
Discussion of
**Getting Better: Learning to Invest in an Emerging
Stock Market**

by J.Y. Campbell, T. Ramadorai T, and B. Ranish

Stefan Nagel

Stanford University, NBER, CEPR

April 2013

Stefan Nagel

Discussion of Learning to Invest

Origins of Investor Beliefs

- How do people come up with beliefs about
 - equity premium?
 - style return premia?
 - their trading skill?
 - importance of diversification?
 - performance of active mutual funds?
 - inflation & real interest rates?
- Standard assumption in AP: Rational expectations. Leads to puzzles
 - why are asset returns predictable?
 - why do people trade?
 - why do people invest in active mutual funds?
 - why are individual investors underdiversified?
- Alternative: Learning

Stefan Nagel

Discussion of Learning to Invest

Anti-Learning

Anti-Learning

- Learning: From what?
 - Educational offerings and introspection?
 - Public information including all historical data?
 - Life-time experiences of public information?
 - Social network?
 - Own-portfolio experiences?
- Learning: How?
 - Updating: probably some Bayesians, some boundedly rational, some immune to learning?
 - Priors: probably heterogeneous priors

Learning of Investors in India

- This paper: Fantastic data set from India
 - Comprehensive picture of stock investments (mutual fund holdings are low) by tax ID
 - Limited demographic information but has account age
 - In some ways comparable to Scandinavian data sets, but here, e.g., higher frequency observations than Calvet et al. (2007, 2009)
- Do they learn? Yes.
 - Older accounts outperform younger accounts
 - partly due to better style tilts
 - partly due to better stock picks unrelated to style tilts
 - Older accounts are better diversified, have lower turnover, smaller disposition effect
- How do they learn? Learning from experience.
 - Poor returns cumulative, or in single month induce lower turnover and disposition bias, and greater diversification

Comment 1: How Do They Learn to Earn Higher Returns?

- Lower turnover and better diversification of older accounts do not explain why their returns are higher *before* transaction costs and risk-adjustment. Still an open question.
- How does better style tilt arise? From experience?
 - relate style tilt to experience of market-wide style returns?
 - Not much power with short time series
 - relate style tilt to experience of personal style returns?
 - e.g., investor A bought value stocks that outperformed the market, investor B bought value stocks that underperformed. Does this heterogeneity induce differences in propensity to own value stocks?
 - foundation for Barberis and Shleifer (2003) style investing?
- How do better stock picking skills arise?
 - Perhaps to some extent unobserved style tilts? Expand style categories

Comment 2: Heterogeneity in Learning?

- Young-old differences in updating: Young may be more sensitive to recent experiences
- Are there types of investors that are more adept at learning to invest?
 - Are value investor “types” behaving different from growth investor “types”?
 - Diversification “types” vs. gambling “types”?
 - ...
- Possibly non-monotonic
 - Well diversified, value investors, ... have nothing to learn
 - Not-so-well diversified ones, moderate growth investors, ... have something to learn
 - Some extreme types immune to learning?

Comment 3: Does Past Investment Success Induce Bad Behavior?

- Finding: Good own-portfolio performance in the past relative to the market/from trading/from disposition-behavior reinforces low diversification/high turnover/disposition-behavior
- Is this evidence of reinforcement of “bad” behavior?
- Test: Do own-portfolio performance measures predict future own-portfolio returns and Sharpe Ratio negatively?

Comment 4: Puzzling Factor-Adjusted Returns

Old-accounts minus young-accounts zero-investment portfolio in Table 6:

- why is hml loading negative even though older accounts seem to have a value tilt?
- odd consequence: factor-adjusted returns are even bigger than raw excess returns, even though some of raw excess return arises from apparent value tilt of older accounts
- due to value-weighting of stocks in construction of factors?

- Great Data. New insights into investor behavior.
- Findings underscore that investor behavior evolves dynamically as function of experiences
- Potential to do a lot more with the data set
- Potential to connect to asset pricing questions (e.g. style investing).