Bone xxx (2011) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Bone



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bone

Development of micro-CT protocols for in vivo follow-up of mouse bone architecture without major radiation side effects

K. Laperre ^{a, 1}, M. Depypere ^{b, 1}, N. van Gastel ^a, S. Torrekens ^a, K. Moermans ^a, R. Bogaerts ^c, F. Maes ^b, G. Carmeliet ^{a,*}

^a Laboratory of Experimental Medicine and Endocrinology, K.U.Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

^b ESAT/PSI, Medical Image Computing, K.U.Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

^c Experimental Radiotherapy Section, K.U.Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: 10 Received 29 December 2010 11 12Revised 26 May 2011 13Accepted 24 June 2011 Available online xxxx 14 15 16

Edited by: Harry Genant

1820Keywords:

1

3

7 8

9

- In vivo micro-CT 21
- 22 Skeletal system
- 23Bone architecture
- 24 Radiation toxicity
- 25Image quality

ABSTRACT

In vivo micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) will offer unique information on the time-related changes in 26 bone mass and structure of living mice, provided that radiation-induced side effects are prevented. Lowering 27 the radiation dose, however, inevitably decreases the image quality. In this study we developed and validated 28 a protocol for *in vivo* micro-CT imaging of mouse bone architecture that retains high quality images but avoids 29 radiation-induced side effects on bone structure and hematological parameters. 30

The left hindlimb of male C57Bl/6 mice was scanned in vivo at 3 consecutive time points, separated each time 31 by a 2-week interval. Two protocols for in vivo micro-CT imaging were evaluated, with pixel sizes of 9 and 32 18 µm and administered radiation doses of 434 mGy and 166 mGy per scan, respectively. These radiation 33 doses were found not to influence trabecular or cortical bone architecture in pre-pubertal or adult mice. In 34 addition, there was no evidence for hematological side effects as peripheral blood cell counts and the colonyforming capacity of hematopoietic progenitor cells from bone marrow and spleen were not altered. Although 36 the images obtained with these in vivo micro-CT protocols were more blurred than those obtained with 37 high resolution (5 µm) ex vivo CT imaging, longitudinal follow-up of trabecular bone architecture in an 38 orchidectomy model proved to be feasible using the 9 µm pixel size protocol in combination with a suitable 39 bone segmentation technique (i.e. local thresholding). The image quality of the 18 µm pixel size protocol was 40 too degraded for accurate bone segmentation and the use of this protocol is therefore restricted to monitor 41 marked changes in bone structure such as bone metastatic lesions or fracture healing. In conclusion, we developed two micro-CT protocols which are appropriate for detailed as well as global 43 longitudinal studies of mouse bone architecture and lack noticeable radiation-induced side effects. 44

© 2011 Published by Elsevier Inc. 45

46

49

48

Introduction 50

In vivo micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) has been sug-5152gested as a valuable tool to monitor local changes in bone structure in living mice [1]. This technology can offer unique high resolution 53information on the temporal responses of specific bone regions to 5455pathological or therapeutic stimuli, stipulated that the micro-CT imaging process itself has no influence on the skeletal system. Indeed, 56 frequent or excessive exposure of the skeletal system to X-rays leads to 5758side effects which are closely related to the radiation dose and include 59growth retardation, skeletal deformities, bone loss and hematological 60 abnormalities [2,3].

8756-3282/\$ - see front matter © 2011 Published by Elsevier Inc.

doi:10.1016/j.bone.2011.06.031

Radiation can cause cell death, most likely as a consequence of 61 irreparable DNA damage [4,5]. But also low radiation doses can result 62 in non-lethal DNA damage, which will initiate DNA repair processes 63 and ultimately lead to a decrease in cell proliferation [6]. It is therefore 64 generally accepted that proliferating cells are more radiosensitive 65 than non-proliferating cells and that less differentiated cells are more 66 prone to radiation damage than highly differentiated cells [7]. This 67 radiation-induced cytotoxicity has resulted in the use of radiation as a 68 local therapy for numerous malignancies in humans.

Radiation will also harm non-malignant cells and several studies 70 have investigated the effects of high-dose radiation on bone cells in 71 vitro and in vivo. X-ray radiation in the range of 2.5 to 8 Gy inhibits the 72 proliferation and activity of osteoblasts [8-11] and osteoclasts [12], 73 while radiation doses lower than 2 Gy have a stimulatory effect on 74 osteoclast proliferation and activity [13]. Growth plate chondrocytes 75 and bone marrow cells are the most radiation sensitive cells because 76 of their high proliferation rate, and radiation damage to these types of 77 cells inhibits their proliferation and thus results in growth retardation 78

^{*} Corresponding author at: Laboratory of Experimental Medicine and Endocrinology, Herestraat 49, O&N1, bus 902, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium. Fax: +32 16 345 934.

E-mail address: geert.carmeliet@med.kuleuven.be (G. Carmeliet). ¹ Equal contribution.

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

and myeloid depletion, respectively [2,14]. On the other hand, the
exposure of the total mouse body to a low dose radiation of 75 mGy
was found to stimulate the proliferation of bone marrow hematopoietic progenitor cells as well as their mobilization into the peripheral
blood [15].

In contrast to the radiation doses used in radiation therapy, the 84 doses associated with imaging are substantially lower. To investigate 85 the temporal changes in bone structure during a longitudinal follow-86 87 up study, multiple successive micro-CT scans are, however, required. 88 Frequent in vivo micro-CT imaging, even with relatively low radiation 89 doses per scan, can still affect bone architecture. Discrepant results have been reported concerning the effects of micro-CT-induced 90 radiation on the bone micro-architecture and especially the hemato-9192poietic system in rodents. In rats, 8 weekly in vivo scans of 939 mGy each did not alter the structural bone parameters nor the viability of 93 the bone marrow cells [16]. In mice, however, micro-CT-induced 94 radiation decreased trabecular bone volume of the tibiae of 8 to 10-95 96 week old mice after 4 weekly scans with 846 mGy [17], while 4 weekly scans with 1255 mGy did not exacerbate disuse-related bone 97 loss in the femurs of 17-week old mice [18]. It is still unclear whether 98 this inconsistency should be attributed to differences in the radiation 99 dose, frequency and number of *in vivo* scans or a combination of these. 100 101 In addition, young, growing animals may be particularly susceptible to radiation exposure [19]. Certainly in humans, this age-related 102 radiation sensitivity has already been thoroughly described [3]. 103

Taken together, it is critical to delineate the settings for in vivo 104 micro-CT imaging of the bone architecture of mice in order to avoid 105106 side effects on bone and hematopoietic cells that could result in altered bone structure and hematological defects. However, lowering 107 the radiation dose to avoid radiation effects may increase noise in 108 the images and decrease the signal-to-noise ratio, or reduce image 109 110 sharpness and lower the resolution [20]. Hence, image quality needs 111 to be weighed against the radiation risks [21]. This trade-off between dose and image quality has not been investigated thoroughly in 112 relation to in vivo micro-CT imaging of mouse bone architecture. In 113this study, we designed 2 protocols for longitudinal micro-CT studies 114 of the skeletal system in young pre-pubertal and adult mice that are 115devoid of radiation-induced side effects and maintain adequate image 116 quality. 117

118 Materials and methods

119 Animals and experimental design

Male C57Bl/6 mice (Janvier) were housed under standard conditions in our animal facility (Proefdierencentrum Leuven, Belgium).
All procedures were approved by the Ethical Committee of the
Katholieke Universiteit Leuven.

Three types of experiments were performed: a pilot experiment, 124 an optimisation experiment and a validation experiment. In each 125experiment, the left hindlimb of male C57Bl/6 mice, anesthetized 126127 with isoflurane, was scanned in vivo with micro-CT at 3 consecutive 128time points, separated each time by a 2-week interval. This followup period of 4 weeks was chosen because it corresponds with the 129evaluation period used in several murine bone pathology models 130such as ovariectomy, castration, tail suspension and fracture repair. 131132The right hindlimb was positioned out of the field of view of the micro-CT and served as the non-irradiated control, as the total X-ray 133 exposure of this hindlimb was negligible (data not shown). Following 134 the last in vivo scan, mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and, 135depending on the experiment, blood was collected and the spleen, 136tibia and femur were isolated. 137

138In the pilot experiment, we investigated the radiation effect of139the recommended micro-CT parameters on 10-week old male C57Bl/6140mice (n=5), an age often used as starting point in bone pathology141models. The *in vivo* micro-CT parameters were 9 μ m pixel size, 50 kV,

120 uA, 0.5 mm Al filter, angular rotation step 0.9°, 220 projections 142 and an exposure time of 4.7 s with a total scan duration of 19 min. 143 After sacrifice, dissected tibiae were imaged by *ex vivo* micro-CT after 144 overnight fixation in 2% paraformaldehyde, and then processed for 145 histological analysis. 146

In the optimization experiment, 4 and 16-week old male C57Bl/6 147 mice were analyzed, as age is known to change the susceptibility to 148 radiation effects (n=4 per age group). For each age, an additional 149 group of mice was included, referred to as 'reference' group, and 150 these mice were anesthetized at each time point but did not receive 151 any *in vivo* radiation (n=4 per age group). Two *in vivo* micro-CT 152 parameters were used: (i) a pixel size of 9 µm (50 kV, 100 uA, 1 mm Al 153 filter, angular rotation step 1°, 199 projections, exposure time 3.3 s, 154 scan duration 12 min); (ii) a pixel size of 18 µm (50 kV, 100 uA, 1 mm 155 Al filter, angular rotation step 0.8°, 248 projections, exposure time 1 s, 156 scan duration 5 min). After sacrifice, the bone architecture was 157 analyzed by ex vivo micro-CT and histology. Peripheral blood cell 158 counts were determined and the in vitro colony forming capacity of 159 hematopoietic progenitor cells of the bone marrow and spleen was 160 analyzed. The in vitro osteogenic potential of bone marrow stromal 161 cells and the differentiation of bone marrow hematopoietic cells into 162 osteoclasts were assessed. 163

In the validation experiment, 10-week old male C57Bl/6 mice were 164 either sham-operated or orchidectomized the day before the first 165 *in vivo* micro-CT scan was taken (n=5 per group). They were anes- 166 thetized with pentobarbitone sodium (Nembutal, 50 mg/kg body 167 weight, CEVA Santé Animale) and received buprenorfine hydrochlo- 168 ride as postoperative analgesic (Temgesic, 0.05 mg/kg body weight, 169 Schering-Plough). The *in vivo* micro-CT parameters were the 9 µm 170 pixel size parameters used in the optimization experiment, and 171 trabecular bone architecture was analyzed and compared between 172 orchidectomized and sham-operated mice over time. 173

Micro-computed tomography

The bone micro-architecture of the tibiae was assessed *ex vivo* and 175 *in vivo* using a SkyScan 1172 and a SkyScan 1076 micro-CT system, 176 respectively, and related software (SkyScan). *In vivo* micro-CT images 177 were segmented using an adaptive thresholding algorithm provided 178 by the SkyScan CTan software because the reduced image quality 179 precluded to use global thresholding [22]. The local threshold was 180 calculated in a circular region of radius 8 pixels around each pixel. 181 When indicated, registration software based on mutual information 182 was applied to align these images [23]. 183

174

193

The scanning parameters for *ex vivo* micro-CT imaging were 5 µm 184 pixel size, 50 kV, 200 uA, 321 projections, 0.5 mm Al filter. After 185 reconstruction, the *ex vivo* micro-CT images were segmented using a 186 global threshold. The global threshold was visually determined to 187 optimally separate the bimodal histogram into bone and soft tissue. 188 Trabecular and cortical volumes of interest were selected manually 189 and histomorphometric parameters were calculated according to the 190 'Guidelines for the assessment of bone microstructure in rodents 191 using micro-CT' [19]. 192

Image quality

Image quality can be quantified by severable factors such as 194 resolution and signal-to-noise ratio. Resolution describes the ability to 195 resolve small details in the image and can be quantified as the full- 196 width-at-half-maximum of the system point spread function [24]. The 197 signal-to-noise ratio in micro-CT images of the different protocols 198 is measured as the ratio of the mean intensity over the standard 199 deviation of the intensity in a region of interest in images of a 200 homogeneous water phantom. More details about image quality and 201 its relationship to radiation dose can be found in Ref. [21].

203 Histological analysis

Tibiae were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde, decalcified in 0.5 M EDTA 204 205(pH 7.4)/PBS prior to dehydration, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 5 µm. Hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) staining and staining for tartrate-206 resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) activity were performed as previ-207ously described [25,26] and were used to quantify osteoblast surface 208and osteoclast surface per bone surface, respectively. When indicated, 209210bones were fixed in Burckhardt's solution, embedded undecalcified in methyl-metacrylate, sectioned at 4 µm and stained according to Von 211 212Kossa to visualize the mineralized bone matrix. Histomorphometric 213analysis was performed using a Zeiss Axiovert microscope and related 214Axiovision software (v6.1.0). Histomorphometric data were expressed 215according to the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research standardized histomorphometry nomenclature [27]. 216

217 Radiation dose

The radiation dose was estimated by measuring the air kerma with a 0.6 cm^3 thimble ionization chamber that has a calibration factor traceable to a standard dosimetry lab (PTB, Braunschweig). The ionization chamber (type FC-65-G, IBA Dosimetry) was placed in air at the center of rotation of the scanner, resulting in an estimate of the radiation dose by means of the air kerma value.

224 In vitro assays

225The colony forming capacity of hematopoietic progenitor cells was analyzed on bone marrow cells, flushed from the femur, and on spleen 226 cells which were isolated by gently pressing the spleen through a 227 70 µm nylon mesh cell strainer (Becton Dickinson). The Methocult 228 229assay (StemCell Technologies) was performed as described before 230[28]. Bone marrow stromal cells isolated from tibiae and femora were 231 cultured according to the method described previously. Osteogenic differentiation was analyzed after 2 and 3 weeks of culture by alkaline 232phosphatase or alizarin red staining [29]. Osteoclast formation was 233performed as described previously, using macrophage colony-stimu-234235 lating facor (M-CSF) and receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL) treatment [30] and the number of TRAP-positive cells 236with more than three nuclei were counted as osteoclasts [31]. Cell 237counts (white and red blood cells and blood platelets) in peripheral 238239blood were quantified using an Abbott Cell-Dyn 3500 hematology analyzer. 240

241 Statistics

242Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM, unless stated otherwise.243Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and paired *t*-test using NCSS244software (NCSS). Post hoc comparisons were performed using Fisher's245least significant difference test. Differences were considered signifi-246cant at p<0.05.</td>

247 Results

248 In vivo micro-CT radiation doses of 776 mGy induce trabecular bone loss

To investigate whether repetitive exposure to *in vivo* micro-CT imaging, using recommended scanning parameters, might have an effect on bone architecture, we compared in a pilot experiment the bone architecture of the *in vivo* irradiated left tibia to the nonirradiated right tibia that served as control. The used scanning parameters resulted in a total radiation dose of 776 mGy per scan.

Analysis of the bone volume by *ex vivo* micro-CT imaging of the dissected tibiae showed that the *in vivo* micro-CT-induced radiation decreased trabecular bone volume by 30% in the irradiated tibia compared to the non-irradiated control tibia (Figs. 1A,B). This reduction was caused by a decrease in trabecular number (Figs. 1A,C), whereas 259 trabecular thickness was not altered by the frequent CT scanning 260 (Figs. 1A,D). Cortical bone mass and structure were not affected by the 261 micro-CT-induced radiation as the total cross-sectional area (Fig. 1E), 262 the cortical bone area (Fig. 1F) and cortical thickness (Fig. 1G) were 263 normal. 264

An imbalance between osteoblast-mediated bone formation and 265 osteoclast-mediated bone resorption is generally the cause of a 266 decreased bone mass. Histomorphometric analysis showed that the 267 decrease in trabecular bone volume was associated with increased 268 bone resorption as TRAP staining revealed an increase in the 269 osteoclast surface per bone surface in the irradiated tibiae compared 270 to control tibiae (Fig. 1H). Bone formation, on the other hand, seemed 271 unaltered as the osteoblast surface per bone surface, quantified on 272 H&E stained sections, remained unchanged after *in vivo* irradiation 273 (Fig. 1I).

These data indicate that administration of three *in vivo* micro-CT 275 radiation doses of 776 mGy, given with a two week time interval, 276 negatively affects bone mass in young adult mice. 277

Lowering the micro-CT radiation dose reduces image quality

Because the suggested scanning parameters induced radiation-279 related changes in bone architecture, we performed an optimization 280 experiment in which the scanning settings were adapted in order to 281 deliver the lowest possible radiation dose while maintaining accept-282 able image quality. To reduce scan duration, the angular rotation step 283 was increased and the exposure time decreased. The current of the 284 X-ray source was lowered and a thicker filter was used to reduce the 285 radiation dose per unit of time. The adapted parameter set resulted in a 286 radiation dose of 434 mGy per scan for a 9 µm pixel size scan. Further 287 lowering of the radiation dose was achieved by combining 4 detector 288 camera pixels to obtain an image pixel size of 18 µm, resulting in a 289 radiation dose of 166 mGy per scan. 290

We first evaluated the effect of lowering the radiation dose on 291 the quality of the obtained images. *Ex vivo* micro-CT images were 292 taken as a reference, because the image quality achieved with this 293 method is comparable to the one obtained in stained histological 294 sections. Indeed, comparison between a registered *ex vivo* micro-CT 295 image (Fig. 2A) and a von Kossa-stained histological section (Fig. 2B) 296 revealed that the bone structures, as visualized by each of these 297 techniques, were matching closely. 298

As anticipated, the guality of the in vivo micro-CT images was 299 reduced when the radiation dose was decreased. Scanning with a dose 300 of 434 mGy and a pixel size of 9 µm resulted in more blurred images 301 compared to the ex vivo micro-CT scan, as demonstrated by the 302 registration of the ex vivo and in vivo micro-CT images of the same 303 tibia (Figs. 2C,D). Image quality was degraded even more when the 304 bone was scanned using the protocol with a pixel size of 18 µm and 305 a radiation dose of 166 mGy (Fig. 2E). The signal-to-noise ratio of 306 1.78 for the 9 µm protocol increased to 2.79 for the 18 µm pixel size 307 protocol, while the resolution of 36 µm deteriorated to 57 µm. The 308 slightly improved noise characteristics of the 18 µm pixel size protocol 309 indicate that the observed quality difference can mainly be attributed 310 to the lower resolution due to the increased pixel size. This quality 311 difference was also reflected in the quantification of the trabecular 312 and cortical bone parameters. In vivo micro-CT imaging with 18 µm, 313 and to a lesser extent 9 µm pixel size, overestimated trabecular bone 314 volume (Fig. 2F) and trabecular thickness (Fig. 2H) compared to 315 ex vivo micro-CT imaging, but underestimated the number of tra- 316 beculae (Fig. 2G). The quantification of the cortical parameters was 317 less influenced by the in vivo scanning protocols: the total cross- 318 sectional area (Fig. 2I) and the cortical bone area (Fig. 2J) quantified 319 from the 9 and 18 µm pixel size in vivo scans did not differ significantly 320 from those quantified from the ex vivo scans. Similar to the trabecular 321

Please cite this article as: Laperre K, et al, Development of micro-CT protocols for *in vivo* follow-up of mouse bone architecture without major radiation side effects, Bone (2011), doi:10.1016/j.bone.2011.06.031

278

K. Laperre et al. / Bone xxx (2011) xxx-xxx



Fig. 1. Trabecular bone mass is reduced by 3 two-weekly *in vivo* micro-CT scans with a radiation dose of 776 mGy. (A) Representative 3D micro-CT images of metaphyseal bone structure of the non-irradiated right (control) and irradiated left tibia of the same mouse. (B–G) Quantification of trabecular bone volume relative to tissue volume (B; BV/TV), trabecular number (C; Tb.N), trabecular thickness (D; Tb.Th), total cross-sectional area (E; Tt.Ar), cortical bone area (F; Ct.Ar) and cortical thickness (G; Ct.Th) of the non-irradiated (control) and irradiated tibiae. (H–I) Quantification of the osteoclast surface per bone surface (H; Oc.S/BS) and osteoblast surface per bone surface (I; Ob.S/BS) on histological sections of non-irradiated (control) and irradiated tibiae after TRAP or H&E-staining, respectively. ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001 (*t*-test, vs control; n = 5).

thickness, cortical thickness (Fig. 2K) was overestimated by the *in vivo*scanning protocols.

4

In conclusion, the two developed protocols for *in vivo* micro-CT imaging resulted in a low radiation dose, but inevitably also reduced the image quality and rendered the quantification of the bone parameters more difficult.

Bone structure is not significantly altered by repeated in vivo micro-CT imaging at a radiation dose of 434 mGy

Next, we investigated whether these adapted protocols for in vivo 330 micro-CT imaging had less or even no toxic effects on trabecular and 331 cortical bone volume. Young pre-pubertal mice (4 weeks of age) and 332 333 adult mice (16 weeks of age) were subjected 3 times to one of the 2 334 protocols. We included the group of growing mice, since it is known that, at least in humans, young individuals are more sensitive to 335radiation than older persons [3]. As before, the effect of radiation on 336 bone mass and structure was analyzed by quantifying the bone 337 parameters in the left irradiated and right non-irradiated tibia with 338 ex vivo micro-CT imaging. To avoid that interindividual variations in 339 bone architecture between the left and right tibia masked the effect of 340 radiation, the difference in bone parameters between the left and 341 right tibia was calculated for each mouse and compared between the 342 radiated group and the non-irradiated group that served as reference. 343 Repeated in vivo micro-CT imaging at 9 or 18 µm pixel size had no 344 significant effect on the trabecular (Figs. 3A-C) or cortical (Figs. 3D-F) 345 bone parameters, irrespective of the age of the mice, as the data were 346 347 comparable to the results obtained in the non-scanned reference group. Some minor side effects of *in vivo* micro-CT radiation doses 348 of 434 mGy (9 µm) were however detected in pre-pubertal as well 349 as adult mice (Fig. 3A). The difference in trabecular bone volume 350 between the left irradiated and right non-irradiated tibia was negative 351 in every mouse, indicating that trabecular mass tended to decrease in 352 the *in vivo* scanned tibia, although not significantly. This decrease was 353 mainly due to a reduction in trabecular number (Fig. 3B) without an 354 effect on trabecular thickness (Fig. 3C). 355

To ascertain that the effects of the 434 mGy micro-CT radiation 356 dose on bone mass were minimal or even negligible, we analyzed 357 osteoblast-mediated bone formation and osteoclast-mediated bone 358 resorption. To this end, we performed histomorphometry of the 359 irradiated and non-irradiated control tibia of pre-pubertal mice that 360 were in vivo scanned at 9 µm pixel size. We reasoned that a possible 361 negative effect of radiation would be most marked in the youngest 362 group receiving the highest radiation dose. No significant changes 363 were, however, observed in the osteoblast surface (Figs. 4A,B) or 364 osteoclast surface (Figs. 4C,D) which were quantified on HE- or TRAP- 365 stained sections, respectively. These in vivo findings were confirmed 366 by in vitro analyses. No differences were observed in the number 367 and size of the osteogenic colonies formed by bone marrow stromal 368 cells derived from pre-pubertal reference mice or mice that were 369 in vivo scanned at 9 µm pixel size (Fig. 5A). Furthermore, bone mar- 370 row hematopoietic cells, treated with M-CSF and RANKL, differenti- 371 ated equally well to osteoclasts in vitro (Fig. 5B). 372

In summary, repeated *in vivo* exposure to micro-CT radiation doses 373 of 434 mGy did not significantly affect bone formation or resorption, 374 and trabecular and cortical bone parameters were thus not altered. 375

K. Laperre et al. / Bone xxx (2011) xxx-xxx



Fig. 2. Lowering the radiation dose reduces image quality. (A–B) Registered images of a tibia scanned *ex vivo* at 5 μ m pixel size (A) and a Von Kossa-stained section after histological processing (B). (C–E) Registered images of the tibial metaphysis scanned *ex vivo* at 5 μ m pixel size (C), *in vivo* at 9 μ m pixel size (D) or *in vivo* at 18 μ m pixel size (E) showing a decrease in image quality with reduced radiation dose. (F–K) Quantification of trabecular bone volume relative to tissue volume (F; BV/TV), trabecular number (G; Tb.N), trabecular thickness (H; Tb.Th), total cross-sectional area (I; Tt.Ar), cortical bone area (J; Ct.Ar) and cortical thickness (K; Ct.Th) of tibiae scanned *ex vivo* at 5 μ m pixel size (black) and *in vivo* at 9 (light gray) or 18 (dark gray) micrometer pixel size. * p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001 (ANOVA, vs 5 μ m *ex vivo* scan; n = 4).

In vivo micro-CT radiation doses of 434 mGy are not deleterious to
 hematopoietic bone marrow cells

In vivo micro-CT imaging of the long bones inevitably results in
 radiation exposure of the bone marrow harboring the hematopoietic
 (stem and progenitor) cells. *In vivo* micro-CT imaging may thus
 indirectly influence the number of progenitors in the spleen and the

number (and type) of circulating blood cells [32]. Colony forming 382 assay of hematopoietic cells revealed that *in vivo* micro-CT imaging 383 with 9 or 18 µm pixel size (434 or 166 mGy respectively) did not alter 384 the number of granulocyte, macrophage or granulocyte-macrophage 385 colony forming units in the bone marrow isolated from irradiated 386 femora (Table 1) or in the spleen from irradiated mice (data not 387 shown), irrespective of the age of the mice. These data are in line with 388

K. Laperre et al. / Bone xxx (2011) xxx-xxx



Fig. 3. Bone structure is not altered by multiple *in vivo* radiation doses of 434 mGy. The left tibia of young (8 weeks) or adult (20 weeks) mice was repetitively scanned *in vivo* at 9 or 18 μ m pixel size, or not scanned (reference). The difference in trabecular and cortical bone parameters between the left and right tibia was calculated for each mouse and represented in a dot plot: difference in trabecular bone volume relative to tissue volume (A; Δ BV/TV), trabecular number (B; Δ Tb.N), trabecular thickness (C; Δ Tb.th), total cross-sectional area (D; Δ Tt.Ar), cortical bone area (E; Δ Ct.Ar) and cortical thickness (F; Δ Ct.Th) (ANOVA, *vs* reference; n=4).

a previous report showing that *in vivo* exposure of neonatal or adult mice with a single dose of 500 mGy did not induce long-term impairment of haematopoiesis [33]. Furthermore, the number of white blood cells, red blood cells and blood platelets in peripheral blood was unaffected by the *in vivo* micro-CT radiation (Table 2).

Taken together, repetitive *in vivo* exposure to micro-CT radiation doses of up to 434 mGy did not affect hematopoietic progenitor activity.

The in vivo micro-CT imaging protocol of 434 mGy enables longitudinal follow-up of trabecular bone loss after orchidectomy

Since the developed micro-CT imaging protocols had not mani-399 fested radiation effects, we next investigated whether they were 400 suitable to monitor changes in bone architecture during longitudinal 401 follow-up studies (validation experiment). We therefore analyzed 402 403 bone loss over time after orchidectomy, a male osteoporosis model, via in vivo micro-CT scanning using the 434 mGy protocol. Orchi-404 dectomy (Orx) is known to decrease trabecular bone volume, while 405trabecular thickness remains unchanged [34,35]. 406

The 3D micro-CT images of the tibiae of sham-operated mice, obtained at 3 consecutive time points, showed the normal age-related decrease in trabecular bone mass (Fig. 6A). In contrast, trabecular bone volume manifestly decreased over time in orchidectomized mice (Fig. 5A). Quantification showed a 50% decrease of trabecular bone volume (Fig. 6B) and trabecular number (Fig. 6C) 2 weeks after orchidectomy and a 70% decrease of both parameters after 4 weeks. 413 Trabecular thickness did not vary over time after orchidectomy 414 (Fig. 6D). 415

In conclusion, the proposed 434 mGy micro-CT imaging protocol 416 yields images that are of sufficient quality to quantitatively monitor 417 changes in trabecular bone architecture over time *in vivo*. 418

Discussion

419

In vivo imaging of animals has multiple advantages, but the 420 radiation exposure is a cause of major concern in any longitudinal 421 *in vivo* micro-CT imaging study of the long bones of mice. Indeed, we 422 could confirm that an ill-considered choice of micro-CT imaging 423 parameters decreased trabecular bone mass manifestly. We therefore 424 developed and validated two *in vivo* micro-CT imaging protocols, 425 which are devoid of manifested radiation-induced side effects, and 426 enabled us to quantitatively follow-up trabecular and cortical bone 427 architecture over a 4-week period in mice.

The benefits of *in vivo* micro-CT scanning of bone architecture in 429 mice compared to *ex vivo* imaging are patently obvious [19]. *In vivo* 430 micro-CT imaging allows the follow-up of time-dependent changes in 431 three-dimensional bone structure within the same animal. Registra- 432 tion of the sequential 3D images provides unique information on the 433 precise sites of bone formation or bone resorption [36–38]. In addition, 434 longitudinal studies inherently reduce the number of animals to be 435 used. Moreover, each animal can be used as its own control, which 436

K. Laperre et al. / Bone xxx (2011) xxx-xxx



Fig. 4. Bone formation and resorption are not altered by multiple *in vivo* radiation doses of 434 mGy. (A–B) H&E staining on histological sections of the non-irradiated (control) or *in vivo* scanned tibiae (9 μm) of pre-pubertal mice (A, overview in top panel, higher magnification of boxed area in lower panel). Quantification of osteoblast surface per bone surface (B; Ob.S/BS). (C–D) TRAP-staining on histological sections of non-irradiated (control) or *in vivo* scanned tibiae (9 μm) of pre-pubertal mice (C, overview in top panel, higher magnification of boxed area in lower panel). Quantification of osteoclast surface per bone surface (D; Oc.S/BS). Bar = 500 μm. (*t*-test, *vs* control (co); n = 4).

decreases the variability and thus increases the statistical power. 437Possible applications are numerous and include changes in bone 438 architecture, linked to a gene defect or caused by therapeutic 439 intervention, besides many others. The significance and added value 440 of these in vivo follow-up experiments, however, depend on the micro-441 CT scanning protocol that should be devoid of radiation-induced side 442 effects. Ideally, the actual radiation dose delivered to the bone tissue 443 should be known, but this parameter is hard to determine because 444 it requires that the tissues surrounding the bone are simulated by 445 a phantom. In this study, we therefore used the radiation doses 446 447 measured in air (air kerma values), omitting the need for a phantom. The advantage of this approach is that these measurements are easily 448 449 reproducible, which facilitates comparisons with other studies, including those referred to in this study [16,17]. 450

We developed a 9 and 18 µm pixel size in vivo micro-CT imaging 451protocol resulting in a radiation dose of 434 mGy and 166 mGy per 452scan, respectively. We showed that these two protocols had no 453454significant radiation-induced side effects on trabecular and cortical 455bone architecture or on the analyzed hematological parameters. The higher radiation dose tended to decrease the trabecular bone volume, 456but no manifested changes in bone formation or bone resorption 457were detected by histomorphometry, indicating that the radiation-458459induced effects were minimal. These in vivo findings were confirmed by in vitro analyses showing that the osteogenic differentiation of 460 bone marrow osteoprogenitors as well as osteoclast formation from 461 bone marrow osteoclast precursors was not reduced by the in vivo 462micro-CT scanning protocol. These findings, nevertheless, suggest that 463a micro-CT radiation dose of 434 mGy is close to the limit of safely 464using in vivo imaging. Indeed, repeated in vivo micro-CT imaging with 465a higher radiation dose (776 mGy in this study, 846 mGy in Ref. [17]) 466 decreased trabecular bone volume significantly, most likely due to 467 468 increased bone resorption as shown by an increase in the osteoclast abundance. It should also be noted that this limit is likely species- 469 specific because radiation-induced toxicity was not observed in rats 470 even with higher radiation doses. Indeed, eight *in vivo* scans with a 471 radiation dose of 939 mGy per scan and a 1-week interval [16] or five 472 *in vivo* scans with a radiation dose of 597 mGy and a 2-week interval [17] did not cause any changes in the bone architecture of rats. 474

The drawback of a reduced radiation dose is that the image quality 475 is correspondingly decreased. As the signal-to-noise ratio obtained 476 with the 9 µm pixel size protocol is slightly lower than that of the 477 18 µm pixel size protocol, the larger pixel size in the 18 µm protocol 478 compensates for the increased noise, which is expected by the dose 479 reduction. The apparent reduced image quality of the in vivo protocols 480 can mainly be attributed to the lower resolution of the images and is 481 manifested by blurring and intensity inhomogeneity. These effects 482 should be taken into account by the bone segmentation process in 483 order to minimize errors in estimates of structural bone parameters. 484 Selecting a global threshold value to segment the bone ignores these 485 image distortions and should be avoided as segmentation method for 486 low-dose images. Local thresholding approaches attempt to account 487 for intensity inhomogeneities by computing a local threshold value 488 for every pixel, and can model blurring by adapting the thresholds 489 in blurred regions [39]. Local thresholding methods are relatively fast 490 and readily available, but can only handle limited image degradation. 491 In the presence of large image degradation, such as in the images 492 obtained with the 18 µm pixel size protocol, the local thresholding 493 method used in this study tended to consider thin trabeculae as 494 bone marrow because of their low intensity and the segmentation of 495 thin trabeculae might thus fail. As a result, the number of trabeculae 496 is likely to be underscored and the mean thickness of the trabeculae is 497 likely to be overrated in these images. However, such segmentation 498 errors can be considered to occur systematically within a study and 499 therefore do not need to preclude correct relative comparisons 500

8

K. Laperre et al. / Bone xxx (2011) xxx-xxx



Fig. 5. Multiple in vivo radiation doses of 434 mGy do not affect colony forming capacity of bone marrow cells or osteoclast differentiation. (A) Representative images of methylene blue, alkaline phosphatase and alizarin red staining of bone marrow stromal cell cultures derived from non-irradiated (reference) or in vivo scanned tibiae (9 µm) of pre-pubertal mice after 14 or 21 days of culture with quantification of the number of osteogenic colonies per well and the average area per colony (t-test, vs reference group; n=3). (B) Representative images of TRAP-stained osteoclasts differentiated from hematopoietic bone marrow cells derived from non-irradiated (reference) or in vivo scanned (9 µm) tibiae of pre-pubertal mice formed after 1 week of culture with quantification of the number of osteoclasts formed per well (t-test, vs reference group; n = 3).

between groups and over time, as has been shown in the Orx 501experiment. Nevertheless, care should be taken to assure that bone 502503segmentation errors are as small as possible such that more subtle 504changes can be correctly detected and quantified with statistical significance. Hence, the remaining challenge for low-dose in vivo 505micro-CT imaging of bone lies in developing more sophisticated 506segmentation techniques to accurately extract the relevant informa-507 tion from the images. One such approach that is currently under 508investigation to overcome the limitations of traditional micro-CT 509 segmentation techniques is the combined reconstruction and seg-510mentation of the images [40]: rather than starting the segmentation 511 512from the de

in the images [40]. Tather than starting the segmentation	mormatio
graded reconstruction, these techniques directly include	proposed 1
	likely. Yet,
	radiation d

t1.1	Table 1
	Colony forming capacity of bone marrow hematopoietic cells.

t1.2 t1.3	Age	Colony type	Reference	9 µm <i>in vivo</i> scan	18 µm <i>in vivo</i> scan
t1.4 t1.5 t1.6 t1.7 t1.8 t1.9 t1.10 t1.11	8 weeks 20 weeks	CFU-G CFU-M CFU-GM Total CFU CFU-G CFU-G CFU-M CFU-GM Total CFU	$\begin{array}{c} 17.5\pm0.9\\ 18.5\pm2.4\\ 13.5\pm12.5\\ 49.0\pm4.8\\ 16.3\pm3.4\\ 17.0\pm4.7\\ 14.3\pm3.4\\ 47.5\pm11.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.8 \pm 2.7 \\ 15.3 \pm 2.7 \\ 12.3 \pm 1.7 \\ 42.3 \pm 5.4 \\ 18.0 \pm 1.9 \\ 16.8 \pm 3.0 \\ 13.5 \pm 2.2 \\ 48.3 \pm 5.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17.8 \pm 1.7 \\ 15.0 \pm 0.7 \\ 12.5 \pm 1.5 \\ 45.3 \pm 2.4 \\ 21.3 \pm 1.9 \\ 18.8 \pm 2.2 \\ 15.3 \pm 1.9 \\ 55.3 \pm 4.9 \end{array}$

Number of granulocyte (G), macrophage (M) and granulocyte-macrophage (GM) colony forming units (CFU) per 10⁴ bone marrow cells isolated from femora of 8- or 20week-old mice that were not in vivo scanned (reference) or scanned at 9 or 18 µm pixel t1 12 size. (ANOVA, vs reference; n = 4).

the projection data in the segmentation process, allowing incorpora- 513 tion of the resolution properties of the scanner system and leading to 514 more accurate segmentations. 515

Bearing this limitation on bone segmentation accuracy in mind, we 516 are convinced that the image quality obtained with the proposed 9 µm 517 pixel size in vivo micro-CT imaging protocol suffices for longitudinal 518 follow-up of both trabecular and cortical bone mass and structure 519 in mice, as demonstrated by the Orx experiment. This protocol can 520 be applied to any experiment in which the trabecular structure of 521 murine bone needs to be evaluated. Extracting accurate quantitative 522 information on trabecular parameters from images acquired with the 523 8 µm pixel size in vivo micro-CT imaging protocol is less 524 the 18 µm pixel size protocol has its merit in its much lower 525 lose compared to the 9 µm pixel size protocol and may 526 allow more frequent imaging. Hence, it might be more suited to 527

Age	Colony type	Reference	9 µm <i>in vivo</i> scan	18 µm <i>in vivo</i> scan
8 weeks	WBC ($\times 10^3/\mu l$)	2.4 ± 0.4	2.5 ± 0.5	3.0 ± 0.3
	RBC ($\times 10^3/\mu l$)	9.4 ± 0.1	9.2 ± 0.2	9.2 ± 0.3
	BPL ($\times 10^3/\mu$ l)	1580 ± 20.1	1530 ± 27.8	1471 ± 55.2
20 weeks	WBC ($\times 10^3/\mu l$)	2.6 ± 0.5	2.7 ± 0.5	2.2 ± 0.4
	RBC ($\times 10^3/\mu l$)	9.1 ± 0.2	8.8 ± 0.1	7.9 ± 0.7
	BPL ($\times 10^3/\mu l$)	1516 ± 92.2	1428 ± 99.3	961 ± 190.9

Number of white blood cells (WBC), red blood cells (RBC) and blood platelets (BPL) in peripheral blood isolated from 8- or 10-week-old mice that were not in vivo scanned (reference) or scanned at 9 or 18 μ m pixel size. (ANOVA, vs reference; n = 4). t2.10

K. Laperre et al. / Bone xxx (2011) xxx-xxx



Fig. 6. The 9 μm *in vivo* micro-CT imaging protocol enables longitudinal follow-up of trabecular bone loss after orchidectomy. (A) 3D micro-CT models of the metaphysis of shamoperated (Sham) or orchidectomized mice (Orx), analyzed by *in vivo* micro-CT imaging at the time of the operation (10 weeks of age) or 2 (12 weeks of age) and 4 weeks (14 weeks of age) after the procedure. (B–D) Quantification of trabecular bone volume relative to tissue volume (B; BV/TV), trabecular number (C; Tb.N) and trabecular thickness (D; Tb.Th). **** p<0.001 (*t*-test, vs Sham; n=4-5).

monitor manifested changes in bone mass and structure such as callus
 formation during fracture repair or large bone lesions associated with
 bone metastasis progression.

In conclusion, *in vivo* micro-CT is a useful tool for the *in vivo* followup of bone mass and structure in mice. However, proper optimization of the scan parameters and study set-up is necessary, as high radiation doses can alter bone morphological parameters. We here provide two study set-ups for the *in vivo* follow-up of both young and old mice over a study period of 4 weeks without significant side effects of *in vivo* X-ray exposure.

538 Acknowledgments

539The authors thank I. Stockmans, R. Van Looveren, F. Callewaert and E. Van Herck (Katholieke Universiteit Leuven) for their assistance. 540This work was supported by a grant from the Fund for Scientific 541542Research-Flanders (FWO: G.0569.07 and G.0500.08), the Molecular Small Animal Imaging Centre (MoSAIC) and IWT SBO project Quantiviam 543544(060819). K. Laperre and N. Van Gastel are fellows of the Institute for the Promotion of Innovation through Science and Technology in 545Flanders (IWT-Vlaanderen). 546

547 Appendix A. Supplementary data

548 Supplementary data to this article can be found online at doi:10.549 1016/j.bone.2011.06.031.

550 References

 [1] Jiang Y, Zhao J, White DL, Genant HK. Micro CT and micro MR imaging of 3D architecture of animal skeleton. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 2000;1:45–51.

- [2] Williams HJ, Davies AM. The effect of X-rays on bone: a pictorial review. Eur Radiol 553 2006;16:619–33. 554
- [3] Mitchell MJ, Logan PM. Radiation-induced changes in bone. Radiographics 555 1998;18:1125–36 [quiz 1242–3].
- [4] Hutchinson F. The molecular basis for radiation effects on cells. Cancer Res 557 1966;26:2045–52. 558
- [5] Olive PL, Banath JP, Durand RE. Heterogeneity in radiation-induced DNA damage and repair in tumor and normal cells measured using the "comet" assay. Radiat Res 1990;122:86–94.
- 6] Iliakis G, Wang Y, Guan J, Wang H. DNA damage checkpoint control in cells 562 exposed to ionizing radiation. Oncogene 2003;22:5834–47. 563
- [7] Song S, Lambert PF. Different responses of epidermal and hair follicular cells to 564 radiation correlate with distinct patterns of p53 and p21 induction. Am J Pathol 565 1999;155:1121–7. 566
- [8] Dudziak ME, Saadeh PB, Mehrara BJ, Steinbrech DS, Greenwald JA, Gittes GK, et al. 567 The effects of ionizing radiation on osteoblast-like cells in vitro. Plast Reconstr Surg 2000;106:1049–61. 569
- [9] Matsumura S, Jikko A, Hiranuma H, Deguchi A, Fuchihata H. Effect of X-ray 570 irradiation on proliferation and differentiation of osteoblast. Calcif Tissue Int 571 1996;59:307–8. 572
- [10] Dare A, Hachisu R, Yamaguchi A, Yokose S, Yoshiki S, Okano T. Effects of ionizing 573 radiation on proliferation and differentiation of osteoblast-like cells. J Dent Res 574 1997;76:658–64. 575
- [11] Gal TJ, Munoz-Antonia T, Muro-Cacho CA, Klotch DW. Radiation effects on 576 osteoblasts in vitro: a potential role in osteoradionecrosis. Arch Otolaryngol Head 577 Neck Surg 2000;126:1124–8. 578
- Scheven BA, Burger EH, Kawilarang-de Haas EW, Wassenaar AM, Nijweide PJ. 579
 Effects of ionizing irradiation on formation and resorbing activity of osteoclasts in 580
 vitro. Lab Invest 1985;53:72–9. 581
- [13] Willey JS, Lloyd SA, Robbins ME, Bourland JD, Smith-Sielicki H, Bowman LC, et al. 582
 Early increase in osteoclast number in mice after whole-body irradiation with 583
 2 Gy X rays. Radiat Res 2008;170:388–92. 584
- Huang W, Yang Y, Sun Z, Zeng X. Early radiation-induced bone marrow injury: serial 585 MR imaging during initial 4 weeks after irradiation. Acad Radiol 2009;16:733–8.
- [15] Li W, Wang G, Cui J, Xue L, Cai L. Low-dose radiation (LDR) induces hematopoietic 587 hormesis: LDR-induced mobilization of hematopoietic progenitor cells into 588 peripheral blood circulation. Exp Hematol 2004;32:1088–96. 589
- Brouwers JE, van Rietbergen B, Huiskes R. No effects of in vivo micro-CT radiation 590 on structural parameters and bone marrow cells in proximal tibia of wistar rats 591 detected after eight weekly scans. J Orthop Res 2007;25:1325–32. 592

<u>ARTICLE IN PRESS</u>

K. Laperre et al. / Bone xxx (2011) xxx-xxx

- [17] Klinck RJ, Campbell GM, Boyd SK. Radiation effects on bone architecture in mice and rats resulting from in vivo micro-computed tomography scanning. Med Eng Phys 2008;30:888–95.
- [18] Judex S, Chung H, Torab A, Xie L, Rubin C, Donahue L, et al. Micro-CT induced radiation does not exacerbate disuse related bone loss. Proceedings of the 51st annual meeting of the orthopaedic research society; 2005.
- [19] Bouxsein ML, Boyd SK, Christiansen BA, Guldberg RE, Jepsen KJ, Muller R. Guidelines
 for assessment of bone microstructure in rodents using micro-computed tomogra phy. J Bone Miner Res 2010;25:1468–86.
- [20] Ford NL, Thornton MM, Holdsworth DW. Fundamental image quality limits for microcomputed tomography in small animals. Med Phys 2003;30:2869–77.
- 604[21] Goldman LW. Principles of CT: radiation dose and image quality. J Nucl Med Technol6052007;35:213-25 [quiz 226-8].
- [22] Kuhn JL, Goldstein SA, Feldkamp LA, Goulet RW, Jesion G. Evaluation of a microcomputed tomography system to study trabecular bone structure. J Orthop Res 1990;8:833–42.
- Maes F, Collignon A, Vandermeulen D, Marchal G, Suetens P. Multimodality image
 registration by maximization of mutual information. IEEE Trans Med Imaging
 1997;16:187–98.
- [24] Judy PF. The line spread function and modulation transfer function of a computed
 tomographic scanner. Med Phys 1976;3:233–6.
- [25] Maes C, Stockmans I, Moermans K, Van Looveren R, Smets N, Carmeliet P, et al.
 Soluble VEGF isoforms are essential for establishing epiphyseal vascularization and regulating chondrocyte development and survival. J Clin Invest 2004;113:188–99.
- [26] Maes C, Carmeliet P, Moermans K, Stockmans I, Smets N, Collen D, et al. Impaired angiogenesis and endochondral bone formation in mice lacking the vascular endothelial growth factor isoforms VEGF164 and VEGF188. Mech Dev 2002;111:61–73.
- [27] Parfitt AM, Drezner MK, Glorieux FH, Kanis JA, Malluche H, Meunier PJ, et al. Bone
 histomorphometry: standardization of nomenclature, symbols, and units. Report
 of the ASBMR Histomorphometry Nomenclature Committee. J Bone Miner Res
 1987;2:595–610.
- [28] Maes C, Coenegrachts L, Stockmans I, Daci E, Luttun A, Petryk A, et al. Placental
 growth factor mediates mesenchymal cell development, cartilage turnover, and
 bone remodeling during fracture repair. J Clin Invest 2006;116:1230–42.

- [29] Masuyama R, Stockmans I, Torrekens S, Van Looveren R, Maes C, Carmeliet P, et al. 627 Vitamin D receptor in chondrocytes promotes osteoclastogenesis and regulates 628 FGF23 production in osteoblasts. J Clin Invest 2006;116:3150–9. 629
- [30] Lieben L, Benn BS, Ajibade D, Stockmans I, Moermans K, Hediger MA, et al. Trpv6 630 mediates intestinal calcium absorption during calcium restriction and contributes 631 to bone homeostasis. Bone 2010;47:301–8.
 [32] Det Huberer M, Matthew M, Ma
- [31] Daci E, Udagawa N, Martin TJ, Bouillon R, Carmeliet G. The role of the plasminogen 633 system in bone resorption in vitro. J Bone Miner Res 1999;14:946–52. 634
- [32] Kopp HG, Avecilla ST, Hooper AT, Rafii S. The bone marrow vascular niche: 635 home of HSC differentiation and mobilization. Physiology (Bethesda) 2005;20: 636 349–56.
 [33] Grande T, Bueren IA. Analysis of hematopoiesis in mice irradiated with 500 mGy of 638
- X rays at different stages of development. Radiat Res 1995;143:327–33. 639
- [34] Li M, Jee WS, Ke HZ, Tang LY, Ma YF, Liang XG, et al. Prostaglandin E2 administration 640 prevents bone loss induced by orchidectomy in rats. J Bone Miner Res 1995;10: 641 66–73.
 [35] Echan PG. Ebarlo L. Staba K. Goldham M. Staba and S. Sana and S. Sa
- [35] Erben RG, Eberle J, Stahr K, Goldberg M. Androgen deficiency induces high 643 turnover osteopenia in aged male rats: a sequential histomorphometric study. 644 J Bone Miner Res 2000;15:1085–98.
 645
- [36] Boyd SK, Moser S, Kuhn M, Klinck RJ, Krauze PL, Muller R, et al. Evaluation of threedimensional image registration methodologies for in vivo micro-computed tomography. Ann Biomed Eng 2006;34:1587–99.
- [37] Nishiyama KK, Campbell GM, Klinck RJ, Boyd SK. Reproducibility of bone microarchitecture measurements in rodents by in vivo micro-computed tomography is maximized with three-dimensional image registration. Bone 2009;46:155–61. 651
- [38] Waarsing JH, Day JS, van der Linden JC, Ederveen AG, Spanjers C, De Clerck N, et al. 652 Detecting and tracking local changes in the tibiae of individual rats: a novel 653 method to analyse longitudinal in vivo micro-CT data. Bone 2004;34:163–9. 654
- [39] Waarsing JH, Day JS, Weinans H. An improved segmentation method for in vivo 655 microCT imaging. J Bone Miner Res 2004;19:1640–50. 656
- [40] Depypere M, Nuyts J, Laperre K, Carmeliet G, Maes F, Suetens P. The minimal entropy 657 prior for simultaneous reconstruction and segmentation of in vivo microCT 658 trabecular bone images. 2009 IEEE international symposium on biomedical imaging: 659 from nano to macro, Vols 1 and 2; 2009. p. 586–9. 660

10