

Discussion of  
Investor Beliefs and Asset Prices Under Selective  
Memory

by M. Voigt

Stefan Nagel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Chicago, NBER, CEPR, and CESifo

June 2024



## Big picture: Asset pricing with subjective beliefs

- ▶ Campbell-Shiller PV identity under **objective** probabilities (of econometrician studying large sample)

$$p_t - d_t = \text{const.} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j \Delta d_{t+1+j}}_{\text{approx. constant}} - \mathbb{E}_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j r_{t+1+j}$$

- ▶ Campbell-Shiller PV identity under **subjective** probabilities (of representative investor)

$$p_t - d_t = \text{const.} + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j \Delta d_{t+1+j} - \underbrace{\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j r_{t+1+j}}_{\text{approx. constant}}$$

- ▶ Needed: Models of  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_t \Delta d_{t+1+j}$ .
- ▶ This paper: Selective memory.

## Selective memory model: EZ log-normal case

- ▶ Log endowment growth

$$g_t = \mu + \sigma \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

- ▶ Representative agent learns about distribution of  $g$  from recalled history of  $g$
- ▶ Recall governed by memory function that gives higher recall probability

$$m(g_\tau) = \exp\left(-\frac{(g_\tau - g_t)^2}{2\kappa}\right)$$

to past observations  $g_\tau$  close to current  $g_t$

- ▶ Consequence: Posterior mean tilted towards  $g_t$

$$\hat{\mu}_t = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + \sigma^2} \mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{\kappa + \sigma^2} g_t$$

## Selective memory model: EZ log-normal case

- ▶ Asset pricing ( $\rho = 0.95$ )

$$d_t - p_t = \text{const.} + \left( \frac{1}{\psi} - \lambda \right) \hat{\mu}_t$$

$$\log \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_t r_{t+1} - r_{f,t} = \text{const.}$$

$$\log \mathbb{E}_t r_{t+1} - r_{f,t} = \text{const.} - \left( \frac{1}{1-\rho} \lambda - \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \frac{1}{\psi} \right) \hat{\mu}_t$$

- ▶ High  $g_t \Rightarrow$  high  $\hat{\mu}_t \Rightarrow$  low  $d_t - p_t$ , low  $\log \mathbb{E}_t r_{t+1} - r_{f,t}$
- ▶  $\sigma(\hat{\mu}_t) \approx 0.02$ ,  $\left( \frac{1}{1-\rho} \lambda - \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \frac{1}{\psi} \right) \approx 47$ , so in predictive regression with standardized  $\hat{\mu}_t$  or  $d_t - p_t$ , coefficient  $0.02 \times 47 = 0.94$ . Table 3:

	No parameter uncertainty		
	$RP_{Subj}$	$RP_{Obj}$	$\hat{b}_{CG}$
$dp_t$	0.002	1.119	

## Comment #1: Lack of persistence

- ▶ In data,  $d - p$  highly persistent: Autocorrelation  $\approx 0.97$  quarterly

- ▶ In infinite-history version of this model:

$$AC(d_t - p_t) = AC(\hat{\mu}_t) = AC(g_t) \approx 0$$

- ▶ Objective risk premium mean-reverts fast, unlike in data
  - ▶  $d_t - p_t$  predicts  $r_{t+1}$ , but not  $r_{t+2}$ ,  $r_{t+3}$ , ..., unlike in data
- ▶ Subjective cash flow beliefs mean-revert fast, unlike in data
  - ▶ E.g., analyst long-term growth expectations are persistent
- ▶ Higher persistence in finite-history version of model, but this persistence fades away over time

## Comment #2: Definition of context and evolution of context

- ▶ When agent assesses similarity between past and present context, what is the definition of context?
  - ▶ Here  $g_t$  quarterly, but why not daily, or annual, or ... ?
- ▶ This has asset pricing consequences
  - ▶ Example: if context measured in terms of annual  $g_t$ ,  $d_t - p_t$  and objective risk premium will be more persistent than if measured in terms of daily  $g_t$ .
- ▶ More generally, question of **context evolution**: In retrieved-context theory (Howard and Kahana 1999, 2002), context drifts with persistence

$$c_t = \phi c_{t-1} + (1 - \phi)g_t$$

Could this be a potential mechanism to obtain more persistence in beliefs,  $d_t - p_t$ , expected returns, ... ?

## Comment #3: More focus on unique predictions of similarity-weighting

- ▶ Paper would benefit from focusing more developing predictions unique to the similarity-weighted memory model
  - ▶ e.g., currently emphasized distinctions such as subjective risk premium U-shape in  $g_t$  are very subtle
- ▶ Allow for more role of higher moments, or perhaps even non-parametric learning of distribution?
  - ▶ e.g., high recent  $g_t$  should make similarity-weighted recalled history more positively skewed
- ▶ Predictions about higher moments could be studied empirically with
  - ▶ Option prices
  - ▶ Perceived crash probabilities (e.g., ICF Yale survey)

# Summary

- ▶ Similarity-weighted memory is an interesting framework for belief formation in asset pricing
- ▶ Still some challenges in matching asset price properties
- ▶ Potential improvements from
  - ▶ Modifying context evolution
  - ▶ Considering higher moments