately the fight against crimes against humanity is frequently politicized Currently we have differences of opinion on ILC draft articles, confirming the absence of consensus on certain aspects of such crimes. Some states have expressed misgivings about the selective, arbitrary, and politicized application of the norms contained in the draft articles Some states are concerned about issues such as government sovereignty, officials' immunity from foreign criminal jurisdiction, as well as the issue of universal jurisdiction a draft universal convention on the basis of the draft articles is a prospect that's possible but requires a narrowing of states' positions . . . we need to continue consideration of these draft articles without setting any time limitations."</p>	No official statement issued.	Strongly Opposes Zero Draft Resolution	

Belgium	Oct. 11, 00:14:06 (10:00-13:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "Belgium supports the declaration made by the European Union . . . we support the development of a convention on the basis of the draft articles as recommended by the Commission . . . Belgium is of the opinion that an Ad Hoc Committee of the General Assembly, with a clear mandate and a well-defined timetable, would be an appropriate framework to discuss these approaches and move towards the convening of a diplomatic conference"	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_belgium.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Bolivia	Ambassador Diego Pary Rodriguez, Oct. 11, 00:38:31 (10:00 - 13:00)	<i>Unofficial Translation:</i> "Bolivia ratifies its commitment to the work of the Sixth Committee, the codification and development of international law for progressive development always in the defense and protection of life . . . there is still a great void in the area of crimes against humanity. We regret that in recent years progress in this area has not been substantial, inasmuch as we have taken note of the recommendations proposed by the International Law Commission and now face the great challenge of reaching intergovernmental agreements . . . we must continue to promote and progressively develop international law . . . the proposal for a Convention on crimes against humanity must be a priority, based on the proposals of the IDC . . . Bolivia wishes to reiterate its commitment to continue working within the framework of the Commission to reach agreements with a view to a binding international instrument to overcome impunity"	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_bolivia.pdf	Favors CAH Treaty – wants to move forward – silent on zero draft	
Bosnia and Herzegovina		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined European Union plenary statement.			Y

Brazil	Oct. 10, 00:29:27 (15:00-18:00)	". . . the set of draft articles presented to us by the International Law Commission is a good basis to guide our discussions . . . We believe the draft articles should prioritize the jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court when the custody State has no nexus with the crime, the suspects or the victims . . . the draft articles would benefit from the addition of safeguards to prevent the abuse of the universality principle, such as a provision giving jurisdictional priority to States with the closest links to the crimes . . . the ILC recommended the "elaboration of a convention by the General Assembly or by an international conference of plenipotentiaries on the basis of the draft articles." Brazil believes that a global convention on prevention and punishment of crimes against humanity might serve as an important additional piece in the current framework of international law . . . it is imperative to prioritize a negotiation framework that provides the legitimacy and inclusiveness needed to the discussions. Brazil is ready to engage in this exercise . . . Brazil believes it is now time to move our discussion into a structured conversation. The participation of all Member States under an ad hoc committee could ensure that a dialogue proceeds in the intersessional period. This measure would allow Member States to explore matters of substance in a thorough manner."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_brazil.pdf	Favors CAH Treaty – wants to move forward – silent on zero draft	Y
Bulgaria		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined European Union plenary statement.			Y
Burkina Faso	His Excellency Ouinibani KONATE, Ambassador Deputy Permanent Representative, Oct. 10, 01:40:50 (15:00-18:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "Convinced that international cooperation contributes greatly to eradicating the evils that increasingly undermine the international community, Burkina Faso congratulates the International Law Commission on the draft articles elaborated during its seventy-first session in 2019 on the prevention and punishment of crimes against humanity . . . Burkina Faso always remains open to any initiative or international action in favor of the protection of human, protection of human dignity in all circumstances."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_burkinafaso.pdf	Favors CAH Treaty – wants to move forward – silent on zero draft	
Cameroon	NYANID Zacharie Serge Raoul, Ph. D, Oct. 10, 01:23:05 (15:00-18:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> ". . . my delegation is concerned by the content of Article 2 of the draft of the ILC . . . My delegation also notes that there is no legal vacuum in this area [crimes against humanity] and is therefore in favor of a calm international cooperation, free of any politicization...For my delegation, the perpetrators of crimes against humanity can be prosecuted according to the law of their country..."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_cameroon.pdf	Opposes CAH Treaty	

Canada	Oct. 10, 02:19:10 (10:00 - 13:00)	Statement on behalf of Canada, Australia, and New Zealand: "Crimes against humanity are among the most serious crimes of international concern. Confronted with a rise in atrocity situations worldwide, we must remain steadfast in our efforts to prevent and punish serious international crimes . . . there is currently no universal convention to address crimes against humanity, and this remains a significant gap in the international accountability framework . . . We support progress toward a Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Crimes Against Humanity . . . we are concerned that to date the Sixth Committee has been unable to move this discussion forward, as recommended by the ILC . . . our countries reiterate the need to create a structured process with a clear timetable and next steps . . . we have cosponsored the proposal made by Mexico on behalf of a cross regional group of countries to establish an Ad Hoc Committee as a dedicated forum to discuss this item, and we encourage others to support this resolution."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/09mtg_canz_e.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Central African Republic		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Chile	Oct. 10, 2:51:33 (15:00-18:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "It is necessary to have a regulatory system in criminal matters . . . The draft articles constitute a clear contribution to the development of the right international criminal law . . . These draft articles impose . . . specific and concrete measures to prevent and punish these conducts . . . These draft articles, therefore, should be linked with . . . the Statute of Rome and the developments and advances that are taking place in the process in course within the framework of 'Multilateral Legal Assistance' (MLA), oriented primarily to facilitate cooperation and assistance among States in relation, among others, to crimes against humanity. In our opinion, it is important that there is the greatest possible consensus in the concepts and terms used in order to avoid duplication of efforts or possible differences or discrepancies in the treatment of aspects determined . . . The issues related to universal jurisdiction . . . should limit itself specifically in a first stage to these serious and reprehensible conduct. In this sense, we believe that it would be useful to encourage dialogue on this issue in the Sixth Committee or in a mechanism that it decides to convene for this purpose . . . My delegation joins those who promote the convening of a diplomatic conference for the purpose of adopting a convention on the matter, and is willing, at the same time, to consider other approaches . . . since the definition of this call it is considered that it should be based on a majority consensus."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_chile.pdf	Favors CAH Treaty – wants to move forward – silent on zero draft	Y

China	Ambassador Geng Shuang, Deputy Permanent Representative of China to the United Nations; Oct. 11, 00:02:47 (10:00-13:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "China agrees with the statement made by Venezuela . . . China supports preventing and punishing crimes against humanity in accordance with the law . . . It is a major systematic project to formulate special conventions on crimes against humanity . . . in the absence of basic consensus, hastily initiating negotiations on a convention often backfires, and haste makes waste . . . The concept of crime, unilaterally labeling other countries without authorization, and hyping them up, borrowing the name of the law is actually interference in internal affairs. Such political manipulation seriously undermines public fairness and justice . . . We advise these countries Change course and take concrete steps to . . . the opening of negotiations for a treaty . . . Preventing and punishing crimes against humanity is not without a legal framework . . . China supports continuing to conduct practical explorations within the framework of the Sixth Committee of the UN General Assembly . . . Instead of a timetable or roadmap, democracy should be fully promoted, consensus gradually built, and the advance work on the basis of reflecting the concerns of all parties in a balanced manner."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_china.pdf	Strongly Opposes Zero Draft Resolution	
Colombia	Oct. 10, 02:42:25 (10:00-13:00)	<i>Unofficial Translation:</i> ". . . a legally binding international instrument in this area can serve to consolidate and strengthen international criminal law. However, we also believe that the draft articles proposed by the Commission could benefit from some additions or complements, as we have already stated in previous interventions . . . the discussions that we have been holding show the interest on the part of most of the delegations to enter into a discussion on the content of the draft articles and to review the suggestion of the Commission. That is why my country supports the establishment by the Assembly of an ad hoc committee to examine the draft articles emanating from the Commission . . . we decided to co-sponsor the resolution on this matter presented by a significant group of delegations, and we invite other delegations that share our concern to co-sponsor it. In sum, my country considers it a priority to make decisive progress in the development and codification of international criminal law so that those responsible for the most serious crimes against humanity do not go unpunished. We believe that the discussion in the Sixth Committee should move toward that end and we are ready to engage in active dialogue within the framework of the ad hoc committee established by the General Assembly for that purpose."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/09mtg_colombia.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Comoros		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Costa Rica		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Croatia		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined European Union plenary statement.			Y

Cuba	Oct. 10, 02:13:08 (15:00-18:00)	"Given the substantive concerns that still exist with respect to the draft articles submitted, the Sixth Committee should continue to consider this topic on the basis of the States' comments, and in the format of a working group that meets during the main segment of its session."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_cuba.pdf	Seems to Oppose Zero Draft Resolution	
Cyprus		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined European Union plenary statement.			Y
Czech Republic	Mr. Marek Zúkal, Oct. 10, 00:44:40 (15:00-18:00)	"I align myself with the statement delivered by the representative of the European Union on behalf of the Union and its Member States . . . concluding a treaty on their [Crimes Against Humanity] prevention and punishment is the least we can do What we are suggesting is that we start discussion on these articles. We want to exchange opinions on their content So what we are suggesting is that we establish an adequate forum, an ad hoc committee"	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_czech.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Denmark		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined Swedish plenary statement on behalf of Nordic countries			Y
Djibouti		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Dominican Republic		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Ecuador	Pablo Agustín Escobar Ullauri, Oct. 10, 2:32:49 (15:00-18:00)	<i>Unofficial translation</i> : "Crimes against humanity are, along with genocide and war crimes, the clearest examples of attacks on international law, human rights, international humanitarian law and even the most basic notions of civilization . . . taking into account the seriousness of crimes against humanity and what they represent . . . a clear message is required from the international community . . . that there will be no impunity . . . we support the adoption of a convention based on the draft articles on the prevention and punishment of crimes against humanity approved by the International Law Commission . . . we hope that we will be able to reach agreement on an approach that will allow us to move forward in deliberations on the recommendations of the International Law Commission . . . we highlight the draft resolution . . . We believe . . . the establishment of an Ad Hoc Committee in the year 2023, open to all States, to examine and exchange substantive points of view on the draft articles, as well as to analyze the recommendation of the International Law Commission for the elaboration of a convention with a view to a decision of the General Assembly, contains the necessary elements to achieve the objective that we understand is shared by the Member States of preventing and punishing crimes against humanity."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_ecuador.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y

Egypt	Ahmed Abdelaziz Elgharib, Oct. 10, 00:19:01 (15:00-18:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "My delegation aligns itself with Morocco on behalf of the African Group . . . we believe in the need to fill the vacuum in the international framework . . . Egypt reaffirms its commitment to preventing impunity . . . we believe it is important to adopt a comprehensive approach and efforts made to address crimes against humanity . . . the ILC's draft articles . . . encompassed many useful elements that could be further elaborated . . . we continue to have concerns about many legal problems in the draft articles that we have in our statements during the 74th, 75th, and 76th, sessions . . . we continue to believe that it is too early for the Sixth Committee to decide on discussing an international convention on the basis of the draft articles, let alone calling for a conference of plenipotentiaries . . . enough time must be allocated to allow all member states to adequately study the draft articles . . . we believe that assuming the majority believes in one approach is not going to be useful . . . we should avoid undermining the committee's working method, so far based on consensus"	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_egypt.pdf	Seems to Oppose Zero Draft Resolution	
El Salvador	Ligia Lorena Flores Soto, Oct. 10, 00:23:49 (15:00-18:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "The Republic of El Salvador reaffirms its condemnation of the commission of crimes against humanity . . . our delegation notes . . . the draft articles on the prevention and punishment of crimes against humanity . . . The preamble to the draft articles on the subject reminds States that the prohibition of crimes against humanity is . . . a peremptory norm of general international law (jus cogens) . . . the aforementioned draft articles contribute significant value in the function of codification of international law . . . However . . . we note the need to continue discussing substantive observations on the draft articles, including the interpretation of the term 'enforced disappearance of persons' . . . We hope that, in the present period, the Sixth Committee will be able to initiate inclusive discussions on the possibility of continuing with the consideration of these draft articles . . . effectively generating an intergovernmental forum or space that will allow for their discussion . . . As expressed in previous speeches, the Republic of El Salvador believes that an instrument on this matter could help Member States strengthen their national legal framework, while at the same time it would make it possible to promote, among other aspects, greater mutual legal assistance in relation to investigation and prosecution in order to reduce impunity for these crimes. Therefore, my delegation reiterates its willingness to continue the deliberations on this important agenda item and encourages the Sixth Committee to take a more active and leading role in defining a decision on the articles in question."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_elsalvador.pdf	Seems to Oppose Zero Draft Resolution	
Estonia		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined European Union plenary statement.			Y

European Union	Ms. Simona Popan, Counsellor, Delegation of the European Union to the United Nations, Oct. 10, 02:09:25 (10:00-13:00)	"The lack of a convention on crimes against humanity is a significant gap in international treaty law. This is a gap that the international community must fill without delay . . . Last year, the Sixth Committee had a substantive debate on this topic. Some delegations expressed concerns regarding the ILC draft articles. Some others wanted to study the issue further. Very few spoke against. However . . . the large majority was in favour of the elaboration of a convention on the basis of the ILC draft articles . . . These different perspectives could be usefully discussed in a dedicated body established by the General Assembly. This body could be mandated to examine and exchange substantive views on the draft Articles, and to consider further the recommendation of the ILC for the elaboration of a convention . . . An Ad Hoc Committee could offer an ideal framework for further deliberations . . . we appreciate the draft proposal put forward by Mexico"	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/09mtg_eu.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	
Fiji		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Finland		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined Swedish plenary statement on behalf of Nordic countries.			Y
France	Oct. 11, 00:30:14 (10:00-13:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "My delegation joins the statement of the European Union and wishes to add the following in its national capacity . . . there is no international convention governing the prevention and punishment of such crimes . . . the International Law Commission called for the elaboration, by the General Assembly or by an international conference, of a convention based on these draft articles. The French delegation fully supports the adoption of such a convention, which would strengthen the international legal framework for combating the most the most serious crimes. It takes this opportunity to express its appreciation to the International Law Commission for the assistance it continues to give to the codification and progressive development of international law . . . Our delegation stands ready to engage in the broadest and most transparent dialogue with the other delegations in order to finally move forward on this agenda item and on this agenda item and continues to advocate for the universal adoption of a convention on the prevention and punishment of crimes against humanity."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_france.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Gambia		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Georgia		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined European Union plenary statement.			Y

Germany	Oct. 10, 00:16:11 (15:00-18:00)	"At this stage, our aim is to proceed by setting up a structured process towards treaty negotiations based on the ILC's draft articles. We are convinced that a convention would provide further impetus to the prevention of atrocity crimes. The draft articles will serve as a solid basis for successful negotiations on a convention in an inclusive manner. Germany remains strongly committed to the fight against impunity for the most serious international crimes. We will fully support the elaboration of such a Convention, on the basis of the draft articles as recommended by the ILC, and will proactively contribute to the work of an Ad Hoc Committee to this end."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_germany.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Ghana	Mrs. Carolyn Oppong-Ntiri, Oct. 10, 02:27:26 (15:00-18:00)	"We, therefore, welcome the continuing consideration in the Sixth Committee of this agenda item with the view to closing the jurisdictional gap that exists in our international criminal justice system in relation to crimes against humanity and align ourselves with the statements of the African Group. We re-iterate our support for the elaboration of a convention on the basis of the draft articles adopted by the International Law Commission and submitted to the General Assembly. The draft articles, in our view, reflect, our shared considerations for the prevention of such heinous acts anywhere and against any group of people, avoiding impunity and ensuring justice for victims. The success of our endeavors to codify the rules of international law in respect of crimes against humanity is reliant upon our ability to foster an open and inclusive dialogue on the draft articles in a manner that garners consensus on the elements of an internationally binding convention and the modalities as recommended by the ILC."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_ghana.pdf	Favors CAH Treaty – wants to move forward – silent on zero draft	Y

Greece	Michael Stellakatos, Legal Counsellor, Mission of Greece to the United Nations, Oct. 11, 00:46:45 (10:00-13:00)	". . . the Sixth Committee and subsequently the General Assembly have adopted three Resolutions with identical content, the effect of which was to include this item in the Agenda of its forthcoming sessions with no further results . . . It is time to provide for an inclusive, effective and efficient framework that would allow to discuss and address concerns of delegations. This framework should clearly provide for the way to be followed and the timeline to achieve concrete results and deliverables. In this respect we invite States to engage constructively in the discussions on the Draft Resolution put forward by Mexico and other delegations . . . the elaboration of an international penal convention for the domestic criminalization, prevention and punishment of crimes against humanity on the basis of the ILC Draft Articles adequately combined with the establishment of a procedural legal framework of inter-State cooperation in the fields of mutual legal assistance and extradition for core international crimes, through the Mutual Legal Assistance Initiative, would significantly enhance the ability of States to ensure accountability for such crimes, including the crimes against humanity."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_greece.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Guatemala	Mr. Juan Antonio Benard Estrada, Oct. 10, 00:33:33 (15:00-18:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "We take note of chapter 4 of the report of the ILC . . . which includes draft articles on the prevention and punishment on crimes against humanity . . . Guatemala welcomes . . . the topic related to crimes against humanity. Throughout history we have witnessed inhuman actions committed against the civilian population . . . these crimes are extremely important . . . crimes against humanity are an intolerable threat to international peace and security . . . it's a norm that does not allow a contrary position in any circumstance . . . we must act together to ensure that no crimes against humanity goes unpunished or repeated . . . the work of the international human rights system is of particular importance . . . we acknowledge either complementary role played by the international criminal court . . . my delegation would like to stress . . . we believe that it would be a good idea to establish an ad hoc committee with the goal of examining the draft articles on . . . crimes against humanity as well as exchange of substantive points of view on this topic . . . for the elaboration of a convention . . . this would contribute to the constant codification and development of international law"	No official statement issued.	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y

Holy See (observer)	Archbishop Gabriele Caccia, Oct. 11, 01:32:12 (10:00-13:00)	"In that context, a global convention on crimes against humanity would indeed further strengthen the current framework of international humanitarian law, international criminal law and human rights law. Therefore, my delegation supports further discussions on the Draft Articles adopted by the International Law Commission at its 71st session in 2019 The proposed convention should also ensure that efforts to prevent and punish crimes against humanity respect State sovereignty and avoid interference in States' domestic affairs. Any new convention should therefore follow the well-honed precedents of other crime-prevention treaties, building upon the principle of aut dedere aut judicare and setting out the duty of States Parties to prosecute crimes against humanity within their borders and to cooperate with each other in this task, which may require, when appropriate, the extradition of wrongdoers, as well as to provide assistance to victims. "	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_holysee.pdf	Favors CAH Treaty – wants to move forward – silent on zero draft	
Honduras		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Hungary	Permanent Mission of Hungary to the UN, Oct. 10, 02:48:43 (10:00-13:00)	"Hungary aligns itself with the statement of the European Union and wishes to make a few observations in its national capacity These draft articles have been adopted by the International Law Commission (ILC) in 2019 Crimes against humanity threaten fundamental principles of the international community. Moreover, there is a consensus that the perpetrators of these crimes must be brought to justice. Therefore, the need for a comprehensive international legal framework is undeniable Therefore, we are of the view that it is time to take further steps towards negotiating and adopting an international legally binding instrument based on the ILC's draft articles. As a first step on this path, Hungary is fully committed to establishing an Ad hoc committee within the Sixth Committee. Such an Ad hoc committee must be open to all Member States and observers of the United Nations and members of specialized agencies. The mandate of this committee should encompass the exchange of substantive views, and the consideration of the recommendation of the ILC, namely, the elaboration of a convention by the General Assembly or by an international conference of plenipotentiaries."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/09mtg_hungary.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Iceland		Co-sponsor of Draft Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined Swedish plenary statement on behalf of Nordic countries.			Y

India	Dr. Kajal Bhat, Oct. 10, 01:54:24 (15:00-18:00)	<p>"States have the primary sovereign prerogative to exercise jurisdiction through their national courts over crimes, including crimes against humanity, that have been committed either in their territory or by their nationals India conforms to the principle that . . . territorial or national jurisdictions should be given primacy We would like to reiterate that the existing international instruments elaborately address the issue of crimes against humanity India is not a party to the Rome Statute Member States that have not subscribed to the Rome Statute, have extant national legislation in place to deal with such offenses it would not be advisable to rush into the elaboration of a Convention on crimes against humanity we are of the view that these draft Articles are neither new nor universal. We fail to see the urgency of an accelerated adoption of the draft without a prior exhaustive study of its contents through the methods traditionally employed by the Commission We are not in favour of simply transposing already existing regimes into a new convention. An open, inclusive, and transparent debate on this topic should be conducted so as to prevent any conflict with the already existing legal framework."</p>	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_india.pdf	Opposes CAH Treaty	
Indonesia	Delegation of the Republic of Indonesia to the United Nations, Oct. 11, 01:10:52 (10:00-13:00)	<p>"The Committee continues to face divergence of positions, particularly on the way forward My delegation cannot emphasize enough the importance of having a consensus in responding to paragraph 42 of the ILC's report regarding the Draft Articles. Therefore, consultations are necessary to deepen understanding and hence bring us closer to consensus. But the ultimate aim should be to ensure progress It is important to ensure the preservation of the primary responsibility of States to exercise their national criminal jurisdiction with respect to crimes against humanity occurred in its territory, and to ensure the effective prosecution of such crimes at the national level to further complement the national legal infrastructure, Indonesia also stresses the importance of cooperation among states Indonesia stands ready to engage positively in the discussions, both on the substance and on procedural issues, in order to decide the best way forward to attain progress, in a consensual manner."</p>	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_indonesia.pdf	Favors CAH Treaty – wants to move forward – silent on zero draft	

Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Mr. Mohammad Ghorbanpour, Oct. 10, 02:35:44 (10:00-13:00)	<p>"My delegation extends its appreciation to the International Law Commission (ILC) for its work in providing the draft articles on the 'Prevention and Punishment of Crimes against Humanity' . . . Recognizing that the crime against humanity is a serious crime . . . The current fragmentation of views on both the draft Articles as well as the recommendation of the ILC concerning the modalities on the fate of the draft simply prevent a united response to the perpetrators of this crime as well as its prevention . . . attempts to incorporate definitions emanating from non-universal instruments as well as national laws and practices in the context of progressive development, have stymied the process . . . this can be overcome after all concerns and expectations are addressed thoroughly and equally with a high spirit of consensus and unanimity . . . my delegation encourages the Committee to continue its deliberations on this agenda item, including moving forward with a holistic approach regarding all existing products of the ILC . . . expresses its dissatisfaction regarding the selectivity of the ILC's products wherein a number of them are pending in this Committee years before the submission of the draft articles on Crimes Against Humanity."</p>	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/09mtg_iran.pdf	Strongly Opposes Zero Draft Resolution	
Ireland	Ambassador Fergal Mythen, Oct. 10, 01:04:06 (15:00-18:00)	<p>"Ireland aligns itself with the statement delivered by the European Union, and wishes to make the following further comments It is high time for the international community to address this significant gap in the international treaty law framework The elaboration of an international convention would send an important message that impunity for those who commit crimes against humanity will not be tolerated Ireland strongly supports the recommendation of the International Law Commission to elaborate a convention on Crimes against Humanity Ireland supports the draft resolution on this agenda item before the Sixth Committee, which proposes the establishment of an Ad Hoc Committee to examine the draft articles on Crimes against Humanity, exchange substantive views, and consider further the recommendation of the International Law Commission for the elaboration of a convention. The text also proposes that the Ad Hoc Committee would submit a report on the outcome of its deliberations to the General Assembly next year The ILC draft Articles on Crimes against Humanity are complemented by the International Initiative for the development of a Multilateral Treaty for Mutual Legal Assistance and Extradition in Domestic Prosecution of Atrocity Crimes."</p>	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_ireland.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y

Israel	Ms. Yarden Rubinshtein, Deputy Legal Advisor, Oct. 11, 01:16:08 (10:00-13:00)	"Israel has supported establishing a forum... with a view towards examining the potential elaboration of a future convention Israel notes the widely divergent views of member states, both with regards to the substantive content of the draft articles as well as to their future framework the Government of Israel supports the proposal to establish an ad hoc committee to examine the draft articles and the path forward. This position notwithstanding, Israel also considers the principle of consensus within the Sixth Committee to be a significant element for maintaining the unity and consistency of international law."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_israel.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	
Italy	Mr. Enrico Milano, Oct. 10, 02:56:15 (10:00 - 13:00)	"Italy aligns itself with the statement delivered by the European Union and would like to add some additional remarks in a national capacity We welcome the decision of the International Law Commission to recommend the elaboration of a Convention on the basis of the Draft Articles on crimes against humanity Indeed, although many of the specific offences falling under the category of crimes against humanity are punishable through specific provisions already existing in our legal system, we recognize the importance of criminalizing crimes against humanity This could also contribute to foster the accountability for international crimes at the international level, in the light of the fact that the International Criminal Court may only exercise its jurisdiction if the competent national court is unable or unwilling to do so Italy's delegation finds it regrettable that the negotiations over the resolution on crimes against humanity in the last years have produced no tangible results. We urge all delegations to constructively engage in the process and we support the establishment this year of appropriate procedural mechanisms to advance the goal of a convention on crimes against humanity."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/09mtg_italy.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Japan		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y

Jordan	Alaa Nayef Zaid El-Edwan, Oct. 10, 02:26:49 (10:00-13:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "The Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan stresses the importance of taking seriously the firmness of crimes against humanity Jordan stresses the importance of international cooperation in combating crimes against humanity and preventing their occurrence. Therefore, it supports the conclusion of an international convention on the basis of draft articles related to the prevention and punishment of reprehensible treatment against humanity established by the International Law Committee Jordan expresses its support for the establishment of a specialized committee to discuss the texts of those articles and available legal frameworks, for adoption as an international treaty as soon as possible."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/09mtg_jordan.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Latvia		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined European Union plenary statement.			Y
Lebanon	Permanent Mission of Lebanon to the UN, Oct. 10, 01:37:55 (15:00-18:00)	"My delegation expressed the importance of moving towards the elaboration of a Convention on the prevention and punishment of crimes against humanity, on the basis of the draft articles prepared by the International Law Commission We understand that further exchanges of views might be necessary. We acknowledge the need for more comments to be made, particularly on some draft articles . . . addressing all of the above can be best achieved through a clear and structured framework. Having a dedicated mechanism would allow all of us to engage more substantively, in an open, transparent and inclusive manner This is the reason Lebanon supports the draft proposal uploaded last week, and the establishment of an Ad Hoc Committee."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_lebanon.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Lesotho		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Liberia		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Liechtenstein	Mr. Sina Alavi, Oct. 10, 02:42:42 (10:00-13:00)	"Liechtenstein therefore supports work on the elaboration of a crimes against humanity convention . . . we think it is time for the Sixth Committee to take concrete steps to that end We support moving forward by establishing an Ad Hoc Committee with a clear mandate and timeline that allows for dedicated discussions between all delegations."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/09mtg_liechtenstein.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Lithuania		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Luxembourg		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined European Union plenary statement.			Y

Malaysia	Ms. Nurual Ainy Yahaya, Attorney General's Chambers of Malaysia, Oct. 11, 00:42:53 (10:00-13:00)	"Within Malaysia's current framework, perpetrators of crimes against humanity may be prosecuted under its general criminal laws. . . . Malaysia remains flexible and supportive to the continuation of elaboration and discussion of the draft articles . . . [our] delegation reiterates our hope for the draft articles to be examined prudently to ensure that any further work should not overlap with existing regimes by rather to complement it."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_malaysia.pdf	Favors CAH Treaty – wants to move forward – silent on zero draft	
Malta		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined European Union plenary statement.			Y
Marshall Islands		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Mauritius	Oct. 11, 00:50:55 (10:00-13:00)	". . . crimes against humanity around the globe afflict many if not all regions of the world and their prevention and punishment have been seriously hampered by the absence of an internationally legally binding treaty We encourage this committee to continue the examination of the recommendations of the Commission and to consider practical ways in which these recommendations could be translated into implementable actions by states Mauritius supports the proposal to establish an Ad Hoc Committee in 2023, open to all States, to examine and exchange substantive views on the draft Articles, and to consider further the recommendation of the ILC for the elaboration of a convention The treaty should not only act as a serious deterrent to such crimes but should also provide adequate tools to investigate and conduct impartial proceedings against those accused of these crimes. Mauritius considers that there is an urgency to have a treaty in place as quickly as possible and supports any action that will allow us to reach that goal."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_mauritius.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y

Mexico	Oct. 10, 00:08:52 (15:00- 18:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "Throughout these three years, my delegation has actively participated in negotiations seeking to achieve progress to establish a deliberative process with a clear roadmap through clear mandates and deadlines that includes all states for the full consideration of the recommendation of the ILC to advance toward a convention on crimes against humanity. This has been and will continue to be our objective Mexico . . . has worked on a draft resolution that was circulated in a timely fashion to all delegations . . . the aim of this transregional group is to set up an ad hoc committee of the Sixth Committee in 2023, open to all member States, to examine and discuss in a substantive way the draft articles of the ILC We invite all delegations to support this text we hope that this proposal will be considered on its merits and with the overall support of this committee we could adopt a resolution this year and set in motion a serious process of consideration of this topic."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_mexico.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Micronesia (Federated States of)		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Monaco		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Montenegro		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined European Union plenary statement.			Y
Morocco (on behalf of African Group)	Oct. 10, 02:05:17 (10:00- 13:00)	"The African Group, attaches paramount importance to the fight against impunity . . . and thus welcomes in principle ideas of open discussions aimed at achieving the necessary consensus While the draft articles adopted on second reading by the International Law Commission may constitute a basis for our consideration for a future convention on the subject, the legitimate concerns of all Member States should not be ignored, as indicated by many delegations during the general debate on the ILC report during the 74th, 75th and 76th Sessions . . . Similarly, the African Group shares the view that, to effectively combat impunity, an efficient legal framework that enables the effective prosecution of perpetrators is needed This can only be achieved by engaging in an inclusive, open and transparent debate, using all the time necessary for the proper and clear appreciation of these draft articles."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/09mtg_african_group.pdf	Neutral	

Mozambique	Mr. Geraldo Saranga, Oct. 10, 02:40:09 (15:00-18:00)	"Crimes against humanity are among the most serious crimes of concern to the international community . . . We align ourselves with statement made by the distinguished representative of Morocco, on behalf of the African Group, on this matter . . . my delegation would like to express support to the Draft Articles submitted by the International Law Commission on the prevention and punishment of crimes against humanity, as we believe on their importance and appropriateness to strengthen international cooperation, through prevention and punishment of these crimes . . . despite the differences that remain, and which we consider to be details, albeit important, but possible to overcome . . . we are in favour of the drafting of an international Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Crimes Against Humanity . . . We therefore appeal to this Committee to adopt, in an expeditious manner, an inclusive process for the elaboration of the above mentioned Convention"	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_mozambique.pdf	Favors CAH Treaty – wants to move forward – silent on zero draft	
Myanmar	H.E. Mr. Kyaw Moe Tun, Permanent Representative of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar to United Nations, Oct. 10, 02:06:00 (15:00-18:00)	"The new Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Crimes against Humanity aims to add a new, sister instrument to the 1948 Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, as well as the Geneva Conventions on war crimes. The lack of such a convention addressing crimes against humanity has created a gap in the international legal system that we strongly believe must be filled . . . Myanmar supports the establishment of a Convention based on the draft articles suggested by the ILC in this respect."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_myanmar.pdf	Favors CAH Treaty – wants to move forward – silent on zero draft	
Netherlands	Wieteke Theeuwes, Oct. 11, 00:17:03 (10:00-13:00)	"We align ourselves with the statement given by the European Union on behalf of the EU The Kingdom of the Netherlands would therefore strongly welcome the opening of treaty negotiations. At the same time, we understand that some delegations would first welcome further scrutiny of certain elements of the draft articles. We believe that an ad hoc committee would offer an ideal forum for further examination of the draft articles while at the same time offering the possibility to make concrete progress towards the opening of treaty negotiations . . . it is essential for such an ad hoc committee to have a clear mandate and a clear timeline for the completion of this work."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_netherlands.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y

New Zealand		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined Canadian plenary statement.			Y
Nigeria	Oct. 11, 00:09:01 (10:00-13:00)	"Nigeria aligns itself with the statements delivered by . . . Morocco on behalf of the African Group We must collectively rid the world of impunity for the common good of humanity At the global level, state parties should double their efforts by exploring the possibility of ending heinous crimes through continuous dialogues states should collectively embrace the legal framework that would enhance elimination of impunity Nigeria looks forward to a constructive and collaborative exchange of idea and joins the global community to collectively rid the world of impunity."	No official written statement released.	Favors CAH Treaty – wants to move forward – silent on zero draft	
North Macedonia		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Norway		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined Swedish plenary statement on behalf of Nordic countries.			Y
Pakistan	Oct. 11, 01:07:15 (10:00-13:00)	"Pakistan appreciates the work carried out by the International Law Commission (ILC) in preparing the draft articles on this topic While the work of the ILC could be considered as a useful starting point, it is still premature to draw any concrete conclusion on the nature and format of the draft Articles without having any in-depth discussions on them first there remains some divergence in views Given the divergence of views, it is clear that more time is needed to allow all delegations to study the draft articles and ensure consistency with their national constitutions and laws. At the same time, it would be unwise to rush the process into using the draft ILC articles as the 'basis' for a convention, or to convene an international conference for that purpose at this stage. To bridge differences, a possible way forward could be in the form of setting up a Working Group in the Sixth Committee to continue further discussions in order to arrive at a possible consensus . . . my delegation believes that the draft articles should remain open to further in-depth discussions and consideration of member states in the Sixth Committee."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_pakistan.pdf	Neutral	

Paraguay	Oct. 10, 00:49:10 (15:00-18:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "We consider that said draft articles, prepared by the International Law Commission, contains elements that are necessary to fill gaps, legal and interpretative ambiguities, and in this way give impetus to the efforts of the international community in its fight against impunity. The Republic of Paraguay supports the recommendation contained in the Report of the International Law Commission on the elaboration of an international legally binding convention to be elaborated by the General Assembly or by an international conference of plenipotentiaries, on the basis of the draft articles of the ILC . . . the time is ripe for us to adopt measures aimed at the discussion and eventual negotiation of a legally binding Convention on Crimes against Humanity. In this regard, we express our willingness to move forward in a participatory, transparent, inclusive, and well-structured process in which we can consolidate our common points of view and constructively discuss the different views of the delegations, and we therefore support the adoption of a resolution that establishes a process for the establishment of an Ad Hoc Committee open to all states in which we can have a constructive exchange of views."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_paraguay.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Peru	Alessandra Falconi, Oct. 10, 00:52:30 (15:00-18:00)	"We believe that it is essential to have a future convention that fills existing gaps in the current legal framework . . . Crimes against humanity are the only types of horrific crimes that are unregulated by an international convention . . . We have agreed to co-sponsor the draft resolution circulated for this topic of the agenda . . . an open ad hoc committee open to all member states to examine substantive contributions to the draft articles would . . . overcome the stalemate of the last several years . . . we urge all states to work in the course of upcoming negotiations with flexibility and with a constructive spirit . . ."	No official statement issued.	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Philippines	Mr. Antonio Manuel R. Lagdameo, Sr., Oct. 10, 02:39:11 (10:00-13:00)	"We recognize the necessity to prevent and punish the most serious crimes not covered by any existing international legal framework . . . the Philippines reiterates the need to further study the draft articles as submitted by the International Law Commission . . . the prohibition of crimes against humanity is a peremptory norm of international law . . . we support the objectives of the draft articles, the question of the elaboration of a convention by the General Assembly or by an international conference of plenipotentiaries on the basis of the draft articles needs further deliberation both by Member States on a national level, and by this Committee . . . Without the required consensus, it cannot be pushed into handing over that mandate to a diplomatic conference."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/09mtg_philippines.pdf	Seems to Oppose Zero Draft Resolution	

Poland	H.E. Krzysztof Szczerski, Oct. 10, 01:00:48 (15:00-18:00)	"Poland associates itself with the statement delivered on behalf of the European Union. Let me highlight some issues in my national capacity . . . We emphasized that a new Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Crimes against Humanity is urgently needed . . . the Russian Federation commits crimes against humanity on the territory of another neighbouring state: Ukraine . . . the lack of progress in strengthening the international legal framework for combating crimes against humanity is not a viable option . . . it should aim at taking concrete steps leading to the elaboration of the Convention on Prevention and Punishment of Crimes Against Humanity on the basis of the Draft Articles prepared by the International Law Commission."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_poland.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Portugal	Oct. 10, 1:49:47 (15:00-18:00)	". . . my delegation aligns itself with the statement delivered by the European Union on the agenda item on Crimes Against Humanity . . . in our national capacity . . . We maintain our belief that it is possible and imperative for States to follow the recommendation of the International Law Commission and convene a diplomatic conference to negotiate and adopt a convention on the basis of the Draft Articles on Prevention and Punishment of Crimes Against Humanity. Such an international legally binding instrument would be one more step in the common goal of the international law community to make sure that crimes against humanity do not go unpunished . . . As this Committee has proved many times in the past, it is through an open, transparent and dedicated substantive discussion that concerns can be addressed, differences can be bridged, and compromises can be reached . . . delegations must accept establishing a structured process, with a clear timeframe and within a specific framework, which will steer this Committee towards a meaningful and forward-looking discussion on this topic. We welcome in that regard the proposal put forward by Mexico and other countries to establish an Ad Hoc Committee, and we are ready to engage on the basis of such proposal with a view to making progress . . . It is crucial that the Sixth Committee fulfils its mission and presents the General Assembly with a recommendation that offers a significant way forward on this topic."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_portugal.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y

Republic of Korea	First Secretary Mr. Dongkyu Moon, Oct. 10, 02:03:14 (15:00-18:00)	"Crimes against humanity are some of the most serious crimes for which the international community has expressed its grave concerns. It is not a problem of the past, but very much one of our time. It is imperative that we act now to prevent and punish crimes against humanity. It is well known that the international community has made treaties to address international crimes such as genocide and war crimes. A convention to prevent and punish crimes against humanity will fill a major gap in international law . . . To date, the Republic of Korea has actively participated in the efforts of the international community to end impunity of international crimes. We support a formal, structured, inclusive and transparent approach to discussion regarding this agenda. In this regard, the Republic of Korea, with other like-minded countries, has jointly proposed the draft resolution establishing an Ad hoc Committee. The Ad hoc Committee will give states an opportunity to enhance their understanding of various opinions from each delegation and to find a way forward regarding the draft articles and recommendations by the International Law Commission (ILC). Without prejudicing the outcome of the Ad hoc Committee, discussion in the Committee would contribute to securing consensus."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_rok.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Republic of Moldova		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined European Union plenary statement.			Y
Romania	H. E. Mr. Cornel Feruță, Oct. 11, 01:19:05 (10:00-13:00)	"Romania aligns itself with the statement delivered by the distinguished representative of the European Union. . . . Romania welcomes this recommendation and favours developing the draft articles into a global convention which will provide a strong legal basis for interstate cooperation We stand ready to engage in any negotiations which will ensure a meaningful and predictable process towards the elaboration of a convention on the prevention and punishment of crimes against humanity."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_romania.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y

Russian Federation	E.A.Скачкова, Oct. 10, 02:44:15 (15:00-18:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "The debates last year and the year before on the topic of 'crimes against humanity' have shown that there is no unanimity among delegations on how to proceed with these . . . we are faced with an unprecedented violation of the traditions and practices of the Sixth Committee . . . We are extremely concerned that a group of delegations has chosen to inscribe its own text on the draft resolution under the agenda item under discussion, in circumvention of the established procedures of the CommitteeThe statements by some members of the 'interregional group' about the 'urgency' of launching work on the draft articles smacks of double standards and selectivity . . . Our Sixth Committee is special. Its mandate, covering the codification and progressive development of international law, is truly unique . . . All this is possible only if it is done in strict adherence to a historically established consensus practice which has not been challenged so far In this situation we reiterate our proposal to address the search for a universal and comprehensive approach which is acceptable to all. In the current situation, we once again emphasize our proposal to search for a universally acceptable and comprehensive approach to the elimination of arrears in the consideration of the work of the International Law Commission, without assigning priority to certain topics over others."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_russia.pdf	Strongly Opposes Zero Draft Resolution	
Samoa		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Saudi Arabia	Oct. 11, 00:26:51 (10:00-13:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "Saudi Arabia supports the administration of meaningful justice according to national legislation as well as international conventions . . . To address impunity In relation to the draft articles . . . My country finds that it is untoward to introduce new definitions that might cause confusion the draft articles . . . included certain articles that have expanded the scope of universal jurisdiction . . . the international community is currently divided vis a vis this principle . . . recognized principles in the international law should be upheld especially the immunity and equal sovereignty amongst states importance of consensus among states"	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_saudiArabia.pdf	Seems to Oppose Zero Draft Resolution	

Senegal	Oct. 10, 01:58:25 (15:00-18:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "My delegation subscribes to the statement made by Morocco on behalf of the African Group . . . Senegal has always granted great importance to the prevention and repression of the most serious crimes which constitute the worst denial of the most elementary rights of the human person . . . The development of an international convention on crimes against humanity on the basis of the draft articles of the ILC responds to a pressing need of the international community . . . My Delegation expresses its regret at the absence of a universal convention on the prevention and punishment of crimes against humanity . . . We invite all delegations to make a real commitment in an inclusive, open and transparent debate to remove all major obstacles to the elaboration of the Convention . . . My Delegation welcomes the decision of the International Law Commission, in accordance with article 23 of its statute, to recommend the draft articles on the prevention and punishment of crimes against humanity to the General Assembly of the United Nations , with a view to its adoption by an international conference of plenipotentiaries."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_senegal.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Serbia		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Sierra Leone	Fanday Turay, Oct. 10, 1:08:09 (15:00-18:00)	"We align this statement with the statement delivered by the distinguished representatives of the Kingdom of Morocco on behalf of the African Group. Sierra Leone reiterates its full support for the Sixth Committee to act on the recommendation of the International Law Commission . . . to 'elaborate a convention by the General Assembly or by an international conference of plenipotentiaries on the business of the draft articles.' . . . Sierra Leone has constantly called for the Sixth Committee to take consistent and good faith steps to make progress on the ILC's recommendation on crimes against humanity . . . Sierra Leone also previously noted the broad support for the ILC's recommendation expressed by member states and called for the sixth committee to move forward and to define the timelines . . . the establishment of an Ad Hoc committee for substantive discussions is within the existing practice of the Sixth Committee . . . member states must negotiate in good faith always and must not use the consensus practice in the sixth committee as a veto to effectively inhibit the committee from making progress . . . Sierra Leone will co-sponsor the draft-resolution, but with the indication that this action does not set a precedent for other agenda items or ILC products . . ."	No official statement issued.	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y

Singapore	Mr. Nathaniel Khng, Oct. 10, 2:23:20 (10:00-13:00)	"In this regard, the Commission's draft articles and commentaries can contribute to the strengthening of accountability by providing useful practical guidance to States on this topic . . . we remain of the view that the draft articles could be improved or clarified so as to resolve critical legal and practical issues that they leave unaddressed in their current form . . . In this regard, Singapore remains of the view that where such conflicts of jurisdiction exist, the draft articles should accord primacy to the State which can exercise jurisdiction . . . divergence of views remains. These raise complex issues that can only be resolved through open and constructive discussions on the substance of the draft articles. In this regard, my delegation will continue to engage positively in discussions with other delegations on these important matters, as well as on the issue of what further action should be taken on the draft articles."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/09mtg_singapore.pdf	Neutral	
Slovakia	Dr. Michal Mlynár, Oct. 10, 0:39:00 (15:00-18:00)	"I wish to align my delegation with the statement made by the distinguished representative of the European Union earlier and add a few remarks in my national capacity . . . we remain convinced that the set of 15 articles with commentaries, significant part which reflect in our view customary international law, present a carefully drafted and solid basis for codification . . . Slovakia is proposing at this stage is to set up a meaningful and predictable process allowing for dedicated, substantive discussions . . . We believe that the ad hoc committee would provide the best platform for such discussions . . . crimes against humanity are still very much a reality, an unfortunate one . . . Such a convention might not immediately eradicate crimes against humanity but an existing legal instrument pursuing this goal is a precondition."	No official statement issued.	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Slovenia	Ms. Petra Langerholc, Oct. 10, 01:15:20 (15:00-18:00)	"Slovenia aligns itself with the statement previously delivered by the European Union on this agenda item, and would like to convey the following remarks in its national capacity . . . The Republic of Slovenia voices once more, and will continue to do so as often as necessary, its support for a convention by the General Assembly or by an international conference of plenipotentiaries . . . It is truly incomprehensible that consensus was being used to prevent the opening of a dialogue, which was meant to further the understanding of the Member States' positions and iron out differences. However, my delegation believes that we must find a way forward together."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_slovenia.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y

South Africa	Ms. Mantsho Annastacia Motsepe, Principal State Law Adviser, Oct. 10, 02:23:30 (15:00-18:00)	"Whilst the text itself will require consideration and amendment, there are compelling reasons for the draft articles to move to the next stage where discussion on the content can take place. As such, South Africa has agreed to co-sponsor the draft resolution to establish an Ad Hoc Committee to examine the draft articles on prevention and punishment of crimes against humanity. South Africa eagerly looks forward to the Committee continuing in its work and its efforts in bringing member states towards consensus as to the content of the draft articles so to ensure the finalization of a convention."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_southafrica.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Spain		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined European Union plenary statement.			Y
Sri Lanka	Mr. Mohan Pieris, Oct. 11, 01:22:40 (10:00-13:00)	"Human rights violations remains a primary responsibility of states . . . the phrase crime against humanity has required enormous resonance . . . it suggests . . . the enormity of these offenses . . . offenses that aggrieve not only the victims but all human beings regardless of their community . . . these offenses cut deep, violating the core humanity that we all share . . . this meaning . . . gives ambiguity . . . the idea is to supplement the traditional taxonomy . . . by adding some offenses which are crimes against humaneness as such . . . the central question . . . for any theory on the war crimes against humanity are how these deeds violate humaneness and why they offend against humankind . . . it is important for us to realize that violating humaneness and offending humankind are not the same thing, they are not equivalent . . . an assessment of these situations shows that atrocity crimes are most likely to occur in situations of armed conflict, but genocide and crimes against humanity have also occurred outside this context . . . we must move beyond early warning alone and insist on early action . . ."	No official statement issued.	Neutral	
State of Palestine (observer)	Loureen Sayej, Third Secretary, Oct. 11, 01:28:57 (10:00-13:00)	". . . the State of Palestine supports the recommendations of the International Law Commission to elaborate a Convention, on the basis of the Crimes Against Humanity Draft Articles, and reflecting well-established principles of customary international law . . ."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_palestine.pdf	Favors CAH Treaty – wants to move forward – silent on zero draft	

Sweden (on behalf of Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden)	Oct. 10, 02:15:36 (10:00-13:00)	Statement on behalf of the Nordic Countries: "We remain convinced that the concerns that have been raised by a few states as regards clarification on some of the Draft Articles can be addressed through efficient, inclusive, transparent, and constructive intersessional discussions among experts. An Ad Hoc Committee with a clear mandate and time frame would provide a suitable format for these discussions. It would enable states to examine and exchange substantive views on the Draft Articles and consider further the recommendation of the ILC, without prejudging the outcome of these discussions as to whether or not a convention should be concluded and states' positions on participation. The Nordic countries therefore appreciate the draft proposal by Mexico and a number of delegations and we look forward to engaging constructively in the negotiations on this proposal. It is imperative that the Sixth Committee demonstrate willingness and ability to follow up on the ILC recommendation to ensure the effective prevention and punishment for these horrendous crimes. The Nordic countries continue to steadfastly support the ILC recommendation to elaborate a convention on crimes against humanity and stand ready to take the next steps."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/09mtg_nordic.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Switzerland	Riccarda Christiana Chanda, Oct. 10, 1:18:45 (15:00-18:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "For the fourth consecutive year, my delegation would like to express its support for the recommendation of the International Law Commission (ILC) to elaborate a convention on the basis of the draft articles on prevention and punishment of crimes against humanity . . . A convention based on the ILC draft articles would strengthen the international criminal justice system, promote interstate cooperation and assist states in assuming their primary responsibility in investigating these crimes. Such a convention will undoubtedly be an essential tool for ensuring accountability and bringing the perpetrators to justice . . . In its treatment of the International Law Commission's recommendations, the Sixth Committee bears a responsibility: differences of opinion must not lead to the sterile repetition of arguments already made nor cause the Commission to constantly postpone its decision . . . Indeed, to follow up on the work of the ILC, a structured and inclusive process with a clear timetable is a minimum. My delegation remains convinced that an ad hoc committee is the best way to address any unresolved issues and establish a proper negotiation process."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_switzerland.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y

Syrian Arab Republic	Dr. Riyad Khaddour, Oct. 11, 00:54:51 (10:00-13:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "My delegation associates itself with the statement made by the representative of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela on behalf of the countries of the Group of Friends for the Defense of the Charter of the United Nations, and allow me to add a few points in a national capacity . . . It is difficult to move forward in order to achieve the desired progress. My delegation would like to stress that while we are aware of the importance of reaching a framework for preparing a convention . . . my country would like to affirm the commitment of the Syrian Arab Republic to preventing crimes against humanity and punishing them in accordance with the provisions of international law, within the framework of the principles enshrined in the charter of the United Nations, foremost of which is the principle of respect for national sovereignty and non-interference in the internal affairs of states, and its firm conviction that the primary responsibility for presenting such crimes belong primarily to the concerned state and its relevant institutions."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_syria.pdf	Opposes CAH Treaty	
Tunisia	Mr. Adel Ben Lagha, Deputy Permanent Representative, Oct. 11, 0:21:14 (10:00-13:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> "My delegation associates itself with the statement of the African group and would like to add the following remarks in a national capacity . . . Tunisia considers that the draft articles drawn up by the ILC constitute a good basis for the negotiation of an international convention which would fill this gap in international treaty law . . . It is important to discuss it in more depth and in a more appropriate framework in order to better understand each other and identify these differences and why not find a compromise . . . The creation of an ad hoc committee that would meet during the intersession and would have the necessary time and resources would be the best way to organize such a debate. This is why my delegation appreciates the proposal put forward to this effect by Mexico and other countries. We hope that we can agree to support this proposal"	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/11mtg_tunisia.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Türkiye	Oct. 11, 01:02:00 (10:00-13:00)	"It continues to be our firm conviction that this topic and the draft articles adopted by the ILC need to be the subject of a comprehensive, constructive, and structured exchange of views between states . . . crimes against humanity are complex in many dimensions . . . we need to exert utmost efforts to find common ground . . . we strongly hope that at this session we will make concrete progress on deciding the way forward . . . this process would be diluted even further via advance circulation of written views of states on the matter."	No official statement issued.	Favors CAH Treaty – wants to move forward – silent on zero draft	Y
Uganda		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Ukraine		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting, joined European Union plenary statement.			Y

UK and Northern Ireland	Chanaka Wickremasinghe, Oct. 10, 1:45:27 (15:00-18:00)	"The ILC's most recent work on the issue – the draft Articles before us now - shifts the spotlight to the response of our national legal systems to these developments in international law, and seeks to ensure effective prevention and punishment of CAH at the national level and to promote international cooperation to that end. It is difficult to see why a convention based on these draft articles, which are focused on national, rather than international, prosecutions, should cause difficulties for any States We are pleased to be a sponsor of the draft resolution which has recently been circulated proposing the establishment of an ad hoc committee as a dedicated forum to examine the draft Articles and to consider the recommendation of the ILC that States negotiate a new convention on the basis of the draft articles We are seeking a deeper, more meaningful exchange, which is simply not feasible in the way the Committee has dealt with the topic to date."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_uk.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
United Republic of Tanzania		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
United States of America	Julian Simcock, Deputy Legal Advisor; Oct. 10, 00:01:04 (15:00-18:00)	". . . it is critical that States seize this moment and establish a structured mechanism to exchange substantive views on the draft articles the draft articles can and should be modified in certain, key respects the United States strongly supports the establishment of an ad hoc committee with an appropriately robust mandate that reflects the importance of this project and the gravity of the subject."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_us.pdf	Favors Ad Hoc Committee for ILC Draft on CAH (supports zero draft)	Y
Uruguay		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Vanuatu		Co-sponsor of Resolution. Did not speak at 6th committee meeting.			Y
Venezuela	Ambassador Joaquín Pérez Ayestarán, Oct. 10, 2:29:53 (10:00-13:00)	<i>Unofficial translation:</i> ". . . we refer specifically to the draft resolution on crimes against humanity . . . the Sixth Committee has a unique competence for the elaboration of texts that have the potential to become new norms of international law . . . we warn that, in the event of a deviation from well-established working methods and the practice of consensus, the door would be opened to proceed in the same way with other agenda items under the jurisdiction of this Sixth Committee."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/09mtg_charter_defense.pdf	Strongly Opposes Zero Draft Resolution	

Viet Nam	Mrs. Tran Thi Phuong Ha, Legal Adviser of Viet Nam Mission, Oct. 10, 02:20:00 (15:00-18:00)	"We believe that the prevention and punishment of serious crimes is primarily the responsibility of states. Efforts toward this end must ensure respect for national sovereignty and non-interference in domestic matters of Member States . . . the necessity of a new convention on crimes against humanity as well as its formation should be carefully examined, including in the context of challenges currently faced by international criminal institutions. We are in favor of the need for comprehensive study of the draft articles to ensure their accordance with principles of international law and the Charter of the United Nations, as well as their compatibility with national legislations . . . We support efforts and mechanisms, on the basis of consensus, for continued discussions on this crucial issue."	https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/77/pdfs/statements/cah/10mtg_vietnam.pdf	Seems to Oppose Zero Draft Resolution	
-----------------	---	--	---	--	--