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Editors’ Letter

We open on East 55th Street. It’s the late 19th century, and the township of Hyde Park is flourishing. Cable cars rumble past the horse drawn carts that are bouncing down the dusty street, past an eclectic mix of rustic wood and ornate brick storefronts. Founded in 1853 due to its proximity to the Illinois Central Railroad (today’s Metra tracks), Hyde Park originally included all of the land from State Street east to Lake Michigan and from 39th Street south to today’s city limits. On the east side, wealthy Chicagoans settled near the Illinois Central tracks, with easy access to downtown, while on the west side, closer to State Street, German and Irish immigrants arrived to work on the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railroad or to be close to meatpacking plants like the Union Stock Yards. In the early 1870s, the creation of Washington Park, Jackson Park, and the Midway Plaisance granted the area additional attention from middle class Chicagoans looking to move out to a residential suburb. This same decade also saw the establishment of the famous Chicago Boulevard system, which Included East Garfield Boulevard, the name for 55th Street as it continues west of Washington Park. After being annexed by the City of Chicago in 1889 and experiencing a housing boom in anticipation of the 1893 Columbian Exposition, the new neighborhoods of Hyde Park and Washington Park were primed to enter the 1900s. The stage is set for the dynamic century that is to come.

Welcome to a Century on 55th Street. Expositions Magazine is proud to present this special issue in concert with Chicago Studies. Over the past three academic quarters, a cohort of 22 student researchers made a deep dive into the history of 55th Street, from the Dan Ryan Expressway to Lake Michigan, finding and cataloging everything from building materials to business owners through the entirety of the 20th century.

However, it is impossible to fully illuminate this history. With a street that experienced as much change as 55th Street did, there is no way to give the thousands of people and businesses that called this street home their time in the spotlight. In addition, much of the project area has historically been poorly documented, especially in the neighborhood of Washington Park. There are many gaps in this narrative, and many important players will remain in shadows, but we hope we have done justice to this extraordinary, and completely ordinary, Chicago street.

While this Issue of Expositions ends at the close of the 20th century, much of what is discussed still influences our city today. As we walk back through the years, we ask you to think deeply about the places, people, and processes that shaped and still shape this street.

Curtains up on the new century. What’s past is prologue. Welcome to 55th Street.

---

Our Team

Editorial
Caroline Hugh*
Zach Ashby
Jonathan Garcia
Tatiana Jackson-Saitz
William Kimani
Harrison Knight
Brandon Shin
Christina Zhang

Faculty Advisor
Professor Evan Carver

Co55th Advisor
Chris Skrable

Staff Advisor
Carlo Diaz

Production
Shant Armenian*
Zach Ashby
Leja Ejury
Defne Ergoz
Harrison Knight
Joachim Laurent
Brandon Shin
Alexander Stern

Graphics
Jonathan Garcia*
Stella Bennett
Elyas Boyan
Avery Fischer
Noah Lee

Faculty Advisor
Professor Evan Carver

Co55th Advisor
Chris Skrable

Staff Advisor
Carlo Diaz

Production
Shant Armenian*
Zach Ashby
Leja Ejury
Defne Ergoz
Harrison Knight
Joachim Laurent
Brandon Shin
Alexander Stern

Graphics
Jonathan Garcia*
Stella Bennett
Elyas Boyan
Avery Fischer
Noah Lee

Faculty Advisor
Professor Evan Carver

Co55th Advisor
Chris Skrable

Staff Advisor
Carlo Diaz

*Executive Board

---

Co55th Contributors

Zach Ashby
Connor Bentley
Lena Birkholz
Elyas Boyan
Caroline Hugh
Parker Otto

Abigail Poag
Adrian Rucker
Sam Shelffo-Mcgrath
Hugh Shepard
André Tse

---

Our Team

Editorial
Caroline Hugh*
Zach Ashby
Jonathan Garcia
Tatiana Jackson-Saitz
William Kimani
Harrison Knight
Brandon Shin
Christina Zhang

Faculty Advisor
Professor Evan Carver

Co55th Advisor
Chris Skrable

Staff Advisor
Carlo Diaz

Production
Shant Armenian*
Zach Ashby
Leja Ejury
Defne Ergoz
Harrison Knight
Joachim Laurent
Brandon Shin
Alexander Stern

Graphics
Jonathan Garcia*
Stella Bennett
Elyas Boyan
Avery Fischer
Noah Lee

Faculty Advisor
Professor Evan Carver

Co55th Advisor
Chris Skrable

Staff Advisor
Carlo Diaz

*Executive Board

---

Co55th Contributors

Zach Ashby
Connor Bentley
Lena Birkholz
Elyas Boyan
Caroline Hugh
Parker Otto

Abigail Poag
Adrian Rucker
Sam Shelffo-Mcgrath
Hugh Shepard
André Tse

---
This map shows the transformations that occurred along East 55th Street in Washington Park and Hyde Park between 1920 and 2020. Footprints were manually traced from a variety of sources including Sanborn maps, aerial photography, and satellite imagery. Building colors show all new construction that occurred between highlighted decades.

**LEGEND**

1. Frolic Theater
2. Silent Athletic Club
3. Golden Lily Cafe
4. Rhumboogie Club
5. Spencer Hotel
6. Hyde Park Co-op
7. Chicago Buddhist Church
8. Windermere Hotel
9. Hotel Sisson
10. The Shorediahd
11. Hyde Park A
12. South West Hyde Park Acquisition Area
13. University Park Condominiums
14. Initial Planned Site for the Lutheran School of Theology
15. Lutheran School of Theology
16. University Tap
17. Woodlawn Tap (Jimmy’s)
Places

E. 55th Street and S. Woodlawn Avenue.

Courtesy of Chicago Public Library. Special Collections & Preservation Division.
Every Saturday afternoon at the Frolic Theater, hundreds of children would settle into their leather seats as the opening reels of that week’s matinee selection played on the movie screen. Located at 55th Street and Ellis (the current location of Stagg Field), the Frolic Moving Picture Theater once hosted a weekly “Kiddies Matinee,” where Hyde Park’s youngest moviegoers could catch the latest Charlie Chaplin film or comedy feature, set to live music provided by the Frolic’s house orchestra. The theater was a member of the thirteen-house Ascher Brothers Chicago Circuit, “one of the largest, most modern and costly chain of moving picture theaters in America,” according to a 1917 edition of The Moving Picture World.

Distinguished by its backwards floor plan—patrons faced the audience, not the screen, as they entered the theater—the one thousand seat Frolic featured a grand lobby decorated with Italian vein marble and mosaic work. Throughout its nearly fifty-year existence, the theater frequently served as a venue for community events, ranging from a Ray Elementary School PTA benefit to a model submarine contest and a local synagogue’s “Yiddish Theater Night.” The Frolic was also a popular destination for University of Chicago students, garnering regular advertisements in the Daily Maroon through the 1950s.

One former University Avenue resident remembers visiting the Frolic while he was growing up in the 1940s and ‘50s. “Many of us experienced our first movies there as children,” David Wylye wrote in a 2005 Hyde Park Herald article. “Admission for children was 20 cents, while popcorn was 10 cents—or, if buttered, 25 cents.”

In a 2009 blog post, then-UChicago undergraduate Luke Fiedler (‘10) reflected on Hyde Park’s changing landscape and the neighborhood’s stories that often go unrecognized by younger residents. “Who knew that the intersection where Ratner Athletics Center now stands used to be the site of the Frolic Theater?” he wrote.

Abigail Poag is a third-year majoring in Comparative Literature and Russian and East European Studies. She is interested in the relationship between literature and place and loves mining the archive for a good story.
A single Washington Park never really existed. It has never been more than a space in between, a grey area that stands just outside the boundaries of other communities, histories, and cultural contexts. Washington Park has never truly been defined or understood, both by its neighbors, but also by itself. Its history is one of rapid and tumultuous conflict, change, and resolution, processes that have not yet found their stopping point. Washington Park was and continues to be a liminal space, a safe haven, and a home, and it has produced some of the most triumphant and faintly recognized stories that have taken place in Chicago. This history can begin to be understood through the neighborhood’s most unassuming locations, like the small grassy field that lies just a few steps south of Garfield Boulevard on Indiana Avenue. The familiar red brick, the ornate Doric columns, the wide front staircase, the small-yet-practical stage, the stone inscription of “The Ridgeway Club”: these features are all that remain of the building that once stood at 5536 S Indiana Avenue.

“The Ladies Auxiliary of the Ridgeway Club Adopts Fantast-tic Costume and Burnt Cork in Performance—Catchy Songs Applauded.”

The Ridgeway Club, whose exact origins are unknown, was a social club for elites of the South Side founded in 1910. The clubhouse, an ornate building squeezed between two brick six-flat buildings, was a stand-out space for the neighborhood. The club itself hosted balls, concerts, parties, bowling tournaments, and other social events that attracted elites from around the South Side and the wider city. This was no surprise, as the members of this mysterious club were, at the time, important figures in Chicago. Frank H. Graham was a prominent Chicago lawyer and municipal court judge. Fredrick N. Matthews was a respected businessman and fur trader. Frank E. Lukens was the former clerk of Peoria, secretary of the Milwaukee wholesale coal dealers association, and secretary of the Retail Dealers’ Association of Illinois and Wisconsin. Many of these men and their families were originally from other areas of Chicago and the Midwest and convened around Garfield Boulevard in the beginning of the 20th century as the area quickly grew due to investment following the World’s Fair of 1893. As wealthy and powerful individuals began to congregate in the space, the Ridgeway Club grew to be one of the most prominent clubs in Chicago according to Rand McNally. However, in just ten
years, this club was disbanded, and all news and information of its happenings disappeared like dust. The club left with haste and sold the building—which was valued at around $50,000—for the mere price of $25,000.

At around the same time, a community of an entirely different character was taking shape along Garfield Boulevard. It was a hamlet of the Deaf, a growing group of intellectuals, leaders, and socialites fighting for expanded representation and support for their community. This started through sporting clubs like baseball and basketball teams.

The "Silent Athletic Clubs" would hold matches against other teams in their respective cities. As a group that was typically associated with homelessness, begging, and mental incapacity, these athletic clubs were a public display of the Deaf community's true identity. As this community expanded, the Silent Athletic Club of Chicago decided to further display the true extent of Deaf organizing power and strength by purchasing the beautiful Ridgeway Club, a building which had already gained prominence on the South Side, using only Deaf funds.

The athletic club undertook the responsibilities of a social club, acting as a site where the Deaf of Chicago could recreate, socialize, and hold events. This new clubhouse was described by The Silent Worker as "The Most Superb Silent Clubhouse" and the place "Where Dreams Come True." The clubhouse's glamour became a symbol that the Deaf were a far more sophisticated community than the world believed it to be. The space was adorned with pool tables, bowling alleys, dressing rooms, luxurious leather chairs, desks, bookcases, reading and writing tables, and a parlor furnished in baronial oak. Featuring high ceilings and excellent ventilation, the main ballroom served as the community's stage for dancing and theatrical performances.

The clubhouse became a central location for Southsiders both deaf and hearing. The Silent Athletic Club continually hosted their own balls while also renting out the space to other South Side organizations. Weddings, political meetings, and gatherings of widows all occurred at the SAC's clubhouse. Just a few years after the Ridgeway Club left, the Deaf became the center of society in this little pocket of the South Side. However, like the Ridgeway Club before it, the Silent Athletic Club's stay at the clubhouse was all too short: it lasted only until 1930, when the club decided to move to the North Side, citing Garfield Boulevard's growing disrepute as a consequence of the expanding the Black Belt. Also like the Ridgeway Club, this group almost entirely vanished from the public eye after leaving 5536 S Indiana Ave, leaving only the clubhouse as a memory of their past prominence.

The clubhouse was promptly inhabited by an entirely different group shortly after the SAC's departure from the neighborhood. The space, with its stage, waxed ballroom floor, and high ceilings, seemed too important to go to waste. The building was eventually renamed Igoe Hall, though the reason for this change is unknown. The operations of the clubhouse remained very similar to that of the Ridgeway and Silent Athletic Club, once again hosting a community searching for a space of their own.

For the first time, the clubhouse was inhabited primarily by Black social groups and clubs, reflecting the expansion of Chicago's growing Black community on the South Side. The clubhouse hosted groups of all kinds, from the Fifth Ward Women's organization to the American Legion, from Howard University Alumni to local bowling leagues. Esteemed sociology professor Louis Wirth of the University of Chicago even hosted a seminar in the clubhouse for students and neighborhood members titled "Illusions of Racial Supremacy." No matter what people or activities it hosted, the clubhouse continued to serve as a connection point for the community. However, like the tenants that preceded it, Igoe Hall fell victim to the changing nature of Washington Park. Its tenure in the clubhouse only lasted around five years, once again leaving the door open for the next community.

The next community to inhabit the clubhouse would lean on the space as a shelter for its artform: Theatre, a particularly vulnerable art during the Great
Depression. The art form’s prominence had quickly plummeted during the Great Depression, which not only weakened this important cultural activity, but also left artists, writers, directors, and theater workers out of jobs. The Works Progress Administration created the Federal Theatre Project (FTP) in response to this issue, establishing a federation of regional theaters around the country that were to create relevant art. These spaces would allow artists to experiment and encourage the American public to experience live theater. One of these regional theaters became home to Chicago’s Negro Division of the FTP. The group had previously staged performances in parks, hospitals, and schools but eventually found a permanent home at 5536 S Indiana Ave, a relatively modest performance venue, yet ample space for the division’s purposes. One of the company’s first presentations to the theater was titled Did Adam Sin? dramatizing the story of a black family in Harlem trying to survive the Great Depression.2

Once a clubhouse for the South Side elite, within the span of 30 years the old Ridgeway Club had become a center of innovation and stage for Black arts and culture. This clubhouse and the neighborhood around it changed at unprecedented speed and in the most unexpected ways. On the very same stage where the Ridgeway Club’s women’s auxiliaries presented dances for locals, a theater company titled Adam Sin? dramatized the story of a Black family in Harlem trying to survive the Great Depression.2

By the 1960s, Washington Park had been transformed by disinvestment, segregation, and an aging housing stock that destroyed the neighborhood’s built environment. At this time, 85% of the housing in the neighborhood had been built before 1940, and almost half of the structures were deemed to be in “substandard” condition.10 Population levels had dipped below what they were in 1930, and the neighborhood had become 99% Black.11 Physically, the environment was becoming more barren as apartment buildings were foreclosed, and future residents faced the threat of abandonment. The neighborhood, which had already been vulnerable to turnover, was losing its very structure. Its main thoroughfare, Garfield Boulevard, and spaces like 5536 S Indiana Ave had historically served as places where vulnerability could, even if it was just for a short time, become stability. However, as the neighborhood’s built environment slowly crumbled, so did the feasibility of spaces for Black life. For a neighborhood that had rebuilt itself multiple times within just a few decades, spaces like 5536 S Indiana Ave had become necessary for the cultivation of community and shared identity.

The building’s final tenant was an organization built in response to Washington Park’s deteriorating condition and aimed at being able to enrich the community despite adverse circumstances. In 1960, a Black person in America had become 99% Black.11 Physically, the environment was becoming more barren as apartment buildings were foreclosed, and future residents faced the threat of abandonment. The neighborhood, which had already been vulnerable to turnover, was losing its very structure. Its main thoroughfare, Garfield Boulevard, and spaces like 5536 S Indiana Ave had historically served as places where vulnerability could, even if it was just for a short time, become stability. However, as the neighborhood’s built environment slowly crumbled, so did the feasibility of spaces for Black life. For a neighborhood that had rebuilt itself multiple times within just a few decades, spaces like 5536 S Indiana Ave had become necessary for the cultivation of community and shared identity.

“Child by Child we build our nation” was the program’s motto. A part from the programming of Marzella Hall, the church leadership was able to enrich the community despite adverse circumstances. One of the most prominent religious organizations on the South Side was the church leadership, which had already been vulnerable to turnover, was losing its very structure. Its main thoroughfare, Garfield Boulevard, and spaces like 5536 S Indiana Ave had historically served as places where vulnerability could, even if it was just for a short time, become stability. However, as the neighborhood’s built environment slowly crumbled, so did the feasibility of spaces for Black life. For a neighborhood that had rebuilt itself multiple times within just a few decades, spaces like 5536 S Indiana Ave had become necessary for the cultivation of community and shared identity.

The building’s final tenant was a local theater group with offices on Garfield Boulevard. Sardon was a colleague of the presenters, who were the primary administrators of the theater group. The building was re-purposed into a community center and officially called the Marzella Hall Community Youth Center. It became a hub of the community and performed as a choir in the Bud Billiken Parade. “Child by Child we build our nation” was the program’s motto. A part from the programming of Marzella Hall, the church leadership was able to enrich the community despite adverse circumstances. One of the most prominent religious organizations on the South Side was the church leadership, which had already been vulnerable to turnover, was losing its very structure. Its main thoroughfare, Garfield Boulevard, and spaces like 5536 S Indiana Ave had historically served as places where vulnerability could, even if it was just for a short time, become stability. However, as the neighborhood’s built environment slowly crumbled, so did the feasibility of spaces for Black life. For a neighborhood that had rebuilt itself multiple times within just a few decades, spaces like 5536 S Indiana Ave had become necessary for the cultivation of community and shared identity.
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of Martin Luther King Jr.’s and one of the most important figures in Chicago’s civil rights movement. The leadership of the Holiness Community Temple was a telling indicator of the congregation’s position in the Washington Park community. The church was so much more than a place of prayer. In the truest sense, it was a Community Temple.

After Reverend Barnett’s death in 1988, Reverend Earle Sardon became the sole leader of the congregation. However, with Sardon’s old age and a neighborhood population decrease of over 50% through the 1980s, the prominence of the Holiness Community Temple was almost entirely lost, with only minor remnants of the congregation’s once large following left behind. Even the congregation’s largest asset, the clubhouse, was eventually abandoned as the congregation moved to a smaller space on 61st Street. After the clubhouse’s final tenants left the building, it lay uninhabited for several years. In 1998, the building was demolished for good.

The long and varied history of the area we now call Washington Park was manifested on the stage of this red brick building. The performers, speakers, preachers, musicians, and common people who took this stage represent Washington Park’s ever-changing character. The building itself never really had a name and never really built the identity, as evidenced by the many extant communities who have called the space home. The building, which is now simply a grassy field, has almost completely been lost in history, along with the stories that echoed through its walls. A single Washington Park never really existed. And neither did a singular Ridgeway Club, or whatever you might choose to call the red brick building that once stood at 5536 S Indiana Ave.

Now a grassy field, 5536 S Indiana Ave is a poorly written elegy to the many ebullient and diverse Washington Parks that once existed. The destruction of the building was not only an erasure of the site’s history, but also a loss of an important meeting space for the members of Washington Park, whoever they may have been or might be. Though the building would have been a valuable resource for the current Washington Park, or the next Washington Park to come, we can do is remember the site’s history and its narrating impact across many histories and identities.

A single Washington Park never really existed. But the Ridgeway Club did, and so did the Silent Athletic Club, and so did the Holiness Community Temple; these institutions are the cornerstones and the very identity of this odd space between neighborhoods we now call Washington Park. To truly understand Garfield Boulevard, its people, and its history, we must also understand the crucial role of the communities who have kept Washington Park together.

During the summer of 1923, writer Edna Ferber—frustrated, silk-clad, and sweltering in the 96-degree Chicago heat—sat at her typewriter agonizing over a draft of her novel. So Big. Her locale was the Windermere, a neoclassical building whose curved front “made a sounding board that recorded and exaggerated every foot, rumble, roar, and clatter” of the 56th Street traffic outside.1

Having been forced out of her rented apartment in New York the day before, Ferber had hastily moved into the Windermere, which offered accommodations at a moment’s notice. The birthplace of Ferber’s eventual Pulitzer Prize winning novel was a distinct residence known as an apartment hotel, one of several that rose in East Hyde Park between the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. These high-rises were hybrids, combining the amenities of a hotel with suites and apartment-style units suitable for long-term residents.2 For instance, the Windermere featured a “home-like” environment, complete with formal dining rooms, a billiard room, weekly entertainment programs, and a column-studded lobby.3 The building hotel boasted housekeeping suites with vacuum cleaning and laundry services included.4

For the diverse clientele of Hyde Park’s apartment hotels, the location of the residences—near the “city core” but within an “exclusivity” residential district—also proved appealing.4 Specifically, the Hyde Park apartment hotel district provided easy access to downtown Chicago via the Illinois Central Railroad, a particular draw for business men. Other common clientele included “well-heeled bachelors,” wealthy transients, and families seeking seasonal accommodations.5 Moreover, for those who could afford it, the public nature of apartment hotel life granted an “instant social position”; new residents could “immediately...begin to observe (and be observed by) the local elite.”6 Indeed, height-enanced social capital was perhaps the most valuable offering of the more luxurious apartment hotels.

Sociologist Louis Wirth provides one example of this phenomenon in his 1928 book, The Ghetto. He argues that apartment hotels served as agents of social mobility for some Jewish individuals, whose movement into higher-status accommodations presented an avenue by which to “break into genteel society.”7 As one ad touting Hyde Park’s residential
Hotels put it, “You can say with pride that you live in one of these hotels.”

However, apartment-style living had not always garnered respect. Chicagoans “had associated respectability with control of vertical space” up until the 1880s and 1890s, when the Great Chicago Fire necessitated the building of much of the city’s housing. Across the country, some Progressive Era reformers denounced apartment hotels as “caldrons of social and cultural evil.” Such critics often viewed apartment hotels “with their pre-furnished and decorated units, public dining, and proximity to individuals of different classes and sexes—as threats to familial and gender norms.”

Many advertisements from this era sensibly seek to counteract these objections. For example, a 1934 article about Hyde Park’s Madison Park apartment hotel repeatedly emphasizes the refinement of the residence, citing its “home atmosphere” and “perfect courtesy.” In light of critics’ claims that apartment hotels were inferior (and even corrupt) alternatives to single family homes, such advertisements stress the innate decency and virtue of apartment hotels, suggesting that domestic propriety and prestige need not be confined to more traditional residences.

Chicagoans gradually grew to accept apartment hotels due to accelerating urbanization, the tradition of elegant western European hotels, and the increasing cost of hiring servants, which bolstered demand for alternative sources of services. The endurance of Hyde Park’s apartment hotels into the mid-20th century and beyond serves as a testament to these contentious residences’ lasting appeal and the continuing desire for “home comforts without home cares.”

Edna Ferber would move out of the Windermere shortly after submitting her draft to her publisher, but the storied residence had served its purpose: she had at last finished her manuscript. As Ferber so elegantly puts it, “I had followed the writer’s instinct to seek out a familiar place in which to give birth to a novel.”

Hotel Windermere

Today’s Windermere House, formerly known as Hotel Windermere East, came to be in 1924 as an extension of Hotel Windermere West apartment hotel which was constructed for the Columbian Exposition. Following the original’s demolition, the C-shaped building became a continuation of World’s Fair splendor across the street from one of the fair’s only permanent structures, now known as the Museum of Science and Industry. Similarly to how the Columbian Exposition displayed some of the first widely used electric lighting, the original Windermere West was a pioneer hotel-era when its proprietor, the University of Chicago, and Harold Washington.

The Shoreland

The storied history of the Shoreland Hotel ranged from Jimmy Hoffa’s children choking the hotel manager in the lobby to a massive banquet featuring Amelia Earhart as the star guest to celebrate her famed transatlantic flight. In the early 20th century, the hotel was the epicenter of opulence and power within the Hyde Park area, but this fortune would not last forever. With the golden age of apartment hotels well behind them, the East Hyde Park apartment hotels in the 1970s would become a hotspot for Klansmen due to boycotts by the Jewish and Catholic communities. This period eventually turned around decades later with the ballroom hosting Duke Ellington and many Jewish weddings. The Hotel Sisson eventually became the home for Congressman and first black mayor of Chicago, Harold Washington.

Hotel Sisson/Shoreland

Rising from the ashes of H yde Park’s founder Paul Cornell’s H yde Park House, Hotel Sisson (later renamed Hotel Sherry) became the South Side’s first high-rise. However, beneath its beautiful façade, controversy arose in the mid-1920s at the height of Hyde Park’s apartment hotel era when its proprietor, Harry Sisson, was linked to the Ku Klux Klan. The hotel became a hotspot for Klansmen due to boycotts by the Jewish and Catholic communities.

This period eventually turned around decades later with the ballroom hosting Duke Ellington and many Jewish weddings. The Hotel Sisson eventually became the home for Congressman and first black mayor of Chicago, Harold Washington.

Abigail Poag is a fourth-year majoring in Comparative Literature and Russian and East European Studies. She is interested in the relationship between literature and place and loves mining the archive for a good story.

Parker Otto is a fourth-year majoring in Urban Studies and minoring in GIS. His studies over the last four years have led to the exploration of historical trends in urban development and the built environment, emerging from his work at the Urbanism Lab and Chicago Studies Department.
The Golden Lily and Racial Change in Washington Park

Although 309 East Garfield Boulevard is currently an empty lot next to the Green Line on Garfield Boulevard, one hundred years ago it was a popular spot for business luncheons, dinner parties, and dances.

Story by Hugh Shepard

Located steps away from the 55th Street elevated train depot, the Chinese-owned Golden Lily Cafe opened around 1920.1 The 1920 Census lists 309 E Garfield Boulevard as the residence of three Chinese men in their 40s and 50s, one who owned the restaurant and two others who worked there as cooks.2 The fact that these Cafe employees were all male and middle-aged is no coincidence.3 US immigration laws first restricted the immigration of Chinese women and later the immigration of Chinese male laborers with exceptions for merchants, thus ensuring that the Chinese population in the United States during this era was disproportionately male and middle-aged, and that Chinese immigrants ran a large number of small businesses like laundries and restaurants. Rather than serving lattes, the Golden Lily Cafe featured two orchestras and nightly dancing from 7 to 2.4 As a business situated in a racially segregated city, it was reported to have a strict whites-only policy for most of the 1920s.5 But this whites-only policy became bad for business as the neighborhood surrounding it became predominantly black and many white patrons sought entertainment elsewhere.6 In October 1929, the Golden Lily decided to adapt to this by catering to a black rather than white clientele.7 8 9 Two weeks later, the Golden Lily was bombed.10 Glass windows shattered, and although the offender was never caught, racial animosity was the widely suspected motive.11 12 13 The bombing luckily did not injure anyone, and the Golden Lily was so popular that it reopened for business the very same evening.14 15 With black musicians and a mostly black clientele, the Golden Lily became a place where up-and-coming jazz stars like Arnold Wiley and Tiny Parham performed, as well as a full-time source of employment for the musicians in Francois Mosely’s band.16 17 18

It wouldn’t be long, however, until disaster struck the Golden Lily again. In 1932, the Golden Lily Cafe was destroyed by a fire.19 This time the destruction was more severe. Musical instruments were destroyed and plates were blackened with ash, altogether totalling about $10,000 in damage (equivalent to more than $200,000 today).20 The cafe had to close for more than a month for repairs.21 22 23 However, it reopened yet again, and stayed open for at least one more year.24 25 Although the Golden Lily Cafe did not survive the end of the prohibition era, the legacy of the nightclub lived on as it became the first of a series of nightclubs on this block of Garfield Boulevard that employed up-and-coming jazz musicians. Although nightclubs like the Golden Lily Cafe on the south side of Chicago were short-lived, they would launch the careers of a generation of great musicians who got their start on the southside of Chicago.

Hugh Shepard is a third-year at the University of Chicago majoring in History with a minor in data science. His fascination with the history of the South Side of Chicago made him an enthusiastic participant in the Century on 55th Street project.

A view of the Golden Lily in 1926, looking northwest on 55th St. Courtesy of the Chicago History Museum Archival Collection

A view of the Golden Lily in 1928, looking southeast on 55th St. Courtesy of the Chicago History Museum Archival Collection
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The Toasters of Hyde Park A

Story by André Tse

If you walk down East 55th Street towards the lakefront, you'll face a choice after passing Kenwood Avenue. Here, 55th Street splits into two one-way streets, curving around an apartment complex called the University Park Condominiums. Pick one side, and you won't be able to reach the other for almost three blocks. As someone new to North American grid cities, I actually find comfort in city blocks that are not merely straight lines. It is, however, pretty annoying when I bike north or south and need to circle around the condos to get across 55th Street.

Apartments became a condo, and owners quickly had security concerns that made them fence up the entire complex. At the same time, a private bathhouse and pool replaced the children's playground on the west end of the public walkway. The idea of an open walkway on 55th Street was buried after two decades. Rather than a creative reclamation of public space, the toaster building became a barrier to circumvent.

Before the toaster building’s time and all its ensuing changes, Hyde Park residents would soon hear about new plans for their community called “urban renewal.”

The University of Chicago was the primary force behind this push to redevelop parts of Hyde Park to counter apparent “urban deterioration.” Notably, Black immigration to the South Side of Chicago continued to increase in the 1940s and '50s, starting to diffuse into the edges of Hyde Park. This uncontrolled movement threatened the University’s vision of a stable, middle-class neighborhood for its faculty and students. On multiple fronts, the University set out to shape Hyde Park into a “compatible environment” for its self-interest as an academic institution (See Page 52).

The toaster building was born as this story unfolded over the 1950s. It was conceived as part of “Hyde Park A,” one of the first in a series of renewal projects in the neighborhood. This decades-long pilgrimage towards a “renewed” Hyde Park is sometimes seen as a socially conscious turn in 20th-century urban developments since it presented its goal as “a stable, integrated neighborhood as an example for all.”

This liberal façade helped Hyde Park distinguish itself from other South Side projects that almost exclusively displaced Black populations. In reality, institutional interests realized that the presence of Black residents was inevitable, so urban renewal’s “integration” was more focused on retaining white, upper-class Hyde Parkers than further inviting Black residents in. To prevent them from
following the white flight towards the suburbs, Hyde Park A targeted an area around the intersection of 55th Street and Lake Park Avenue, notably creating a somewhat suburban enclave. As part of this broader project, the birth of the toaster building bulldozed 55th Street from Ridgewood Court to Harper Avenue. Quotidian to urban life, the demolished mixed-use buildings had a variety of stores nestled underneath residential units. Through urban renewal, they were replaced with bland residential complexes. The two sides of 55th Street opposite the toaster building became rows of identical townhomes. The remains of former commercial vibrancy was relegated to a suburban-esque strip mall at the intersection of 55th Street and Lake Park Avenue.

In a 1990s article, Ruth Knack, editor of the urban magazine Planning, praised Hyde Park’s urban renewal project for making the neighborhood more affluent while retaining racial diversity. Today, it stands as a signature middle class and racially-integrated neighborhood on the South Side. However, numbers reveal a more nuanced story. Even though the general Black population persistently hung above 30% of Hyde Parkers, the number of lower-income Black residents plummeted by 40% after redevelopment. In the relocation process, 46.1% of white families found accommodation within the neighborhood while only 16.8% of Black families could.

The Hyde Park–Kenwood Community Conference, formed by local residents, functioned to shore up public support for the University’s schemes to control their neighborhood, but their acquiescence came back to bite them. Hyde Parkers were convinced by sensationalized crimes and fears of the area’s “deteriorating condition” in reference to Black immigration. They opted to be the quiet, residential backyard of a university instead of the vibrant community that once thrived. From mid-century urban renewal alone, 50 bars were uprooted from Hyde Park. The conflation of the University Apartments, though occurring a few decades after the brunt of urban renewal, traces a continuous lineage from the University’s attempts to create a pacified, secure upper middle class community. Every time I maneuver around the fenced up garden in the middle of 55th Street, it reminds me of the forces that compelled Hyde Park to conserve itself against the idea of an external invasion.

Sometimes, the toaster building gets in the way between me and the 55 bus. When I have to watch the bus leave through the fences of the residential complex, I blame Hyde Parkers from the 1950s. And don’t get me started on all the bars and clubs that vanished under urban renewal. However, the University’s control of its environment is not simply a history. From the original Harper Court being replaced by a Hyatt development on 53rd Street to the Obama Presidential Center in Jackson Park refusing a community benefits agreement, the University’s policies of top-down redevelopment continue today. As this powerful institution continues to eat up Hyde Park and its surroundings, will this generation of Hyde Parkers—our generation—stay complicit?
The Lutheran School of Theology at Chicago first opened its doors to students in 1967, after nearly four years of controversies, protests, and opposition by local residents. Coming on the tail end of urban renewal in Hyde Park, this new institution, formed by a merger of two seminaries in Rock Island and Mayswood, was able to relocate to the city through collaboration with another local institution—the University of Chicago.

The Lutheran Church turned its sights to Chicago, and Hyde Park in particular, because they were seeking an urban environment to train their future ministers. This particular urban environment also came with the added benefit of various partner institutions. In a letter to Irving M. Gerick, president of the Hyde Park–Kenwood Community Conference, Reverend Robert J. McClatchy, chairman of the Illinois Synod of the Lutheran Church, explained that the Hyde Park site was chosen because of the presence of other religious and educational institutions, including the Divinity School, Chicago Theological Seminary, and Rockefeller Chapel. It was the church’s desire that the new seminary be located as close as possible to 58th and University, which resulted in the initial selection of a site located on the 5400 block between University and Woodlawn Avenues.

When the news broke that the Lutheran Church was acquiring land for a seminary, residents of the 5400 block organized a block club in opposition to the site. The block club, in collaboration with other neighborhood organizations such as the Northwest Hyde Park Area Association and the Kozminski PTA, protested the site on the grounds that it would require the demolition of at least 197 housing units, displacing anywhere from 500-600 residents, by the University of Chicago, and by the fact that the area had been partially cleared in 1963 through urban renewal programs. Of the eight remaining buildings on the block, seven were bought by the seminary, three of which were previously owned by the University. The site move meant that housing on the 5400 block would be preserved, although for institutional use, and residents were no longer at immediate risk of displacement.

The 5450 block, however, was not free from the threat of institutional expansion. Residents organized as the 5450 Greenwood-U-Thiversity block club and, once again, expressed their concern over the new site, since it called for the demolition of 149 housing units, and targeted a mixed-income, racially integrated block, which would further segregate Northwest Hyde Park and the Kozminski school. Unlike the 5400 block, however, the 5450 block club was unsuccessful in preventing the construction of the seminary, and by the time the residents had organized the seminary had acquired enough land to begin planning for the new school. There was, however, a lone holdout on the block that refused to sell, a housing co-op located at 5472 South University. Rather than wait for the co-op to sell, the seminary had building designs drawn that encircled the co-op, resulting in a unique u-shaped building. But before construction could begin, the co-op sold and the building design was rotated 90 degrees to the north. Demolitions began in 1965, and the school officially broke ground on construction on October 6, 1965. The new school was fully operational by the fall of 1967, only four years after the first property was acquired by the Lutheran School in Hyde Park.

Today, the building that once housed the Lutheran School of Theology sits empty after it was bought by the University of Chicago, bringing the story of institutional expansion full circle. Walking through the former seminary today, the interior appears vacant, but signs of its influence on the neighborhood can still be seen in the apartment buildings surrounding the campus, which are still marked as property of the Lutheran School. This recent sale once again raises questions over the role that institutions play in Hyde Park, and highlights the recurring issues of their expansion and collaboration. Although the future of the site is unclear right now, important lessons can be learned from the work done by community members who initially organized to protest against the site.

Connor Bentley is a fourth-year majoring in Environmental and Urban Studies with minors in architecture and biology. His work with the history of the South Side of Chicago made him an enthusiastic participant in the Century on 55th Street project.
In December of 1964, 5th Ward Alderman Leon Despres shared his predictions for the new year in the Hyde Park Herald. First among his predictions was this: “Jimmy’s Tavern will still be on 55th Street at the end of 1965.” And indeed it was. Jimmy’s survived urban renewal, even survived Jimmy himself, and throughout its tenure has proven itself practically immovable.

Jimmy’s first opened its doors in 1948, after James “Jimmy” Wilson (the bar’s namesake) decided he wanted to own his own bar after some time as a bartender at University Tap (located where Campus North Residential Commons is now).

When Jimmy took over the space at 1172 E 55th Street, there was minimal renovation to be done—it was already set up as a bar, since the previous tenant had been the tavern Little Tom’s Place (named after its owner, Thomas Wallwin). Despite its convenience, however, the building had an ill-omened history: in 1944, Little Tom’s Place was the site of a murder-suicide involving the owner and bartender. Little Tom’s place would, understandably, sit empty for the next four years, until Jimmy came to open up Woodlawn Tap. The day the bar put up “Woodlawn Tap” on its façade may well have marked the first and only time anyone called it by that name. Although Jimmy was always adamant he wanted his bar to be Woodlawn Tap, his patrons were equally adamant about calling it Jimmy’s.

What may have ultimately saved Jimmy’s from the otherwise nearly complete renovation of 55th Street during the 1960s was its next-door neighbor: the Lutheran Theological Seminary. The Seminary had originally intended to build its main campus on the 5400 University-Woodlawn block, which it had acquired (Jimmy’s included), but stiff opposition by the block club caused them to build it on Greenwood-University instead – meaning there was no real reason to get rid of Jimmy’s, and really no benefit to the Seminary to do so. Jimmy’s was (and is) enough of a neighborhood institution that it beat the Liquor Control Commission on two occasions: first in 1952, the bar’s liquor license was revoked for serving alcohol to a minor. There was so much public outcry that Mayor Kennelly himself ended up reversing the decision. When Jimmy died in 1999, his sons found out their application to renew the bar’s liquor license had been denied because of Jimmy’s proximity to St. Thomas the Apostle Catholic Church. Even this couldn’t keep it down. The decision was reversed in March of 2000; Jimmy’s was open again in May.

Story by Sam Shelffo-McGrath
Illustration (left) by Noah Lee
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Children engaged in a game on the 55th Street mall. Built by the Department of Urban Renewal.
In June of 1956, representatives of businesses in the Hyde Park area traveled to Washington, DC to discuss their issues with urban renewal efforts in the neighborhood with the United States House of Representatives. The hearing was the culmination of months of efforts from the Hyde Park Business and Professional Association to advocate for a plan for displaced merchants in the wake of urban renewal. The Businessmen’s Association took action.

In response to the threat of displacement, the Businessmen’s Association created a new Redevelopment Subcommitte, wrote letters to the Hyde Park Herald, and, eventually, appeared before the United States Congress. The merchants didn’t want to reverse urban renewal, which they hoped would replace their dilapidated buildings—supporting urban renewal fulfilled the same civic obligations as window competitions or streetlights. However, the merchants advocated to amend the plans for the new Hyde Park Shopping Center. According to existing laws, the developers of the shopping center would be able to sell the spots to whoever they wanted to, meaning chains could potentially outcompete displaced neighborhood businesses. Despite their efforts, the developers found enough popular support following the placement of the Hyde Park Co-O-P that the shopping center stayed open to chains.

Organize a parade moving his inventory. In response to the threat of displacement, the Businessmen’s Association created a new Redevelopment Subcommittee, wrote letters to the Hyde Park Herald, and, eventually, appeared before the United States Congress. The merchants didn’t want to reverse urban renewal, which they hoped would replace their dilapidated buildings—supporting urban renewal fulfilled the same civic obligations as window competitions or streetlights. However, the merchants advocated to amend the plans for the new Hyde Park Shopping Center. According to existing laws, the developers of the shopping center would be able to sell the spots to whoever they wanted to, meaning chains could potentially outcompete displaced neighborhood businesses. Despite their efforts, the developers found enough popular support following the placement of the Hyde Park Co-O-P that the shopping center stayed open to chains.

Despite mixed success changing the 55th Street Shopping Center, a number of business people decided to take matters into their own hands. Newspaperman Edward Ramsay gathered several displaced business owners—most of them from the former 55th Street Businessmen’s Association. Together, they collected cash and a small business loan to buy the land for the eventual Kimbark Plaza. Kimbark Plaza was cooperatively owned by its occupants and intended to host only independent small businesses, ideally those that previously existed in the heart of Hyde Park before urban renewal. Besides Anderson’s and Mitzie’s Flowers, founding stores included Bender’s Department Store, Mr. G’s Grocery, and, later on, local institutions like Kimbark Beverage Shoppe. This cooperative shopping center model was the first of its kind in the country. Only much later did chain stores like CVS begin to encroach, with the University of Chicago eventually becoming the largest owner.

As for the Hyde Park Business and Professional Association, it went through another name change in 1986, becoming the Hyde Park Chamber of Commerce. In this role, it continues today to promote street planters and cleaners, and provide advertisements and support for local businesses. Somehow, they’ve lasted, simultaneously fighting and supporting urban renewal and gentrification.

Lena Birkholz is a third-year student in the College studying English and Urban Studies. Over the last three years, she’s been particularly interested in cultural production related to the city, especially in Chicago. She’s excited to help unearth the golden age of Hyde Park.
I catch the bus from 55th Street and Douglas and take the Red Line to Wilson. West one block, north one block, “Buddhist Temple of Chicago,” reads the sign outside my destination. I hesitate a bit at the doorstep but am warmly welcomed! For a traditional Sunday service, I am ushered in the doorway of the same kind that has been happening for 79 years, albeit not always in this location.

“I guess the Reverend was able to get out of camp, and he started it in 44, yeah, something like that,” Ruby Tsuji tells me, referring to the temple. She celebrated her 90th birthday in February and stands at no more than five feet, but easily captures my attention with her gestural cane waving and quiet, but commanding voice. The name of the temple is “Buddhist Temple of Chicago.” It is the same sign I have encountered in archives of historical photos.

The Church was the center of Tsuji’s family in Chicago. We were right behind the Buddhist Church.” It is the same Church Tsuji got married in, and then into a small back room. “That,” he says, pointing to an altar, “was the original altar used at the Church on the South Side. It was built in Heart Mountain Internment camp, and brought here by the Reverend Kubose.” His finger leads my eyes upward. “And that was the original community hall above the Church door.” The gold letters spell out “Chicago Buddhist Church.” It is the same sign I have encountered in archives of historical photos.

The Church was the center of the community, and had connection to Hyde Park, but it wasn’t the only place that catered to Japanese Americans. “There was a store, on 55th Street, called Franklin Foods or something. And they had some Japanese stuff that we could buy,” she recounts. “But then, when you know, 55th Street changed, then … then we just didn’t go.”

Caught between a building known colloquially as “Misery Mansion” (5433–5481 S. Dorchester) and the 55th Street commercial district condemned by “composite blight factors,” the Buddhist Church was directly in the path of Hyde Park “A,” the first wave of urban renewal. Demolitions began nearby in 1956. Negotiations with the Land Clearance Committee proved unsuccessful. “We had no say,” Tsuji tells me. “Not once that building [University Park Condominiums] was built. We couldn’t be upset!” Reassurance is implicit, despite her diplomatic tone. Reverend Kubose was able to get a higher price for the old Church, of the home she had tried to create after leaving California. Now, the evidence that there was ever a Japanese American community on the South Side is scant. From the bus stop on the corner of 55th Street and Dorchester, the only buildings in my line of vision are the gated University Park Condominiums and backwards-facing modernist townhomes. There is no monument to the Church Tsuji got married in, or to the friends, family, and community that attended her wedding—that history has been all but erased by time and loss of collective memory.
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Ronald Lynch, a lifelong South Side resident, remembers a time when “we couldn’t go west of Wentworth, east of Cottage Grove, or south of 63rd Street”. A history of racial violence and institutionalized discrimination meant that most white neighborhoods were considered off-limits to Black Chicagoans in the 1930s and ’40s. But it is not the limitations of this segregated environment that linger in Ronald Lynch’s memory as he reflects on those days, recalling, “we didn’t think much of it” growing up. The Black Belt of Chicago, which in the 1940s consisted of a strip between Wentworth and Cottage Grove stretching from 26th Street down to 63rd Street, was like “a city unto itself where you could get anything that you needed. We rarely, if ever, had to go downtown.” Born in 1941 and spending the first decade of his life living along 47th Street, Ronald Lynch remembers growing up in the center of it all. The South Center Department Store on 47th Street was one of the nation’s largest of its kind serving a primarily Black clientele, while the Regal Theater right next door was where the top musicians of the day, including Duke Ellington and Louis Armstrong, came to perform when they were in Chicago. On major commercial strips like 47th Street, 55th Street/Garfield Boulevard, and 63rd Street, you could stroll down the street and frequent multiple nightclubs without walking more than ten minutes—passing countless barbecue joints, laundries, drug stores, grocers, barber shops, and all other sorts of small businesses along the way. Factory workers and janitors lived alongside prominent musicians, lawyers, doctors, businessmen, and politicians. The Black Belt even had its own transportation system. Black-owned jitney cabs traveled up and down South Parkway—what is now MLK Drive—between 31st and 65th Streets, charging dime fares and picking up and dropping off customers at bus stops.

During the 1930s and ’40s Garfield Boulevard had sidewalk traffic at all hours, as many of the lounges, clubs, and restaurants stayed open until just before daybreak. On hot summer nights, the grassy median of Garfield Boulevard was covered with blankets where people escaping crowded apartments slept. At the western edge of the Black Belt was the Club DeLisa on State Street, a longstanding establishment open 24 hours a day, known for having no cover charge and featuring singers, dancers, chorus girls, comedians, vaudeville acts, and even acrobats alongside a house band led by the famous drummer Red Saunders. It only took ten minutes to walk along Garfield Boulevard from the Club DeLisa on the western edge of the Black Belt to the famous Rhumboogie Club, formerly known as Dave’s Cafe, on the eastern side. A long the way, you would pass the imposing five-story Schulze Baking Plant, the 1,400-seat Michigan Theater, and a handful of smaller clubs and cocktail lounges before passing under the elevated train station and reaching the Rhumboogie by Washington Park. Music was so vibrant in this area that even the smaller clubs had their own bands, creating a hub for musicians and entertainers of all stripes.

This part of 55th Street was where elements of the Chicago underworld mixed with Black celebrities, all amidst the sounds of both established and up-and-coming Black musicians. Coleman Hawkins, known for popularizing the tenor saxophone as a jazz solo instrument, used to lead a band at...
Although the Rhumboogie Club’s top musicians, dancers, and songwriters drew large crowds, the club was short-lived, closing in 1947—just 5 years after it opened.  The site continued to serve as a venue for music and dance performances for several decades, but it never regained the popularity it enjoyed when it was the Rhumboogie Club. The Club DeLisa lasted a little longer, closing only in 1958. In the 1960s and ‘70s, the South Side’s tradition of music and nightlife continued as newer clubs opened in formerly all-white neighborhoods that became majority-black to the south, east, and west of the original Black Belt. Ronald Lynch, who moved into a series of previously all-white neighborhoods throughout the 1950s and ‘60s, recalls that by the time he was old enough to go to clubs, “63rd Street had more clubs than 55th Street. All up and down that street were clubs.” Ronald Lynch’s first night out in 1958 was at the Pershing Hotel on Cottage Grove just south of 63rd Street, which was just across the street from the famous Tivoli Theater and the Grand Ballroom. Another great spot in the area was “Herman Roberts’s Motel on King Drive, which had a neat room and club space.” It was there that Lynch remembers seeing Dinah Washington, who “used to entertain there all the time.” In the ‘70s, after moving to South Shore, Lynch recalls Tiger Lounge on 79th Street being one of the top clubs. Lynch even owned his own club for a few years near the Tiger Lounge called Ronnie’s Lounge, where his clientele was mainly government workers and included a lot of regulars.

Walking down the relatively empty sidewalks of Garfield Boulevard today, it is difficult to imagine the 24-hour bustle that could be found there decades ago. The buildings that once housed the Rhumboogie Club, the White’s Emporium, and the Club DeLisa have all been torn down, replaced by lots that sit empty. The apartment buildings, corner stores, bars, fast-food restaurants, and gas stations there today are but a remnant of the vibrant commercial activity and residential life that characterized the neighborhood’s past. While Ronald Lynch says he is proud to have integrated several previously all-white neighborhoods, he laments how these changes brought about the decline of large Black-owned entertainment and nightlife businesses that brought people together and ensured that “money stayed within the [Black] community.”

Hugh Shepard is a third-year student at the University of Chicago majoring in History with a minor in data science. His fascination with the history of the South Side of Chicago made him an enthusiastic participant in the Century on 55th Street project.
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Processes

Site improvement, 55th Street sidewalk construction.

Courtesy of Chicago Public Library Special Collections & Preservation Division.
Washington Park After the Projects

Story by Zach Ashby

Twenty-eight buildings, each sixteen stories tall, housing 27,000 people at its peak, the Robert Taylor Homes once cast a long, gray shadow across the South Side of Chicago. From a distance, the horseshoe-shaped complexes were merely concrete monoliths, faceless buildings towering over the nearby expressway and expansive fields of pavement stretching across Chicago’s grid. However, when afforded a closer observation, the housing project appeared far different; complexes filled with thousands of people, and with it, thousands of individual lives, each with compelling pasts, presents, and futures. The Robert Taylor Homes cannot be simplified to the planning disaster and decrepit buildings they were, because in reality, they were so much more than just their physical form: after all, they were homes. It was decided that the Robert Taylor Homes were to be destroyed in 1993, a decision that would lead to a diaspora of residents across the South Side of Chicago in the decade that followed.

Weeks before the final tower was destroyed, former Columbia University student Sudhir Venkatesh decided to spend time getting to know some of the housing project’s residents and follow their lives as they began the process of relocating. The following stories were a product of that project.

Chuck Shepherd lived in the Robert Taylor Homes for 24 years. Twenty-nine years old, with mental and physical disabilities, his apartment was a tough place to live. A bucket under his sink collected water that gushed out of a crack in the pipe. Bugs scurried around his walls and behind his refrigerator. A faulty meter made Chuck’s electric bill skyrocket to completely unaffordable heights, yet Chuck was continually hassled for rent by his landlords. However, no matter the price of the utility bill, no matter how much the sink leaked, or how much the cockroaches bugged him at night, Chuck never wanted to leave. His family worried about him, as his epilepsy often caused him to have seizures, leaving him at danger if he was ever left alone for a long period of time. They wanted him to leave the housing project for a place where he could be under regular supervision, but the potential for finding a place like that for a good price was low. His neighbors at the Robert Taylor Homes were familiar with his condition and were able to keep an eye on his health and respond if anything went awry. They were an informal support system that kept him safe and allowed him a certain level of independence.

When the Chicago Housing Authority (CHA) decided to demolish the building that housed the community he so strongly depended on, Chuck didn’t know what to do. He had missed the meeting with the CHA on the day they handed out vouchers—forms that allowed displaced residents to pay discounted rent for a privately-owned apartment in the city—because he was stranded downtown, unable to find a taxi willing to drive to the Robert Taylor Homes. The CHA eventually moved Chuck to the Dearborn Homes, another public housing project just a few blocks North of the Robert Taylor Homes. To Chuck, living in a new housing project put him in danger; he didn’t know anyone, he would be living alone, and he couldn’t rely on his neighbors to help take care of him. The destruction of the Robert Taylor Homes signified a destruction of Chuck’s support system, and the end to his precious network of neighbors.

Lee-Lee Henderson lived in an apartment with her mother, Dawn, and her two children.

Lee-Lee grew up in public housing and always considered the projects her safe haven. No matter how rough or unsafe they were made out to be in the news or by word-of-mouth, Lee-Lee felt secure in the Robert Taylor Homes. Upon being forced out of the Robert Taylor Homes, Lee-Lee’s greatest priority was finding a safe place for her kids to live; a place where they could freely walk on the streets and enjoy time outdoors. After receiving her Section 8 voucher, she found an apartment where she could pay only sixteen dollars a month, and although the location was less ideal than she had hoped, it was the best option available. Her stay in that apartment lasted a short
while, and throughout that year, Lee-Lee was continually pushed around the South Side of Chicago looking for housing options. She had been a chronic victim of landlord neglect, often living for just short periods of time in different housing arrangements before being forced to move out. After this experience, her old apartment in the Robert Taylor Homes had become far more appealing than her new one. She was among many people who lived in the Robert Taylor Homes informally. Although when the time came to relocate, she wasn’t as lucky as the lease holders. Her family was unable to accommodate her in their new home, and she couldn’t find any affordable rate without a Section 8 voucher, a privilege only granted to lease holders in public housing. Legally, Dawn's only choice was to join a three-year long waitlist to live in a studio apartment with subsidized rent due to her physical disability. This was a viable option, and Dawn was forced to once again live illegally off the lease in her family's house, hoping not to get caught. Her friends urged her to move to the suburbs, or to North Carolina where she had extended family, but Dawn was intent on staying home in Chicago.

These stories were recorded by Venkatesh and published in his documentary Dislocation. They revealed the Robert Taylor Homes for what they truly were: homes. These were not merely horseshoe shaped boxes; they were filled with lives, experiences, vibrancy, community, and mutual love. The dull concrete façade and monotonous pavement parking lots were an unfortunate mask to the Robert Taylor Homes' true beauty.

Despite this beauty, however, we must also reconcile with the reality of the situation. These homes were the culmination of decades of disinvestment, segregation, and exclusion that had run the South Side of Chicago into a state of disrepair. It was a faint-hearted solution to a problem that didn’t have to exist, and one that would forever change the South Side. However, the absurdity of the situation lies not solely in the construction of the Homes, but also in their abrupt destruction, a failure that ended up adding an entirely new dimension to the trends of racialized disinvestment seen throughout the 20th century. Just as this community, which had already felt the pains of a community destroyed, had finally rebuilt and morphed into a state of disrepair.

The Robert Taylor Homes were a failure, an agent of disarray that left a scar on the city’s most vulnerable populations. But the beauty that persisted despite these circumstances shouldn’t be glossed over. In the pavement lots that surrounded the homes, in the hallways lined with chain-link fences, in the apartments with dripping sinks and scampering bugs, a beauty persisted. It was the beauty of friendship and communal understanding. Among the violence and disarrangement existed endless examples of love and care.

Following the destruction of its final tower, the relationships bound together by the Robert Taylor Homes were dispersed around the South Side, throughout the Midwest, and across the United States. The Robert Taylor Homes may be gone, but the many people who once called those towers home remain.

Zach Ashby is a third-year urban studies major from Charlottesville, Virginia. Along with serving on the production team of Expositions, he also served as a member of the Century on 55th Street cohort in the Winter and Spring of 2023.
“IT IS HOPED THAT WITHIN A YEAR
NO ONE WILL RECOGNIZE FIFTY-FIFTH STREET”

Constructing a
“Compatible Community”:
A Drama of University-Led
Urban Renewal, in Five Acts

Story by Caroline Hugh

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRAMATIS PERSONAE</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Julian H. Levi</td>
<td>Director of the SECC; coordinated urban renewal; lobbied for state and federal legislation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Kimpton</td>
<td>Chancellor of the University of Chicago from 1951 to 1960; worked closely with Levi to coordinate urban renewal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harley Perloff</td>
<td>Professor of architecture &amp; urban planning at the University from 1947 to 1955; Chairman of the Committee on Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Svooboda</td>
<td>Assistant Treasurer of the University throughout the 1950s; directed studies that enabled urban renewal in Hyde Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Meltzer</td>
<td>First director of the new University Planning Unit, part of the SECC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Maynard Hutches</td>
<td>President of the University from 1929 to 1945, Chancellor from 1945 to 1951; in charge during the era of University-backed racially restrictive covenants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPKCC:</td>
<td>Group of concerned HPK residents organized in 1949; separate from University interests, but functioned as community liaison for the SECC/the University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECC: (South East Chicago Commission)</td>
<td>Functioned as the urban renewal arm of the University; initially organized in 1952 to address crime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“THE CORPORATION”</td>
<td>Private corporation composed of University officials who redeveloped the blocks near 55th St and Cottage Grove</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
While Chancellor Lawrence Kimpton was overhauling the College's curriculum and the Lake Meadows and Prairie Shores complexes, thousands of lower class Black Chicagoans started moving into west side of Chicago. Upon arrival, racially restrictive covenants and redlining forced the community to draw up the game plan as to what change needed to occur in Hyde Park, particularly in the field of law enforcement. I am not at all clear what can be done, but I am clear that something has to be done and that the University has to participate actively.

However, reports made to the Hyde Park-Kenwood Community Conference, a separate organized group of HPK residents who would come to help and hinder the University’s plans for urban renewal, suggested that the University “engineered” the March community meeting in order to set up a new organization—eventually, the SECC. At this March meeting, a Committee of Five was established to draw up the game plan as to what changes needed to occur in HPK. Notably, this Committee of Five was chaired by University Chancellor Lawrence Kimpton. The Committee of Five’s planning occurred over a period of two months, from March to May 1952, when another attempted assault, this time against a faculty member’s wife, was used to assemble another mass meeting and announce the South East Chicago Commission, the main organizational force behind the coming remaking of Hyde Park-Kenwood.

Kimpton
We used a rather sensational kidnapping and rape case to bring the community together and announce a plan for the organization of the South East Chicago Commission.

From the beginning, the relationship between the SECC and the University of Chicago was nigh untangleable. After directing the Committee of Five and establishing the SECC in May 1952, Kimpton quickly called on Levi to chair the new Commission. Later accounts from Kimpton and Julian H. Levi—soon to be appointed head of the South East Chicago Commission (SECC)—indicate their ignorance:

Levi
I paid no attention to what was going on in Hyde Park. It’s true we were living there, but I was in Europe most of the time. Curiously, Marjorie [my wife] dragged me by the ear one night to the initial meeting in Mandel Hall where the SECC was first organized. I didn’t have the slightest idea what in the world they were talking about.

Kimpton
There was a big mass meeting called for tonight that Marcia [my wife] and I plan to attend. It is concerned with the deterioration of this community and the community’s determination to do something about it, particularly in the field of law enforcement. I am not at all clear what can be done, but I am clear that something has to be done and that the University has to participate actively.

The institution also provided a significant influence for the SECC in establishing the SECC in May 1952, Kimpton quickly called on Levi to chair the new Commission. Later accounts from Kimpton and Julian H. Levi—soon to be appointed head of the South East Chicago Commission (SECC)—indicate their ignorance:

Levi
I paid no attention to what was going on in Hyde Park. It’s true we were living there, but I was in Europe most of the time. Curiously, Marjorie [my wife] dragged me by the ear one night to the initial meeting in Mandel Hall where the SECC was first organized. I didn’t have the slightest idea what in the world they were talking about.

Kimpton
There was a big mass meeting called for tonight that Marcia [my wife] and I plan to attend. It is concerned with the deterioration of this community and the community’s determination to do something about it, particularly in the field of law enforcement. I am not at all clear what can be done, but I am clear that something has to be done and that the University has to participate actively.

However, reports made to the Hyde Park-Kenwood Community Conference, a separate organized group of HPK residents who would come to help and hinder the University’s plans for urban renewal, suggested that the University “engineered” the March community meeting in order to set up a new organization—eventually, the SECC. At this March meeting, a Committee of Five was established to draw up the game plan as to what changes needed to occur in HPK. Notably, this Committee of Five was chaired by University Chancellor Lawrence Kimpton. The Committee of Five’s planning occurred over a period of two months, from March to May 1952, when another attempted assault, this time against a faculty member’s wife, was used to assemble another mass meeting and announce the South East Chicago Commission, the main organizational force behind the coming remaking of Hyde Park-Kenwood.

Kimpton
We used a rather sensational kidnapping and rape case to bring the community together and announce a plan for the organization of the South East Chicago Commission.

From the beginning, the relationship between the SECC and the University of Chicago was nigh untangleable. After directing the Committee of Five and establishing the SECC in May 1952, Kimpton quickly called on Levi to chair the new Commission. Later accounts from Kimpton and Julian H. Levi—soon to be appointed head of the South East Chicago Commission (SECC)—indicate their ignorance:
It should be noted that like the motivations behind the Mandel Hall community meetings, Hyde Park crime rates are also contested: the crime maps themselves were made by sociologist Don Blakiston—who had been brought on by Levi—because the police department didn’t have them at the time. In addition, the Hyde Park-Kenwood Community Conference received reports that the crime rates actually decreased in the early 1950s. Nevertheless, the University moved ahead with the data they had on hand. As Levi recounts, one of the first activities he was engaged in was petitioning the municipal government to establish a police academy in Hyde Park. While this failed, it inspired the SEC to take matters into their own hands and direct the future course of Hyde Park.

Hyde Park-Kenwood represents the first major example of urban renewal in the United States, but there were certainly instances of land clearance and construction predating the project, so what makes the Hyde Park-Kenwood plan notable? It was unprecedented in its focus on revitalizing older communities that had not yet deteriorated into “slum” conditions, in order “to start a continuing process of rebuilding and modernization, which would remove the need for wholesale tearing-down and building-up.” This shift from slum clearance to community conservation is reflected in the legislation that was passed at the behest of the University and subsequently utilized by its newfound planning department.

Prior to this time, that agency had acted only in areas which were completely slum and blight. The proposal now urged was that slum-clearance powers be used in support of an existing community and its institutions.

**Act II: Lawyers & Planners**

One of the University’s main tools in its quest to make Hyde Park a suitable community for students and faculty was to employ legal measures it had lobbied for itself, a process which was carefully orchestrated from the beginning. In June 1952, very soon after the founding of the SEC, the University underwrote part of the costs of a study that was to be conducted by the Metropolitan Housing and Planning Council. This study would determine what caused community disintegration and develop new legislative and financial means to support community conservation. Most notably, this study was directed by Al Svoboda, Assistant Treasurer of the University.

The rapidity and seamlessness of the University’s actions during this early period is strong evidence that they were the primary architects of urban renewal. Levi’s account of his actions cements this:

**LEVI**

I came forward with the idea of revitalizing the Neighborhood Redevelopment Corporation Act to provide for a private right of eminent [sic] domain... We had to somehow get the initiative into the University’s hands. We had to get the initiative to the point where we actually could exercise the power of eminent domain if we had to.

The implementation of this legal strategy occurred at the same time as the creation of the University of Chicago’s planning department. After a grant from the Field Foundation, Jack Meltzer was employed by the University as Director of the new Planning Unit; in its grant, the Field Foundation was explicit about the nascent planning department becoming a model for the rest of America. Meltzer had previously served as director of planning for Michael Reese Hospital, one of the initiators of the massive land clearance project north of Hyde Park. Within sixty days, Meltzer filed a detailed proposal for redeveloping the area that had been surveyed around S Lake Park Avenue. His area would come to be known as Hyde Park “A.”

The University, the SEC, and the HPKCC requested that a study be carried out in the east of Hyde Park—Kimbark Ave to S Lake Park, E 53rd Street to 57th Street—that would determine its eligibility for slum clearance measures.

The University’s control of affairs during this early period is strong evidence that they were the primary architects of urban renewal. Levi’s account of his actions cements this:

**LEVI**

I came forward with the idea of revitalizing the Neighborhood Redevelopment Corporation Act to provide for a private right of eminent [sic] domain... We had to somehow get the initiative into the University’s hands. We had to get the initiative to the point where we actually could exercise the power of eminent domain if we had to.

The implementation of this legal strategy occurred at the same time as the creation of the University of Chicago’s planning department. After a grant from the Field Foundation, Jack Meltzer was employed by the University as Director of the new Planning Unit; in its grant, the Field Foundation was explicit about the nascent planning department becoming a model for the rest of America. Meltzer had previously served as director of planning for Michael Reese Hospital, one of the initiators of the massive land clearance project north of Hyde Park. Within sixty days, Meltzer filed a detailed proposal for redeveloping the area that had been surveyed around S Lake Park Avenue. His area would come to be known as Hyde Park “A.”

The rapidity and seamlessness of the University’s actions during this early period is strong evidence that they were the primary architects of urban renewal. Levi’s account of his actions cements this:

**LEVI**

I came forward with the idea of revitalizing the Neighborhood Redevelopment Corporation Act to provide for a private right of eminent [sic] domain... We had to somehow get the initiative into the University’s hands. We had to get the initiative to the point where we actually could exercise the power of eminent domain if we had to.

The implementation of this legal strategy occurred at the same time as the creation of the University of Chicago’s planning department. After a grant from the Field Foundation, Jack Meltzer was employed by the University as Director of the new Planning Unit; in its grant, the Field Foundation was explicit about the nascent planning department becoming a model for the rest of America. Meltzer had previously served as director of planning for Michael Reese Hospital, one of the initiators of the massive land clearance project north of Hyde Park. Within sixty days, Meltzer filed a detailed proposal for redeveloping the area that had been surveyed around S Lake Park Avenue. His area would come to be known as Hyde Park “A.”

The rapidity and seamlessness of the University’s actions during this early period is strong evidence that they were the primary architects of urban renewal. Levi’s account of his actions cements this:

**LEVI**

I came forward with the idea of revitalizing the Neighborhood Redevelopment Corporation Act to provide for a private right of eminent [sic] domain... We had to somehow get the initiative into the University’s hands. We had to get the initiative to the point where we actually could exercise the power of eminent domain if we had to.

The implementation of this legal strategy occurred at the same time as the creation of the University of Chicago’s planning department. After a grant from the Field Foundation, Jack Meltzer was employed by the University as Director of the new Planning Unit; in its grant, the Field Foundation was explicit about the nascent planning department becoming a model for the rest of America. Meltzer had previously served as director of planning for Michael Reese Hospital, one of the initiators of the massive land clearance project north of Hyde Park. Within sixty days, Meltzer filed a detailed proposal for redeveloping the area that had been surveyed around S Lake Park Avenue. His area would come to be known as Hyde Park “A.”
It was unprecedented for an institution like the University of Chicago to pour so much money and effort into such an experimental project. The school supplied $29 million to, in Chancellor Kimpton’s words, “buy, control, and rebuild our neighborhood.” In the years leading up to the creation of the SECC and planning unit, the University had actually been rather reluctant to get heavily involved in the neighborhood: a community planning unit had been advocated for in 1948, and sociologist Louis Wirth had urged land purchasing all the way back in the 1930s, to no avail.

Undertaking of the Urban Renewal planning was a major enterprise. Total expenditures by the University substantially exceeded its reimbursement under the contract.

What motivated an inward-looking academic institution to start organizing block meetings, petitioning the municipal, state, and federal governments, and contracting famous architects to suburbanize Hyde Park? The dire straits of the college’s coffers and enrollment numbers, combined with a legacy of secret real estate acquisition as fund-raising strategy and boundary delimiter, must be only part of the answer.

Why would the institution feel such pressure to roll out the framework of urban renewal so expeditiously, especially beginning in the late 1940s? The intense demographic change that occurred in Hyde Park after the end of racially restrictive covenants (Figure 3) finally pushed the University into actively creating the type of physical and social ecosystem that it thought it would best thrive in. As will be explored in the next act, the University had a long history of attempting to control the Hyde Park and Woodlawn area, but it was only in the late 1940s and ’50s that they took a public role in shaping the built environment, even crafting a legal basis for its actions. This illusory vision was called the “compatible community.”

The purposes of the Neighborhood Program of the University of Chicago are the two aims recognized by the Congress and the President of the United States in the enactment of Section 112 of the Housing Act of 1959:

A. The need for campus expansion.
B. The necessity of a cohesive neighborhood environment compatible with the functions and needs of such an educational institution.

The concept of a “cohesive neighborhood” encapsulates the complicated motivations underlying the University’s involvement in urban renewal. To the public, a compatible community was presented as a utopian, middle-class, interracial community, a city on a hill that could provide an example to the rest of the tumultuous city.

Fully recognizing the urgent need for housing of lower-income Negro families, it can be forcefully argued that, given the existing racial tensions in our urban centers, it is even more important to develop relatively stable bi-racial communities which can set a pattern for healthy inter-racial living and which can provide leaders who know how to communicate and work with members of other races.

For instance, the Hyde Park-Kenwood Community Conference, which came to function as the University’s and the SECC’s connection to Hyde Park residents, had a strong emphasis on creating an interracial community of high standards, which the University of Chicago ostensibly signed onto. Outside of the direct glare of the public eye, however, university officials were more candid about their motivations, which unequivocally prioritized the University’s interests. In a 1994 oral history with Julian Levi, he recounts: 

Figure 3: A graph of racial change published in Julian Levi and Al Svoboda’s book “The Neighborhood Program of the University of Chicago,” comprised of statements the two men made to the Board of Trustees in 1961.
There’s no reason under any circumstance that the University ought to be doing any of this unless its academic mission is involved. We’re not a public improvement organization. We’re not supposed to be a developer. We’re not interested as a good government association. The only standard you ought to apply to this is whether the University of Chicago as an academic entity requires a compatible community. Second of all, you’d better understand what "compatible community" means, unpleasant as it is.

Not even Levi could comprehensively explain what a “compatible community” meant to the University because it encompassed myriad priorities. The University had a long history of shaping its surroundings, stretching basically back to its founding. After the economic depression following the 1893 World’s Fair, the University turned to real estate as a safe form of fund-raising. Usually, this meant quickly razing existing tenements on newly acquired land (often gifts from donors) and erecting new buildings, which enhanced the value of adjacent properties that the University owned.

In an era where the in-migration of Black residents meant declining property values, the University’s need to maintain its real estate investments led it to sponsor racially restrictive covenants throughout the 1930s and ’40s, with total contributions amounting to nearly $84k from 1933 to 1947. However, its tendency to keep its land holdings secret by holding titles in the name of individuals meant their involvement in these covenants was often obscured.

Once the University could no longer legally bar Black residents from moving into Hyde Park,37 they instead turned to tailoring the neighborhood to well-educated, upper-middle class residents: the prime demographic the University was trying to attract. To create this “compatible community” — a community that could reverse the downward trend of the University and harness its post-atomic energy prestige to grow it into the future — the University had to solve a number of pressing problems, including dwindling enrollment from the elite high schools in the region, an atmosphere of danger that deterred female students, and limited property for expansion.39 The University envisioned a chain reaction: by building family-oriented, suburban-style housing, they could encourage a certain economic class of residents (mainly white) to stay in Hyde Park, which would support safe, quality neighborhood schools, in turn providing a strong stock of students for the College. And at the same time, this renewal process would allow the University to maneuver land dealings and acquire property.

We’re confronted with one other thing. There’s no way in the world that we can look at this thing on the basis of racial exclusion. We’re going to have to look at it on the basis of an economic screen. We’ve worked with Perloff and with Hauser on this. You can develop what they think is a successfully integrated program provided that you have the proper economic and social compatibility. But, again, that’s going to be awkward because it means, among other things, that there’s no room for public housing except on a very limited basis.

In short, the University had to generate the kind of neighborhood that faculty would voluntarily choose to live in, with their priority being the preservation of Hyde Park-Kenwood’s middle class character. However, in the 1950s, creating a neighborhood for the middle class meant excluding most Black residents. This was emphasized by the SECC’s commitment to enforcing building code violations. Overcrowded tenements and converted buildings were mainly occupied by Black residents who had recently left the Black Belt or immigrated from the South; by focusing on the seemingly clear-cut issue of policing housing violations and improving building conditions, the SECC and the University were able to largely sidestep accusations of racial exclusion, even if that was the actual (and intended) end result.

There are many signs to suggest that these residents would like to keep the community predominantly middle class in composition and therefore—because of the existing income patterns—necessarily predominantly white although biracial.

A large increase in the unskilled, barely literate element would appear to make conditions less tolerable for the institutions and the professional groups which generally reject the idea of living in or close to slums.
All of the subsequent effects of University-led urban renewal in Hyde Park stemmed from this desire for a compatible community, from suburbanizing roads and housing types, to collaborating with other institutions, to securing room for expansion.

The difficulty in discussing urban renewal, starting with Hyde Park-Kenwood, lies in its conflicting but coexisting legacies. To various people, the Hyde Park-Kenwood project was a virtuous attempt at an integrated utopia, and a shadowy institutional power grab, and a class- and race-exclusionary experiment in neighborhood suburbanization. All of these motivations existed simultaneously and were expressed, to differing levels, in the rhetoric used at the time.

**Act IV: Moving & Shaking**

**Scene. May 10, 1955: the first building in the Hyde Park A project is demolished.**

Hyde Park A, the area between 53rd and 57th Street along S Lake Park Ave—along with its smaller companion Hyde Park B, centered on 54th Street—was studied in 1953, planned in 1954, and federally funded by 1955. Because this area had been deemed “blighted” by the Metropolitan Housing and Planning Council survey, it could be developed by a private developer and did not require broad community approval. Despite apparently distancing itself from the redevelopment of Hyde Park A & B projects, in fact, produced by the SEC’s Planning Unit. The University's need for campus expansion coincided nicely with their desire to create borders between the University community and the rest of the city. T he case of SW Hyde Park is the perfect example of this: despite administrative clamors for more graduate housing, in the end, nothing was built besides the new Stagg Field.

**Expositions**

**LEVI**

We deliberately picked out the heart of the area to begin with because we knew that was the strongest area, but we’ve got to get a developer that we’re totally satisfied with. Furthermore, in July 1954, Kimpton and a group of trustees traveled to Washington D.C., to meet with President Eisenhower and the administrator of the Housing and Home Finance Agency. Approval followed roughly seven months later.

The first goal prioritized was family-oriented faculty housing, marking the beginning of the suburbanization of Hyde Park-Kenwood. In accordance with this, the construction of “low buildings; buildings tied closely to the land; and small individual neighborhoods” were emphasized, such as the Harry Weese-designed modernist townhouses located along E 55th Street. Streets were made into cul-de-sacs or dead ends to further enhance the suburban neighborhood atmosphere (Figure 5). The type of families meant to inhabit these new homes was made readily apparent: 46.1% of the white families uprooted by the Hyde Park A & B projects were relocated to in Hyde Park-Kenwood, while only 16.6% of the Black families were. And then the University’s legal strategems truly began to pay off. The 1953 amendments to the 1941 Neighborhood Redevelopment Act that Levi authored made it easier for private corporations to claim eminent domain, which is what happened in South West Hyde Park, the area between 55th and 59th Street, from Cottage Grove to Woodlawn Ave. In the early months of 1956, a group of “private” individuals formed the South West Hyde Park Development Corporation, including William B. Harrell (Vice President of the University) and two University Trustees, as well as Al Svoboda and Julian Levi. All of the Corporation’s stock was owned by the University of Chicago. The Corporation filed a plan in June of 1956, which had been drawn up by the University’s Planning Unit and proposed clearing and selling the land to the University to construct married student housing. Outside of the obvious (campus expansion), all of the University’s layered motivations can be seen in the case of SW Hyde Park: much of the housing stock was dated and in need for physical upkeep; the area had experienced one of the highest rates of in-migration of nonwhite residents throughout the 1940s and ‘50s, and the residents of the seized land were nearly 80% Black; and modernist, suburban design principles were given top priority, with more than four-fifths of the site planned as open land for parking.

**Figure 5: “Street Vacations and Dedications,” 1956. Map of Hyde Park Urban Renewal. Courtesy of the University of Chicago Map Collection.**
Act V: Effacing & Evangelizing

Importantly, all of this University maneuvering was done as quietly as possible. Chancellor Kimpton and SECC Director Levi—whom historian Arnold Hirsch describes as "the key determinants in defining both the goals and nature of the urban renewal process"—understood the difficult position that the University was in when it came to publicly championing integration and liberalism but privately muscling through advantageous legislation and development plans that systematically excluded nonwhite residents. This tension spanned all the way back to the Hutchins’ era of restrictive racial covenants in the 1930s and ‘40s, and, according to Levi, it was a tension Hutchins felt keenly:

HUTCHINS You know, this neighborhood thing, as far as I was concerned was just a disaster. I was schizophrenic about it."

The University’s public relations strategy surrounding urban renewal is best summed up with the following letter from Levi to Kimpton regarding an early planning pamphlet produced by Harvey Perloff:

LEVI This brochure will look to any outsider as an official University publication. And, of course, at that point, we get into all kinds of trouble. [He includes Perloff’s list of likely effects of urban renewal]. All of these things are good and we probably will want to do all of them, BUT not with an introduction to the public as if this was a University of Chicago project at this time."

The University would do what it needed to do to achieve its goals of building a compatible community, but it would attempt to portray itself in as favorable a light as possible. This usually meant distancing itself from plans like SW Hyde Park that it directly benefited from, directing what amounted to puppet corporations, and trying to persuade the city that going along with its development projects was the only logical economic choice.13

Another strategy employed by the University was lobbying for federal legislation alongside the presidents of Harvard, Columbia, the University of Pennsylvania, Yale, and MIT pitching a cooperative program to further federal legislation. These universities met in April and organized a detailed survey of sixteen major universities, which in turn “demonstrated conclusively that the lack of available land for expansion was one of the most acute problems facing each university surveyed.”12

With urban renewal now top of mind for major institutions, the University of Chicago sponsored the drafting of an amendment to the Housing Act of 1959 called Section 112. Section 112 did away with planned land use restrictions for institutional projects and allowed institutional expenditures to be treated as local grants subject to federal matching, clearing the way for university-led urban planning across the country.14 Circuitously, the University then used Section 112 to justify its own actions (see Act 3).

Elsewhere in this issue of Expositions you’ll encounter stories of the people and places that were lost to University-led urban renewal. But on the macro level, the largest impact of the Hyde Park-Kenwood project is the active encouragement and exemplar it provided to institutions around the nation.

Epilogue

The 1950s remaking of 55th Street is not the end of urban renewal in the community surrounding the University of Chicago. Some of the bitterest battles would be fought in the early 1960s, when the University began making moves on Woodlawn. This next stage of renewal, which also features some of the most successful examples of organized community resistance, will be explored in greater detail this upcoming year, through Chicago Studies’ Century on 63rd Street research project.

The long, tortuous battle over Hyde Park began here though, on 55th Street. All of the legislative maneuvering, carefully-timed studies, and development plans themselves were rooted in the University’s fundamental desire to create a “compatible community,” which, as we’ve seen, was portrayed in glowingy idealistic or brutally pragmatic terms depending on the audience.

From the University’s perspective, urban renewal was successful. After hitting record low undergraduate enrollment numbers in the Autumn of 1954—the same year the new University Planning Unit produced Hyde Park A—rates have only increased.15 Hyde Park today is a stable interracial community of high standards, described as quiet and residential, with two-thirds of University faculty living in the neighborhood. The next time you walk down 55th Street, know that it was carefully calibrated that way.

Exodus. Night on East Fifty-fifth Street. The kids are asleep in the townhouses. A group of students leave out the backdoor of Jimmy’s A man is humming while sitting on a stump outside a historical marker. They leave the park. All is quiet. Exeunt omnes.

Caroline Hugh is a third year at College interested in urban history, especially related to planning power, processes of displacement, and public history. When she’s not editing for the magazine you’re currently holding in your hands, she’s either off biking around the city, or struggling to load her bike onto the front of a CTA bus.
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