



Prevalence of cigarette and e-cigarette use among U.S. adults eligible for lung cancer screening based on updated USPSTF guidelines

Brendan T. Heiden^{a,*}, Kathryn E. Engelhardt^a, Chao Cao^b, Bryan F. Meyers^{a,c}, Varun Puri^{a,c}, Yin Cao^{b,c}, Benjamin D. Kozower^{a,c}

^a Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

^b Division of Public Health Sciences, Department of Surgery, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

^c Alvin J. Siteman Cancer Center, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Cigarette
E-cigarette
Lung cancer
Screening

ABSTRACT

Background: The United States Preventative Services Taskforce recently updated lung cancer screening guidelines for U.S. adults with high-risk smoking histories. This has generated a previously undescribed patient population in which the prevalence of cigarette and e-cigarette use has not been described.

Methods: We performed a cross-sectional study using population-based data from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (2017–2018). We defined lung cancer screening eligibility as adults 50–80 years old with ≥ 20 pack-year smoking history who were currently smoking or quit within the last 15 years. We assessed several smoking-related outcomes including current cigarette use, ever e-cigarette use, and current e-cigarette use among respondents.

Results: Among 7541 screening-eligible adults, current cigarette use was reported by 3604 (47.8%) participants. Ever and current e-cigarette use were reported by 3003 (39.8%) and 670 (8.9%) participants, respectively. Compared to individuals who were previously eligible for screening, individuals newly eligible for screening (i.e., between 50 and 55 years old with a 20–30 pack-year smoking history) were more likely to currently smoke (aOR 1.828, 95% CI 1.649–2.026, $p < 0.001$). While newly eligible respondents were more likely to report a history of ever using an e-cigarette (aOR 1.144, 95% CI 1.034–1.266, $p = 0.009$), current e-cigarette use was similar in this group compared to those individuals who were previously screening-eligible (aOR 1.014, 95% CI 0.844–1.219, $p = 0.88$).

Conclusions: Cigarette and e-cigarette exposure are common among U.S. adults who are eligible for lung cancer screening. Expanded USPSTF criteria will capture a patient population with greater exposure to both of these products.

1. Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related mortality in the United States and cigarette smoking is the leading modifiable risk factor for this malignancy [1]. The United States Preventative Services Taskforce (USPSTF) recommends annual lung cancer screening for individuals with high-risk smoking histories [2]. These eligibility criteria were recently broadened to include individuals 50–80 years old (previously 55–80 years old) with a ≥ 20 pack-year smoking history (previously ≥ 30 pack-year history) who currently smoke or quit within the past 15 years [3]. The expanded criteria are projected to further reduce lung cancer deaths among lower-risk individuals while also reducing

disparities in screening eligibility [4]. The prevalence of tobacco use in this newly captured patient population is undescribed compared to the previously eligible screening cohort.

Electronic cigarettes (“e-cigarettes”) have gained popularity as an alternative to traditional cigarettes. While most literature has focused on the alarming trends of e-cigarette use among younger individuals, recent studies have demonstrated that e-cigarette use is significant in older adults, including those with cancer [5,6]. Use of such products in individuals who are eligible for lung cancer screening is poorly understood, especially in light of the updated USPSTF guidelines. The objective of this study is to describe the prevalence of cigarette and e-cigarette use among U.S. adults eligible for lung cancer screening

* Correspondence to: 660 S. Euclid Ave, Campus Box 8234, St Louis, MO 63110, USA.

E-mail address: bheiden@wustl.edu (B.T. Heiden).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canep.2021.102079>

Received 7 September 2021; Received in revised form 30 November 2021; Accepted 3 December 2021

Available online 8 December 2021

1877-7821/© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Table 1

Characteristics of cigarette and e-cigarette use among U.S. adults eligible for lung cancer screening based on updated USPSTF criteria from 2017 to 2018.

Variable	All Respondents (n = 7541)	Cigarette Use		P-value	E-cigarette Use ^e		P-value
		Current smoker (n = 3604)	Former smoker (n = 3937)		Ever user (n = 3003)	Never User (n = 4538)	
Age, mean (SD)	64.6 (7.8)	63.4 (7.3)	65.8 (8.0)	< 0.001	62.6 (7.1)	66.0 (7.9)	< 0.001
Sex (%)	3780 (50.1)	1786 (49.6)	1994 (50.6)	0.34	1375 (45.8)	2405 (53.0)	< 0.001
Male	3761 (49.9)	1818 (50.4)	1943 (49.4)		1628 (54.2)	2133 (47.0)	
Female							
Race (%)	6445 (85.5)	3043 (84.4)	3402 (86.4)	0.01	2631 (87.6)	3814 (84.0)	< 0.001
White	472 (6.3)	227 (6.3)	245 (6.2)		123 (4.1)	349 (7.7)	
Black	624 (8.3)	334 (9.3)	290 (7.4)		249 (8.3)	375 (8.3)	
Other							
Body Mass Index (BMI, %)	208 (2.8)	127 (3.5)	81 (2.1)	< 0.001	99 (3.3)	109 (2.4)	0.007
Underweight (BMI<18.5)	2253 (29.9)	1317 (36.5)	936 (23.8)		941 (31.3)	1312 (28.9)	
Normal (BMI 18.5–25.0)	2690 (35.7)	1233 (34.2)	1457 (37.0)		1027 (34.2)	1663 (36.6)	
Overweight (BMI 25.0–30.0)	2390 (31.7)	927 (25.7)	1463 (37.2)		936 (31.2)	1454 (32.0)	
Obese (BMI>30.0)							
Marital Status (%)	3262 (43.3)	1340 (37.2)	1922 (48.8)	< 0.001	1277 (42.5)	1985 (43.7)	0.004
Married	1951 (25.9)	1055 (29.3)	896 (22.8)		842 (28.0)	1109 (24.4)	
Divorced	528 (7.0)	288 (8.0)	240 (6.1)		205 (6.8)	323 (7.1)	
Never married	1800 (23.9)	921 (25.6)	879 (22.3)		679 (22.6)	1121 (24.7)	
Other							
Education (%) ^a	869 (11.5)	498 (13.8)	371 (9.4)	< 0.001	343 (11.4)	526 (11.6)	< 0.001
Did not graduate high school	2804 (37.2)	1372 (38.1)	1432 (36.4)		1072 (35.7)	1732 (38.2)	
Graduated high school	2435 (32.3)	1169 (32.4)	1266 (32.2)		1074 (35.8)	1361 (30.0)	
Attended college or technical school	1433 (19.0)	565 (15.7)	868 (22.0)		514 (17.1)	919 (20.3)	
Graduated college of technical school							
Income (%) ^b	1035 (13.7)	616 (17.1)	419 (10.6)	< 0.001	481 (16.0)	554 (12.2)	< 0.001
Less than \$15,000	1529 (20.3)	831 (23.1)	698 (17.7)		633 (21.1)	896 (19.7)	
\$15,000 to less than \$25,000	804 (10.7)	405 (11.2)	399 (10.1)		305 (10.2)	499 (11.0)	
\$25,000 to less than \$35,000	991 (13.1)	456 (12.7)	535 (13.6)		396 (13.2)	595 (13.1)	
\$35,000 to less than \$50,000	2113 (28.0)	791 (21.9)	1322 (33.6)		810 (27.0)	1303 (28.7)	
\$50,000 or more	1069 (14.2)	505 (14.0)	564 (14.3)		378 (12.6)	691 (15.2)	
Unsure/Other							
Insurance (%) ^c	6916 (91.7)	3180 (88.2)	3736 (94.9)	< 0.001	2686 (89.4)	4230 (93.2)	< 0.001
Yes	625 (8.3)	424 (11.8)	201 (5.1)		317 (10.6)	308 (6.8)	
No							
Primary healthcare provider (%) ^d	6608 (87.6)	3002 (83.3)	3606 (91.6)	< 0.001	2609 (86.9)	3999 (88.1)	0.11
Yes	933 (12.4)	602 (16.7)	331 (8.4)		394 (13.1)	539 (11.9)	
No							

^a Assessed by asking “What is the highest grade or year of school you completed?”.^b Assessed by asking “[What] is your annual household income from all sources?”.^c Assessed by asking “Do you have any kind of health care coverage, including health insurance, prepaid plans such as HMOs, or government plans such as Medicare, or Indian Health Service?”.^d Assessed by asking “Do you have one person you think of as your personal doctor or health care provider?”.^e Assessed by asking “Have you ever used an e-cigarette or other electronic vaping product, even just one time, in your entire life?”.

based on the current USPSTF criteria.

2. Methods

We used data from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) to explore cigarette and e-cigarette use among U.S. adults eligible for lung cancer screening from 2017 to 2018. The BRFSS is a population-based dataset established by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention which collects self-reported, health-related data across all 50 U.S. states, Washington D.C., and three U.S. territories through telephone surveys. It is the largest health-related survey data repository in the world, collecting over 400,000 surveys annually [7]. The study was deemed Institutional Review Board exempt by the Washington University Human Resource Protection Office given the de-identified nature of the analysis on publicly available data.

Screening eligibility was defined according to USPSTF criteria as individuals 50–80 years old, with ≥ 20 pack-year smoking history, and currently smoking or quitting within the last 15 years (Supplementary methods) [3,8]. Our smoking-related outcomes of interest were the prevalence of (1) current cigarette use, (2) ever e-cigarette use, (3) current e-cigarette use, and (4) cessation attempt in the prior 1 year. We

used multivariable logistic regression models to compare smoking-related outcomes between individuals previously eligible for screening (i.e., individuals between 55 and 80 years old with ≥ 30 pack-year smoking history) and individuals newly eligible for screening (i.e., individuals between 50 and 55 years old with a 20–30 pack-year smoking history). In separate models, we performed stratified analyses to better understand smoking habits based on income and education level. Missing data were handled via complete case analysis (eTable 1). Descriptive statistics and standard errors were estimated using survey population weights [7]. To compare between groups, two-tailed, *t*-tests were used for continuous variables and χ^2 tests were used for categorical variables. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS Studio 3.81 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

3. Results

A total of 7541 respondents were eligible for lung cancer screening between 2017 and 2018 (eFig. 1). Current cigarette use was reported by 3604 (47.8%) participants of whom 1687 (46.8%) reported a quit attempt in the prior year. Compared to former smokers, current smoking was associated with younger age, lower BMI, divorced marital status,

Table 2

Comparison of current smoking status, e-cigarette use, and smoking cessation practices between US adults previously eligible and newly eligible for lung cancer screening based on updated USPSTF criteria, stratified by education level and income (adjusted results).

	All respondents ^a	Stratified by income		Stratified by education level	
		Low income ^{a,b}	High income ^{a,b}	Less education ^{a,c}	More education ^{a,c}
Total no. of respondents	7541	3368	3104	3673	3868
Currently smoking, odds ratio (95% CI)^b	1.828 (1.649–2.026)	1.832 (1.567–2.142)	1.785 (1.521–2.095)	1.808 (1.558–2.098)	1.902 (1.648–2.196)
Cessation attempt in last year, odds ratio (95% CI)^{d,e}	1.614 (1.405–1.853)	1.498 (1.233–1.820)	1.656 (1.305–2.103)	1.427 (1.175–1.732)	1.859 (1.521–2.272)
Ever e-cigarette use, odds ratio (95% CI)^d	1.144 (1.034–1.266)	1.169 (1.005–1.360)	1.130 (0.965–1.324)	1.089 (0.941–1.262)	1.190 (1.034–1.369)
Current e-cigarette use, odds ratio (95% CI)^{d,f}	1.014 (0.844–1.219)	0.864 (0.652–1.144)	1.288 (0.973–1.703)	1.078 (0.813–1.431)	0.961 (0.753–1.227)

^a Estimate for patients eligible for lung cancer screening based on new (patients between 50 and 55 years old with a 20–30 pack-year smoking history) vs. old (patients between 55 and 80 years old with ≥ 30 pack-year smoking history) USPSTF criteria.

^b Low income is defined as annual household income less than \$35,000; unsure/other category is excluded.

^c Less education is defined as graduating high school or less.

^d Multivariable logistic regression models adjusting for sex, race, BMI, marital status, education level, income, insurance status, and primary care physician status (except education level and income were excluded from the stratified models).

^e Of those respondents who were currently smoking.

^f Of those respondents who had ever used e-cigarettes.

lower income, lack of health insurance, and lack of a primary healthcare provider (Table 1). Ever and current e-cigarette use were reported by 3003 (39.8%) and 670 (8.9%) participants, respectively. Compared to never use, ever e-cigarette use was associated with younger age, female sex, white race, lower income, and lack of health insurance (Table 1).

Compared to the previous cohort of screening-eligible patients (i.e., individuals between 55 and 80 years old with ≥ 30 pack-year smoking history), patients newly eligible for screening (i.e., individuals between 50 and 55 years old with a 20–30 pack-year smoking history) were more likely to currently smoke (aOR 1.828, 95% CI 1.649–2.026, $p < 0.001$, Table 2) but were also more likely to report a cessation attempt in the prior year (aOR 1.614, 95% CI 1.405–1.853, $p < 0.001$). While newly eligible respondents were more likely to report a history of ever using an e-cigarette (aOR 1.144, 95% CI 1.034–1.266, $p = 0.009$), current e-cigarette use was similar in this group compared to those individuals who were previously screening-eligible (aOR 1.014, 95% CI 0.844–1.219, $p = 0.88$). These findings did not vary significantly when the analyses were stratified by income and education level. Of note, among current smokers, ever e-cigarette users had a higher likelihood of reporting a cessation attempt in the prior year compared to never users (aOR 1.453, 95% CI 1.266–1.667, $p < 0.001$).

4. Discussion

Our population-based study of US adults eligible for lung cancer screening shows that a significant proportion of these individuals smoke cigarettes and e-cigarettes despite their risk for lung cancer. Further, recent changes to USPSTF eligibility criteria will now capture a patient population that is using these products more frequently. An encouraging finding was that this newly eligible group was also more likely to report a smoking cessation attempt in the prior year, suggesting that this cohort of younger individuals may be highly motivated to stop smoking. Interestingly, while e-cigarettes are not currently recommended for smoking cessation [9], our study shows that e-cigarette use was associated with more cessation attempts which is notable in a population that is heavily addicted to nicotine. Further research is needed to assess the safety of these products, particularly within this population that already has a high cumulative exposure to carcinogens.

The incidence of early-stage lung cancer is increasing in the U.S., in part due to stage migration associated with lung cancer screening [2]. Smoking at the time of any oncologic treatment – especially surgery – is extraordinarily detrimental to outcomes [10]. Since the time between cancer diagnosis and treatment is limited [11], every effort should be made to encourage cessation in these patients before cancer diagnosis. Meanwhile, the effects of e-cigarettes on peri-operative and oncologic outcomes are unknown and require urgent study.

It is imperative for clinicians to routinely assess and treat tobacco

dependence at the time of lung cancer screening, especially given our findings that expanded criteria will likely capture a patient population with higher rates of current tobacco use. While several trials have attempted to integrate smoking cessation into lung cancer screening programs, it remains unclear how successful these interventions have been on a population level [3,12]. Implementing low-burden interventions that combine lung cancer screening and smoking cessation could have disproportionate impact on early-stage lung cancer outcomes [13,14].

This study has several strengths. In particular, we used population-based data to describe this new population of U.S. adults with less intense smoking histories who are now eligible for lung cancer screening. Conversely, this study has some limitations. In particular, due to the nature of the data set, variables are self-reported. Additionally, data on lung cancer screening results are unavailable.

5. Conclusion

Both cigarette and e-cigarette use are relatively common among U.S. adults eligible for lung cancer screening. Expanded USPSTF criteria will capture a patient population with greater exposure to both of these products. Further efforts are needed to promote smoking cessation in this vulnerable population, especially given these expanded screening criteria.

Funding

Funded in part by NIH 5T32HL007776-25 (BTH).

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Brendan T. Heiden: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. **Kathryn E. Engelhardt:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Chao Cao:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. **Bryan F. Meyers:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Varun Puri:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Yin Cao:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. **Benjamin D. Kozower:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing.

Author contribution statement

All authors made substantial contributions to 1) the conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; 2) the drafting of the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and 3) the final approval of the version to be published.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at [doi:10.1016/j.canep.2021.102079](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canep.2021.102079).

References

- [1] What Are the Risk Factors for Lung Cancer ? | CDC. (Accessed 9 November 2020). (https://www.cdc.gov/cancer/lung/basic_info/risk_factors.htm).
- [2] The National Lung Screening Trial Research Team, Lung cancer incidence and mortality with extended follow-up in the national lung screening trial, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 14 (10) (2019) 1732–1742, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2019.05.044>.
- [3] A.H. Krist, K.W. Davidson, C.M. Mangione, et al., Screening for lung cancer, *JAMA* 325 (10) (2021) 962, <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.1117>.
- [4] R. Meza, J. Jeon, I. Toumazis, et al., Evaluation of the benefits and harms of lung cancer screening with low-dose computed tomography: modeling study for the US preventive services task force, *JAMA* 325 (10) (2021) 988–997, <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.1077>.
- [5] O.H. Obisesan, A.D. Osei, S.M.I. Uddin, et al., Trends in e-cigarette use in adults in the United States, 2016–2018, *JAMA Intern. Med.* 180 (10) (2020) E1–E4, <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.2817>.
- [6] N.N. Sanford, D.J. Sher, X. Xu, A.A. Aizer, B.A. Mahal, Trends in smoking and e-cigarette use among US patients with cancer, 2014–2017, *JAMA Oncol.* 5 (3) (2019) 426–428, <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.6858>.
- [7] US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention , Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. (Accessed 6 December 2020). (<https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/index.html>).
- [8] A.K. Narayan, Y. Gupta, B.P. Little, J.O. Shepard, E.J. Flores, Lung cancer screening eligibility and use with low-dose computed tomography: results from the 2018 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System cross-sectional survey, *Cancer* 127 (5) (2021) 748–756, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ncr.33322>.
- [9] A.H. Krist, K.W. Davidson, C.M. Mangione, et al., Interventions for tobacco smoking cessation in adults, including pregnant persons, *JAMA* 325 (3) (2021) 265, <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.25019>.
- [10] World Health Organization (WHO). Tobacco Knowledge Summaries: Tobacco and Postsurgical Outcomes., 2020. (Accessed 28 February 2021). (<https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240000360>).
- [11] B.T. Heiden, D.B. Eaton Jr., K.E. Engelhardt, et al., Analysis of delayed surgical treatment and oncologic outcomes in clinical stage I non–small cell lung cancer, *JAMA Netw. Open* 4 (5) (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.11613>.
- [12] D. Moldovanu, H.J. de Koning, C.M. van der Aalst, Lung cancer screening and smoking cessation efforts, *Transl Lung Cancer Res.* 10 (2) (2021) 1099–1109, <https://doi.org/10.21037/tlcr-20-899>.
- [13] B.T. Heiden , D.B. Eaton Jr , S.-H. Chang , et al. Assessment of Duration of Smoking Cessation Prior to Surgical Treatment of Non-small Cell Lung Cancer. *Ann Surg.* 2021;(Ahead-of-Print):Ahead-of-Print.
- [14] A.T. Ramsey, A. Chiu, T. Baker, et al., Care-paradigm shift promoting smoking cessation treatment among cancer center patients via a low-burden strategy, electronic health record-enabled evidence-based smoking cessation treatment, *Transl. Behav. Med.* 10 (6) (2020) 1504–1514, <https://doi.org/10.1093/tbm/ibz107>.