# Relationships Between Physical and Chemical Water Quality Across Land Uses of Southern Ohio

Kay C. Stefanik, S. Mažeika P. Sullivan, Lauren M. Pintor, and Kaiguang Zhao School of Environment and Natural Resources



#### ABSTRACT

Impairment of physical and chemical water quality due to land-use change is a growing concern. We assessed these impacts by surveying 38 stream reaches across three study catchments with contrasting land uses in southern and central Ohio. Sampling occurred seasonally in 2016-2017 for nutrient concentrations, in-stream habitat quality, and stream geomorphic parameters. Nutrient concentrations were found to vary seasonally and by catchment: total phosphorus and orthophosphate were highest in the mixed-use watershed and total nitrogen and nitrate were lowest in the forested watershed. As expected, stream habitat quality was higher in forested and mixed-use watersheds than in the agricultural watershed. Accounting for catchment land use, habitat quality increased with increasing substrate grain size and width:depth ratio. Total phosphorus and orthophosphate decreased with increasing grain size, while orthophosphate concentrations were positively associated with glide habitat. Nutrient concentrations were not related to siltation, width-depth ratio, or amount of instream cover. Our results suggest that some fluvial geomorphic features may aid in regulating nutrient dynamics in streams, and highlight the potential role of stream restoration in improving stream habitat quality and reducing stream phosphorus loading.

## **STUDY SYSTEM**

This study was conducted in 38 stream reaches across 3 study catchments in the Ohio River Basin. Land use varied by catchment, with dominant uses including agriculture, mixed-use, and forest. Chemical waterquality samples were collected seasonally, while habitat quality and geomorphic parameters were measured during summer in 2016-2017.



## RESULTS

• Variability among catchments was observed for Log TP (ANOVA: F = 5.03, p = 0.007), Log PO<sub>4</sub> (ANOVA: F= 24.69, *p* < 0.001), Log TN (ANOVA: *F* = 52.71, *p* < 0.001), Log NO<sub>3</sub> (ANOVA: *F* = 57.96, *p* < 0.001), and QHEI (ANOVA: *F* = 7.27, *p* = 0.002).



## RESULTS



#### BACKGROUND

Changes in land use and land cover has been of increasing concern due to associated impairment n of aquatic ecosystems. Agricultural, urban, and industrial development can alter not only the chemical condition of stream and river ecosystems, but also fluvial geomorphic conditions. Geomorphic alterations impact nutrient spiraling, specifically spiraling length and rates of nutrient recycling, through residence time of water and exposure to biochemically reactive substrates (Ensign and Doyle 2006). An important step in reducing eutrophication and impairment of freshwater ecosystems is to understand not only the amount of nutrients entering waterways, but also how the geomorphology of stream and rivers influences nutrient availability in these ecosystems. The objective of this study was to explore associations between physical and chemical water-quality responses to land use.

Figure 2. Study areas in central and southern Ohio. Land cover includes agriculture (brown and yellow), developed (red), and forest (green). Circles indicate sample locations. Land cover GIS layer from Homer et al. (2015).

## METHODS

- Bulk water samples were analyzed for total N, total P, NO<sub>3</sub>, and PO<sub>4</sub> at the Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center's STAR Lab.
- Stream channel geomorphic surveys were conducted using a Gowin Total Station & Topcon Tesla Data Collector laser theodolite and prism rod.
- Stream geomorphic parameters were calculated using the Ohio Department of Natural Resources STREAM Module (Mecklenburg, 2004)
- Streambed particle size was determined using a gravelometer and Wolman pebble count (Wolman, 1954)
- In-stream habitat quality was determined using the

- Forested Mixed Agricultural Forested Mixed Agricultural Use Use
- **Figure 4.** Variation in phosphorus (a) and nitrogen (b) species by land use type. Error bars indicate +/- 1 SE.



 
 Table 1. Mixed-effects models with random effect of catchment
and fixed effect of  $D_{50}$ .  $R^2m = marginal R^2$ .  $R^2c = conditional R^2$ . Significant *p* values are in bold.

		50			
Response	R <sup>2</sup> m	R <sup>2</sup> c	F	df	p
QHEI	0.09	0.39	9.58	1, 61.33	0.003
Log (TP)	0.05	0.27	4.54	1, 61.52	0.04
Log (TN)	0.03	0.46	3.57	1, 61.21	0.07
Log (PO <sub>4</sub> )	0.03	0.53	3.85	1, 61.17	0.05
Log (NO <sub>3</sub> )	0.01	0.47	0.64	1, 61.19	0.42
(a) <sup>80 -</sup>			(b) -2.0-		
OHEI	·····		L -3.0-		

• No significant relationships were seen for nutrient concentrations or habitat quality with siltation, amount of instream cover, or relative proportion of pool/riffle/run habitat.

## CONCLUSIONS

- Overall, positive relationships were observed between habitat quality and  $D_{50}$ , as well as width:depth ratio after accounting for variation among catchments. Increased sediment size and width:depth ratio may result in increased habitat heterogeneity of stream reaches, thereby increasing stream habitat quality.
- Primarily, negative relationships were found for TP and PO<sub>4</sub> with D<sub>50</sub> after accounting for variation among catchments. Increased D<sub>50</sub> may result in increased habitat availability for basal resources, such as periphyton, that can uptake nutrients, as well as less sediment bound TP or  $PO_{a}$ , typically associated with smaller grain sizes, that could be exported to the water column.
- Our results highlight the potential role of stream restoration in improving stream habitat quality and reducing stream phosphorus loading.

## NEXT STEPS

• Incorporate additional geomorphic parameters such as sinuosity, entrenchment ratio, and width of floodprone area - into the analysis of nutrient concentration and habitat quality.





Ohio Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI).





Figure 3. Example of stream lateral transect (a) and longitudinal profile (b) from the STREAM Module (Mecklenburg, 2004).

- ANOVA and Tukey HSD were used to examine differences in nutrient concentrations and habitat quality by catchment.
- Linear mixed-effects models were used to explore relationships of habitat quality and nutrient concentrations with geomorphic parameters.
- The statistical program R was used for data analysis (R Core Team, 2014).
  - R packages used for data analysis were lme4 (Bates et al., 2015), ImerTest (Kuznetsova et al., 2017), MuMIn (Barton 2018), and ggplot2 (Wickham 2009).



Table 2. Mixed-effects models with random effect of catchment and fixed effect of Width:Depth.  $R^2$ m = marginal  $R^2$ .  $R^2$ c = conditional  $R^2$ . Significant *p* values are in bold.

	Width:Depth							
Response	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup> m	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup> c	F	df	p			
QHEI	0.21	0.42	23.24	1, 61.23	<0.001			
Log (TP)	<0.01	0.19	< 0.01	1, 61.35	0.93			
Log (TN)	0.02	0.40	1.81	1, 61.12	0.18			
Log (PO <sub>4</sub> )	0.01	0.48	1.51	1, 61.12	0.22			
Log (NO <sub>3</sub> )	<0.01	0.45	0.69	1, 61.10	0.41			

Examine geomorphic impacts on nutrient loading, streamflow regime, and discharge rates.

#### LITERATURE CITED

Barton, K. (2018) MuMIn: Multi-model inference. R package version 1.40.4. https://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=MuMIn.

Bates, D., M. Maechler, B. Bolker, & S. Walker (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using Ime4. Journal of Statistical Software 67(1), 1-48. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01.

Ensign, S.H., & M.W. Doyle (2006) Nutrient spiraling in streams and river networks. *Journal of* Geophysical Research 111, 1-13.

Homer, C.G., J.A. Dewitz, L. Yang, S. Jin, P. Danielson, G. Xian, J. Coulston, N.D. Herold, J.D. Wickham, & K. Megown (2015) Completion of the 2011 national land cover database for the conterminous United States-representing a decade of land cover change information. Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing **81** (5), 345-354

Kuznetsova A., P.B. Brockhoff, & R.H.B. Christensen (2017) ImerTest package: Tests in linear mixed effects models. Journal of Statistical Software 82(13), 1-26.

Mecklenburg D. (2004) A STREAM module: Spreadsheet tools for river evaluation, assessment, and monitoring. Ohio Department of Natural Resources.

Wickham, H. (2009) ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York, 2009.

Wolman M. G. (1954) A method of sampling coarse river-bed material. Eos Trans. AGU 36, 951-956.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the undergraduate research assistants Ryan Hudson, Krystal Pocock, Levon Bajakian, Scott Glassmeyer, and Kate Harris, as well as lab technicians Francisco Luque, Lars Meyer, and Danielle Cook for assistance with field collection and laboratory analysis. Funding for the project was provided by the Ohio Corn and Ohio Small Grain Growers Association.

CHECKOFF



Contact: Kay C. Stefanik stefanik.13@osu.edu





